











2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425, Seattle, WA 98121 • 206.389.9321 • Toll Free: 855.329.0919

2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Fee: 800.649.2034

ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Depositions • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

In the Matter of:

WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT

VS

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BRADY WALKINSHAW

January 18, 2022

Thank you for choosing BA Litigation Services for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR Vice President



The Premier Advantage[™] PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

STRATEGY • TECHNOLOGY • DESIGN • DEPOSITIONS

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	
WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT, a non-profit, nonpartisan Washington organization, Plaintiff,))))))
THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, a state government, acting through THE WASHINGTON STATE REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, a Washington State Agency, et al.,, Defendants.) No. 21-2-02069-34)))))))
Deposition Upon Oral E of BRADY PINERO WALK	
Taken Remotely via	a Zoom

DATE: Tuesday, January 18, 2022

REPORTED BY: Jamie Booker, RPR, CCR 3281



1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For the Plaintiff:
4	JOAN K. MELL
5	III BRANCHES LAW, PLLC 1019 Regents Blvd. Ste. 204 Fircrest, WA 98466
6	joan@3brancheslaw.com
7	
8	For the Defendant Washington Redistricting Commission:
9	GREGORY J. WONG
10	ZACHARY PEKELIS JONES PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP
11	1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101-3404
12	206.245.1700 Greg.Wong@pacificalawgroup.com
13	Zach.Pekelis.Jones@pacificalawgroup.com
14	
15	For the Defendant State of Washington:
16	ANDREW R.W HUGHES CRISTINA SEPE
17	ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
18	Seattle, WA 98104-3188 Andrew.Hughes@atg.wa.gov
19	Cristina.Sepe@atg.wa.gov
20	
21	Also Present: ARTHUR WEST
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION BY	7:	PAGE
3	JOAN MELL		8
4	ARTHUR WEST		283
5		* * *	
6	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	FOR I.D.
7			
8	Exhibit 2	Memo timeline	283
9	Exhibit 41	Fain MEMO Email	254
10	Exhibit 42	Fain_20211113 V2	254
11	Exhibit 44	Adam Smith_11.16	133
12	Exhibit 95	WSRC regular Business Meeting 11-15-2021 audio transcription	69
13	Exhibit 96	ID00000015 - 20211222 -	183
14		IMG_0034.JPG	
15	Exhibit 97	ID0000018 - 20211222 - IMG_0037.JPG	184
16	Exhibit 98	ID00000023 - 20211222 -	185
17		IMG_0042.JPG	
18	Exhibit 99	ID00000024 - 20211222 - IMG_0043.JPG	189
19	Exhibit 100	ID00000025 - 20211222 -	190
20		IMG_0044.JPG	
21	Exhibit 101	ID00000026 - 20211222 - IMG_0045.JPG	192
22	Exhibit 102	ID00000027 - 20211222 -	193
23		IMG_0046.JPG	
24	Exhibit 103	ID00000028 - 20211222 - IMG_0047.JPG	194
25			
J			

		iay bandary	10, 2022			. age
1			(CONT	CINUED)		
2	EXHIBIT		DESCRIPTION			FOR I.D.
3	Exhibit	104	ID00000029 - IMG_0048.JPG	20211222	-	196
4	Exhibit	105	ID00000031 -	20211222	_	197
5		100	IMG_0050.JPG			10,
6	Exhibit	106	ID00000034 - IMG_0053.JPG	20211222	-	200
7	Exhibit	107		20211222	_	208
8			IMG_0054.JPG			
9	Exhibit	108	ID00000037 - IMG_0056.JPG	20211222	-	209
10	Exhibit	109	ID00000038 -	20211222	-	210
11	D 1-11-1-	110	IMG_0057.JPG	20211222		011
12 13	Exhibit	110	ID00000042 - IMG_0061.JPG	20211222	_	211
14	Exhibit	111	ID00000044 - IMG_0063.JPG	20211222	-	212
15	Exhibit	112	ID00000047 -	20211222	_	213
16			IMG_0066.JPG			
17	Exhibit	113	ID00000048 - IMG_0067.JPG	20211222	_	218
18	Exhibit	114	ID00000050 - IMG_0069.JPG	20211222	-	220
19	Exhibit	115	ID00000051 -	20211222	_	222
20		113	IMG_0070.JPG	20211222		222
21	Exhibit	116	ID00000052 - IMG_0071.JPG	20211222	-	223
22	Exhibit	117	ID00000053 -	20211222	_	224
23			IMG_0072.JPG			
24	Exhibit	118	ID00000054 - IMG_0073.JPG	20211222	-	225
25						

	=	y carraary	.0, 2022			. ago o
1			(CONT	CINUED)		
2	EXHIBIT		DESCRIPTION			FOR I.D.
3	Exhibit	119	ID00000055 - IMG_0074.JPG	20211222	-	227
4	Exhibit :	120	ID00000056 -	20211222	_	230
5			IMG_0075.JPG			
6	Exhibit	121	ID00000057 - IMG_0076.JPG	20211222	-	231
7	Exhibit	122	ID0000058 -	20211222	_	234
8			IMG_0077.JPG			
9	Exhibit	123	ID00000059 - IMG_0078.JPG	20211222	_	235
10	Exhibit	124	ID00000062 -	20211222	_	235
11 12	Exhibit	105	IMG_0081.JPG ID00000069 -	20211222		238
13	EXIIIDIC	125	IMG_0088.JPG	20211222	_	236
14	Exhibit	126	ID00000070 - IMG_0089.JPG	20211222	_	239
15	Exhibit	127	ID00000073 - IMG_0092.JPG	20211222	_	244
16 17	Exhibit	128	ID00000085 - IMG_0104.JPG	20211222	_	245
18	Exhibit	129	ID00000086 - IMG 0105.JPG	20211222	_	246
19	Exhibit	130	ID00000089 -	20211222	_	247
20			IMG_0108.JPG			
21	Exhibit	131	ID00000093 - IMG_0112.JPG	20211222	-	248
22	Exhibit	132	ID00000203 -	20211222	_	249
23			IMG_0222.JPG			
24	Exhibit	133	ID00000096 - IMG_0115.JPG	20211222	-	250
25						

	,,,	,,,,,,,, .	9
1		(CONTINUED)	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	FOR I.D.
3	Exhibit 134	ID00000173 - 20211222 - IMG_0192.JPG	256
4	Exhibit 135	ID00000174 - 20211222 -	256
5		IMG_0193.JPG	
6	Exhibit 136	ID00000175 - 20211222 - IMG_0194.JPG	260
7 8	Exhibit 137	ID00000176 - 20211222 - IMG_0195.JPG	261
9	Exhibit 138	ID00000177 - 20211222 - IMG_0196.JPG	262
10	Exhibit 139	ID00000178 - 20211222 - IMG_0197.JPG	263
12	Exhibit 140		263
13	Exhibit 141	IMG_0198.JPG ID00000180 - 20211222 -	263
14	EXHIDIC 141	IMG_0199.JPG	203
15	Exhibit 142	ID00000181 - 20211222 - IMG_0200.JPG	264
16 17	Exhibit 143	ID00000182 - 20211222 - IMG_0201.JPG	264
18	Exhibit 144	ID00000183 - 20211222 - IMG_0202.JPG	265
19	Exhibit 145	ID00000184 - 20211222 -	265
20		IMG_0203.JPG	
21	Exhibit 146	ID00000185 - 20211222 - IMG_0204.JPG	266
22	Exhibit 147	ID00000186 - 20211222 -	266
23	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	IMG_0205.JPG	
24	Exhibit 148	ID00000187 - 20211222 - IMG_0206.JPG	267
25			
ı			

_	,	-, -,				
1			(CONT	CINUED)		
2	EXHIBIT		DESCRIPTION			FOR I.D.
3	Exhibit	149	ID00000188 - IMG_0207.JPG	20211222	-	267
4	Exhibit	150	ID00000189 -	20211222	_	269
5			IMG_0208.JPG			
6	Exhibit	151	ID00000190 - IMG_0209.JPG	20211222	-	269
7	Exhibit	152	ID00000191 -	20211222	_	270
8 9	Exhibit	152	IMG_0210.JPG ID00000192 -	20211222		271
10	EXIIIDIC	133	IMG_0211.JPG	20211222	_	271
11	Exhibit	154	ID00000193 - IMG_0212.JPG	20211222	-	272
12	Exhibit	155	ID00000194 -	20211222	-	272
13		156	IMG_0213.JPG	20211222		276
14	Exhibit	150	ID00000195 - IMG_0214.JPG	20211222	-	276
15	Exhibit	157	ID00000196 - IMG_0215.JPG	20211222	-	277
16	Exhibit	158		20211222	_	277
17			IMG_0216.JPG			
18	Exhibit	163	ID00000202 - IMG_0221.JPG	20211222	-	277
19	Exhibit	165	ID00000205 -	20211222	-	278
20			IMG_0224.JPG			
21	Exhibit	176	ID00000236 - IMG_0255.JPG	20211222	-	279
22	Exhibit	177	ID00000237 -	20211222	-	280
23 24	Exhibit	17Ω	IMG_0256.JPG ID00000238 -	20211222	_	281
25	EAIIIDIC	1 / O	IMG_0257.JPG	20211222		201
20						

1	REMOTELY VIA ZOOM; TUESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2022			
2	9:00 A.M.			
3	000			
4				
5	BRADY PINERO WALKINSHAW deponent herein, being			
6	first duly sworn on oath,			
7	was examined and testified			
8	as follows:			
9				
10	EXAMINATION			
09:05: 4 01	BY JOAN MELL:			
09:05: 4 22	Q. State your name.			
09:05: 443	A. Brady Walkinshaw.			
09:05: 4 64	Q. What's your address?			
09:05: 4 9 5	A. It is 1414 East Harrison Street, Unit K,			
09:05: 176	Seattle, Washington, ZIP code 98112.			
09:06:0137	Q. What's your phone number?			
09:06: 1158	A. My personal cell is on (206)465-0818.			
09:06:1149	Q. Is that 0818?			
09:06: 270	A. That's correct.			
09:06:1281	Q. What phone did you use for Commission work?			
09:06: 242	A. That phone.			
09:06: 2 53	Q. Okay. And were you issued a Commission phone?			
09:06: 294	A. I believe it was an option, but I did not I			
09:06: 225	did not have a Commission phone.			

09:06:351 What's your highest level of education? 0. Okay. 09:06:422 Α. A bachelor's degree. 09:06:443 From where? Q. 09:06:464 Princeton. Α. 09:06:475 0. In what? 09:06:496 In the Princeton School of Public Affairs, Α. Public Policy. 09:06:547 09:06:558 I'm having a little bit of trouble hearing you. Ο. 09:07:009 I'm sorry. Α. 09:07:000 I heard "public" something. Ο. 09:07:**1**11 Public policy. Α. 09:07:022 Public policy. Okay. Yeah. I think that's 0. 09:07:053 better. Thank you. 09:07:**064** Is that better? I just moved the mic closer to Α. 09:07:**0195** myself. Way better. Okay. Thank you. 09:07:026 Ο. Yeah. Yeah. 09:07:1137 What experience did you have working in 09:07:1168 government before being appointed Commissioner? 09:07:**129** I served in the State Legislature from December Α. 09:07:**250** of 2013 through January of 2017. 09:07:3271 Have you worked for government in any other 0. 09:07:3292 capacity? 09:07:**4263** I was a US Fulbright Scholar in 2006/2007 so Α.

that was paid by the US Government. But, beyond that, not

LITIGATION SERVICES

09:07:**214**

09:07:**255**

	Walkinshaw, Brady	- January 18, 2022	Page 10
09:07:571	Q.	How old are you?	
09:07:58 2	A.	I'm 37.	
09:08:013	Q.	The days. The days.	
09:08:034		Okay. So when you served in the State	
09:08:095	Legislatu	re, were you a representative?	
09:08:14 6	A.	That's correct.	
09:08:157	Q.	And you served an elected term?	
09:08:17 8	A.	I was appointed in 2013, and then I was later	•
09:08:21 9	elected t	o a full term.	
09:08:2140	Q.	Who appointed you?	
09:08: 261	A.	The King County Council.	
09:08: 3 12	Q.	Whose position did you fill?	
09:08: 323	A.	It was the position that that now-Senator	
09:08: 354	Pedersen	previously occupied, and he filled what Ed Mur	ray
09:08: 4 2 5	had been	in prior to his election to mayor in 2013.	
09:08:4196	Q.	Okay. So are you a Democrat?	
09:08: 157	A.	Pardon? I didn't hear the question.	
09:08:5178	Q.	Democrat. Partisan?	
09:09: 109	A.	I am a Democrat, yes.	
09:09:1220	Q.	And who swore you in?	
09:09: 241	A.	I'm sorry. I didn't hear you, Joan.	
09:09:1262	Q.	Who swore you in?	
09:09: 2213	A.	Do you mean to the Legislature or to the	
09:09: 224	Commissio	n?	

Q. To the Commission.

09:09:2235

09:09:231 A. Justice Mary Yu.

09:09:362

09:09:403

09:09:404

09:09:435

09:09:576

09:10:017

09:10:058

09:10:109

09:10:1140

09:10:191

09:10:272

09:10:323

09:10:374

09:10:395

09:10:456

09:10:557

09:10:598

09:11:039

09:11:0270

09:11:121

09:11:202

09:11:263

09:11:304

09:11:2215

- Q. What district were you -- did you run for election?
- A. I was appointed in the 43rd and then later elected in the 43rd.
- Q. What was your role with regard to redistricting once becoming a Commissioner?
- A. I was appointed by the Senate Democratic Caucus as one of four voting Commissioners on the State's Redistricting Commission.
 - Q. So who was the -- who appointed you?
- A. The Democratic Senate Caucus and specifically the Senate Majority Leader Andy Billig.
- Q. In terms of your redistricting work, did you feel responsible to him then?
 - A. No.
- Q. So, after you were appointed by Andy Billig, what did you believe your role to be?
- A. To act on behalf of -- as a bipartisan

 Commission as one of two Democratic Commissioners to

 participate and develop maps in this once-a-decade process

 for the State's legislative and congressional lines.
- Q. What is your understanding of what a redistricting plan is?
 - A. A redistricting plan, in this case, specifies

the criteria that were later used to create maps.

- Q. So are you describing what you did or what your understanding of a redistricting plan is?
 - A. I believe both.

09:11:431

09:11:542

09:11:573

09:12:054

09:12:085

09:12:116

09:12:187

09:12:208

09:12:239

09:12:270

09:12:281

09:12:322

09:12:173

09:12:484

09:12:505

09:12:536

09:12:587

09:13:018

09:13:069

09:13:0280

09:13:211

09:13:2212

09:13:243

09:13:284

09:13:285

- Q. Do you think it's appropriate to specify criteria and treat that as a redistricting plan severed from the maps?
- A. No. I believe that we need -- you need maps. You eventually need maps to -- to complete the redistricting process.
- Q. Okay. So in order to have a redistricting plan, it's essential to have a map?
 - A. Eventually, yes. At the -- at the end state.
- Q. And so would you also say that, in order to have a redistricting plan that is complete and/or final, it requires both a legislative and a congressional map?
- A. To complete the redistricting process, yes, you eventually do need a legislative and a congressional map. I agree.
- Q. And is it correct that you had neither a congressional or legislative district map by the 15th?
- A. By midnight of the 15th, we did not have completed legislative or congressional maps. That's correct.
 - Q. Do you know what, if anything, you voted on, on

LITIGATION SERVICES

09:13:301 | the 15th?

09:13:332

09:13:353

09:13:404

09:13:455

09:13:496

09:13:55**7**

09:13:568

09:13:599

09:13:**190**

09:14:**121**

09:14:**1152**

09:14:133

09:14:1154

09:14:175

09:14:**126**

09:14:**2**57

09:14:**198**

09:14:309

09:14:3260

09:14:**271**

09:14:4222

09:14:4253

09:14:**204**

09:14:**235**

- A. I do.
- Q. How do you know?
- A. Because I was directly involved in negotiating a plan for the State's ten Congressional Districts that was brought forward to the Commission, and because -- oh, I'll stop there. Sorry.
- Q. No. No. Go ahead. I didn't mean to cut you off.
- A. And -- and I was aware of and learned -- and aware of the parameters and the criteria that were being proposed for the State's 49 Legislative Districts.
- Q. So did you know before going into the action portion of the meeting what those parameters were for both the Congressional and Legislative District map?
- A. Not everything, but I was familiar with the parameters that were being brought forward as a proposal to the Commission.
- Q. So how were you aware of the parameters for the Congressional District?
 - A. I was directly involved on the development.
- Q. And when you say you were directly involved in developing them, what does that mean?
- A. To give context, over the course of nine months, we had probably unprecedented public input into the

process; so that was one really important ingredient.

That came through the course of many public meetings and hours upon hours of public testimony. So that was one way I was involved was taking in that public testimony and translating it into the plan that was then voted on.

The second was, there was a lot of input that was provided through the course of the process, as has been the case in every redistricting process in the past, that we then used.

And then I was directly involved in conversations with Commissioner Fain on maps; and, through the course of the process, I released several public maps.

- Q. Well, so but on the 15th, how did you know what form it was in?
- A. Because Commissioner Fain and I had been working on them.
- Q. Did Commissioner Fain and you agree to a map prior to any vote?
- A. We felt we had a proposal that was worthy of the consideration of the Commission.
 - O. Is that a no?
 - A. Apologies. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Did you and Commissioner Fain agree on a map prior to vote on the 15th?
 - A. We were in agreement, I would say, on what we

09:14:581

09:15:012

09:15:063

09:15:094

09:15:135

09:15:196

09:15:227

09:15:278

09:15:299

09:15:310

09:15:331

09:15:362

09:15:403

09:15:464

09:15:505

09:15:546

09:15:567

09:16:008

09:16:039

09:16:0270

09:16:0291

09:16:1222

09:16:253

09:16:1284

09:16:2215

were bringing forward, yes.

09:16:241

09:16:272

09:16:293

09:16:314

09:16:335

09:16:406

09:16:427

09:16:478

09:16:489

09:16:520

09:16:971

09:17:002

09:17:013

09:17:044

09:17:095

09:17:116

09:17:137

09:17:168

09:17:249

09:17:270

09:17:201

09:17:232

09:17:263

09:17:274

09:17:3295

- Q. But that wasn't a map; correct?
- A. No, it was not a map.
- Q. Okay. So you and Commissioner Fain had an agreement on a proposal to vote on?
 - A. That's correct. That's correct.
 - Q. And you agreed to move it before the body?
 - A. We did.
- Q. Okay. Did you also agree to move that proposal before the body with Commissioner Sims?
- A. Apologies. I couldn't quite hear the first part of your question.
- Q. Did you agree with Commissioner Sims that you would bring forward this proposal as well?
- A. I think she was aware that we were bringing forward a proposal, yes.
 - Q. And did she know what you were bringing forward?
- A. I kept her up to date throughout the process, and there'd been a lot of public input and reflection on it over the course of the prior several months.
- So, yes, I think she was aware of -- I won't suppose what she knew. But I think she was aware what the main -- about the main parameters when I discussed them in a public meeting.
 - Q. Because you told her or because you and Fain

09:17:421 | tol

09:17:44**2**

09:17:46**3**

09:17:504

09:17:525

09:17:566

09:18:007

09:18:07**8**

09:18:09

09:18:**120**

09:18:1151

09:18:1172

09:18:**2**13

09:18:**154**

09:18:305

09:18:336

09:18:**3**77

09:18:**408**

09:18:**449**

09:18:4270

09:18:4291

09:18:**252**

09:18:5283

09:19:0214

09:19:0295

told her?

- A. I'm not sure about Commissioner Fain. But from what -- I did keep her up to speed as I kept many people up to speed on where we were at.
- Q. Okay. And so when did you last brief
 Commissioner Sims about your agreed-upon proposal with
 Fain?
- A. It was a busy night, and I don't remember specifically when I would have -- what the most recent update would have been.
- Q. Was it moments before going into the action portion of the meeting?
- A. I don't believe so, but I may have shared some updates then.
- Q. Did you talk to Commissioner Sims between the end of the discussion section and the start of the action section?
- A. Sorry. I'm having trouble following the actual timeline of what you're referring to.
- Q. Do you remember the agenda including a period of time dedicated to discussion?
 - A. At which point in the evening?
- Q. On -- on the 15th. Before moving into the action item, there was a segment on the agenda listed as "discussion." And then you took a break, and then you

went into the action section.

09:19:131

09:19:182

09:19:213

09:19:264

09:19:295

09:19:336

09:19:367

09:19:408

09:19:439

09:19:450

09:19:481

09:19:502

09:19:543

09:19:574

09:19:585

09:20:**166**

09:20:**1177**

09:20:098

09:20:1109

09:20:**240**

09:20:**2**6**1**

09:20:272

09:20:273

09:20:1294

- A. I believe what I -- I share -- what I shared in the public meeting -- a bit inarticulately because it was late at night -- was the parameters of the plan that described a number of the characteristics of the State's ten Congressional Districts.
 - Q. What you shared in the public meeting when?
- A. I believe around midnight, but the specifics would be in the transcript.
- Q. Is it your testimony that you had intended to communicate to the public that you and Commissioner Fain had an agreement on a Congressional District proposal and you were communicating the parameters of that to the public?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Shall I go ahead and answer the --

GREG WONG: Yeah. If I object, you can go ahead and answer unless I instruct you not to.

THE WITNESS: Sorry, Joan. Could you repeat it again? I apologize for that.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. I'm trying to understand your testimony.
- Is it your testimony that you communicated to the public, prior to any vote, that you and Commissioner

LITIGATION SERVICES

99:20:271 Fain had reached an agreement on a Congressional District
proposal that you had shared with other Commissioners -at least Commissioner Sims -- and that those parameters
99:20:424 were disclosed to the public?

A. I'm not wholly following the question.

But I -- what I shared at the public meeting was the proposal for the drawing of the Congressional maps was something -- I believe was something that Commissioner Fain and I were in agreement on.

- Q. What did you share at the public meeting?
- A. I believe I described characteristics of the districts. Things like the 4th and 5th Congressional Districts would have a north/south orientation.
 - O. What else did you share with the public?
- A. I believe -- I believe I described, you know, things like the 2nd Congressional District, working to retain Skagit as the line to keep communities of interest together and counties.

I believe I shared efforts to keep population centers like Mukilteo and Bellingham and Everett retained in the 2nd.

I believe I may have shared also the intent to kind of keep the 7th Congressional District in and around Seattle.

GREG WONG: Joan, you're muted. I don't know if

09:20:465

09:20:486

09:20:53**7**

09:20:598

09:21:009

09:21:0130

09:21:**181**

09:21:**1**12

09:21:**143**

09:21:234

09:21:**265**

09:21:**306**

09:21:**347**

09:21:**408**

09:21:**429**

09:21:**240**

09:21:**42**8**1**

09:21:4292

09:21:**2**33

09:21:**5284**

09:22:281 | you're talking.

09:22:372

09:22:383

09:22:394

09:22:405

09:22:406

09:22:427

09:22:458

09:22:519

09:22:570

09:23:**051**

09:23:**1**82

09:23:**1**23

09:23:**1154**

09:23:175

09:23:136

09:23:277

09:23:**308**

09:23:**329**

09:23:**270**

09:23:**42**1

09:23:4222

09:23:4263

09:23:4284

09:23:**225**

JOAN MELL: Can you hear me now?

GREG WONG: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

JOAN MELL: Okay.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. So what I'm trying to understand is, did you at some point talk in the discussion section with the intent to express the parameters of an agreed-upon protocol with Commissioner Fain?
- A. What I brought forward at the public meeting was an attempt to best characterize the agreement of -- between me and Commissioner Fain that we were bringing forward as a proposal to the Commission.
- Q. Okay. So -- so at what time did you agree with Fain on all of the parameters that you think comprised what you voted on?
- A. Shortly before -- shortly before the -- that midnight meeting. And the specific times are a little bit faded in my memory, but I believe it was shortly before the vote.
- Q. Okay. And when you say "shortly," you're talking about minutes?
- A. I can't recall specifics, but in -- in a short period of time.

- 09:23:531
- 09:23:56**2**
- 09:23:563
- 09:23:594
- 09:24:065
- 09:24:096
- 09:24:167
- 09:24:218
- 09:24:269
- 09:24:310
- 09:24:**1**51
- 09:24:**1**82
- 09:24:**4**3
- 09:24:**464**
- 0, 21 =0.
- 09:24:**105**
- 09:24:**136**
- 09:24:**157**
- 09:24:578
- 09:25:009
- 09:25:0220
- 09:25:0291
- 09:25:222
- 09:25:1283
- 09:25:**2**84
- 09:25:**205**

- O. Was it after the discussion --
- A. Not hours.
- Q. Did you reach a conclusion or an agreement with Fain on the parameters after the discussion section?
 - A. I can't recall those specific windows of time.
- Q. But it's your testimony that, when you spoke publicly, you intended -- you made a conscious choice to express to the public that you had an agreement with Fain on parameters for a Congressional District?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: My -- my intent at that time was to put forward parameters for Congressional Districts that, as you know, still needed to be drawn into maps and finalized drawing into maps that Commissioner Fain and I had agreed to. So my intent was to move -- to move that process forward and bring forward a proposal to the Commission.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. So I just want to make sure I understand timing-wise and what your thought process was.

Was there a period and point in time when you were commenting to the public about the status of your negotiations rather than having reached an agreement?

A. You -- we'd have to look at the transcript. My memory was a chaotic evening. I believe that there were

09:25:341 moments in public meeting where we gave brief updates on the status of our conversations, which I think are 09:25:382 09:25:413 important to contextualize in that they were the product 09:25:444 of months and months of public input and public comment. 09:25:505

- But did they have anything at all to do with Ο. what the final agreement was with Fain?
 - Α. Yes.

09:25:536

09:25:557

09:25:568

09:26:009

09:26:460

09:26:081

09:26:102

09:26:193

09:26:224

09:26:305

09:26:346

09:26:357

09:26:368

09:26:379

09:26:390

09:26:4251

09:26:512

09:26:553

09:26:5284

09:27:0235

- 0. How?
- We heard significant input from Tribal Nations. We received --
- I -- I think you misunderstood my question. Ο. Just a second. Let me backtrack here.

Is it your recollection as we sit here today that you expressed publicly consensus on any component of the Congressional map?

> Object to form. GREG WONG:

THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question. BY JOAN MELL:

Did you -- did you state -- make a statement to the public at any time during the meeting that would indicate consensus on any element in a Congressional map? GREG WONG: Object to form.

I voted on and moved a plan THE WITNESS: forward the evening of the 15th which at the time I knew 09:27:061 | Fain.

09:27:072

09:27:093

09:27:134

09:27:185

09:27:236

09:27:267

09:27:288

09:27:359

09:27:360

09:27:431

09:27:462

09:27:513

09:27:544

09:28:005

09:28:0146

09:28:077

09:28:128

09:28:1159

09:28:1270

09:28:1291

09:28:232

09:28:273

09:28:304

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Right. And what I'm asking is whether you had communicated to the public prior to the motion that any component you voted on was accepted or agreed upon by any other member.
- A. I'm not sure. That may be in the testimony from the evening when we were sharing updates.
- Q. Do you remember having reached an agreement while you were communicating to the pubic?
- A. Sorry. Are you asking whether I reached an agreement while communicating to the public?
- Q. While you were communicating to the public, do you recall having already reached an agreement?
- A. As I said, I believe what I brought forward that evening was something that I knew there was consensus on with Commissioner Fain.
 - Q. Do you remember making the motion?
- A. I actually don't recall who made the motion, but I did vote on it.
 - Q. Do you remember what the motion was?
- A. I believe it was a motion to approve a Congressional redistricting plan. I believe that was the language, but I might be incorrect.
 - Q. But you did not make the motion?



- 09:28:341 A. Again, I'm -- I may have. It would be in the 09:28:382 transcript. If I didn't, I was supportive of the motion.
 - Q. What do you recall about the content of the -- the motion?
 - A. I believe, either in the public discussion or in the motion, I described a number of the characteristics of the ten Congressional Districts which I would say were the -- which were elements of the criteria of the plan, things like I was alluding to before.
 - Q. Okay. But that's what -- I want to make sure I break this down clearly as to what your recollection is at this time.

When's the last time you read the transcript?

- A. Shortly after the vote a few months ago.
- Q. Did you --
- A. Couple months ago, I guess.
- Q. Did you read it more recently in preparation for your deposition?
- A. I -- I have not read it -- I have not read it -- I have not read it over the last few weeks, no.
 - Q. And whose transcript did you read?
- A. I believe I went back and rewatched the recording of it.
- Q. Okay. So have you ever read a written transcript of what was actually said?

09:28:483

09:28:564

09:29:005

09:29:026

09:29:067

09:29:108

09:29:139

09:29:180

09:29:211

09:29:232

09:29:243

09:29:284

09:29:315

09:29:326

09:29:347

09:29:368

09:29:179

09:29:4200

09:29:4251

09:29:5222

09:29:553

09:29:5274

09:30:0285

- 09:30:091
- 09:30:102
- 09:30:163
- 09:30:194
- 09:30:225
- 09:30:246
- 09:30:317
- 09:30:338
- 09:30:419
- 09:30:460
- 09:30:501
- 09:30:522
- 09:30:53
- 09:30:554
- 03:30:33
- 09:30:565
- 09:31:016
- 09:31:027
- 09:31:0178
- 09:31:149
- 09:31:1270
- 09:31:2221
- 09:31:292
- 09:31:363
- 09:31:5224
- 09:31:5295

- A. No.
- Q. What do you recall about your viewing of the -- what did you say? It was audiovisual?
- A. It was a visual -- it was a visual recording that also had audio, yes.
 - Q. Okay. What do you recall, having reviewed it?
- A. Honestly, regret that we hadn't reached a conclusion on the maps by midnight on -- and it -- feeling that it was a very chaotic process that evening.
 - Q. Who do you fault for the chaos?

 GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I -- I don't fault anyone for the chaos.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. What do you mean when you say "chaos"?
- A. I believe I said "chaotic."
- I -- I think that it was a -- there was an attempt to really work hard to reach proposals and then translate those proposals to maps in time. And the reality is, we ran out of time to translate those agreements into maps.
- Q. Okay. But you -- you would -- you're -- you're saying that you had agreements on the proposal.

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Again, we brought forward -- and

my understanding was we were bringing forward proposals to be considered for a vote that would provide the ability for us to complete the drawing of the maps. And that -- 09:32:134 that's what I was motioning or that's what I was describing, at least, around the Congressional Districts the evening of the 15th.

BY JOAN MELL:

09:32:247

09:32:248

09:32:269

09:32:290

09:32:311

09:32:342

09:32:383

09:32:414

09:32:425

09:32:456

09:32:497

09:32:538

09:32:559

09:32:550

09:32:5271

09:32:592

09:33:0213

09:33:0264

Q. Well, but you -- but you understand that timing is essential because you don't have an agreement on a proposal until you have an agreement on a proposal. And, if you're describing something that you haven't agreed upon, you don't have a proposal yet; right?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm having trouble following.

But we agreed -- we -- what I felt was that we voted on proposals for redistricting plans for the Congressional Legislative maps that evening of the 15th.

And then --

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. That had been agreed -- that had been agreed upon?
 - A. When they were voted upon, yes.
- Q. Well, prior to that, was there a proposal that you understood was accepted by any other Commissioner?
 - A. I believe, for example, on the Legislative maps



- that the proposal that was being brought forward that Commissioner Graves and Commissioner Sims had worked on, reflected an agreement between the two of them. I was very uncertain how I was going to vote on it up until minutes before the actual vote.
 - O. Okay. But you knew what it was.
 - A. With -- broadly, yes. Maybe there was some specifics I did not know, but broadly, yes.
- Q. Okay. But you knew enough to -- to make a decision to vote?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the reason you knew enough is because you had spoken to Commissioner Sims about it; correct?
- A. She -- she kept me updated on -- and updated throughout the process, yes.
- Q. Okay. Which includes telling you before you posited a vote what the proposal was that she had agreed upon with Graves.
- A. I had an understanding of what they were bringing forward, yes.
- Q. Okay. What was your understanding when you voted? What was the proposal?
 - A. It had a number of criteria.
- Q. But we're talking about the Legislative proposal.

09:33:161

09:33:192

09:33:223

09:33:254

09:33:295

09:33:316

09:33:357

09:33:408

09:33:469

09:33:480

09:33:491

09:33:492

09:33:513

09:33:564

09:34:005

09:34:016

09:34:067

09:34:108

09:34:159

09:34:1260

09:34:1271

09:34:1292

09:34:243

09:34:2274

09:34:295

- A. Sorry. That's a great question. You're talking -- you're asking me about the Legislative maps?
- Q. Yeah. Well, the -- the proposal, because I know you didn't have the maps. So the Legislative proposal that you understood Sims and Graves had agreed upon that you would be voting on was comprised of what elements?
- A. A whole number of characteristics. But one was criteria, I would say, around keeping communities of interests together. And there were a number of criteria there that we'd received from public input that were public comments and priorities that I had voiced through the process. There were criteria related to competitiveness of districts, and there were other criteria beyond that too.
- Q. Okay. But how did you know what you were voting on as to each of those criteria?
- A. Some of it was -- had been described in open public comment. But I would say that, as I shared earlier, Commissioner Sims had kept me up to date on the status of her discussions with Commissioner Graves.
- Q. Okay. So what had she told you most recently before you voted about keeping communities of interest together? What was the proposal that she'd agreed upon with Graves with regard to communities of interest?
 - A. It was things like -- that were reflected,

09:34:301

09:34:312

09:34:333

09:34:364

09:34:405

09:34:426

09:34:497

09:34:548

09:34:579

09:35:010

09:35:041

09:35:072

09:35:103

09:35:134

09:35:155

09:35:176

09:35:237

09:35:258

09:35:289

09:35:2210

09:35:3241

09:35:392

09:35:4213

09:35:4264

09:35:505

09:35:52L 09:35:552

09:36:003

09:36:064

09:36:176

09:36:125

09:36:237

09:36:268

09:36:299

09:36:310

09:36:331

09:36:352

09:36:393

09:36:404

09:36:445

09:36:486

09:36:527

09:36:588

09:37:019

09:37:0250

09:37:1201L

09:37:1252

09:37:1293

09:37:204

09:37:2225

actually, in quite a bit of public comment. It was things like, in the 42nd Legislative District, uniting -- unifying the Lummi and Nooksack Nations.

It was things like a proposal that she had been working on with Graves with regards to Highway 2 being the transportation corridor that would cross the mountains to adapt for -- or to make up for the relative loss of population in Eastern Washington, which was something we were working on throughout the several months. So those were aspects.

Q. Yeah. But I want to know exactly what the proposal was you were voting on so I need all the aspects that you thought you were voting on.

Where did -- where did you think Highway 2 was going to be drawn when you voted? Did you know?

- A. At that point, I did. The -- I believe the proposal was to bring what I believe is the 12th Legislative District across the mountains on Highway 2. The alternative had been a discussion that I wasn't sure where that was going to wrap up until shortly before the vote -- was another idea to have it cross over Interstate 90 that would move into the 5th Legislative District. So that's an example.
- Q. But that had been rejected. So, when you voted, you knew that that component had been rejected and that

the proposal was now that the 12 --

- A. That's correct. Yes
- Q. -- would -- that the Legislative District would cross the mountains on Highway 2?
 - A. That's correct.
 - O. And what was that accomplishing?
- A. That -- that's a good question. We had a lot of input on that throughout the entire process.

I think there were different competing interests on where to cross the mountains because it had a lot of impacts on how you would draw other communities because it's a bit of a domino effect.

I believe my opinion had been toward crossing the mountains on Interstate 90, and that ended up not being included in the final proposal. I had said that in public comment.

- Q. So where -- what district boundaries were affected by this Highway 2 proposal?
- A. A number. That would -- that would have impacted everything from the most adjacent ones like the 39th through the 44th or even into the 38th. It would impact the 40th and 42nd in Northwest Washington.

So it really impacted a number of adjacent districts by making that decision on where you would distribute the population from Eastern Washington to -- to

09:37:251

09:37:302

09:37:313

09:37:334

09:37:365

09:37:386

09:37:407

09:37:468

09:37:499

09:37:**130**

09:37:**171**

09:38:**1**12

09:38:**133**

09:38:**164**

09:38:105

09:38:**136**

09:38:1147

09:38:1198

09:38:**139**

09:38:**250**

09:38:**281**

09:38:232

09:38:**263**

09:38:294

09:38:**4225**

09:38:441 make up for normalizing the population of each Legislative 09:38:492 District around 157,000 people. So it was an important decision.

- Q. But have you looked at the map that was finally transmitted to the Legislature to ascertain whether or not the district boundary actually follows precisely what you thought you voted on?
- A. I have since looked at the maps, yes. And I think they reflect the plan that was voted on.
- Q. Do you think that there -- that they reflect the plan voted on "close enough" rather than any specifics detailed in the proposal voted on?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Could you reframe the question for me or say that question again?

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Well, you would agree that the proposal that you voted on was non-specific; correct?
 - A. I wouldn't agree with that.
 - Q. Well, then why didn't you have the maps?
 - A. Because we, quite simply, ran out of time.
- Q. Okay. So what happens between the proposal and the final maps relative to expressing the proposal? What had to happen?
 - A. I'm sorry. Are you asking what steps had to

09:38:534

09:38:585

09:39:016

09:39:077

09:39:118

09:39:139

09:39:170

09:39:211

09:39:262

09:39:313

09:39:324

09:39:365

09:39:386

09:39:387

09:39:448

09:39:4179

09:39:500

09:39:551

09:39:582

09:40:0253

09:40:1234

09:40:2215

happen to go from the proposal -- maybe help me understand the question.

- Q. Right. I mean, you're saying that the proposal was specific. But, if it was specific, you should have had the maps; right?
- A. Ideally, yes. But it takes -- it -- it took some time from when that proposal was completed, particularly for the Legislative maps coming from Commissioner Fain -- I'm sorry -- coming from Commissioner Graves and Commissioner Sims. It takes some time to translate that into maps for a variety of technical reasons, for a variety of map-drawing-cartographic reasons.

The -- the time period from when I believe

Commissioner Sims and Commissioner Graves were set to

bring forward a proposal just didn't give enough time to

finalize the maps.

- O. Do you know how much time there was?
- A. There were -- there was probably very, very busy map-drawing that was being done on the Legislative side in the couple hours preceding. People were working very hard, but I don't remember the specifics, no.
- Q. Do you -- do you recall the -- a proposal is so non-specific that it does not, in and of itself, result in a district boundary -- that there are multiple district

09:40:231

09:40:272

09:40:283

09:40:304

09:40:335

09:40:376

09:40:407

09:40:458

09:40:489

09:40:500

09:40:541

09:40:592

09:41:053

09:41:054

09:41:085

09:41:116

09:41:1147

09:41:168

09:41:239

09:41:280

09:41:251

09:41:3292

09:41:423

09:41:4264

09:41:5215

09:41:571 boundaries based upon the proposal that can be approved? Object to form. 09:42:012 GREG WONG:

> If I understand the question, yes, THE WITNESS: there -- there are decision -- there are ways that you -there are different ways of drawing a map based on criteria, if that's what you're saying. I think I agree with that, if I understood the question.

BY JOAN MELL:

09:42:073

09:42:154

09:42:195

09:42:226

09:42:247

09:42:268

09:42:269

09:42:300

09:42:351

09:42:382

09:42:**4**33

09:42:**4154**

09:42:475

09:42:476

09:42:507

09:42:548

09:42:**169**

09:42:290

09:43:**2261**

09:43:2292

09:43:223

Okay. And so, given that there are decisions that need to be made once the proposal is articulated, that's what takes the time to create the map; correct? GREG WONG: Object to form.

Actually, it's a combination of THE WITNESS: things. Like, it's -- it's actually --

BY JOAN MELL:

- Okay. So -- but does it include decision making Ο. as to where to lay the District boundary consistent with the proposal?
- The -- the maps were not done at midnight. Α. And because of that, the plan that we voted on provided instructions and quidelines for how to do that which happened in the succeeding hours. Ideally, that would have happened before midnight, which I really regret.
- 0. I don't think that answers my question.

It is correct that the proposal that you voted on could be drawn in a map with District boundaries in a wide variety of different locations. Somebody had to make a choice about where to put the District boundaries after being given the proposals; correct?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: In a way. There -- I would say that is -- it's not how I would frame it.

I think that there are -- there was a pretty good understanding, given that there actually are not that many ways to -- to draw the lines based on the specificity of the criteria, that it was -- it was pretty clear what needed to happen after -- you know, when map-drawing was occurring.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay.
- A. Are there --
- Q. You would agree that there were decisions that had to be made based upon the proposal voted on and where to draw the District boundary; correct?
- A. I -- I agree that the maps had to be drawn based on that. And, in drawing that, it was -- it was in a sense a very -- it was, in a sense, an instruction manual in a sense for completing the process that we ideally would have completed before midnight. So, if that's what

09:43:221

09:43:272

09:43:323

09:43:354

09:43:385

09:43:416

09:43:427

09:43:448

09:43:489

09:43:**110**

09:43:**5**61

09:44:122

09:44:**1193**

09:44:**1**13**4**

09:44:1145

09:44:1146

09:44:**1157**

09:44:1168

09:44:1199

09:44:2250

09:44:**2**8**1**

09:44:212

09:44:2243

09:44:**2**94

09:44:4225

you're saying --

09:44:441

09:44:452

09:44:483

09:44:534

09:44:575

09:45:006

09:45:017

09:45:048

09:45:099

09:45:110

09:45:1121

09:45:172

09:45:203

09:45:204

09:45:245

09:45:316

09:45:377

09:45:408

09:45:429

09:45:430

09:45:451

09:45:482

09:45:503

09:45:5254

09:45:5275

- Q. No. I'm asking you specifically did -- did decisions have to be made about where to draw the District boundaries based upon the proposal you voted on?
- A. Conforming with the criteria, yes. Conforming with the criteria.
- Q. Right. Right. But still there were -- there was more than one place to put a District boundary and conform with the criteria, which I think you agreed with earlier; correct?
 - A. Yes. I agree with that.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I agree with that.
- Q. And, as you sit here today, the only elements of the proposal you can recall voting on was unifying the Lummi and the Nooksack in the 42nd and crossing the corridor on Highway 2.
- A. No. There were other -- there were other criteria as well.
- Q. Okay. Tell me the other criteria you can recall that you voted on and were still, I believe, on the Legislative map.
- A. There was criteria around competitiveness for a whole number of the Districts. I can't recall the specifics for each, but there was competitiveness criteria

across a whole number of Districts.

There were -- there were very specified ideas in that map for how the 14th and 15th Legislative Districts were drawn, which I -- was a real source of concern to me and one reason why I was uncertain how I was going to vote up until the very tail end.

There were criteria that related to keeping communities -- abiding to city and county lines for the drawing of specific Districts, like the city borders of Seattle or the city borders of Redmond, city borders of Tacoma or Lakewood, things like that.

- Q. But do you remember what you voted on as to the criteria related to dividing cities?
- A. In specific cases, things like I just mentioned, which were criteria around the Seattle city lines, things like Lakewood -- Bremerton was an issue -- an area of a lot of interest. Places like Redmond as well. East King County was discussed a great deal.
- Q. Okay. But do you know, when you voted, what had been decided about where those lines would be divided -- where those cities would be divided?
- A. Yes. I knew which county lines we were aiming to following in the drawing of the map, yes. And city lines.
 - Q. Okay. What were they?

09:46:011

09:46:052

09:46:113

09:46:154

09:46:195

09:46:226

09:46:247

09:46:328

09:46:379

09:46:420

09:46:46L

09:46:492

09:46:523

09:46:554

09:46:575

09:47:016

09:47:067

09:47:1178

09:47:199

09:47:200

09:47:231

09:47:262

09:47:2293

09:47:3214

- 09:47:331
- 09:47:362
- 09:47:423
- 09:47:454
- 09:47:505
- 09:47:536
- 09:47:537
- 09:47:598
- 09:48:019
- 09:48:040
- 09:48:051
- 09:48:082
- 09:48:113
- 09:48:1144
- 09:48:205
- 09:48:246
- 09:48:267
- 09:48:308
- 09:48:339
- 09:48:270
- 09:48:401
- 09:48:442
- 09:48:4253
- 09:48:4264
- 09:48:5215

- A. I don't recall them all specifics, but --
- O. What -- what do you recall?
- A. Things like the northern border of Seattle as a District line.
- Q. And that would be used to divide the city of Seattle?
 - A. No. No. To separate Legislative Districts.
- Q. Within the city; right? Or it would be -- it would be bringing the -- Seattle all in one District.

 That's what I'm asking.
- A. No. It would -- in a sense, because the Legislative Districts each had to be about 157,000 people and Seattle's much larger than that, the goal was to try to keep as many -- as -- as few divisions in those cities as possible. So in Seattle's case, it might mean five Legislative Districts instead of seven.
- So, for example, the 11th Legislative District previously took up a part of Seattle. In the maps that were drawn and then proposed, the 11th District was no longer in the city of Seattle. So it's things like that.
- Q. Okay. So, when you voted, did you know the 11th was no longer in the city of Seattle?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what else did you know about where the District lines would be drawn with regard to Seattle?

- 09:48:581 A. A bit of what I described. You know, things
 09:49:002 like the 32nd also not extending into the city of Seattle
 09:49:053 like it previously had and being more in the Snohomish
 09:49:094 District.
 - Q. Anything else?
 - A. I was familiar with the orientation of the 43rd, the 46th, the 37th.
 - Q. And it's your recollection right now that the final map that was transmitted to the Legislature had boundaries consistent with what you had recollected about the 43rd, 36th, and 37th?
 - A. Yeah. But the drawing of the Seattle map was -once I saw the Legislative map was consistent with what I
 expected based on the redistrict -- based on the plan.
 - Q. What about Lakewood? Is Lakewood within one District?
 - A. I don't recall the specifics.
 - Q. Do you know what you voted on relative to Lakewood?
 - A. Not that specific town. No, I don't remember.
 - Q. And what were the competitiveness metrics that you voted on?
 - A. So in the time -- you know, through these discussions, different pairs approached this differently.

 The way that Commissioner Sims and Commissioner

09:49:115

09:49:216

09:49:247

09:49:348

09:49:409

09:49:460

09:49:501

09:49:532

09:49:563

09:50:014

09:50:065

09:50:106

09:50:117

09:50:188

09:50:219

09:50:2210

09:50:311

09:50:352

09:50:283

09:50:4214

09:50:4275

09:50:501 Graves had approached it was, among other -- among many criteria, was looking at the competitiveness or the 09:50:552 09:51:003 performance of Districts in terms of partisanship using 09:51:064 metrics from the 2020 State Treasurer's race.

> So I had a broad understanding of those metrics across the 49 Legislative Districts, which I believe they were using quite closely.

- So what metrics did you vote on? That's what Ο. I'm asking. For each District, what was the metric that you voted on?
- I can't recall the specifics for each one. Α. But, for example, on -- there was a goal for the 44th District to be around 55 percent Democratic performance based on the State Treasure's numbers that I was just alluding to.
- 0. Have you read any of the other deps in this case?
- I've read partial pieces of -- read partial Α. pieces of two of them.
- And were the partial pieces you read what the proposal was described by each of those Commissioners?
- I would say, from what I read with -- yes, with Α. almost entire accuracy. I can't recall discrepancies. But I would say there was -- I generally agreed with what was said.
 - Okay. And you really wouldn't want to disagree Ο.

09:51:145

09:51:206

09:51:267

09:51:288

09:51:319

09:51:330

09:51:351

09:51:372

09:51:493

09:51:54

09:52:025

09:52:046

09:52:097

09:52:1148

09:52:169

09:52:1290

09:52:221

09:52:272

09:52:3223

09:52:234

with them now, would you?

09:52:371

09:52:412

09:52:443

09:52:474

09:52:51**5**

09:52:536

09:52:567

09:52:58

09:53:009

09:53:0120

09:53:**0**61

09:53:**1**82

09:53:**1103**

09:53:**1124**

09:53:**165**

09:53:**106**

09:53:**237**

09:53:**258**

09:53:**179**

09:53:220

09:53:3221

09:53:3282

09:53:4223

09:53:4264

09:53:**42/5**

253.627.6401

But one of the ways you know -- you already know what the others have said the proposal was from reading their deposition transcript; is that correct?

- A. Not everyone, no. I actually -- I actually have not read anyone's transcript in full. And --
 - Q. Right. But you --
 - A. You know -- oh, sorry. Go ahead.
- Q. What I understood you to say is the portions you did read included their descriptions of what they voted on; correct?
- A. There were pieces of it, yes. But I didn't actually finish -- just to be -- I shared -- I read -- I did read the first half of Commissioner Graves' deposition, and I read the beginning of Commissioner Fain's. But that's all that I've -- I didn't make it through the whole -- the whole document.

So, from what I saw, I don't think I saw
Commissioner Fain's description, but I did see
Commissioner Graves'.

- Q. So can you think of any other metrics that you thought you were voting on that -- that you recall based on your memory of what you voted on as opposed to what you read in anyone's deposition?
 - A. Yeah. The one piece that was really important

to me and one reason I hadn't made up my mind on the vote until kind of minutes before was the criteria around the 14th and 15th Legislative District in Yakima Valley. And there were specific criteria there around the majority Hispanic population in those Districts and issues related to the Voting Rights Act.

And that's something I commented pretty extensively on publicly. And I would say all of Commissioners, because of my public comments and public testimony, knew my concerns.

- Q. So how were your concerns resolved in terms of what you voted on?
- A. To be honest, they were not fully resolved. I think that I had -- I had -- despite some of my concerns, I felt like it was worth advancing a map and ended up -- ended up voting for the plan.

I think it's a negotiating process, and you can never -- everything is not entirely how you would like to see it. But that was my largest area of concern as I was voting.

- Q. Can you recall, without having -- without relying on the deposition testimony of the other Commissioners, any other metrics that you voted on relative to the Legislative map?
 - A. I believe I listed them: The communities of

LITIGATION SERVICES

09:53:501

09:53:552

09:54:033

09:54:074

09:54:105

09:54:146

09:54:157

09:54:188

09:54:219

09:54:130

09:54:281

09:54:312

09:54:133

09:54:164

09:54:435

09:54:476

09:54:507

09:54:53

09:54:59

09:55:020

09:55:041

09:55:082

09:55:203

09:55:1234

09:55:225

interest, respecting Tribal sovereignty, issues around the highway crossing, and the partisanship that I -- I described, and the issues in and around the 14th and 15th Legislative Districts in the Yakima Valley.

- Q. Can you recall any other partisan metrics that you voted on based on what you remember voting on, not what you read in a dep?
- A. Yes. I mean, I think that there was an intent to keep the performance, from the partisanship perspective, of Districts like the 24th, 42nd, 10th roughly the same.
- Q. And what did you vote on with regard to the Congressional Districts?
- A. With the Congressional District, Commissioner
 Fain and I had exchanged a number of maps leading up to
 the proposal that we brought forward to the Commission
 that reflected public input. So I had a clear sense of
 what I was voting on with the Congressional maps because I
 was directly involved.
 - Q. What -- what was the proposal?
- A. It was what I'd described in -- broadly and inarticulately in the public meeting. But it was basically starting in Northwest Washington, it was using -- keeping communities of interests together, using Skagit as the dividing line in the Eastern part of the

09:55:231

09:55:282

09:55:313

09:55:344

09:55:385

09:55:416

09:55:457

09:55:478

09:55:499

09:55:510

09:55:561

09:56:052

09:56:093

09:56:1124

09:56:145

09:56:1196

09:56:227

09:56:268

09:56:299

09:56:300

09:56:3241

09:56:3282

09:56:4253

09:56:4274

09:56:5215

09:56:561 Congressional District. It was focused on keeping the
09:57:002 main population centers that had been the main communities
09:57:023 in the 2nd Congressional together. So, for instance,
09:57:074 Bellingham, Everett, Mukilteo remained the core of the 2nd
09:57:115 Congressional District.

So those were -- those were all pretty clear. I would say with -- I'll pause there and see if you have followup.

- Q. Well, yeah. I mean, you're telling me concepts, not specifics. I mean, are you telling me that your proposal was just keep communities of interests together?
- A. No. I believe, for instance, using the Skagit County line as the dividing line to keep -- that's very specific. I mean, that -- or keeping cities like Mukilteo, Bellingham, and Everett whole, that's quite specific.

You know, keeping -- incorporating the input that we had from the Confederated Tribe of Colville around unifying the Colville Confederated Tribe in a Congressional District, that's quite specific because it has a lot of implications for where you draw the lines.

Similarly, the ways that the 6th was drawn and the 10th to decrease the split of Tacoma, quite specific.

So I think there was a -- I think there was a sense of -- of how those would be drawn based on what we

09:58:1254

09:57:146

09:57:167

09:57:208

09:57:209

09:57:230

09:57:281

09:57:332

09:57:363

09:57:394

09:57:4135

09:57:466

09:57:4177

09:57:528

09:57:569

09:58:0220

09:58:0241

09:58:0272

09:58:0293

brought forward.

09:58:221

09:58:232

09:58:273

09:58:274

09:58:295

09:58:326

09:58:387

09:58:438

09:58:449

09:58:450

09:58:481

09:58:522

09:58:553

09:58:54

09:58:585

09:59:006

09:59:027

09:59:068

09:59:069

09:59:1220

09:59:1231

09:59:1252

09:59:1283

09:59:244

09:59:2275

- Q. Okay. How many Congressional Districts are there?
 - A. Ten.
 - Q. And how many Legislative Districts are there?
 - A. Forty-nine.
- Q. How many of the boundaries of the Congressional Districts moved?
- A. Oh, I would say all of them probably. Because we had to -- because of the nature of population growth of the state, the Districts went from about 710,000 to about 760,000.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Which required quite a bit of change. So I would say you saw change in all the Congressional Districts. The District that probably changed the least was the 7th is my guess.
 - O. Where --
 - A. But we saw change in all of them.
 - O. Where's the 7th?
 - A. That's the city of Seattle primarily.
- Q. And the -- of the 49 Legislative Districts, how many boundaries changed?
- A. Again, I would say probably all 49 saw some change.

- Q. Okay. So with regard to the number of components your proposal that you voted on contained, how specific was your proposal as to the ten Congressional Districts in terms of where the District boundaries would -- would fall?
 - A. It was fairly specific.
 - Q. Actually, what I wanted to know is how many different -- how many different things did you have to agree on to reach agreement as to a proposal to bring forward?
 - A. Many.

09:59:56

09:59:597

10:00:038

10:00:079

10:00:1120

10:00:**251**

10:00:762

10:00:293

10:00:344

10:00:3185

10:00:**436**

10:00:**4**9**7**

10:00:**138**

10:00:539

10:00:5260

10:00:5291

10:01:022

10:01:2263

10:01:0284

10:01:225

- Q. Okay. And is it correct that you were not agreeing on District boundaries? None of -- none of your proposal that was voted on was specific to the ten Congressional District boundaries.
- A. No. Elements of what we voted on dictated the boundaries, like what I said earlier about Skagit County, for example.
- Q. But -- but, when you brought forward a proposal, you didn't have a map so you didn't have District boundaries. You had proposals that were non-specific as to where the line would be finally drawn; correct?
- A. That's not correct with the Congressional

 District maps. You -- you know, you saw those released

 probably in our public records that Commissioner Fain and

- I, on multiple occasions, exchanged Congressional maps with specific boundaries.
 - Q. So when did you and Commissioner Fain agree on a map?
 - A. I can't recall specifically, but in the -- in the few hours or in the couple hours prior to midnight on the 15th.
 - Q. And so by that time, you did have an agreed-upon map --
 - A. Again, I wouldn't --
 - Q. -- for the Congressional Districts.
 - A. I wouldn't call it a map. A proposal that we were ready to bring forward to the Commission, yes.
 - Q. So no map -- no map; it was a proposal.
 - A. And we were simultaneously working quite hard in that busy evening to convert it to a map.
 - Q. All right. And in terms of -- I want to make sure I understand this.
 - So with regard to the negotiations between you and Fain, you guys came to an agreement a couple hours before midnight, and then you expressed the agreement with Fain to Sims?
 - A. I'd been keeping her up to date. I mean, as you'll see in her texts too, I'd been keeping her up to date throughout just on progress. It wasn't to solicit

10:01:171

10:01:242

10:01:273

10:01:304

10:01:365

10:01:396

10:01:447

10:01:488

10:01:519

10:01:50

10:01:551

10:01:572

10:02:003

10:02:034

10:02:085

10:02:126

10:02:197

10:02:238

10:02:249

10:02:270

10:02:321

10:02:372

10:02:4213

10:02:4244

10:02:4265

agreement or sign-off, but I was keeping her up to date on progress.

- Q. What do you mean? You were not telling her that you and Fain had reached an agreement so that you could tell whether or not she would agree to it?
- A. We were ready to bring forward a proposal to the -- to the Commission. I think that -- I actually don't know that -- I'll let -- I won't speak for Commissioner Sims.
- Q. Well, did Commissioner Sims tell you she couldn't agree with what you and Fain had agreed to?
 - A. Could you repeat that?
- Q. Right. When you -- when you were briefing Sims on the agreement between Fain, did Sims tell you that there's no way she could agree to it?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did she ask you for changes?
- A. She -- she may have given reflections. The reality was she was so busy working on the Legislative maps that evening that I don't recall even sharing the deep specifics with her before bringing the proposal forward.
- Q. But there were no specifics shared when you brought the proposal forward; would you agree?
 - A. I outlined aspects of it --

10:02:501

10:02:552

10:02:553

10:02:594

10:03:025

10:03:076

10:03:097

10:03:128

10:03:169

10:03:180

10:03:201

10:03:262

10:03:283

10:03:314

10:03:355

10:03:396

10:03:407

10:03:448

10:03:489

10:03:5210

10:03:551

10:03:5282

10:03:583

10:04:0204

10:04:0265

10:04:121 10:04:122 10:04:133 10:04:194 10:04:215 10:04:236 10:04:267 10:04:308 10:04:339 10:04:380 10:04:411 10:04:**102** 10:04:**143** 10:04:**184** 10:05:005 10:05:**116** 10:05:0137 10:05:**038** 10:05:**1159** 10:05:0260 10:05:0281 10:05:222

10:05:2233

10:05:**2**64

10:05:**2285**

- Q. When?
- A. -- in the public meeting.
- Q. Okay. But not in the motion; right?
- A. I'd have to go back and look at the transcript.
- Q. And there was no discussion on the motion; correct?
 - A. I'd have to go back and look at the transcript.
- Q. All right. So would you agree that you had a pretty good understanding that what you and Fain had agreed upon incorporated what Sims needed in order to approve it as well prior to the vote?
- A. With the Congressional maps, I think there was quite a bit of awareness because there had been discussion of the Congressional maps in public meeting -- or in Congressional -- I think there was quite a bit of awareness of what --
 - Q. Yeah, I --
- A. -- Commissioner Fain and I were bringing forward.
- Q. I want to know what you made Sims aware of and what you thought Sims thought of your agreement with Fain prior to the vote.

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: She likely wanted other things in it as well. I -- I had shared with her the plan -- I

10:05:331 likely shared with her how we were structuring the 4th and 5th in Eastern Washington. I likely shared with her what 10:05:372 10:05:393 the breakdown was looking like in the 6th and the 10th and 10:05:424 the 3rd and -- so I -- I likely shared specifics.

> I don't know that Commissioner Sims knew all the details.

All right. But did you know that she didn't Ο. have any objections that would cause her to reject the agreement you reached with Fain?

> GREG WONG: Object to form.

I -- I -- I don't believe she THE WITNESS: shared any significant objections, but I did know her -- I did know when she raised priorities it may not have been included.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Okay. Did you include some of her priorities? Ο.
- In the same way I was incorporating a lot of Α. feedback that we'd received. But I think that there was feedback that I received that was very well-incorporated around some specific aspects of the map. I mean, I think broadly we'd all shared priorities like unifying Tribal Nations.

Like, I knew -- I knew going in some of the values that we had both expressed and that we were working toward. We had values around the --

10:05:495

10:05:516

10:05:527

10:05:568

10:06:029

10:06:050

10:06:151

10:06:212

10:06:253

10:06:294

10:06:305

10:06:306

10:06:407

10:06:458

10:06:529

10:06:5270

10:07:0221

10:07:0262

10:07:0273

10:07:1204

10:07:1235

- 10:07:151
- 10:07:19**2**
- 10:07:203
- 10:07:244
- 10:07:265
- 10:07:286
- 10:07:307
- 10:07:328
- 10:07:359
- 10:07:4140
- 10:07:4171
- 10:07:**482**
- 10:07:**133**
- 10:07:**194**
- 10:08:**1**15
- 10:08:**0**86
- 10:08:**1**37
- 10:08:**178**
- 10:08:**119**
- 10:08:**250**
- 10:08:**201**
- 10:08:**212**
- 10:08:**2**63
- 10:08:**4204**
- 10:08:4235

- O. People like Sims and Graves?
- A. Sorry. Could you repeat that?
- Q. When you say "people," you mean the voting Commissioners, Sims and Graves; right?
- A. Oh, and I mean many other people who provided input in the process. I mean --
- Q. Well, I don't care about -- I don't care about them right now. I'm trying to ask you specifically what did you know about Sims' wishes with regard to Congressional Districts when you reached an agreement with Fain.
- A. Oh, I knew -- I know what we'd shared, which was that it was very likely that the 4th, the 5th Congressional Districts would keep their orientation and they would be predominantly Republican Districts. I knew that it was a priority across the board to make sure that the 6th and the 10th were strong.

I knew that it was a priority that we keep communities together in the 2nd. There were differing views about Seattle and the 7th Congressional District. I had an opinion on that.

There were, you know, thoughts about the 8th Congressional District is a very competitive Congressional District and was a source of a lot of discussion.

Q. All right. So what you knew with regard to

Sims' priorities were based on what you and Sims shared on the 15th; correct?

A. No. No.

10:08:481

10:08:532

10:08:573

10:08:594

10:09:055

10:09:096

10:09:107

10:09:148

10:09:169

10:09:170

10:09:**1171**

10:09:1182

10:09:**183**

10:09:**124**

10:09:**2**65

10:09:**1%**

10:09:**327**

10:09:338

10:09:359

10:09:3280

10:09:4221

10:09:4242

10:09:4243

10:09:4274

10:09:4295

- Q. There's no content about your discussions with Fain that you shared with Sims on the 15th outside the public meeting?
- A. I did. As I shared, I kept -- I kept
 Commissioner Sims up to speed and abreast of the
 conversations --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- with Commissioner Fain.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. The point, though, where I -- I'll -- the results -- the input was all gathered -- a lot of it was gathered in public comment, and it was a reflection of the trips they made around the state to gather input, the hours we spent on Zoom.
- Q. Right. And I'm not discounting that. I -- I just am trying to be very specific about your communications with Sims on the 15th about the Congressional District maps.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And I don't want to -- I just want the answer to that. I don't need all the other stuff.

What did you say to Sims prior to the vote about

the Congressional District maps off the record, off the public meeting?

- A. Described the direction that we were headed in.
- Q. Okay. And you described the direction you were headed as opposed to describing your agreement?
- A. My agreement with Commissioner Fain? I do
 believe that -- it's -- yes. I -- I didn't have -probably did not share all the details. But the main
 outlines of -- which I just shared with you -Commissioner Sims was very likely aware of because they'd
 been things we --
- Q. No. No. No. No. I -- I don't want you speculating on what she was very likely aware of.

I'm asking you a very specific question: What did you say to Commissioner Sims about your agreement with Fain prior to the vote off the public record?

- A. I probably shared that I was supportive of it.
- Q. Okay.
- A. That I -- I probably shared that I was supportive of it, and I probably shared the pieces that I just described to you around the orientation of the Districts.
- Q. And this is the point in time when you had reached agreement with Fain?
 - A. I don't recall the exact timeline of events,

10:09:531

10:10:022

10:10:053

10:10:084

10:10:115

10:10:1%

10:10:227

10:10:268

10:10:29

10:10:**110**

10:10:**3**8**1**

10:10:392

10:10:413

10:10:434

10:10:465

10:10:506

10:10:**597**

10:11:038

10:11:**139**

10:11:270

10:11:**221**

10:11:**2**62

10:11:2243

10:11:2264

- but -- I don't recall the exact timeline of events that happened precisely.
 - Q. And are you able to say, as we sit here today, whether or not you described your agreement with Fain to Sims?
 - A. I definitely updated her on where we were in the process. I don't -- what I don't remember is, were there a couple more things that happened after I may have shared an update with Commissioner Sims that -- that were things that Commissioner Fain and I were discussing.
 - Q. Okay. All right.

So you may or -- may or may not have told Sims the entire proposal and agreement you reached with Fain; is that correct?

- A. That's right. That's correct.
- Q. But you certainly told her the main components and that you were supportive of your negotiations on the Congressional District map with Fain?
- A. Part -- partly true. Those aspects would come as no surprise because we had discussed them on a number of occasions.
- Q. Well, whether they come as a surprise or not is not what I'm asking. I'm asking whether or not you actually communicated to Sims what the main points were that you agreed upon with Fain.

10:11:351

10:11:412

10:11:443

10:11:474

10:11:495

10:11:546

10:11:577

10:12:008

10:12:039

10:12:080

10:12:111

10:12:132

10:12:183

10:12:234

10:12:235

10:12:256

10:12:307

10:12:408

10:12:469

10:12:5210

10:12:551

10:12:562

10:13:0203

10:13:0224

10:13:025

- 10:13:081

 A. Yes. That's very -- that's a very likely that I

 10:13:112 shared the main points of -
 10:13:143

 Q. And you did that -
 10:13:164

 A. -- our discussions.
 - Q. You did that in a communication that was not -that was after the public meeting started on the 5th but
 was not in the public meeting?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: That I -- that I can't recall.

The timing of when the public meeting -- I can't recall

when we may have gone off -- I can't recall the specifics

in the public meeting.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. But -- but you do know you had communicated with Sims main points on the agreement with Fain outside the public meeting?
- A. Yes. I kept her up to date. I kept her up to date on our conversations.
 - Q. Okay.

GREG WONG: Joan, we've been going about an hour ten. Can we take a break soon?

JOAN MELL: We can take a break as soon as I get through this segment.

GREG WONG: Sure.

25

10:13:165

10:13:186

10:13:227

10:13:258

10:13:259

10:13:300

10:13:331

10:13:382

10:13:383

10:13:384

10:13:405

10:13:446

10:13:477

10:13:498

10:13:519

10:13:540

10:13:571

10:13:582

10:14:0213

10:14:024



BY JOAN MELL:

10:14:021

10:14:042

10:14:073

10:14:144

10:14:215

10:14:256

10:14:287

10:14:308

10:14:349

10:14:**350**

10:14:**3**61

10:14:412

10:14:**4**63

10:14:**524**

10:14:**155**

10:14:566

10:14:587

10:15:038

10:15:079

10:15:2280

10:15:0291

10:15:252

10:15:2213

10:15:**2**34

10:15:**265**

- Q. When you were talking -- when you shared the main points with Sims on the Congressional Districts and your negotiations with Fain, did Sims share with you the -- the -- any communications about her negotiations with Graves on the Legislative District?
- A. Yes. I was -- I was definitely -- I was aware of the general direction that they were headed in.
 - O. From Sims?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in conversations after the meeting started on the 15th but not on the public record?
- A. That's where I'm -- I get a little hazy on the timeline, but -- that's where I get a little hazy on the timeline.
- Q. But you believe that you had conversations after seven o'clock with Sims where Sims told you what she and Graves were negotiating with respect to the Legislative maps?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you -- you believe that you knew from Sims prior to voting that she and Graves had agreed upon a Legislative proposal?
- A. Yes. I -- I knew that they had a proposal they were bringing forward to the Commission and that they were

LITIGATION SERVICES

agreed on it.

10:15:301

10:15:352

10:15:363

10:15:384

10:15:435

10:15:476

10:15:517

10:15:538

10:16:059

10:16:100

10:16:121

10:16:162

10:16:193

10:16:244

10:16:265

10:16:266

10:16:287

10:16:318

10:16:349

10:16:290

10:16:4231

10:16:4262

10:16:4263

10:16:504

10:16:5285

Q. And had you ever -- well, who made the decisions to -- strike that.

Who made the decision to negotiate in dyads?

- A. It's a great question. It was -- I would say it was historic precedent, which is what was shared with us from Commissions in the past.
- Q. Okay. So did you at any time consider Sims capable of -- strike that. Not "capable." "Capable's" not the right word.

Did -- did you entrust the Legislative mapping negotiations to Sims with an expectation she was incorporating your priorities such that you could approve it ultimately --

- A. No.
- 0. -- and vice versa?
- A. Not in my case. I mean, and that's -- there were several instances where I also released Legislative maps. And I sent, you know, a few days before the completion -- before the vote, I also had drawn an additional Legislative map that I shared with Commissioner Fain.
- Q. Okay. And was that because the -- you learned that Sims and Graves, their negotiations had stalled?
 - A. I would say it was because I had different

ideas.

10:17:001

10:17:002

10:17:033

10:17:034

10:17:065

10:17:116

10:17:147

10:17:188

10:17:229

10:17:240

10:17:251

10:17:272

10:17:303

10:17:334

10:17:345

10:17:366

10:17:377

10:17:398

10:17:429

10:17:4230

10:17:4261

- Okay. And you wanted -- you wanted them Ο. considered?
- Α. I wanted the ideas considered. And I have said this: I had concerns about the way that the Yakima Valley was being approached and the representation of the Hispanic majority population there. And, as I said when we were speaking earlier, I also had real questions about the highway crossing and what that meant --
 - 0. So was --
 - -- as far as the District lines. Α.
- What you voted on, did it in any way reflect Ο. what you published in your map -- well, what you put out in your map?
 - Α. Not on those two points it didn't.
 - Ο. On anything else?
- Maybe. I'd have to go back and look. There Α. were similarities, but on some of the main -- some of those points, no.
- How did you express your map? Did you give it 0. to the public?
- I -- that specific idea was an idea that I Α. sent -- I sent to just Commissioner Fain.
 - Just to Fain? 0.
 - Yeah. Directly. Α.
- 10:17:4282
- 10:17:533
- 10:17:564
- 10:17:275

- 10:17:591
- 10:18:02**2**
- 10:18:06**3**
- 10:18:084
- 10:18:105
- 10:18:136
- 10:18:15**7**
- 10:18:208
- 10:18:229
- 10:18:250
- 10:18:311
- 10:18:372
- 10:18:403
- 10:18:**4**1**4**
- 10:18:**435**
- 10:18:**4**66
- 10:18:497
- 10:18:**528**
- 10:18:579
- 10:18:**5280**
- 10:19:**221**
- 10:19:0272
- 10:19:203
- 10:19:**224**
- 10:19:235

- Q. Did you tell Sims?
- A. I believe I -- I believe I did. That I was also developing a Legislative map.
 - O. Was that on the 14th or the 15th?
- A. No. It was prior to that even. It might have been the -- I'd have to go back and look at the record, but maybe it was the 11th or 12th or 13th.
- Q. Okay. So then just a few more questions along the same lines.

Did you then have conversations with Fain after 7:00 on the 15th outside the public record on either the Congressional or Legislative map -- or proposal, not map. I know you didn't have maps.

- A. Are you asking if Commissioner Fain and I spoke after 7:00 p.m. on the 15th?
- Q. Yes. But not on, "How's your kids doing?" On what the Congressional or Legislative proposals --
 - A. Yes, we did for sure.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall what you said?
- A. We were very busily trying to reach a proposal that we could bring forward on the Congressional maps.
- Q. Did -- did you talk about the Legislative maps with Fain off the record after 7:00 on the 15th?
- A. I don't remember the specifics, but it's -- it's very possible that we mentioned them. But it's -- but I

can't remember the specifics.

10:19:271

10:19:302

10:19:303

10:19:354

10:19:365

10:19:386

10:19:387

10:19:398

10:19:429

10:19:430

10:19:46

10:19:492

10:19:523

10:19:554

10:20:025

10:20:056

10:20:097

10:20:1148

10:20:209

10:20:220

10:20:261

10:20:212

10:20:253

10:20:364

10:20:3285

- Q. Okay. And then --
- A. Primarily focused on the Congressional maps in that time period.
- Q. But you were negotiating with him so you were having a lot of off-the-record discussions with him; correct?
 - A. We were negotiating, yes.
 - O. And then off the record; correct?
 - A. Yes. We were negotiating in our dyad, yes.
 - Q. Which was not publicized?
 - A. Not all the -- no. Those are not public.
- Q. Okay. And then was there any point in time when Fain shared with you what Graves thought?
 - A. I really don't think so. That I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. And then did you have communications with Graves after 7:00 on the 15th on Legislative or Congressional plans off the record outside the public?
- A. I actually don't recall speaking with Graves once in that period. We were all very tired, and I may have seen him and exchanged pleasantries, but I don't remember a substantive conversation with Graves after 7:00 p.m. that night.
- Q. Do you remember, in your communications with Sims, communicating with Sims what Fain thought?

- 10:20:441 A. Commissioner Sims was continually updating me on 10:20:482 those -- on her discussions she was having.
 - Q. So Sims would tell you what Graves thought on the Legislative mapping process or proposal process.
 - A. She updated me on her negotiations with Commissioner Graves, yes.
 - Q. So you knew from Sims what -- what Graves was negotiating?
 - A. I knew from Sims what she was working on with Commissioner Graves, yes.
 - Q. Which -- which wasn't just her input. You knew what his input was; correct?
 - A. I -- I knew where the conflict was between them, yes. Yes. I knew what they were -- I knew what they were trying to work through.
 - Q. Okay. And then -- and vice versa. You would share with Sims what Fain's position was on the Congressional maps?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: In all honesty, not that much. I don't -- I think that there wasn't -- there wasn't that much exchange there, if I recall.

BY JOAN MELL:

- O. But there was some.
- A. In the spirit of, "Are you making progress?"

10:20:503

10:20:524

10:20:585

10:21:026

10:21:047

10:21:088

10:21:129

10:21:1140

10:21:15

10:21:182

10:21:253

10:21:314

10:21:335

10:21:356

10:21:407

10:21:468

10:21:489

10:21:4290

10:21:5211

10:21:572

10:22:0203

10:22:0214

10:22:0235

10:22:061 But I -- I'm hard pressed to remember real specifics yes. 10:22:092 that I would have conveyed. 10:22:113 And then, finally, did Fain ever share with you Q. 10:22:154 what -- anything about Graves? 10:22:185 No. Α. 10:22:196 0. Okay. 10:22:207 Not that I --Α. 10:22:238 Are you still there, Greg? JOAN MELL: 10:22:259 GREG WONG: Yes. What do you want? You want me to 10:22:260 JOAN MELL: 10:22:26 just -- just a potty break kind of thing? 10:22:292 GREG WONG: Can we take ten? 10:22:313 So 10:20; so 10:30? JOAN MELL: Okay. 10:22:374 GREG WONG: Yeah. Okay. Sounds good. Thanks. 10:22:415 (Recess.) 10:33:136 BY JOAN MELL: 10:33:137 Mr. Walkinshaw, who decided to go into caucuses? 0. 10:33:218 Object to form. GREG WONG: 10:33:229 THE WITNESS: I just turned on my volume. 10:33:220 was the question? 10:33:221 BY JOAN MELL: 10:33:222 Caucuses. Whose idea was a caucus? 0. 10:33:223 Object to form. GREG WONG: 10:33:2224 JOAN MELL: I'm not sure what you mean by 10:33:235 "caucus."

BY JOAN MELL:

10:33:241

10:33:242

10:33:293

10:33:314

10:33:325

10:33:366

10:33:387

10:33:408

10:33:439

10:33:**110**

10:33:**941**

10:33:**172**

10:34:023

10:34:0184

10:34:**155**

10:34:**216**

10:34:227

10:34:348

10:34:**4**0**9**

10:34:4240

10:34:**251**

10:34:4272

10:34:**273**

10:34:**204**

10:34:**245**

- O. Did you caucus during the meeting on the 15th?
- A. Again, what is a -- what is your definition of a "caucus"?
- Q. Well, I was asking you that. I'm looking at the transcript, and there's a lot of reference to the word "caucus."

What did you think the word "caucus" meant when mentioned by Sarah Augustine in the November 15th meeting?

- A. To me, the term "caucus" refers to the Republican or Democratic Caucuses in the Senate or the House when I hear that.
- Q. Did you meet as a Senate or -- Senate or House Democrat or Republican Caucus on the 15th?
- A. No. No. I'm not sure what's meant by "caucus" in that context.
- Q. Okay. What were you doing when Chair Augustine excused you to caucus on the 15th?
 - A. I'm not sure what's meant by that. I --
 - Q. But what did you do?
 - A. Well, I assume I --
 - Q. Where were you?
- A. I assume I was either talking to -- to

 Commissioner Fain about the Congressional maps. I assume
 that's what I was doing. Or -- or in conversation with

Commissioner Sims.

10:34:581

10:35:002

10:35:043

10:35:084

10:35:095

10:35:126

10:35:147

10:35:168

10:35:209

10:35:240

10:35:291

10:35:332

10:35:353

10:35:364

10:35:425

10:35:466

10:35:497

10:35:538

10:35:599

10:36:0240

10:36:0291

10:36:1232

10:36:203

- Where were you located? 0.
- On the evening of the 15th? Α.
- Ο. Yes.
- Α. Well, I was --
- Q. Let's not be that vaque.

When the regular meeting started at seven o'clock, where were you on the 15th? Excuse me.

- I'm nearly certain that I was in the Hampton --Α. I believe it was the Hampton Inn Hotel in Federal Way. Ι may have been at the hotel I was actually staying in which was, I believe, a Marriott Courtyard.
 - In Federal Way? Q.
- In Federal Way, yes. I believe -- I believe that I was at the Hampton Inn at that time. But there is a possibility that I was at the hotel that I was actually staying at which was the Marriott Courtyard in Federal Way.
- Whose idea was it to stay in hotels in Federal 0. Way?
- Α. I believe the idea had come up because Commissioner Augustine was trying to facilitate a space for two Commissioners to meet with one another in person because all of us work -- nearly all of our work up until

- 10:36:311 So did the Commissioners consent to meet in Ο. 10:36:372 Federal Way?
 - Did the Commissioners consent to? Α. Sorry.
 - Meet in Federal Way. O.
 - Α. Yes. Because we all ended up going to Federal I don't believe there was a -- a collective decision taken on that.
 - Do you know that the Commission rules require Ο. you hold meetings in Olympia?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

I -- I was not aware of that, but THE WITNESS: we conducted almost all of our official meetings over Zoom, which were not in Olympia.

BY JOAN MELL:

- When your meetings were conducted via Zoom, were Ο. they facilitated from Olympia?
 - Α. I'm not sure.
- Other than the meeting on the 15th, did the Commissioners convene at one location for any other meeting?

Object to form. GREG WONG:

THE WITNESS: I met Commissioner Fain, I believe, twice over the course of the year in person for coffee or breakfast. I believe we met once Downtown near the stadiums, and then I met with him once at my office in

10:36:413

10:36:444

10:36:485

10:36:516

10:36:567

10:36:578

10:37:009

10:37:0130

10:37:**0**61

10:37:**1172**

10:37:**1**13

10:37:154

10:37:265

10:37:296

10:37:**3**27

10:37:428

10:37:479

10:37:5210

10:37:5221

10:37:**252**

10:37:**5283**

10:38:**244**

10:38:2285

person.

10:38:121

10:38:142

10:38:173

10:38:254

10:38:295

10:38:316

10:38:327

10:38:358

10:38:419

10:38:440

10:38:461

10:38:522

10:38:563

10:39:004

10:39:035

10:39:076

10:39:107

10:39:158

10:39:169

10:39:1270

10:39:2211

10:39:252

And I believe that I met with Commissioner Sims in person maybe four times over the course of the year.

And I don't believe that I ever met with Commissioner Graves in person.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. In any of those meetings with Fain or Sims, were -- were you -- were you meeting during a publicly announced meeting?
- A. It was -- no. It was our understanding that we could meet one on one with other Commissioners, which had been how our understanding was that every Commission had done it in the past -- that we could have one-on-one conversations.
- Q. What was your understanding relative to what you did with those conversations relative to the other Commissioners?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Don't understand the question.

But my meetings with Commissioner Fain, for instance, when we met in person were, I'd say, primarily about the Congressional maps and thinking about the public input we'd received and identifying areas that we negotiated because there was disagreement.

25

10:39:203

BY JOAN MELL:

10:39:351

10:39:352

10:39:373

10:39:414

10:39:455

10:39:466

10:39:497

10:39:528

10:39:549

10:39:560

10:40:011

10:40:0142

10:40:0193

10:40:**1124**

10:40:155

10:40:176

10:40:**1**87

10:40:**1**18

10:40:**159**

10:40:220

10:40:**221**

10:40:322

10:40:3253

10:40:3294

10:40:4235

- Q. And did you understand then that you could go from your meeting with Fain and have a meeting with Sims and talk about the same subjects that you discussed with Fain?
- A. Throughout the process, I kept Commissioner Sims updated after my conversations.
- Q. Okay. So it was your understanding that you could -- as long as you -- there were no more than two of you in the room at any given time, what you talked about was fine to discuss with the next Commissioner as long as the next -- you and the next Commissioner weren't meeting with a third?
 - A. Sorry. Meeting with a third?
- Q. That there were just two of you present, not three.
- A. Yeah. Never throughout the process -- I mean, throughout the process, I did not -- in the time going up to the 15th, I did not have any -- all my meetings -- all my conversations that I had with other Commissioners were one on one.
- Q. Right. But the content of what you talked about would be shared with a Commissioner who was not present when you were talking to the one Commissioner.

You felt like you could take that content and go

meet with Sims -- you could that to Fain one on one. You could talk to Sims one on one and talk about the same things?

- A. I see what you're saying. I almost -- I did update Commissioner Sims throughout the process on my conversations with Commissioner Fain. But they were not -- not all aspects, but there were aspects that I shared updates with her on. So I broadly knew what was happening in her conversations with Commissioner Graves, but not in -- not in great detail.
- Q. Okay. And you said that -- that it was your understanding that there was no problem with meeting with one other Commissioner.

Are you talking about problems with open government rules and standards?

- A. Just that we would -- what I had been advised and what I understood from the initial briefing that we received was that, historically, the Commissions had negotiated in these dyads and that that was an appropriate way to conduct our conversations.
 - Q. So did you have any OPMA training?
- A. As part of the Commission, we had a briefing, I believe, from the State Attorney General's Office, which I believe occurred early on in the Commission's work. I don't recall the specific date but maybe in the

10:40:481

10:40:512

10:40:543

10:40:55**4**

10:40:595

10:41:026

10:41:06**7**

10:41:108

10:41:139

10:41:**1170**

10:41:1191

10:41:722

10:41:253

10:41:284

10:41:305

10:41:**136**

10:41:**3**57

10:41:**3**8

10:41:**4**39

10:41:4280

10:41:5221

10:41:5282

10:42:233

10:42:**204**

10:42:**275**

February-March timeframe would be my best recollection.

- Q. Did you attend?
- A. Yes.

10:42:181

10:42:222

10:42:233

10:42:254

10:42:305

10:42:336

10:42:377

10:42:418

10:42:459

10:42:520

10:42:541

10:42:572

10:43:003

10:43:014

10:43:045

10:43:056

10:43:077

10:43:088

10:43:099

10:43:1210

10:43:1231

10:43:242

10:43:1273

10:43:214

10:43:2215

- Q. Did you get any other OPMA training?
- A. I didn't receive any other targeted OPMA training as part of the Commission's business, no.
 - Q. Have you ever had any other OPMA training?
- A. I may well have been briefed on OPMA issues and received context when I was in the State Legislature, but I don't recall the specifics. But I -- I may well have so I just don't want to rule out that possibility.
- Q. Do you know whether or not OPMA applies to the Legislature?
- A. I believe the disclosure rules changed since I was there.

GREG WONG: I'll object to form on that question.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Did you at some point in time believe OPMA applied to the Legislature?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall the specifics of what -- what the -- it's been several years since I was there.

BY JOAN MELL:

10:43:211

10:43:222

10:43:243

10:43:284

10:43:305

10:43:336

10:43:367

10:43:378

10:43:399

10:43:**400**

10:43:**4**31

10:43:**472**

10:43:523

10:43:544

10:44:015

10:44:0136

10:44:**0**57

10:44:058

10:44:0189

10:44:210

10:44:231

10:44:262

10:44:2223

10:44:**234**

10:44:**245**

- Q. How about generally? Do you generally believe that, while you were in the Legislature, OPMA applied to you?
- A. Not -- not all aspects. Like, we didn't disclose -- I think the disclosure rules were a bit different.
- Q. When you say "the disclosure rules," what do you mean?
- A. Just with respect to your emails. I believe that changed after -- I think I believe public access to Legislative email changed after I was in the Legislature.
- Q. Do you know whether or not that -- what you're talking about there is the Public Records Act as opposed to OPMA?
- A. I -- that's a good point. I don't know the specifics.
- Q. Do you know generally that there's a distinction between OPMA and Public Records Act?
 - A. Generally, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so, as you sit here today, do you know whether the OPMA applied to the Legislature?

 GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. My -- my memory might be dated so no.

BY JOAN MELL:

10:44:251

10:44:342

10:44:393

10:44:45**4**

10:44:535

10:44:566

10:45:007

10:45:058

10:45:089

10:45:1100

10:45:131

10:45:**1**62

10:45:1183

10:45:**1**94

10:45:315

10:45:326

10:45:427

10:45:428

10:45:449

10:45:4240

10:45:**241**

10:45:**5**262

10:45:5273

10:46:0234

10:46:0265

- O. What do you do for work?
- A. I'm the CEO of an environment media organization called Grist.
- Q. So when you said your "office," is that what you meant?
- A. Yes. I was trying to recall, but, yes, I believe so. I'd have to go back and remember the specifics, but I think so.
- Q. I think you said that you may have met with Fain at your office. Is that the Grist office?
 - A. Yes. He came by my office one day, yes.
 - Q. Where's your office?
 - A. On Capitol Hill.
- Q. Okay. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 95.

(Deposition Exhibit 95 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Do you recognize this document?
- A. I do not, but I -- I am familiar with what it is.
- Q. Okay. Is this the transcript that you thought you would need to refresh your recollection about what you told the public on the 15th?

- 10:46:121
- 10:46:132
- 10:46:183
- 10:46:214
- 10:46:265
- 10:46:316
- 10:46:347
- 10:46:368
- 10:46:429
- 10:46:470
- 10:46:491
- 10:46:522
- 10:46:533
- 10:46:554
- 10:46:585
- 10:47:0136
- 10:47:057
- 10:47:08
- 10:47:109
- 10:47:1260
- 10:47:1281
- 10:47:212
- 10:47:233
- 10:47:204
- 10:47:235

A. Yes.

- Q. And did you believe that, on the 15th, the Redistricting Commission was holding a special meeting rather than a regular meeting?
- A. I'm not familiar with the distinction, but it makes sense that we were voting to approve a redistricting plan.
- Q. So what -- what was the plan going into the -- well, strike that. Let me think this through.

Were you the only Commissioner staying at the Marriott?

A. Yes.

Q. Why were you the only Commissioner staying at the Marriott and the rest were at the Hampton?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what Commissioners were actually staying at the Hampton.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Why didn't you stay at the Hampton?
- A. Apologies. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Why didn't you stay at the Hampton?
- A. Because I thought it would be good to -- to have some -- some distance to reduce the likelihood that -- that we would -- that you would have meetings -- I was trying to stay close to the -- what I had shared before,

which was my understanding that you could have meetings
of -- of two people on -- in dyads. So I thought it will
just be good to stay in a separate place.

- Q. So were you trying to avoid coming into contact with two Commissioners at one time?
 - A. Basically.
- Q. And you believed you were doing that to comply with open government requirements?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you, at any point in time, have communications in person with more than one Commissioner?
- A. After the -- not -- not to my memory on the 15th. After -- after -- on the 16th, yes.
- Q. Okay. So we'll talk about the 16th here in a bit, but let's talk about the 15th right now, at least what happened -- what's reflected in this record.

So tell me how this is going to work for you.

Do you want me to just to scroll down to where it's -
it's a statement attributed to you and let you review that

so that I can ask you questions about it or --

- A. Sure. That sounds good.
- Q. Do you need to start at the top here and have an opportunity to read through chronologically?
 - A. I'm catching up.

GREG WONG: We can also try, Joan, in the past

10:47:484

10:47:515

10:47:536

10:47:537

10:47:558

10:47:589

10:48:010

10:48:041

10:48:112

10:48:153

10:48:184

10:48:225

10:48:276

10:48:297

10:48:388

10:48:409

10:48:440

10:48:4281

10:48:502

10.10.2/1

10:48:5285

just put some in the chat and you can open it if that's -
10:49:062

JOAN MELL: Do you want me to try that?

GREG WONG: You can do it either way in terms of

10:49:094

reviewing, Brady.

THE WITNESS: This works for me, if that's okay, John. I don't mind.

BY JOAN MELL:

10:49:105

10:49:116

10:49:127

10:49:138

10:49:159

10:49:1180

10:49:221

10:49:762

10:49:**1**33

10:49:364

10:49:405

10:49:426

10:49:**427**

10:50:**498**

10:50:**109**

10:51:200

10:51:0221

10:51:0242

10:51:0273

10:51:234

10:51:265

- Q. Okay. So then you just cue me when you're ready to go to the next page with -- with the understanding that I want to ask you whether or not review of this transcript -- transcript refreshes your recollection about what you told the public about your proposal.
 - A. Maybe scroll down.
- Q. And just tell me when to stop.

 Do you want me to just kind of keep going slowly?
- A. That's great. Joan, you can go ahead and scroll down.

Scroll up, if you may. Thank you. You can go down.

Q. Oh, well, actually, now that I'm looking at this, do you recall this point in the public session on the 15th when Chair Augustine said, "My question is, I want to ask the Republican Caucus and the Democratic Caucus if you've had a chance to connect and if you have

an update for us"?

10:51:201

10:51:232

10:51:253

10:51:264

10:51:315

10:51:356

10:51:377

10:51:428

10:51:459

10:51:**480**

10:51:491

10:51:532

10:51:563

10:51:**5**64

10:52:**0.05**

10:52:036

10:52:047

10:52:068

10:52:**169**

10:52:220

10:52:261

10:52:282

10:52:**213**

10:52:2234

10:52:2255

- A. I -- I now understand the context of your question.
- Q. Okay. So what -- what was Chair Augustine referring to from what you understood?
- A. My understanding of that is that she's referring to a conversation that -- conversations between myself and Commissioner Sims and, in the case of the Republicans, a conversation between Commissioner Graves and Commissioner Fain.
- Q. So when you were at the Hampton -- well, I'm assuming then, by this time you're at the Hampton; correct?
- A. Primarily. I recall that I did go back and forth some. But, yes, I did spend some time at the Hampton that evening.
- Q. Okay. So did you sit in a room with Commissioner Sims?
- A. I did spend some time in a room with Commissioner Sims, yes.
- Q. Okay. And then did you understand Graves and Fain were in a room together as the Republican Caucus?
 - A. That doesn't surprise me.
- Q. Would you -- did you recognize that that's what they were doing?

- A. I didn't know if they were physically together or not, but they -- they likely were physically together.

 I was not with them in that period.
 - Q. But it -- but is it correct that there were two different kinds of negotiations going on, on the 15th offline -- Republicans and Democrats and then in your dyads?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. I'm not tracking that question.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. There were conversations that were going -- well -- well, it's correct that you were negotiating with Graves on -- excuse me -- you were negotiating with Fain on the 15th?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Outside the public meeting; correct?
- A. We were continuing to have conversations in our dyad, yes, as we had been doing to discuss the Congressional maps.
- Q. And then you were also having what Augustine is referring to here as "caucus meetings." So you were also having conversations and discussions with Sims?
- A. Yes. Yes. We were having conversations as well. That's correct.

10:52:281

10:52:312

10:52:343

10:52:374

10:52:405

10:52:436

10:52:487

10:52:508

10:52:519

10:52:520

10:52:53

10:52:542

10:52:563

10:52:594

10:53:05

10:53:076

10:53:087

10:53:138

10:53:159

10:53:200

10:53:201

10:53:242

10:53:263

10:53:204

10:53:225

10:53:331

10:53:452

10:53:483

10:53:534

10:53:57**5**

10:53:596

10:54:00**7**

10:54:028

10:54:049

10:54:110

10:54:1151

10:54:1182

10:54:**1**93

10:54:284

10:54:325

10:54:346

10:54:407

10:54:**4**.38

10:54:**4**69

10:54:4270

10:54:5201

10:54:5272

10:55:0213

10:55:0254

10:55:**2285**

Q. Okay. All right.

So when Fain says, "We've been working in caucus on the map," did you understand that to mean that he was working with Graves?

- A. I'm not sure what he means there.
- Q. Okay.
- A. It may have been a confusion of the term. I'm not sure what he means there.
- Q. Okay. So I don't see anything attributable to you yet so I'm just going to kind of go a little faster until I see something attributable to you.

Is this working for you?

- A. That works.
- Q. All right. So we're up to page 8, and there's a line attributed to you: "Beyond what Commissioner Sims has said and what Commissioner Fain has said" -- do you know what you were trying to communicate there?
- A. It appears that I was just communicating that I have nothing to add.
- Q. And so at this point in time in the meeting that's reflected on page 8, what did you understand the public had -- what did you understand had been shared with the public specific to either Congressional or Legislative proposals?
 - A. I think that likely only things that had been --

doesn't seem like there was a lot of detail prior to this. So I think just things that had been shared in prior public comment, public meetings not on the 15th.

Okay. So it --0.

10:55:111

10:55:142

10:55:213

10:55:224

10:55:225

10:55:226

10:55:257

10:55:298

10:55:299

10:55:310

10:55:361

10:55:402

10:55:413

10:55:454

10:55:525

10:55:556

10:55:567

10:55:58

10:56:029

10:56:0250

10:56:0291

10:56:1232

10:56:1243

10:56:274

10:56:205

- So it sounds as if they're sharing -- it sounds as if Commissioner Fain and Commissioner Sims are just sharing the update that we're continuing to work toward proposals.
- Okay. So you would agree, at least up to this point in time in the meeting, the public had no idea what the proposals were?
- Aside from the fact that they were built on Α. input from the prior many months, which were incorporated, there was a lot of public input that led to this.
- And there's a lot of public input that was Ο. rejected; correct?
- Depends how you look it at it. I mean, I think Α. there was -- I can point to many, many, many pieces of public input that were incorporated in the maps. when I released my draft maps in public, I actually also released public compendiums about how they responded to public input.
 - But your map wasn't adopted; correct? Ο.
- Α. Yes. This was the product of negotiation. No. No one -- no one Commissioner's map was adopted.

10:56:241

10:56:262

10:56:273

10:56:314

10:56:35**5**

10:56:37**6**

10:56:41**7**

10:56:468

10:56:489

10:56:**150**

10:57:**0**01

10:57:**052**

10:57:**0**83

10:57:**1**3**4**

10:57:**165**

10:57:**1**%

10:57:2137

10:57:268

10:57:**119**

10:57:**280**

10:57:**241**

10:57:**202**

10:57:**253**

10:57:**2**8**4**

10:58:0205

Q. Okay. And I want to make sure the record is clear on that.

The map you proposed that included the compendium was rejected and not adopted finally; correct?

- A. Not correct. I wouldn't say it was rejected. I would say that aspects of that were incorporated; but the map that I had proposed as an individual Commissioner was not adopted in full, no.
 - Q. Can you articulate what aspects were adopted?
- A. Sure. I mean, I think you saw aspects that we spoke about earlier, like unifying Tribal Nations and different Districts on the Congressionals. The draft maps that I released publicly in September and then in October had a lot of similarities with the Congressional maps to what was finally approved and voted on.

So there -- there were a lot of elements.

- Q. In terms of unifying Tribal Nations, did the final map unify them in the same way you proposed?
- A. I believe in all cases except for the Confederated Tribe of the Colville, I believe. I may be wrong, but I believe in almost all cases, public input was incorporated on the -- I believe in almost all cases that the input was incorporated on the Federally recognized tribes.
 - Q. I was asking whether or not the final map drew

the boundaries in the same location you did on your 10:58:062 proposal relative to unifying Tribal Nations. Is your 10:58:113 answer the same?

- A. Close to in many cases. I mean, for example -and this was no secret. But, for example, the ways that I
 was drawing in my draft maps the 14th and the 15th
 Legislative Districts that were unifying the Yakama Nation
 with the majority Hispanic population in the 15th, that
 was not reflected in the final map. That was something
 that I was advocating for in public. So that was,
 unfortunately, not included.
- Q. So is it correct, with regard to unifying Tribal Nations, the final map rejected some of your proposals and there were some similarities with regard to other tribes?
- A. That's right. I would say some were incorporated and some were not.
- All right. So you were happy to kick off.

 So with respect to what you communicated to the public as of the timeframe when you're checking in reflected on page 10, would you agree that you did not

Back to this transcript, scrolling down.

- give the public any specifics as to any proposal?
- A. Yes. I referenced the criteria that we were talking about, like, communities of interest. And I referenced public input, which we previously discussed at

10:58:134

10:58:165

10:58:206

10:58:247

10:58:28

10:58:329

10:58:**350**

10:58:**4**11

10:58:422

10:58:463

10:58:494

10:58:**135**

10:58:**156**

10:58:577

10:59:708

10:59:259

10:59:2270

10:59:3221

10:59:3262

10:59:**4293**

10:59:**224**

10:59:**275**

Q.

Okay.

great length. But I was saying we were trying to integrate into the final maps, but I did not list the specifics at this moment.

Q. So it's correct that the public had no way of knowing how you or Fain were proposing to keep communities of interest together; correct?

A. No.

11:00:094

11:00:125

11:00:216

11:00:237

11:00:248

11:00:259

11:00:260

11:00:281

11:00:312

11:00:353

11:00:374

11:00:425

11:00:456

11:00:487

11:00:488

11:00:499

11:00:530

11:00:561

11:00:582

11:01:0253

11:01:0274

11:01:0295

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I would -- I would disagree with that. I mean, if you had been involved in the process closely, you would have seen the maps that we released publicly in the past. You would have seen all of the public input that had been brought into the Commission that we subsequently transmitted to the Supreme Court.

So, no, not -- I could have gone into more detail here for sure, but --

BY JOAN MELL:

- O. Right. But --
- A. -- this -- this is not divorced from all of the input that was provided through the process.
- Q. Is it correct that there -- there was no agreement on keeping communities of interest together relative to District -- where the District boundaries were -- would be at the time you were communicating this?
 - A. That's not correct. No. It was on a

case-by-case basis.

11:01:101

11:01:142

11:01:153

11:01:184

11:01:225

11:01:236

11:01:267

11:01:288

11:01:319

11:01:350

11:01:381

11:01:392

11:01:403

11:01:444

11:01:475

11:01:496

11:01:537

11:01:588

11:02:009

11:02:0230

11:02:0261

11:02:082

11:02:123

11:02:1284

I mean, I think that you had things, like I already alluded to earlier, around, like, using the Skagit County border as a way of dividing the 1st and 2nd Congressional District.

- Okay. And what Congressional District? 0.
- So the -- I mentioned before that we'd viewed Α. the border -- the southern border of Skagit County as a dividing line for the 2nd Congressional District.
- So when you say, "We viewed that," who are you talking about?
 - Α. Sorry. Say that again?
 - You said, "We viewed." Who's "we"? Q.
 - Oh, I'll speak for myself. I viewed. Α.
 - Okay. So that's what I'm asking. Ο.

At the time that you were commenting to the public as reflected on page 10, who's the "we" in that first sentence?

- Oh, I would say that at that moment I was Α. referring to myself and Commissioner Fain with respect to the Congressional maps.
- Okay. And so at that point in time, had you and Ο. Fain agreed to express the 2nd Congressional District as the southern border of Skagit County?
 - I'm not sure. I'd have to look at the timestamp

on this.

11:02:241

11:02:272

11:02:313

11:02:324

11:02:355

11:02:366

11:02:387

11:02:428

11:02:479

11:02:530

11:02:581

11:03:022

11:03:093

11:03:134

11:03:175

11:03:206

11:03:237

11:03:248

11:03:349

11:03:270

11:03:271

11:03:4212

11:03:243

11:03:504

- Q. The timestamp on what?
- A. What time is this happening?
- Q. I don't think that there is a timestamp on this transcript.
- A. As said, we -- I would say that Commissioner

 Fain and I reached an agreement on a proposal to bring

 forward to the Commission in the hour or two prior to the

 deadline.
- Q. Is it correct that, as of the -- the portion of the public meeting reflected on page 10, at that time there was no portion of your negotiations with Fain expressed in writing?
- A. Again, I -- I would -- that's not how I would say it. I mentioned earlier that Commissioner Fain and I had actually exchanged a number of maps.
- Q. Right. But was there one that you were working from so that you knew and could refer to it as the governing map at the time you were communicating with the public here?
- A. Yes. I'm not sure specifically at this time.

 But, yes, we were working from two maps. We were working to integrate because there were still some areas of disagreement.
 - O. So we're -- when were either one of these two

maps created?

11:04:021

11:04:062

11:04:083

11:04:104

11:04:135

11:04:136

11:04:14**7**

11:04:158

11:04:169

11:04:**200**

11:04:**251**

11:04:**1**02

11:04:**3**63

11:04:**174**

11:04:405

11:04:436

11:04:**17**

11:04:**548**

11:04:**179**

11:05:0200

11:05:0241

11:05:**252**

11:05:**2273**

11:05:**2**04

11:05:235

- A. There were several. And I would say --
- Q. No. No. No. No. I'm talking about the two maps you just referenced. When --
 - A. Gosh.
 - Q. -- were those two maps --
 - A. Those ones --
 - Q. -- you just referenced --
- A. Those ones were probably -- those drafts were quite iterative in those waning hours. And those may have been created around sometime -- you know, starting at 5:00, 6:00 p.m. It takes quite a while to map, which is one of the issues we ran into after we voted, because it actually takes quite a long time to do those.
- Q. Okay. Were either one of those two maps published?
- A. No. They -- in a way, they became the final -- they became the final map as we were -- that were -- that was indeed published on the 16th.
- Q. But those two maps were distinct. They had different boundaries; correct?
- A. That's correct. And those are -- you can see in our public disclosures that we were exchanging maps so they were --
 - Q. Okay. But they only became the final map by

reconciling the differences between the two; correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you didn't share with the community what priorities had been integrated or adapted; correct?
 - A. It was a very busy night that evening so no.
- Q. Okay. So in this -- in this section here, what map are you talking about?
- A. I'm nearly certain that would have been in reference to the Congressional map.
- Q. And then -- but Sims decides to weigh in on the Congressional map; is that your understanding of what she's talking about?
- A. She may have changed the subject here. I can't recall the specifics. I imagine Commissioner Sims is -- it looks as if she's speaking to the consultation process with Tribal governments.
- Q. And so in terms of paying attention to the Tribal consultations, do you -- did it apply to both?
 - A. Yes. That was apropos to both.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember whether or not Chair Augustine was authorized to obtain the Tribal input by going to Tribal meetings?
- A. I'm not sure I understand what you mean by "obtain the Tribal input."
 - Q. Well, Chair Augustine went to meetings with

11:05:161

11:05:192

11:05:203

11:05:274

11:05:375

11:05:396

11:05:507

11:05:578

11:05:599

11:06:000

11:06:051

11:06:072

11:06:**123**

11:06:**154**

11:06:**1**05

11:06:**146**

11:06:287

11:06:**3**18

11:06:**1**89

11:06:4220

11:06:4291

11:06:5272

11:07:233

11:07:**254**

11:07:0285

tribes; right?

- A. That's correct. And I joined her on one occasion to visit the Colville.
 - Q. Why did you only go to the Colville meeting?
- A. We initially set up public record and public meetings, a Tribal engagement plan which we then published for the public to see, which was a way of trying to do the strongest job than any Commission ever had in creating a Government-to-Government consultation process with the Federally recognized tribes.

So what -- I think it was more of a function of just time and time constraints on Commissioners' lives.

But different Commissioners participated in different

Tribal consultations.

And this was an area, if I recall, where Commissioner Augustine was particularly interested in making sure that it was done well. And she participated -- I would say she probably participated in most of them. But I only had the time availability to participate in one in person so I participated in the Colville.

- Q. So was Chair Augustine entrusted to bring back the Tribal input to you so you could integrate that into your plan negotiation?
 - A. No. I wasn't -- I wouldn't say she was

11:07:368

11:07:369

11:07:4100

11:07:4121

11:07:4152

11:07:4183

11:07:514

11:07:5146

11:07:5187

11:08:0108

11:08:0149

11:08:270

11:08:**201**

11:08:202

11:08:253

11:08:294

11:08:205

11:07:121

11:07:142

11:07:173

11:07:204

11:07:245

11:07:286

11:07:31**7**

I mean, there was formal input that was entrusted to. provided by different Tribal governments directly to the Commission. It wasn't provided to Commissioner Augustine. It was provided as public input to the Commission.

- Was there input from tribes -- gleaned from meetings from tribes that was integrated?
- And I would say it was integrated when it Α. was formally submitted. So, for example, the Colville Tribe formally submitted from their Tribal Council in public record a letter to the Commission saying -- you know, expressing their priorities in the redistricting I believe similar things happened with other process. Tribal Nations.
- Was there any Tribal input that you relied upon that came solely from Chair Augustine's reporting back what she had gleaned from a Tribal meeting?
 - Α. Not that I recall.
- Did you ever talk to Chair Augustine about any Tribal meeting?
- Just the one that we were at together in the Α. Colville.
- Did you bring back any input from your Ο. conversation with Augustine from the Colville meeting that was integrated into the final map?
 - Α. If I recall, what we asked for was for the

11:08:221

11:08:262

11:08:293

11:08:324

11:08:355

11:08:396

11:08:437

11:08:478

11:08:529

11:08:560

11:08:591

11:09:012

11:09:043

11:09:124

11:09:155

11:09:196

11:09:227

11:09:248

11:09:279

11:09:290

11:09:321

11:09:332

11:09:393

11:09:4234

11:09:4265

Tribal Council to submit it -- their input as a formal public request to the Commission, which I believe they And they had some specific views about how the Colville -- Confederated Tribe of the Colville would be divided between different Legislative Districts.

They had a specific desire to be in both the 4th and 5th Congressional District, which was actually dissimilar from other input we'd received from Tribal Nations where they'd wanted to be consolidated. actually -- the Colville Tribe actually wanted to be divided for a variety of reasons.

And I believe -- I believe we incorporate much of their input in the final map, but I can't recall if everything was incorporated.

- With regard to Commissioner Fain, when he says, "We're trying to figure out how to not mess up Aberdeen," what was -- what was he communicating publicly to the best of your knowledge?
 - I -- I can't recall. Α.
- Do you remember if it had to do with a 0. Congressional map?
 - Α. No.
- So looks like now at this point in time by page 0. 12, you leave the public meeting again.
 - Do you remember how -- how many times you left

11:09:501

11:09:552

11:09:573

11:10:014

11:10:055

11:10:086

11:10:117

11:10:138

11:10:139

11:10:160

11:10:181

11:10:232

11:10:253

11:10:294

11:10:475

11:10:506

11:10:547

11:10:578

11:11:009

11:11:0260

11:11:0281

11:11:252

11:11:223

11:11:254

the public meeting?

11:11:371

11:11:382

11:11:403

11:11:45**4**

11:11:485

11:11:536

11:11:547

11:11:588

11:12:029

11:12:**150**

11:12:1151

11:12:**1**62

11:12:**1**93

11:12:234

11:12:275

11:12:**1**16

11:12:**3**77

11:12:398

11:12:439

11:12:4280

11:12:**201**

11:12:**252**

11:12:**2**93

11:13:0244

11:13:**22/5**

- A. No.
- Q. Did you object to any of that?
- A. I'm sorry. Did I object to what?
- O. Caucusing.
- A. Are you asking --
- Q. Caucusing or negotiating in dyads out of the public meeting after the meeting started.
- A. Are you asking if I objected to having meetings in dyads?
 - Q. I didn't hear your last word.
- A. Are you asking if I objected to having meetings in dyads?
- Q. No. I'm asking whether or not you objected to leaving the public meeting at any time on the 15th.
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did you have any concerns that leaving the public meeting for purposes of negotiating either in caucuses or dyads --
- A. I think at the time what I remember feeling is that we were getting awfully close to finishing the proposal to bring forward to the Commission. And I was at the time without much sleep, was extremely eager to finish the negotiations with Commissioner Fain to be able to bring forward a map for both because we knew how little

time we had.

11:13:101

11:13:112

11:13:143

11:13:184

11:13:195

11:13:276

11:13:297

11:13:338

11:13:389

11:13:380

11:13:411

11:13:482

11:13:553

11:13:574

11:14:005

11:14:046

11:14:097

11:14:108

11:14:169

11:14:200

11:14:211

11:14:282

11:14:293

11:14:3214

11:14:3225

So I don't believe that I raised objections to it that evening because I was very focused on trying to finish the work.

- Q. Why didn't you just do the work in the meeting?
- A. Which -- you mean the negotiations?
- Q. Yeah. Why didn't you just figure it out right on the record so everybody could watch and figure out what you were doing?
- A. Just because that wasn't how we understood the dyad process to function.
 - Q. And how did you learn how the dyad functioned?
- A. Again, I think there was precedents for this from prior Commissions and --
 - Q. From prior Commission meeting minutes?
- A. My understanding had been that this is the approach that had been taken in the past in negotiating map, that you had conversations in a one-on-one basis with another Commissioner on -- to develop proposals that you bring forward.
 - Q. What was your understanding based on?
- A. Frankly, what we had been -- what we had been told.
 - Q. By who?
 - A. My understanding -- I don't recall. I think it

may have come back -- come up in some public meetings, but it was definitely the ingoing assumption.

- Q. Had you ever read the transcript from prior Commissions?
 - A. I have not read the transcripts, no.
 - O. Have you ever talked to any prior Commissioners?
- A. Yes. My experience -- I actually did not speak with any prior Commissioners in this process. But my understanding had been that this is how it was done in 2010 and in 2000, that Commissioners had met in partisan dyad and taken different approaches to negotiating.

I know that one of the questions that we had discussed early on was, not dyads that were dedicated to specific maps, but maybe it would be more regional. So, for instance, one group could talk about Southwest Washington and the other group could talk about Northwest Washington. But these were seen as ways to advance negotiations on the maps.

- Q. I -- I thought you said "yes" to the question which was did you ever talk to any prior Commissioners; is that correct? You talked to a prior Commissioner?
- A. I -- I have -- I have spoken with prior

 Commissioners in the past but not about this

 redistricting. So I have not -- I have not spoken -- I

 did not have a conversation with a prior Commissioner to

11:14:351

11:14:392

11:14:423

11:14:464

11:14:485

11:14:516

11:14:547

11:14:568

11:15:029

11:15:060

11:15:121

11:15:152

11:15:173

11:15:214

11:15:235

11:15:266

11:15:287

11:15:318

11:15:369

11:15:3290

11:15:4231

11:15:4262

11:15:4293

11:15:5224

11:15:5245

my knowledge about the actual redistricting.

- Q. All right. And so do you recall speaking with other voting Commissioners off the record, meaning outside the public purview about, for instance, how to resolve the Tribal input?
- A. At this time, as you characterized it accurately, I was having continued negotiations with Commissioner Fain. And I'd had updates -- regular -- I'd had updates from how Commissioner Sims' and Graves' conversations were going; so.
- Q. All right. And in those negotiations, were you giving consideration to different public input, including from Tribes?
 - A. Extensively.
 - Q. And were you reviewing various map proposals?
 - A. Yes. We had seen them. Absolutely.
- Q. And were you evaluating the merits of political performance with regard to both the Congressional and Legislative Districts?
- A. I'll give you an example that, for instance, there was one group that was deeply involved in the redistricting process which was the Washington Coalition -- the Redistricting Coalition.

And in my negotiations with Commissioner Fain, I happened to quite strongly agree with the input that the

11:15:571

11:16:142

11:16:203

11:16:244

11:16:315

11:16:366

11:16:407

11:16:438

11:16:509

11:16:540

11:16:55

11:16:582

11:17:023

11:17:044

11:17:065

11:17:126

11:17:147

11:17:268

11:17:299

11:17:210

11:17:251

11:17:272

11:17:293

11:17:4234

11:17:4255

11:17:491

11:17:522

11:17:543

11:17:564

11:17:595

11:18:026

11:18:067

11:18:098

11:18:119

11:18:170

11:18:211

11:18:312

11:18:343

11:18:374

11:18:415

11:18:446

11:18:497

11:18:548

11:18:559

11:18:5280

11:18:581

11:19:**0**12

11:19:213

11:19:244

11:19:265

Redistricting Coalition had provided in public comment and in many, many public meetings.

So I -- one thing I was doing was -particularly in the negotiations with 7th and 9th
Congressional Districts -- was really working to try to
reflect that public input in the final map. And I believe
it did in part. I think it went in that direction.

So that's an example of really working hard to reflect hours of public input into the final maps.

- Q. So is it correct to say that you were evaluating the impact of differing proposals about political metrics?
- A. This input that I was just describing to you actually wasn't political metrics. This was actually about representation of minority communities. It was about unifying communities that were kind of primarily working class. It was about the -- the shape of the -- of the 7th and attempting to keep Seattle within the 7th Congressional District.

So, no, in that case, it wasn't about partisan interest.

- Q. But is it correct that, in your caucus discussions and in your dyad negotiations, you evaluated political metrics?
 - A. Among other criteria, yes.
 - Q. And some of that other criteria was -- I just

11:19:191 don't know how to summarily describe the criteria you 11:19:242 explained to me just a moment ago. What was that?

- Communities of interest. Α.
- Ο. Okay.

11:19:293

11:19:304

11:19:305

11:19:336

11:19:33**7**

11:19:368

11:19:409

11:19:460

11:19:**481**

11:19:482

11:19:**503**

11:19:**144**

11:19:**1**65

11:19:**1**%

11:20:**0**27

11:20:**0.48**

11:20:**169**

11:20:0270

11:20:**291**

11:20:22

11:20:**243**

11:20:**254**

11:20:**295**

- Α. Majority/minority Districts.
- 0. Okay.
- You know, a set of -- a set of them. Α.
- Okay. So is it -- is it correct to say that you 0. were evaluating all of the elements of your proposal back and forth between the caucus --
 - Yes. Α.
 - -- and between the dyads? Ο.
- Oh, not necessarily with -- just to be specific Α. on what you mean by "caucus," because this isn't how I would reference it, even though it's referenced here.

What do you mean by "caucus" in this case?

- Q. What Augustine means.
- Which is my conversations with Commissioner Α. Sims?
 - Correct. 0.
- Not at that level of detail. The -- the Α. No. conversations with Commissioner Graves -- sorry -apologize.

My conversations with Commissioner Fain were at

describing as "the caucuses," my conversations on the Congressional maps were more just an update.

- Q. And discussions about what -- what you had deliberated and evaluated with Graves?
 - A. Sorry. With Fain. Do you mean Fain?
 - Q. Yes, I do. Sorry.
- A. In -- less discussions, frankly, on the Congressional maps. It was -- I would say it was almost purely limited to brief updates at this -- during the public meeting.
- Q. But you were communicating substantive decisions and negotiations where you had evaluated all of the elements with Graves; correct?
 - A. I was communicating --

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I was communicating updates. I don't -- I personally never felt like there were -- I never felt that Commissioner Fain and I reached an agreement on a proposal to bring forward to the Commission until, obviously, too late in the evening, but quite late in the evening. But I was communicating updates; that's correct.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Well, okay. How -- how is an update -- what was the content of any update? Did it include the outcome of

11:20:231

11:20:262

11:20:293

11:20:334

11:20:365

11:20:396

11:20:407

11:20:448

11:20:479

11:20:540

11:20:541

11:20:572

11:21:003

11:21:034

11:21:045

11:21:056

11:21:067

11:21:108

11:21:159

11:21:1280

11:21:221

11:21:292

11:21:293

11:21:314

11:21:3245

you and Fain evaluating the elements of the proposal?

A. Here's an example of an update:

An update -- a likely update -- because my memory may not recall precisely. But a likely update could have been me saying, "I'm working on incorporating some of the priorities from the Redistricting Coalition that we received in public input," as I'm drawing the 9th or I'm negotiating the 9th with Commissioner Fain. That could be an example.

- Q. Okay. Can you think of any others?
- A. Probably things along those lines, but I can't remember specifics of what I would have said.
- Q. Can you remember communicating specifics like incorporating tribes?
- A. Sure. I mean, that was a priority -- that was a priority all along. So I think I may have -- an update could have been along those lines of working on -- you know, we had a lot of great substantive input from our Tribal consultation process.
- Q. Did any of your updates include political metrics?
 - A. They may have.
 - Q. Okay. All right.

I'm looking back at Exhibit 95 again. Let's see who's talking here. Looks like she's just talking about

LITIGATION SERVICES

11:21:411

the procedure and the agenda. Okay. So nothing substantive from Graves here at this point in time reflected on page 13 and 14; correct?

- A. I'm sorry. Nothing -- are you asking me to --
- Q. I said subs -- nothing substantive.

Do you agree that there was nothing substantive shared by Commissioner Graves at the point in time when he's speaking to the public as reflected on page 13 and 14 of the transcript?

- A. Yes. That reads as a process update to me.
- Q. Okay. Looks like I can get all the way through 14 with no substance; correct?

How about -- would you agree that Commissioner Fain has public input here, as reflected on page 15, that's not particularly specific?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I won't speculate on it.

I'm trying to -- I'm reading the comment as you're

scrolling.

I actually would say that is substantive. What I read him saying there was actually something substantive which was then reflected in the final maps, which is the -- the geographic orientation in the maps that were drawn in 2010 were north/south orientation on the 1st and the 2nd Congressional District, which he may have

11:23:344

11:23:375

11:23:416

11:23:427

11:23:458

11:23:499

11:23:**100**

11:23:531

11:24:062

11:24:1123

11:24:154

11:24:245

11:24:306

11:24:**317**

11:24:**138**

11:24:**369**

11:24:**250**

11:24:**271**

11:24:**4292**

11:24:**523**

11:24:**264**

11:25:**2205**

inarticulately described as two long, skinny Districts.

I think what he's saying is that the map that he and I had been discussing in response to public input changed the orientation of those Districts. So you actually shifted the 1st and the 2nd so it would be east/west Districts instead of north/south. So I believe that's what he's referring to.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. So the, "There are kind of two long, skinny Districts that currently exist," what are those Districts?
- A. I believe that when he says, "Some changes in North/Central part of the state," what he is referring to are the 1st and the 2nd Congressional Districts.
 - O. I thought the -- isn't the 1st Seattle?
- A. No. The 1st is -- the 1st is Redmond/Bothell.

 It goes -- it used to actually go up north to the Canadian border, but not after our mapping. The 7th is Seattle.
 - Q. What's 2nd?
- A. The 2nd -- the 2nd Congressional District includes -- today includes Whatcom, Skagit, Snohomish, and San Juan County.
- Q. When you say "today," what do you mean? What you and Fain agreed upon, or what it was prior to you --
 - A. Apologies. Should these maps, you know -- if

253.627.6401

11:25:031

11:25:072

11:25:103

11:25:134

11:25:155

11:25:206

11:25:247

11:25:268

11:25:269

11:25:280

11:25:32

11:25:332

11:25:363

11:25:384

11:25:445

11:25:476

11:25:537

11:25:568

11:26:099

11:26:1200

11:26:1221

11:26:1282

11:26:213

11:26:224

11:26:255

these maps are adopted over the next month, that -- that's correct. I was describing the future District.

- Q. Okay. And -- and the old skinny District 2 was where?
- A. Similar. But if you looked at the map, you would see that there was an orientation of the 1st and the 2nd that ran north/south that was achieved in 2010 for the first time. And then the map that -- that was then drawn by the Commission changes the orientation of those to make them primarily run east/west.
- Q. Okay. So what's the -- who -- who -- strike that.

There's a reference here that, "The feedback we received input that into the map." What feedback?

- A. That specific point would map back to a fair bit of public feedback that was received.
 - Q. From who?
 - A. The public in public testimony.

I mean, if you also look at, for instance, the draft maps that were submitted by the Coalition -- the Washington Redistricting Coalition -- it reflects that shifted orientation.

Q. So is it correct that you did not have an agreement with Commissioner Fain at this point in time as reflected in -- at this point in the meeting as reflected

11:26:271

11:26:302

11:26:333

11:26:384

11:26:405

11:26:426

11:26:467

11:26:528

11:26:569

11:27:000

11:27:041

11:27:082

11:27:093

11:27:114

11:27:215

11:27:256

11:27:287

11:27:298

11:27:319

11:27:3240

11:27:3281

11:27:4212

11:27:4243

on page 15 of the transcript?

11:27:521

11:27:55**2**

11:27:57**3**

11:28:014

11:28:035

11:28:066

11:28:137

11:28:168

11:28:219

11:28:220

11:28:**341**

11:28:**172**

11:28:**4**13

11:28:**444**

11:28:**4**6**5**

11:28:**4**%

11:28:**5***2*7

11:28:558

11:28:**1**89

11:28:5290

11:29:0211

11:29:0202

11:29:0233

11:29:0274

11:29:**205**

- A. Yeah. I do not believe we had an agreement at this time.
- Q. And do you know what the status of the Legislative maps was?
 - A. No. Because I don't see a timestamp here.
- Q. And do you know what time the Legislative maps were agreed upon or -- strike that.

Do you know what time the Legislative -- a Legislative proposal was agreed upon on the 15th?

- A. I know when we -- I know when there was -started to be a lot more work done to draw maps, I was on
 Legislative maps because, in contrast to Congressional
 maps, there were actual maps we were working on jointly in
 our dyad that Commissioner Fain and I were working on. I
 don't believe that was the case for the Legislative maps.
- Q. So at some point on the 15th during this meeting, you started working on a Legislative map?
 - A. I did not, no.
- Q. Oh, I thought that's what I heard you say. I'm sorry.
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Did you have somebody start creating a Legislative map on the 15th at any time?
 - A. Yes. I think that Senate -- yes. I think the

staff that I was working with were actually working on a number of options for Legislative maps.

- Q. And were you communicating that to Sims?
- A. Not all of it, no.
- Q. But some of it?

11:29:131

11:29:172

11:29:203

11:29:254

11:29:265

11:29:286

11:29:337

11:29:358

11:29:379

11:29:380

11:29:401

11:29:452

11:29:513

11:30:004

11:30:035

11:30:076

11:30:107

11:30:138

11:30:159

11:30:1290

11:30:211

11:30:4232

11:30:4253

11:30:4284

11:31:1225

- A. Aspects. Aspects. There were aspects that -- that I had different ideas, yes.
- Q. Do you remember any specific idea you gave to Sims?
- A. Something like what we were talking about before that didn't happen, which is the idea that you would draw the Legislative map by crossing on Interstate 90 versus Highway 2.
- Q. Based on Commissioner Sims' report to the public, is it your understanding, at the time in the public meeting reflected on page 16 at the transcript, that there was no agreement on either a Congressional or Legislative proposal?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Apologies. Let me read this statement.

At this stage, it seems like there was not any agreements on any of the maps, even in dyads.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. So at this point in time reflected on page 17,



"So our goal is to begin to -- discussions as all -- all four of the Commissioners together, and then review the work that's been done and then to finalize the plan."

Does this reflect that the -- that you, as the voting Commissioners, had reached an agreement at this stage and she's bringing it back?

- A. That's not how I read that statement, no. As I recall this moment, there was not an agreement.
 - Q. Do you remember about what time this happened?
 - A. No.
- Q. And you would agree that you weren't just staying in caucuses; correct? When leaving the public meeting.
- A. Yeah. I would actually say I spent a good deal of that time just alone.
- Q. And when you say you spent a good deal of that tame just alone, where were you?
- A. Either back at the other hotel that I was staying in or another room at the Hampton Inn.

11:31:347

11:31:388

11:31:409

11:31:430

11:31:461

11:31:502

11:31:**543**

11:31:**174**

11:32:015

11:32:056

11:32:147

11:32:1168

11:32:219

11:32:210

11:32:**251**

11:32:262

11:32:2293

11:32:224

11:32:**275**

- WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT vs STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 11:32:451 But you would also agree that, during that time, Ο. 11:32:482 you were negotiating? 11:32:513 Α. With Commissioner Fain, yes. 11:32:544 And communicating with Sims? 0. 11:32:59**5** Α. Yep. Sharing updates. 11:33:146 Do you recall hearing this from Augustine: O. "When I said in our last update that we will be sure to 11:33:157 11:33:208 have our maps posted on our website sometime tonight 11:33:249 before dawn, I was not saying that -- anything other than 11:33:270 that, just simply when the public would be able to see our final maps." 11:33:**3**11 What did you take her to be saying? 11:33:322 11:33:**153** 11:33:**174** 11:33:**4**15
 - I don't recall that specific comment. pretty chaotic at that moment. If I'm reading that right now, I -- it seems to me like Commissioner Augustine was just really trying to get the work done in time.
 - Ο. And would you agree that, at this point in time, as reflected on page 18 and 19, that there was no agreement on any proposal?
 - I'll speak for myself, but certainly not from Α. me.
 - Again, nothing substantive here from Sims? Ο.
 - The question? Α.
 - Would you agree that Commissioner Sims did not Ο. share any substance of the negotiations at this point in

11:33:**446**

11:33:527

11:33:558

11:33:599

11:34:2240

11:34:**2271**

11:34:2252

11:34:**2**63

11:34:2274

11:34:4205

11:34:431

11:34:462

11:34:473

11:34:494

11:34:555

11:34:586

11:35:027

11:35:068

11:35:109

11:35:**130**

11:35:**1171**

11:35:**1**92

11:35:203

11:35:234

11:35:**275**

11:35:**3**06

11:35:**3**57

11:35:408

11:35:419

11:35:4250

11:35:5201

11:35:**2**32

11:35:**2**63

11:36:**214**

11:36:**245**

the public meeting as reflected on page 19 of the transcript?

- A. This reads to me as a process update.
- Q. Oh, and here you are. How about you? How would you characterize what you communicated to the public as reflected on page 20 of the transcript?
- A. I think this reflects my state of mind at the moment which was a strong desire to draw the maps, to get -- to get these criteria converted into the maps because I felt like that's what we actually needed to be discussing and considering, and I was very concerned by the delay.
- Q. And do you agree that, at this stage, you did not have any agreement on the proposals?
- A. Yes. I doubt that there was agreement at this stage, even in dyads.
- Q. Okay. Okay. So we have some reference to the timeframe.

So do you agree that, by 11:00 o'clock at night, there was no agreement in the dyads as to either a Legislative or Congressional proposal?

A. I -- I can't recall the specifics, but probably around 11:00 o'clock Commissioner Fain and I were quite close to the agreement that we then put forward for consideration by the Commission.

- Q. And it's correct, though, that this point in time, though, you'd not shared with the public what the proposal was?
 - A. Beyond some of the comments that were made earlier and the maps that we'd shared publicly in the past.
 - Q. Which were distinct in terms of boundaries; correct?
 - A. Yes. They were inputs to this final map.

 This is accurate, for instance, what

 Commissioner Fain is saying we were having a discussion at that final motion. We actually had -- he and I had -- you know, had an extended conversation about Mercer Island and where Mercer Island would fit in which Congressional

 District.
 - Q. How did you guys -- well, did you ever reach an agreement on that before you voted?
 - A. We -- we did.
 - Q. Explain to me your conversation. How did that go?
 - A. The agreement -- the resolution of the agreement was that Mercer Island would go into the 1st

 Congressional -- sorry -- into the 9th Congressional

 District, not the 1st.
 - Q. And did you share that with Sims before the

11:36:214

11:36:235

11:36:286

11:36:347

11:36:378

11:36:39

11:36:**430**

11:36:**441**

11:36:**4**8**2**

11:36:**1**13

11:36:**144**

11:36:**1**8**5**

11:36:586

11:37:017

11:37:**138**

11:37:079

11:37:200

11:37:**201**

11:37:232

11:37:**253**

11:37:**274**

11:37:2215

vote?

11:37:231

11:37:232

11:37:283

11:37:324

11:37:345

11:37:426

11:37:467

11:37:508

11:37:549

11:37:590

11:38:021

11:38:042

11:38:**153**

11:38:**1**04

11:38:**155**

11:38:**176**

11:38:207

11:38:708

11:38:229

11:38:**270**

11:38:**291**

11:38:**242**

11:38:273

11:38:4214

11:38:**4225**

- I'm nearly certain that No. I don't -- no. that's not something I shared with her about.
 - Did you share it with Graves? O.
 - Α. I was not communicating with Graves.
- All right. So looks like at this phase, Chair Ο. Augustine has reconvened the meeting to deal with the discussion portion, and she says she'd like to begin a discussion about the final Congressional plan.

But you would agree that there was no final Congressional plan as of that time; correct?

> GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: So just so we're saying the same thing, can you describe what you mean? You mean a Congressional map, or do you mean a plan? There was not a final Congressional map at this stage, no.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Okay. And would you agree that there were elements of the proposal still being discussed?
- I think at this stage we're Α. -- at this stage, the area of disagreement between myself and Commissioner Fain were probably largely resolved.
- And do you think that Sims knew that at this Ο. stage?
 - Α. She probably knew that we were making progress.

- Q. Did she know that you'd reach agreement by this stage from anything you would have -- did you communicate to Sims anything that you -
 11:38:564

 A. I --
 - Q. -- believe -- believed that you had an agreement with Fain as prior to the discussion portion of the meeting commencing?
 - A. I'd like to read the rest of this transcript just so I can situate what time --
 - Q. Do you need know go back for a minute or --
 - A. No. Just maybe further down, if you don't mind.

 Just let me -- if you don't mind continuing to scroll.
 - Q. I mean, "We are working toward our Congressional plan" --
 - A. Yes. In that case, it had not been completed yet. I think what you see me here is describing some specific elements to the public.
 - Q. All right. So when you say, "It is a plan that advances a number of priorities and keeps many communities together," how many different maps could be created with that information alone?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Which information?

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Well, let me say it a different way.

11:38:575

11:38:586

11:39:047

11:39:05**8**

11:39:079

11:39:110

11:39:**1**31

11:39:**1172**

11:39:203

11:39:244

11:39:**245**

11:39:**266**

11:39:**1**97

11:39:318

11:39:**3**79

11:39:4210

11:39:4281

11:39:502

11:39:**253**

11:39:5264

11:40:0205

When you said there are no -- you're working on a plan that "advances a number of priorities," the public had no way of knowing what priorities you were referring to; correct?

A. Not necessarily. I think the -- I mean, I could -- those priorities -- some of them were raised throughout the process.

There were issues in majority/minority

Districts, federally recognized Tribes, communities of interest, and competitiveness, which you asked about. So I would imagine that there was an awareness of those priorities.

- Q. But you didn't identify them as your priorities so how would anybody know whether or not -- what you had worked out was specific to your priorities or Commissioner Graves' priorities?
- A. That's fair. I would say that -- I would say that I subsequently start to speak to them in the -- in the narrative that follows.

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Was there an objection in there?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. All right. So let's go through the -- so you choose to tell the public, "I would say, in the 7th

11:40:031

11:40:052

11:40:093

11:40:124

11:40:125

11:40:166

11:40:19**7**

11:40:218

11:40:239

11:40:**250**

11:40:**1**91

11:40:**132**

11:40:**1**73

11:40:394

11:40:435

11:40:486

11:40:**507**

11:40:**118**

11:40:**149**

11:40:5250

11:40:5251

11:40:5292

11:41:0203

11:41:0214

11:41:0265

11:41:081 Congressional District, it encompasses a lot of the core
11:41:12 of the city of Seattle as it has over the past many
11:41:163 years."

What you were you communicating there?

A. In that -- in that case, I was communicating that we had had a lot of input around the shape of the 7th Congressional District.

And what I was communicating there is that the shape of the 7th Congressional District was -- is likely to remain very similar to its current composition, which we had a lot of public input around, particularly around the inclusion -- one of the more controversial areas had been around the inclusion of Southeast Seattle in the 9th and 7th Congressional District.

And that was actually one of the last things that Commissioner Fain and I were negotiating, which was direct result of public input. And that the specific point, I would say, was one of the last two things that -- that Commissioner Fain and I were working on before reaching an agreement on a proposal to bring forward to the Commission.

- Q. Okay. So at this point in time, you're still working on it?
- A. Joan, can I have two minutes to run to the restroom, and I'll be right back?

11:41:174

11:41:205

11:41:226

11:41:25**7**

11:41:278

11:41:30**9**

11:41:**320**

11:41:**371**

11:41:**4**02

11:41:**4**13

11:41:**4**84

11:41:**4**95

11:41:**1**06

11:41:**9**37

11:41:**1**88

11:42:**129**

11:42:250

11:42:**2271**

11:42:0282

11:42:203

11:42:**244**

11:42:**255**

- 11:42:181
- 11:42:202
- 11:42:223
- 11:42:244
- 11:42:275
- 11:42:316
- 11:42:347
- 11:42:36**8**
- 11:42:38**9**
- 11:42:380
- 11:42:**3**91
- 11:42:**4**1**2**
- 11:42:**4**13
- 11:42:**4**54
- 11:42:**475**
- 11:42:**116**
- __ __
- 11:42:**147**
- 11:42:568
- 11:42:579
- 11:43:0200
- 11.40.694
- 11:43:**211**
- 11:43:**232**
- 11:43:0243
- 11:43:0264
- 11:43:0285

- Q. Yeah. But can you just answer this question?
- A. Absolutely. Go for it.
- Q. At this point in time, when you communicated this -- that 7th Congressional District conversation -- you were still trying to hammer out exactly what it would look like with Fain?
- A. Yes. And, for example, you know, things like Mercer Island were kind of wrapped up in that. That was --
 - Q. Okay.
- A. That was, like, one of the -- you'll see this here.

But the two areas that -- in terms of the conversations between myself and Commissioner Fain that we were working on towards the very end was this and the 8th Congressional District. So those two were the things that we were working out on either end.

Q. All right. So my only question before you run is do you just want it to be a brief one? Or do you want to have lunch now?

THE WITNESS: Oh, that's up to Greg. I could be back in two minutes.

GREG WONG: Let's do a brief one now and then maybe break for lunch in -- whenever --

JOAN MELL: Okay.

11:43:091 GREG WONG: -- half hour or something like that, 11:43:112 if that's okay. 11:43:113 Okay. So it's 12:00 -- well, JOAN MELL: 11:43:124 it's 11:43 so we'll come back at five to. 11:43:195 GREG WONG: Oh, I think he was saying he could 11:43:216 even do briefer right now. 11:43:237 JOAN MELL: Oh, okay. But I quess, no, let's go ahead and 11:43:238 GREG WONG: 11:43:259 do a regular ten-minute break now and then one more 11:43:270 session, then lunch, if that works for you. You're the 11:43:291 one who's an hour ahead so I --JOAN MELL: Yeah. Yeah. I can do it either 11:43:312 11:43:323 way. I don't care. 11:43:334 GREG WONG: Okay. Let's do a --11:43:355 JOAN MELL: It would be good to get through the 11:43:366 transcript so let's come back and get through the 11:43:387 transcript. Okay? And then we can break for lunch. GREG WONG: That sounds goods. Okay. 11:43:418 See you 11:43:429 in ten. Thanks. 11:43:4240 (Recess.) 11:56:0211 BY JOAN MELL: Commissioner, can you tell me, looking at the 11:56:022 0. 11:56:0243 transcript at paragraph starting, "Going north and ending 11:56:0284 in the San Juan Islands, "what were expressing?

Can you reshare the --

Α.

11:56:**225**

- 11:56:141 Q. Oh, it's not -- you can't see it?
 - A. I can't see it.

11:56:162

11:56:283

11:56:31**4**

11:56:32**5**

11:56:36

11:56:39**7**

11:56:428

11:56:469

11:56:470

11:56:501

11:56:**152**

11:56:563

11:57:054

11:57:0185

11:57:**0**26

11:57:**1**37

11:57:**168**

11:57:**2**09

11:57:2230

11:57:2261

11:57:302

11:57:3243

11:57:3284

11:57:**42**1**5**

- Q. "Going north to San Juan Islands."
- A. This is along the lines of what I was sharing with you earlier, that the 2nd Congressional District was responding to public input and preserving the historic population centers of that District and keeping those cities whole -- so Everett, Bellingham, Mukilteo, and the San Juans.
- Q. And so can you -- at this point this time, was there a definitive boundary for the 2nd?
 - A. Not definitive.
- Q. Okay. And did you have specificity other than what you describe in here in your proposal that was voted on?
- A. In my -- in my -- in my conversations with Commissioner Fain, yes, things like I had mentioned previously in this testimony, like the Skagit County border as a dividing line.
- Q. Okay. But let me understand what you're saying.

 Did you talk further about Skagit County after

 you made this public comment with Commissioner Fain to

 narrow your proposal for the 2nd district beyond what you

 have communicated with the public here?
 - A. I don't recall the timing vis-a-vis those

conversations.

11:57:441

11:57:462

11:57:483

11:57:504

11:57:525

11:57:566

11:57:587

11:58:028

11:58:059

11:58:110

11:58:151

11:58:192

11:58:213

11:58:254

11:58:265

11:58:296

11:58:347

11:58:368

11:58:389

11:58:3290

- Q. But you would agree that it was -- was not finally resolved at the time you were making this expression?
- A. It may have been. I have to -- I'm not sure on the actual timing of this.
- Q. Okay. And did you -- did the proposal you voted on include content specific to the 2nd Congressional District -- more specific than what you've communicated here?
- A. The framework that I'd agreed to with Commissioner Fain and that we brought forward did include additional details like what I'm describing about the Skagit County line.

I will add that this is fairly specific to include Everett, Bellingham, and Mukilteo, and the San Juan -- that is -- that makes up a significant part of the population of the district.

- Q. But it -- but you -- you concede that it's incomplete?
 - A. It's not a map.
- Q. But it's not a proposal either because your proposal included more detail; correct?
- A. That's correct. Things likes the Skagit County border are not included there.
- 11:58:**421** 11:58:**423**2 11:58:**42**53

- Q. Okay. How about as to the 1st? What you communicated publicly, does this fully encompass the proposal you voted on as to the 1st? Or did you negotiate further specific details with Fain after this point in time?
 - And, as to your question about further details,

 I'm not sure at what point in time this testimony happened

 vis-à-vis my conversations with Commissioner Fain. So

 that may or may not be the case. But these are elements.

Again, this reflects key elements of the 1st.

- Q. Are you sure that your proposal that you voted on contained more elements as to the 1st than what you expressed here?
- A. If I'm recollecting my memory on this very tired evening on the 15th, I believe what I was trying to do was to share some key illustrative points of which these are.
- Q. Meaning, yes, there were more specifics that you voted on in your proposal that are not expressed here; is that correct?
- A. We had more -- there are more specific aspects that were needed to translate into maps than is what is simply contained there, yes.
- Q. How about as to the 8th? What is the same as to the 8th?
 - A. Basically, it's -- when I read that, it's

11:59:116

11:59:157

11:59:178

11:59:239

11:59:**260**

11:59:291

11:59:322

11:59:**3**63

11:59:**194**

11:59:**445**

11:59:**476**

11:59:547

11:59:5188

12:00:019

12:00:2240

12:00:**271**

12:00:202

12:00:283

12:00:2224

12:00:**255**

- conveying a critical design aspect of the 8th, which is that it will continue to be on both the East and the West side of the mountain, which is the case.
 - Q. But is this the Highway 2 part?
 - A. No. That -- that Highway 2 is in reference to the -- that had nothing to do with the Congressional maps. That was purely in reference to the Legislative maps.
 - Q. Okay. So there are more elements to defining the 8th that you voted on in your proposal than are described here?
 - A. That's an illustrative point, yes. It's a key point, but it's an illustrative one.
 - Q. And was the 8th completely defined -- no. Strike that.
 - Were your negotiations with Fain concluded as to the 8th at this stage?
 - A. Again, I'm not sure of the exact time of this testimony.
 - Q. It's after 11:00. Does that change your answer?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. What are you communicating as to the 4th and the 5th?
 - A. Basically what I see this is conveying is, like, the key illustrative point behind the design of the 4th and 5th, which is that they will continue to be

12:00:271

12:00:332

12:00:373

12:00:414

12:00:445

12:00:476

12:00:507

12:00:538

12:01:009

12:01:040

12:01:051

12:01:072

12:01:123

12:01:184

12:01:205

12:01:266

12:01:297

12:01:328

12:01:339

12:01:4230

12:01:441

12:01:512

12:01:553

12:01:5284

12:02:025

12:02:04 north/south Districts in Eastern Washington that retain
12:02:092 the same general structure as they had over the prior
12:02:13 decade.

- Q. Okay. But the District boundaries for the 4th and 5th changed; correct?
 - A. They changed for every -- every District, yes.
- Q. And with regard to the proposal that you voted on, there were elements not expressed here that you needed to agree upon with Fain before the proposal moved forward; correct?
- A. These are illustrative points. These are key illustrative points, but they're not --
- Q. Is it a point or is it -- do you think that there are multiple points made in this paragraph?
- A. This -- this, to me, is the critical point. If I had to -- from what I see in this testimony, I think I was highlighting the critical points in this public testimony, not the comprehensive list. Yes.
- Q. Well, and I -- I guess the thing that I'm trying to figure out is were there further conversation that -- conversations that resulted in a more specific proposal that you voted on?
- A. And what I'm saying is I'm not sure. Given the time of this conversation and vis-à-vis the vote, I'm not sure if those -- that happened prior, just after this, or

12:02:144

12:02:175

12:02:196

12:02:227

12:02:308

12:02:339

12:02:370

12:02:371

12:02:402

12:02:423

12:02:454

12:02:475

12:02:506

12:02:537

12:02:568

12:03:039

12:03:0260

12:03:1201

12:03:232

12:03:253

12:03:1284

12:03:2235

with Commissioner Fain.

12:03:261

12:03:282

12:03:453

12:03:474

12:03:505

12:03:546

12:03:587

12:04:028

12:04:059

12:04:070

12:04:091

12:04:132

12:04:1183

12:04:194

12:04:215

12:04:256

12:04:267

12:04:278

12:04:329

12:04:260

12:04:391

12:04:4212

12:04:523

12:04:594

12:05:0215

Q. Okay. I don't -- I'm not following what you're saying here about the 6th and 9th.

What are you communicating?

A. I believe what I'm sharing there is the 6th -the heart of the 6th has historically been the Olympic
Peninsula and then parts of urban Tacoma and population
centers in places like Gig Harbor and Bremerton,
Bainbridge.

What I see myself saying there is that it's kind of staying the course on the 6th, which did, in fact, occur. And -- and generally the same for the 10th is how I read that.

- Q. When you say "staying the course," the District boundaries changed but not substantially; is that what you mean?
 - A. That's what I mean.
- Q. Okay. And, again, more details were contained in the proposal you voted on than you express here?
- A. Again, I'd say these were me highlighting kind of main -- main features.
- Q. Okay. So similarly as to the 3rd, you're providing a -- is this a point or something different? What are -- let's stop. Strike that.

What are you communicating as to the 3rd?

A. Again, the 3rd didn't substantially change very

12:05:072 much. So I think, in my tired state approaching midnight

12:05:133 on the 15th of November, I -- I felt like the main point

12:05:184 there to highlight was the -- in Southwest Washington,

12:05:235 Vancouver, the city of Vancouver would remain the heart of

12:05:266 the 3rd, which is the case.

Q. Okay. And so you tell the public here, "We've been making a good deal of process as we work to advance these maps."

Does this refresh your recollection that you had not reached an agreement with Commissioner Fain as of this point in time on a proposal?

A. I believe that we had -- at this stage -- again,

I want to kind of look at where we are in the -- in the

time sequence.

We -- we may have reached an agreement on a proposal to bring forward, but I -- I can't recall this vis-à-vis the timestamp of events.

- Q. Is it correct that you did not communicate to the public that you had negotiated an agreement with Fain in a dyad?
- A. I don't know that that's true. I believe that, in prior public meetings, Commissioner Fain and I had made mention of the Congressional maps.
 - Q. Did you -- did you ever tell the public that you

LITIGATION SERVICES

12:05:287

12:05:308

12:05:339

12:05:330

12:05:351

12:05:382

12:05:433

12:05:464

12:05:495

12:05:516

12:05:567

12:05:58

12:06:019

12:06:0230

12:06:0291

12:06:252

12:06:263

12:06:204

12:06:295

guys were negotiating in dyads?

A. I'm not sure.

12:06:311

12:06:352

12:06:363

12:06:404

12:06:445

12:06:476

12:06:507

12:06:518

12:06:529

12:06:**140**

12:06:551

12:06:582

12:07:003

12:07:044

12:07:065

12:07:0186

12:07:**107**

12:07:**1**28

12:07:**169**

12:07:200

12:07:2211

12:07:2252

12:07:2273

12:07:**244**

12:07:4235

Q. Okay. And is it correct that, at this point in time in the public meeting, the public was unaware of whether or not you and Commissioner Fain had reached an agreement in your dyad for a proposal for the Congressional map?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: -- speculate -- I'm not -- I'm not sure.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Well, having reviewed the transcript from the 15th, is it correct that, at least on the 15th, there was no communication to the public as to any agreement between you and Commissioner Fain?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Prior to the vote, I don't believe that's the case. I will say, in reading this, I read that as my describing the parameters.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. And do you see here, however,

 Commissioner Fain is questioning what the parameters are

 and what the scope of your agreement is?
 - A. I -- I'd have to read down in the transcript.
 - Q. Tell me when you're ready.

- A. Maybe scroll down a little further. Little further. Little further. Okay. Please go ahead.
- Q. All right. So it's correct that Commissioner
 Fain is making inquiry into the elements of your proposal
 at this stage of the meeting; correct?
- A. Yeah. Yes. I believe he's asking some -- some questions on some specific facets of it.
- Q. And would you agree then that, based upon his questions, at this point in time, you and Commissioner Fain had not reached an agreement on a proposal?
- A. I -- I read this as him raising the issues that it seems like we're continuing to discuss at this point, like what he says at the top around the 9th Congressional District.
 - Q. So is that a yes? You and Commissioner Fain --
- A. It appears, from reading the transcript, that we have a couple remaining things to work out --
 - 0. Okay.

12:07:471

12:08:002

12:08:153

12:08:194

12:08:295

12:08:326

12:08:357

12:08:408

12:08:439

12:08:460

12:08:501

12:08:52

12:08:583

12:09:004

12:09:035

12:09:076

12:09:097

12:09:118

12:09:119

12:09:270

12:09:301

12:09:322

12:09:3253

12:09:4214

12:09:425

- A. -- before bringing a full proposal forward.
- Q. And with regard to Commissioner Graves's presentation to the public, he says, "We -- we have the Legislative plan."

Do you know whether or not Commissioner Sims had communicated to you that she had agreed with Graves on a Legislative proposal at this point?

- 12:09:501 A. It's -- I don't know with regard to the time of 12:09:532 the evening on this so no. I may know as we look through 12:09:593 the rest of the transcript.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Can you move further down? Keep moving.

 Continue. A bit further. A bit further. Continue. I'm

 able to read quickly here. Thank you.

Okay. That's fine, yeah. I can kind of see where we are in the evening.

- Q. Okay. So is it correct, based on the presentations made to the public, that, at the time the discussion section ended, you -- "you" meaning the Commissioners collectively -- were still working in dyads and caucuses to reach agreed proposals to bring before the Commission to vote on?
 - A. I believe that's the case.
- Q. Is it correct that you knew what Commissioner
 Sims said to the public during the discussion session from
 your conversations with her outside the meeting at this
 point in time?
 - A. Aspects of this, but not all of it.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember the resolution simply being a form that -- until you got the final maps drawn, that you would just be signing a placeholder resolution?
 - A. Say that again.

12:13:0204

12:10:004

12:10:135

12:10:246

12:11:087

12:11:328

12:11:359

12:11:370

12:11:401

12:11:432

12:11:483

12:11:524

12:11:575

12:12:006

12:12:117

12:12:208

12:12:279

12:12:270

12:12:381

12:12:4212

12:12:543

12:13:111 GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? I didn't quite capture that.

BY JOAN MELL:

12:13:172

12:13:193

12:13:214

12:13:215

12:13:246

12:13:29**7**

12:13:318

12:13:339

12:13:380

12:13:**451**

12:13:**502**

12:13:**133**

12:13:**194**

12:14:015

12:14:0136

12:14:**0**67

12:14:**0198**

12:14:**1**19

12:14:**240**

12:14:281

12:14:**1292**

12:14:**2**13

12:14:2224

12:14:2255

- Q. Did you sign a placeholder resolution that still would require a final pass for the final map?
- A. I'm not sure what you mean by a "placeholder resolution."
- Q. Meaning a resolution that -- that -- that didn't detail a map because they weren't yet drawn.
- A. So I voted for a plan that was in the process of getting reflected on the maps, but we had missed the deadline for the actual completion of maps by the midnight deadline on November 15th.
- Q. So would you agree that the resolution was a placeholder?
- A. I wouldn't describe it as a placeholder. I would describe it as a redistricting plan for the Legislative and the Congressional maps that was then the basis for finalizing the actual mapping.
 - Q. Well, would you --
- A. I would not call -- I would not call it a placeholder.
- Q. But you didn't have -- but you didn't have any defined District boundaries at the time you signed the

resolution; correct?

12:14:281

12:14:302

12:14:333

12:14:374

12:14:415

12:14:426

12:14:437

12:14:458

12:14:489

12:14:**110**

12:14:**5**6**1**

12:14:**192**

12:15:033

12:15:054

12:15:**175**

12:15:0186

12:15:117

12:15:708

12:15:**149**

12:15:280

12:15:**221**

12:15:3232

12:15:3263

12:15:**2**9**4**

12:15:**255**

- A. That's not entirely true. There -- there were maps that -- as I had shared earlier in this testimony, that Commissioner Fain and I had exchanged.
 - Q. Right. But --
 - A. And we --
- Q. But you didn't have any finalized District boundaries at the time you signed the resolution; correct?
- A. We did not vote on maps. I do actually think we had a set of District boundaries that were the basis for what we completed after midnight, but there was -- there was mapping happening during this period.
- Q. And -- and in that mapping, District boundaries were being defined; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. So is it -- is it correct that the resolution you signed didn't express the final District boundaries?
- A. It did -- it expressed the ingredients of those and the directions to generate them; but, no, it was not the final maps.
- Q. Well, what portion of the -- of the resolution described any component of your proposal?
- A. It was -- there were the -- there were criteria that we'd talked about earlier that were the basis --

- 12:15:491 Q. Okay. But it's -- it's correct that --
 - A. -- generated.

12:15:512

12:15:513

12:15:514

12:16:015

12:16:036

12:16:047

12:16:068

12:16:129

12:16:220

12:16:251

12:16:182

12:16:**3**23

12:16:**3**6**4**

12:16:405

12:16:426

12:16:**4**6**7**

12:16:**4**88

12:16:529

12:16:5240

12:17:**211**

12:17:0232

12:17:0273

12:17:**244**

12:17:**275**

COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear the answer because there were two voices at once.

THE WITNESS: I can't recall what I said now.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Let me ask the question again.

Is it correct that the resolution does not contain any element of either proposal that you voted on in the motion to adopt a Congressional or Legislative District?

- A. The resolution does not contain all of the detailed criteria that were then used to translate into the actual maps. That's correct.
- Q. Does the resolution contain any element of either proposal?
- A. I believe the resolution we voted on was standard language that was shared with us in advance.
- Q. All right. Did you sign the resolution before you voted on the proposal?
 - A. I don't follow.
- Q. Did you sign the -- a form resolution before you had any proposal adopted?
- A. I may not be following, but I believe the -- the resolution was the reflection of the proposal.

- WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT vs STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 12:17:251 How was it a reflection of the proposal Ο. Okay. 12:17:272 if the proposal wasn't reflected in the resolution? 12:17:35**3** You may correct me if I'm wrong here, but I --Α. 12:17:384 my understanding of the resolution is that it was a preset 12:17:425 standard resolution that was provided to us prior -- prior 12:17:476 to the actual vote as a form resolution. 12:17:537 Q. Right. Right. So you would agree then that the resolution that 12:17:568 12:18:019 you signed was not a reflection of either proposal and 12:18:090 certainly not of any map because they weren't drawn. 12:18:**13** I don't believe that the resolution was Α. No. 12:18:162 intended to contain any details as such. If I'm correct, 12:18:**1**03 the resolution was a procedural step. 12:18:284 And then you agree that the resolution was
 - - signed before the proposal was agreed upon?
 - I agree that the resolution was signed Α. before maps. I'm not sure that I'm tracking your --
 - Was the resolution --Ο.
 - -- line of questioning. Α.
 - Did you sign the resolution before there was a 0. motion to adopt a resolution?
 - Α. I -- I'm not sure. I'm getting a little lost in your questions.
 - 0. Did you have a resolution that -- that you signed before in the public meeting there was a motion to

12:18:315

12:18:**356**

12:18:**3**87

12:18:428

12:18:**4**39

12:18:4240

12:18:4261

12:18:**242**

12:18:**273**

12:18:5294

12:19:0215

adopt a resolution?

12:19:061

12:19:092

12:19:113

12:19:134

12:19:165

12:19:206

12:19:227

12:19:248

12:19:279

12:19:300

12:19:**3**31

12:19:**422**

12:19:**443**

12:19:**474**

12:19:125

12:19:146

12:19:**177**

12:19:598

12:20:019

12:20:2260

12:20:**2**1

12:20:**242**

12:20:**293**

12:20:284

12:20:3205

- A. Are you asking if I had signed it before there was a resolution to sign it?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. I don't believe so, but I'm not sure. You may need to look through the transcript. I -- I'm getting lost in your questions. I don't understand.
- Q. Well, I'm just wondering if you -- if you had anticipated that you needed to sign the resolution and they circulated the resolution for signature and you signed it before there was even a motion.
- A. Again, I believe there was a motion on the resolution and then we signed it. But I'm not sure -- I believe -- I believe that I signed the transmittal letter and the resolution. I mean, there's a timestamp on my signature. It was -- I believe it was shortly after midnight.
- Q. Do you remember whether or not the -- when you signed either document, the document was in its final form?
- A. I may be incorrect, but I believe these documents were procedural documents that were provided to us, not detailed documents.
- Q. What happened in between the discussion section and the action section?

LITIGATION SERVICES

schedule@balitigation.com

- 12:20:381 A. I don't recall. This is very close to midnight,
 12:20:412 and there was a lot of discussion between myself and Joe
 12:20:453 on --
 - Q. What caused you to -- I'm sorry.
 - A. Go ahead.
 - Q. What caused you to finally concede sufficiently or cause him to concede sufficiently that you reached agreement in order to move a measure?
 - A. The -- the midnight deadline.
 - Q. Was there any communication to take a vote on proposals?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: In contrast to what?

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Maps.
- A. On -- not that I recall.

I believe at the time there was -- there was confusion on -- on -- on what -- there was confusion on what we were voting on at that specific moment.

And I think that that is -- and I think that

I -- speaking for myself, I made the decision to -- it

was -- I was unclear how I was going to vote, first of

all, in the minutes leading up to this vote, particularly

on the Legislative maps.

And, secondly, I voted in favor of them in -- in

12:20:454

12:20:485

12:20:496

12:20:537

12:20:568

12:21:009

12:21:040

12:21:09

12:21:132

12:21:1143

12:21:164

12:21:175

12:21:186

12:21:217

12:21:238

12:21:269

12:21:3200

12:21:241

12:21:3292

12:21:4223

12:21:4274

- a good-faith effort to complete the maps that I believed these plans provided the directions to create.
 - Q. Okay. So you knew the work wasn't done when you voted. You just wanted to keep the process moving?
 - A. I knew that the maps were not completed when I voted, yes. And I did also believe that our responsibility was to create maps.
 - Q. Oh, wow. I just got a notification that some apartments very near my home in Tacoma and Fircrest are lit up and burning down.
 - A. Oh.
 - Q. Yeah. Very sad. They're beautiful, and it's kind of a scary thing.

GREG WONG: Do you want to break now? Do you want to take care of that?

JOAN MELL: No. I think I -- it's -- honestly, it's very close to -- I'll need to check on it on the break so let me just finish. I want to get through this transcript.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Did you understand at the time you voted that you need to ensure that the maps reflected what you voted on?
 - A. That -- that was my hope, yes.
 - Q. And what you voted on -- at the time you placed

12:22:490

12:21:541

12:21:592

12:22:053

12:22:084

12:22:115

12:22:156

12:22:187

12:22:208

12:22:259

12:22:290

12:22:311

12:22:312

12:22:343

12:22:364

12:22:375

12:22:396

12:22:417

12:22:458

12:22:499

- 12:22:5271
- **12:22:529**2
- 12:23:0283
- 12:23:0294
- 12:23:1225



a vote, you were still unsure whether or not you -- you and the others had a common understanding or agreement as to what you were voting on?

- A. I felt that there was a common understanding on what we were voting on. I think I was uncertain whether or not I supported it, but I do think there was a common understanding of what I was voting on.
- Q. How -- how did you form the beliefs that there was a common understanding among the voting Commissioners on what they were voting on?
- A. The -- the criteria that I'd gone through before.
- Q. And are you talking as to both Congressional and Legislative?
- A. Well, in the case of the Congressionals, I had a very -- I had a probably even a more firm view of specifics.
- Q. Do you remember having -- before you placed your vote, do you remember hashing out those issues that you still needed to hash out with Fain, as expressed in the discussion section, between the time of the discussion session and the vote?
- A. I believe so. I believe we had some very kind of quick conversations in that period.
 - Q. And you believed that you also had a quick

12:23:161

12:23:202

12:23:243

12:23:274

12:23:285

12:23:316

12:23:35**7**

12:23:378

12:23:389

12:23:420

12:23:**451**

12:23:482

12:23:533

12:24:004

12:24:005

12:24:036

12:24:**0**67

12:24:0198

12:24:1149

12:24:1280

12:24:2241

12:24:2272

12:24:**2**93

12:24:**224**

12:24:3255

conversation with Sims to reflect what you and Fain finally decided?

- A. I very likely did. I do not recall it.
- Q. Okay. And same with Sims to you as to what she and Graves had decided?
- A. I think I had an understanding of the proposal they were bringing forward, yes, from -- from conversations with Commissioner Sims.

I don't know that I -- I don't know that it was clear how I was going to vote on it, but I do think I had an understanding of what was coming forward.

- Q. And you understood that she and Graves had reached an agreement.
- A. Between the two of them on a proposal to bring forward, yes.
 - Q. Okay. All right.

And then you would agree that, at the time you voted, nothing was -- neither proposal was expressed in writing?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Was there anything done -- strike that.

Were there any communications in between this discussion section and the action section from any outside source that -- that caused the -- caused you to compromise on any of your elements?

12:24:381

12:24:422

12:24:453

12:24:474

12:24:525

12:24:576

12:25:007

12:25:018

12:25:039

12:25:**150**

12:25:**181**

12:25:112

12:25:1153

12:25:**1**8**4**

12:25:**2**15

12:25:216

12:25:227

12:25:428

12:25:469

12:25:**270**

12:26:0241

12:26:0282

12:26:213

12:26:254

12:26:2245

- A. Not that I remember. I mean, I -- I mean, Ali
 12:26:302 O'Neil, who we'll probably speak about, and I were
 12:26:343 communicating very regularly during this period. And she
 12:26:364 did reach out to me and encourage me not to vote for the
 12:26:395 final proposal, which she also outlined in her memo. So I
 12:26:436 spoke with her in that period. I don't remember other
 12:26:477 conversations.
 - Q. Is there a reason why you didn't follow her advice?
 - A. I was very conflicted on -- and ultimately decided to make the decision to go ahead.
 - Q. So is it your understanding that when Commissioner Augustine said, "The first item is our final congressional plan. I wonder if there's a motion to adopt a final Congressional plan," that the agreement between the dyads had been communicated to her?
 - A. I don't know. I -- I don't know in what detail.

 I don't know the answer to that.
 - Q. Did you communicate to Augustine that you and Fain had reached an agreement on the Congressional proposal?
 - A. Not to my knowledge. I don't believe that I did.
 - Q. Did Sims say anything to you about any communication she had with Augustine?

12:26:498

12:26:519

12:26:530

12:26:581

12:27:052

12:27:083

12:27:104

12:27:145

12:27:196

12:27:247

12:27:288

12:27:309

12:27:340

12:27:391

12:27:3292

12:27:4223

12:27:424

12:27:4245

- 12:27:471 A. No, not that I remember.
 - O. Did Fain?

12:27:492

12:27:513

12:27:544

12:27:585

12:28:026

12:28:037

12:28:158

12:28:199

12:28:220

12:28:281

12:28:302

12:28:353

12:28:374

12:28:395

12:28:456

12:28:477

12:28:538

12:28:59

12:29:0230

12:29:0251

12:29:132

12:29:1243

12:29:274

12:29:215

- A. Not that I remember.
- Q. Do you remember there being input from Laurie Jinkins to just take a vote on anything, to just do something before midnight?
 - A. I don't remember that.
- Q. So the extent to which you knew what the final Congressional plan was that you were voting on is comprised in these two sentences -- Augustine saying, "There's a motion to adopt a final Congressional plan," and Fain's, "So moved"?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: This is a really chaotic moment.

And I think that what -- there was some confusion.

And I think that what I had previously described in my commentary prior -- earlier in the night was effectively this. And it -- there were a couple other areas that Commissioner Fain had raised that we resolved in the intervening period. So that was my understanding of what we were moving.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. And similarly with regard to the extent of what you knew about, "Do I have a motion to approve," meaning a final Legislative plan, and Graves saying, "So moved," was

what you'd heard from Sims?

12:29:261

12:29:302

12:29:33

12:29:374

12:29:405

12:29:426

12:29:467

12:29:538

12:29:549

12:29:570

12:30:011

12:30:042

12:30:**143**

12:30:**174**

12:30:**2**15

12:30:**3**66

12:30:**3**77

12:30:**428**

12:30:**479**

12:30:**200**

12:30:5221

12:30:562

12:30:**273**

12:30:**294**

12:31:0245

- A. And the conversations over the preceding months -- well, public and in -- as was described here:

 Caucus conversations.
- Q. Right. But -- but you don't know how that finally got integrated into an agreement between Sims and Graves other than what she told you immediately before going into the action.

So between -- between the discussion and action portions of the meeting, the extent of what you knew about the agreed-upon proposal is what she told you at that moment; correct?

- A. In -- and prior to that, yes. But -- yes. And an update in that moment, if there were final things to be resolved between them.
- Q. Were you having any trouble during the meeting, or were you in it the whole time that it was public --
- A. There were some unfortunate internet lapses at the closing time of the meeting. So I do believe I was probably kicked off -- on and off a couple times.
- Q. So were you even -- did you even vote on these items?
- A. I signed the -- I signed the letter, and I believe that I voted, but I may not have had my vote registered in the transcript.

- 12:31:091 Q. Do you remember these motions?
 - A. Yes. I was -- I was there.
 - Q. Do you remember there being some question about whether or not you voted or how you voted?
 - A. Do you mean me specifically or the Commission?
 - O. You.

12:31:112

12:31:223

12:31:254

12:31:315

12:31:346

12:31:35**7**

12:31:378

12:31:389

12:31:400

12:31:411

12:31:462

12:31:523

12:32:004

12:32:115

12:32:136

12:32:**167**

12:32:078

12:32:079

12:32:2290

12:32:**2**2**1**

12:32:292

12:32:213

12:32:**244**

12:32:3205

- A. No.
- Q. Do you remember --
- A. Could you say more what -- what do you mean by that?
- Q. I think Ali O'Neil -- or maybe it's the text -- I can't remember. Somewhere I read a communication between staff questioning how you had voted.

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Do you mean -- again, for clarification, do you mean whether I voted yes or no or whether I voted?

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Yeah. Yeah. Which way you voted, whether or not you rejected the proposal or affirmed it.
 - A. Oh, oh. I affirmed in both cases.
 - Q. Do you remember anything about that?
- A. No. I don't -- I'm actually not familiar with what you're referencing.
 - Q. Let me do one last thing here, see if I can do

12:32:331 this real quickly. Joan, are you thinking about doing 12:32:582 GREG WONG: 12:33:003 one more exhibit and then breaking for lunch? 12:33:034 JOAN MELL: Yeah. That's what I'm thinking of. 12:33:045 I'm just trying to get the -- there were prior exhibits. 12:33:056 I'm just trying to pull them back out here. 12:33:157 Here we go. That guy -- hold on just a second. I need one other. Okay. No. Not that one. That's not 12:33:238 12:33:389 the one I need. Is it this one? Just a second here. 12:35:340 Sorry. This is painful. I know exactly what it 12:35:**3**71 is, and I just can't get my hands on it. Just a second 12:35:412 here. 12:35:413 Here we go. Okay. This is it. 45. Okay. 12:35:534 BY JOAN MELL: 12:35:565 0. All right. So showing you what's been marked 12:35:586 as -- well, no. I can't do it that way. You won't get 12:36:0137 that. Let me just see here. All right. 12:36:098 Showing you what's been marked as -- I don't remember what exhibit number, but it's the final 12:36:139 resolution letter_11.15.21. I think it's Exhibit 44. 12:36:250

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Do you recognize your signature on this document?

identification.)

(Deposition Exhibit 44 was marked for

12:36:2281

12:36:282

12:36:2293

12:36:3204

12:36:3215

12:36:341 I do. Α.

12:36:424

12:36:455

12:36:496

12:36:547

12:37:038

12:37:079

12:37:090

12:37:131

12:37:142

12:37:183

12:37:224

12:37:235

12:37:266

12:37:337

12:37:358

12:37:389

12:37:430

12:37:4241

12:37:482

12:37:4293

- 12:36:352 And do you recall having executed it on the 0. 12:36:413 16th?
 - At 12:04 a.m. on the 16th, yeah. Α.
 - And do you remember whether or not, when you executed the document, this content was contained in there? These file paths?
 - If those are referring to the final maps, the Α. answer is I -- they were not -- they were not contained there at the time of the signing.

Okay. All right. Let's go ahead JOAN MELL: and take a lunch break right now, if you guys are amenable to that.

> GREG WONG: Sounds good.

JOAN MELL: I have it's 1:37 here, 12:37 there. So you want to come back at 1:30? Does that work? Is that too long? Do you want to keep it going?

I mean, as long as we're wrapping up GREG WONG: by 5:00, I don't know kind of your pace. I'm fine with -do you want to do --

JOAN MELL: Let's do 1:15.

GREG WONG: Sounds good.

(Recess.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Ο. Okay. Commissioner Walkinshaw, can you tell me, when the meeting ended on the 16th, what did you do?

- A. To situate the timing, when the meeting ended on the 16th -- is that correct? Tuesday -- you're speaking about Tuesday, the 16th?
- Q. Right. I mean, I understand it was, like, 12:00 something in the morning, but it was still the 16th; correct?
- A. When the -- when the public meeting ended on the -- on the 15th, I don't recall immediately what I did.
- Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that it was before or after midnight when the public meeting that started on the 15th ended?
- A. That's correct. It was around the time I signed that transmittal letter so shortly after midnight.
- Q. Okay. So did you reconvene the meeting with the Commissioners in the banquet room of the Hampton?

 GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. We did not -- it wasn't a new public meeting. We did not reconvene.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. It was not a public meeting at all; correct?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. But you did meet in the banquet room with the

13:18:501

13:19:002

13:19:043

13:19:064

13:19:085

13:19:116

13:19:137

13:19:15**8**

13:19:189

13:19:230

13:19:**2**61

13:19:322

13:19:**343**

13:19:**3**64

13:19:405

13:19:456

13:19:507

13:19:**158**

13:19:**189**

13:20:0210

13:20:0211

13:20:0242

13:20:**253**

13:20:0254

13:20:0265

other Commissioners; correct?

13:20:091

13:20:102

13:20:133

13:20:164

13:20:215

13:20:236

13:20:307

13:20:338

13:20:369

13:20:**400**

13:20:**4**31

13:20:442

13:20:443

13:20:**5**64

13:21:**045**

13:21:086

13:21:137

13:21:1188

13:21:**149**

13:21:280

13:21:**2**1

13:21:362

13:21:4213

13:21:4284

13:21:**205**

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And all four voting Commissioners were there plus Sarah Augustine, the Chair; correct?
 - A. During some moments of it, yes.
- Q. Well, was there communication between you and any of the other Commissioners that resulted in all of you convening in the banquet room?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I -- I don't recall the specifics of how that happened.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Do you recall anything about how it happened?
- A. There was a desire after the vote on the Congressional and Legislative plans to now complete the maps that those plans specified.
- Q. Was that desire communicated prior to the action item or after the action item?
- A. The work to draw the maps was already underway prior to midnight so it was a continuation of what had already been initiated.
- Q. Prior to the action item of the agenda, did anyone convene in the banquet room?
- A. Yes. I believe Commissioner Augustine was working from there, and I believe there were some

- conversations. I went into the banquet room once over the course of that -- prior to --
 - Q. Right. To talk to who?
- A. I had a -- I had a one-on-one meeting with Commissioner Graves on the 14th or the 15th. I can't recall.
- Q. What -- what did you say to him and what did he say to you?
- A. From what I recall, the main purpose of that meeting on my part was to express my concerns about the 14th and the 15th Legislative Districts and the Voting Rights Act.
 - Q. And you did that?
 - A. I did.

13:21:521

13:21:562

13:22:003

13:22:014

13:22:055

13:22:106

13:22:157

13:22:188

13:22:239

13:22:250

13:22:291

13:22:342

13:22:343

13:22:354

13:22:385

13:22:416

13:22:447

13:22:488

13:22:519

13:22:5280

13:23:0221

13:23:0272

13:23:123

13:23:1244

13:23:205

- Q. What did he say to you?
- A. I think we were in disagreement, and it was not a long meeting.
- Q. Did you take back the content of what you communicated with Graves in that meeting to Sims?
- A. I -- I probably kept her updated on that, yes.

 I think it was no secret, both privately and publicly,
 that I had concerns about the 14th and 15th Districts.
- Q. And the -- your concerns about the 14th and 15th District related to the Voting Rights Act?
 - A. Yes. And the -- and the drawing of the lines in

ways that included the Yakama Nation.

- Q. And what was the Voting Rights Act issue for you?
- A. Effectively, everything that I had said publicly in reports that we commissioned -- the -- the Senate

 Democratic Caucus had commissioned with regards to the

 Voting Rights Act, which is a lot of -- a set of issues.
- Q. What were the Voting Rights Act issues with the 14th and 15th?
- A. Just broadly, they centered around the percentage of what's called Citizen Voting Age Population of a single minority community, and that's -- that's where my -- that's where some of my concerns had been throughout the process.
- Q. And did you get any concessions on your concerns in the proposal you voted on?
- A. I think aspects of my -- the concerns that I'd raised publicly were incorporated.
 - Q. And what were those aspects?
- A. Specifically the way the 14th was drawn is actually majority Hispanic by citizen voting age.
- Q. And was that a boundary line that was agreed upon during the meeting on the 16th, or was it in the proposal when you voted?
 - A. I think it was -- I think it was -- I had a

13:23:241

13:23:282

13:23:303

13:23:344

13:23:385

13:23:436

13:23:477

13:23:508

13:23:539

13:23:50

13:24:031

13:24:062

13:24:1143

13:24:1194

13:24:195

13:24:236

13:24:327

13:24:378

13:24:469

13:24:5210

13:24:561

13:25:012

13:25:0253

13:25:1234

13:25:1295

clear understanding of where the boundary line would be by the time I voted on the 15th.

- And it included the resolution of the Voting Ο. Rights Act issue as to that population for the 14th and 15th?
- Α. It included a resolution, not the resolution that I was advocating for; but it included a resolution, yes.
 - And what was the resolution that it included? Ο.
- What I just described: It was the 14th Α. Legislative District being drawn to be majority Hispanic by Citizen Voting Age Population.
- That is not what you proposed as -- proposed, Ο. but that's what was incorporated that you believed was in the proposal you voted on?
 - That's correct. Α.
 - Okay. All right. Q.

So going back to getting into the banquet room post-public meeting, did you understand, prior to the meeting -- the public meeting having been completely that you would convene in the banquet room after the vote?

- Α. No.
- Okay. So, after the vote and the public meeting 0. ended, what precipitated you going to the banquet room?
 - Α. I was very tired at the time, and I believe it

13:25:211

13:25:252

13:25:283

13:25:314

13:25:345

13:25:366

13:25:407

13:25:448

13:25:459

13:25:500

13:25:53

13:25:572

13:26:003

13:26:034

13:26:065

13:26:086

13:26:097

13:26:118

13:26:219

13:26:290

13:26:341

13:26:3292

13:26:4213

13:26:4284

13:27:0225

was to complete the actions that we had just recently voted on.

- So why did you think that you could complete the 0. actions you had just voted on in the banquet room?
- Because it felt like there was a set of activities which were left, which was to translate the agreement we just voted on into what became the maps that were completed after the deadline.
- Okay. But why did you think that those activities could be completed in the banquet room? Object to form. GREG WONG:

I -- I think because that's --THE WITNESS: that happened to be where a lot of the caucus staff had been working. There was some computers set up there, and people were kind of busily working on continuing the activities that they'd been doing prior to the midnight deadline.

BY JOAN MELL:

- So had you directed your caucus staff to go to the banquet room to work on completing the actions necessary to be completed on the 16th?
- In the banquet room, yes. Ali O'Neil, who was Α. working closely with me, and Paul Campos, who was working closely with Joe Fain, were working on finalizing the Congressional maps together.

13:27:041

13:27:132

13:27:143

13:27:174

13:27:255

13:27:276

13:27:307

13:27:358

13:27:389

13:27:410

13:27:451

13:27:502

13:27:523

13:27:554

13:27:585

13:28:016

13:28:057

13:28:068

13:28:069

13:28:0290

13:28:1221

13:28:272

13:28:2213

13:28:264

13:28:2215

- Walkinshaw, Brady January 18, 2022 13:28:331 But before the -- before the public meeting had Ο. ended, did you know that Ali was in the banquet room? 13:28:442 13:28:49**3** Before the public -- no. Α. 13:28:534 Did you go --0. 13:28:54**5** Α. I don't believe so. 13:28:546 Did you go meet with Ali and then the two of you Q. 13:28:577 go to the banquet room? 13:29:008 I don't remember the exact sequence. Α. 13:29:049 Okay. To the best of your recollection, you Ο. 13:29:1120 don't remember any communications from the Chair or from 13:29:1151 Lisa McLean or anyone else saying, "Go to the banquet 13:29:1192 room"? 13:29:**1**03 I don't. Α. 13:29:**134** remember. 13:29:275
 - There may have been, but I do not
 - In the banquet room, did you at any time have a conversation with more than one Commissioner on the 16th after the public meeting?
 - I -- I did have conversations with -- I had individual conversations with different Commissioners, yes.
 - But my question was did you have a Okay. Ο. conversation with more than one Commissioner in the banquet room on the 16th after the meeting.

Meaning, was there any point in time where three -- you were within earshot or talking to two other

13:29:336

13:29:**3**67

13:29:**408**

13:29:**449**

13:29:4260

13:29:4281

13:29:502

13:29:5253

13:30:0204

13:30:0225

voting Commissioners at any given time?

Α. Yes.

13:30:081

13:30:112

13:30:123

13:30:144

13:30:185

13:30:216

13:30:24**7**

13:30:308

13:30:369

13:30:**3**70

13:30:**3**91

13:30:512

13:30:553

13:31:**0.64**

13:31:**195**

13:31:**156**

13:31:**3**27

13:31:368

13:31:**179**

13:31:280

13:31:**42**1

13:31:4242

13:31:4263

13:31:5294

13:32:2205

- Okay. Who did you talk to -- which voting 0. Commissioners did you talk to on the 16th after the public meeting in the banguet room?
- Not in respect -- not in respect to the actual Α. maps that we were finalizing, but I did have some conversations with different Commissioners while we were in the banquet room.
 - That wasn't my question. 0. Okay.

What Commissioners did you speak to together in the banquet room after the public meeting on the 15th -or 16th? Excuse me.

- I don't remember the specifics, but I probably had -- I may well have had a conversation with two other Commissioners about topics that were not related to the actual translation of maps. And I'm not --
 - Were they --Ο.
- -- what those specifics -- I'm not sure what those -- I can't recall what those specifics were. I was very tired at the time.
- Did you talk to two other Commissioners in a Ο. conversation or in multiple conversations about redistricting?
 - Α. We probably spoke about things about being

fatigued, about some confusion around kind of the process with the vote. And I think that everyone was, at that time, very determined to finish the maps but continuing to work in those respective dyads in completing the maps.

So I think that was the primary activity that occurred was work in those dyads to finalize the maps with the criteria that we had just voted on.

- Q. Can you recite to me the content of any conversation you had with two other Commissioners in that banquet room?
 - A. I don't remember specifics.
- Q. Do you remember any conversation within earshot of two other Commissioners about publication of the maps?
- A. There was a conversation about publication of the maps. Oh, I should say, when the maps were completed, the publication of the maps, more operationally for how we should share those given that the deadline had passed.
 - 0. Okay.
- A. I recall sharing my opinion -- I'm not sure if I shared it in a group setting or it was just one individual Commissioner, but I do remember sharing my perspective on it.
- Q. Did you share your perspective audibly in a way that could be heard by more than one Commissioner?
 - A. I'm not sure, but my -- yeah. I'm not sure.

LITIGATION SERVICES

13:32:031

13:32:102

13:32:163

13:32:224

13:32:265

13:32:296

13:32:387

13:32:428

13:32:459

13:32:510

13:32:521

13:32:542

13:33:013

13:33:084

13:33:115

13:33:176

13:33:217

13:33:258

13:33:269

13:33:210

13:33:241

13:33:4202

13:33:4213

13:33:4244

13:33:4265

- 13:33:491 Q.
- 13:33:51**2**
- 13:33:55**3**
- 13:33:57**4**
- 13:34:065
- 13:34:086
- 13:34:11**7**
- 13:34:148
- 13:34:189
- 13:34:**130**
- 13:34:**241**
- 13:34:**172**
- 13:34:**3**03
- 13:34:**344**
- 13:34:**1**9**5**
- 13:34:**4**16
- 13:34:**4**67
- 13:34:**508**
- 13:34:**139**
- 13:34:**250**
- 13:35:0201
- 13:35:**242**
- 13:35:0253
- 13:35:0274
- 13:35:**225**

- Q. What do you remember saying?
- A. I think my perspective on it was that -- to avoid further confusion, that both of the maps, when they were completed, should be shared at the same time.
- Q. What do you remember any of the other Commissioners saying about that?
- A. I'm not sure. I think there was -- I think that that's what did end up happening later in the day on the 16th, but there was a fair bit of confusion that -- that early morning.

I also remember a very significant part of that early morning I actually -- the banquet room was quite large. I would say it could fit -- I don't know how many chairs were in there, but maybe 150 chairs.

I remember that I spent a very significant part of that early morning lying down on the far end of the conference room probably dozing off and asleep for portions as kind of work was being completed.

So I was -- there was a significant portion of time when I was not part of the nexus.

- Q. You realize you didn't answer the question?
- A. What was the question?
- Q. What do you remember any other Commissioner saying to you while you were in the banquet room?
 - A. About the -- the release of the maps?

13:35:161 Q. About anything.

13:35:182

13:35:29**3**

13:35:404

13:35:42**5**

13:35:456

13:35:49**7**

13:35:528

13:35:55**9**

13:35:**190**

13:36:**121**

13:36:**052**

13:36:**193**

13:36:**1**2**4**

13:36:**155**

13:36:176

13:36:257

13:36:278

13:36:309

13:36:230

13:36:**251**

13:36:**262**

13:36:**2**83

13:36:**214**

13:36:**245**

A. Oh, I think there was -- I think there was smalltalk. I think that there was -- there was work that Commissioner Sims -- Commissioner Sims and Graves were continuing to do on the Legislative map. There was work that Commissioner Fain and I continued to speak -- I would say at length, especially for the first hour after the vote because we hadn't -- we were working together to ensure that the map that we were drawing conformed with the criterias as we both understood it.

So that was a period where Commissioner Fain and I were in close conversation about a whole number of things, just to be sure that -- that what was being drawn conformed with what -- what we understood -- what we both understood we just voted on.

- Q. Did you hear from Graves or Sims on the leg maps in terms of whether or not the mapping they were doing -- the mapping decisions they were making as to the Legislative Districts conformed with what you voted on?
- A. More in the sense that, if there was communication, it was more in the sense of, like, "Everything's -- everything going okay?" Like, "Are things" -- just, "Are things on track?"

Because I think everyone was of the mindset that we were executing -- basically, I think folks in the room

at the time were of the mindset that we were implementing what had already been agreed to in the prior vote.

- Q. Did you at any time communicate with Sims or Graves to ascertain whether or not what you thought you voted on was reflected in the map?
 - A. I can't recall specifics.
- Q. Well, do you generally remember communicating with either Sims or Graves about the Legislative mapping?
- A. Generally, in the sense of what I had said before, which is kind of confirming that it was going along the direction we had all voted on.

I think we were all kind of in this mindset that we voted on these maps against this criteria and wanted to ensure that that was translated --

- Q. Did you ask -- did you ask either one of them about the criteria?
 - A. Not that I recall those specifics.
- Q. Do you remember generally communicating on any element of what you believed you voted on as it related to the Legislative mapping process that was occurring in the banquet room on the 15th -- on the 16th after the public meeting?
- A. Just -- just that I said that I -- there was probably some general check-ins of, "Is this on track?

 Are we -- how long is this going to take to do?"

13:36:481

13:36:502

13:36:573

13:37:004

13:37:025

13:37:216

13:37:247

13:37:268

13:37:369

13:37:380

13:37:**4**3L

13:37:462

13:37:483

13:37:524

13:37:545

13:37:576

13:38:017

13:38:068

13:38:079

13:38:1210

13:38:271

13:38:202

13:38:2213

13:38:254

13:38:3205

I think we were sensitive to the fact that a lot of staff had been up for many, many hours.

Q. Did you have more specific communications than "Is this on track"?

Was there ever a point in time when you talked to Sims or Graves about the Legislative map to ascertain where a District boundary was positioned on the 16th after the public meeting in the banquet room?

- A. Potentially. And, if I did, it was only in the context of ensuring that it was mapping to what we'd all agreed to.
- Q. Okay. So can you agree that you did talk about the Legislative District boundaries with Sims and Graves on the 16th in the banquet room?
- A. I agree to what I -- I just said, which is I -- I checked in. I may have checked in just to confirm that things were on track. But I didn't --
- Q. You did more than that, didn't you, Commissioner Walkinshaw?
 - A. -- make any decisions -- excuse me?
- Q. You did more than that. You -- your checking in is just ambiguous verbiage around the content of what you actually discussed.

Can you tell me whether or not you actually discussed Legislative District boundaries with Sims and/or

13:38:321

13:38:352

13:38:383

13:38:404

13:38:425

13:38:446

13:38:497

13:38:568

13:38:599

13:39:020

13:39:061

13:39:062

13:39:093

13:39:144

13:39:185

13:39:216

13:39:257

13:39:298

13:39:309

13:39:200

13:39:321

13:39:342

13:39:393

13:39:394

13:39:4225

Graves on the 16th in the banquet room after the public meeting?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No, not -- I did not make decisions on it. I did -- I --

BY JOAN MELL:

13:39:461

13:39:492

13:39:513

13:39:534

13:39:54**5**

13:39:566

13:39:577

13:39:588

13:40:029

13:40:050

13:40:**1141**

13:40:**172**

13:40:203

13:40:224

13:40:285

13:40:336

13:40:**3**87

13:40:**4**18

13:40:**459**

13:40:4270

13:40:**231**

13:40:5262

13:40:5293

13:41:0234

13:41:205

- Q. I didn't say that you made decisions on it. Did you discuss it? Did you have any content of your communications with Sims or Graves, was it specific enough to include a -- a District boundary?
- A. Insomuch as it was confirming that it was on track to what we voted on, yes.
- Q. Okay. And, for instance, did you -- did you talk to them about whether or not a District boundary created for the Legislative Districts resulted in a certain percentage political preference?
- A. If that had been what had been voted on -- which we did vote on criteria that were related to that -- then I -- I may have just checked in on the course of those -- of those subsequent hours to confirm that we were mapping in accordance with the criteria that we just voted on.
- Q. Okay. And so did your checking in include actual communications between Sims and Graves on those elements such that you were looking at where -- looking at a map? Did you look at a map?

- 13:41:141

 A. I actually did not see the final Legislative map

 13:41:172

 on -- which I've said in public comment. But I did not

 13:41:213

 see a Legislative map before it was transmitted to the

 13:41:244

 Court. So, no, I did not.
 - Q. I don't think that was my question.

 In the banquet room on the 16th, did you look at a Legislative map?
 - A. At a distance over a computer screen, I -- I saw a Legislative map, yes.
 - Q. And, when you were looking at that Legislative map, were Sims and Graves standing next to you?
 - A. At -- at some point, potentially. But, typically, no. I don't think -- like, there was a --
 - O. Well, what do --
 - A. -- conversation going on --
 - Q. -- you remember? Not -- I don't want potentials. What do you, sitting here today, know happened?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Were Sims and Graves standing next to you at any time you looked at a Legislative map in the banquet room on the 16th after the public meeting?
 - A. The -- this is what was happening: In my --
 - O. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.

13:41:255

13:41:276

13:41:307

13:41:368

13:41:409

13:41:410

13:41:441

13:41:502

13:41:533

13:41:564

13:41:565

13:41:566

13:41:587

13:42:018

13:42:029

13:42:0240

13:42:041

13:42:072

13:42:213

13:42:1234

13:42:265

13:42:181 No. Answer the question. Yes or no.

13:42:202

13:42:243

13:42:274

13:42:355

13:42:366

13:42:397

13:42:428

13:42:459

13:42:490

13:42:531

13:42:**132**

13:42:**5**63

13:42:**194**

13:43:015

13:43:026

13:43:**137**

13:43:0148

13:43:119

13:43:270

13:43:2201

13:43:**242**

13:43:**273**

13:43:2284

13:43:3205

Was Sims and Graves at any time standing next to you when you looked at a Legislative map in the banquet room on the 16th? Yes or no?

- Α. That may have happened once. I don't remember the specifics.
- Ο. Is it -- is it correct that you cannot recollect whether or not, at any time you were looking at a Legislative map on the 16th in the banquet room after the public meeting, Sims and Graves were standing next to you?
- I did -- I did see a Legislative map that Α. Yes. was in process of being drawn to conform with the vote that we had just taken. I don't remember --
 - 0. Was --
- -- specifics of who was standing where as I was Α. looking.
- Okay. Do you have any recollection as to whether or not Sims or Graves said anything to you when you were looking at the Legislative District map in the banquet room on the 16th after the public meeting?
- And, again, I would say just what I -- I had Α. just said, which is that --
- 0. No. I want to know whether or not you have a specific recollection of actual words used coming from

13:43:351 Sims or Graves when you were looking at a Legislative
13:43:372 District map on the 16th in the banquet room. Can you
13:43:413 give me --

- A. No. I don't have -- I don't have a specific verbatim recollection that I could share.
- Q. And do you have a recollection at all of any words used by Sims or Graves while you were looking at a Legislative District map in the banquet room on the 16th in the public meeting?
 - A. Yes. Along the lines of --
 - Q. After the public meeting. Excuse me.
- A. Yeah. Yes, I do. Along the lines of what I had just said, which is, "Yes, things are on track." Like, "This is conforming to how we voted."
- Q. Do you -- do you have a recollection of either Sims or Graves saying anything to you specific about where the boundaries were drawn to meet any particular political preference metric?
- A. No. I don't have specific recollection of -- of those specifics other than what I shared, which is that -- that it was on track along the criteria that we discussed, and that --
 - Q. Do you --
- A. -- Commissioner Sims was -- was working very closely on it. And that's what I recall.

13:43:424

13:43:445

13:43:476

13:43:517

13:43:568

13:44:009

13:44:020

13:44:041

13:44:**0**62

13:44:**1**93

13:44:**11**4

13:44:175

13:44:216

13:44:257

13:44:318

13:44:**1**89

13:44:4210

13:44:**241**

13:44:**4292**

13:44:5233

13:44:**234**

13:44:**265**

- Q. Do you remember any words from Sims or Graves
 when you were looking at a Legislative District map about
 the District boundaries for any of the tribes?
 - A. Again, I don't -- I couldn't tell you specifics. There might have been something said, but I can't tell you specifics.

That was part of the vote -- that was part of the criteria that I would have expected to have been included in the map based on the vote that we took.

- Q. And is it correct to say that you were going through a checklist with Sims and Graves when looking at the Legislative map to ensure --
- A. No, that -- that's not correct. I was -- I was not personally going through a checklist on the Legislative maps with Sims and Graves, no.
- Q. Were you doing any checking by way of communications with Sims or Graves on the Legislative mapping as it relates to what you voted on?
- A. Yes. Along the lines of what I just said, which is asking Commissioner Sims while we were sitting there,
 "Are things going well? Is this on track? Is this conforming with what we'd agreed to?"
- Q. And you cannot be any more specific than that at this point in time as to what you said or as what -- as to what they said with regard to the Legislative mapping

13:45:204

13:45:23**5**

13:45:266

13:45:277

13:45:298

13:45:329

13:45:350

13:45:**3**61

13:45:402

13:45:**4**23

13:45:**4**3**4**

13:45:**475**

13:45:516

13:45:557

13:46:0108

13:46:**139**

13:46:2260

13:46:**2281**

13:46:**2**|**2**|

13:46:253

13:46:284

13:46:205

process in the banquet room on the 16th after the public meeting?

- Specifics may have come up. But if -- but if I Α. have a recollection, it was -- I can't recall specific District lines, for example. However, I -- I -- as I just shared, did check in and likely had a conversation along those lines.
- All right. With regard to the Legislative Ο. mapping, do you remember giving any input to either the staff people present?
- I believe at the time that -- I believe at that Α. time there was a period where I think Ali O'Neil may also have been looking at the Legislative maps as they were being drawn to confirm that they lined up with what had been voted on.

So I think, if anything, there was probably some amount of fact checking or just some -- some affirmation or confirmation that was going on as this was being done.

- Were you -- were you doing that fact checking with Ali in front of Sims and Graves?
- Again, I wasn't doing the fact checking with Α. I think that -- I think that she and I may have Ali. had -- we were kind of physically separated.

We may have had a conversation that was along the lines of, like, "Is this -- what do you think? How's

13:46:241

13:46:272

13:46:29**3**

13:46:31**4**

13:46:365

13:46:406

13:46:46**7**

13:46:478

13:46:519

13:46:550

13:47:**0**61

13:47:**1192**

13:47:**1**63

13:47:**1**8**4**

13:47:**235**

13:47:**266**

13:47:**297**

13:47:**3/28**

13:47:369

13:47:3290

13:47:**42**3**1**

13:47:**2**62

13:47:**42**93

it going? When will this get done by?"

I think everyone was very keen to try and complete the mapping activities as -- as soon as we could.

- Did you give input to Ali as to the Legislative Ο. maps in front of Sims or Graves?
 - Not in specifics that I can recall. Α.
 - 0. But you did communicate; you just can't recall?
- I'm sure that I communicated with Ali in that Α. period, yes.
 - In front of Sims and Graves? 0.
- In -- in a room where they also were. Α. I quess it's a matter of -- I guess it's a matter of perspective on -- what you mean by "in front of."
- Did you think Sims or Graves ever heard any of your communications with Ali about the Legislative maps? GREG WONG: Form.

They may have. I don't recall a THE WITNESS: conversation that the group of us had, though.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Okay. Did you -- do you recall any 0. conversations you had with Ali in the presence of any of the -- on the Legislative maps in the presence of either Sims or Fain's staff?
- Α. Say -- so which Commissioners did you say? Fain

13:48:001

13:48:042

13:48:083

13:48:144

13:48:175

13:48:276

13:48:307

13:48:348

13:48:379

13:48:390

13:48:421

13:48:452

13:48:483

13:48:534

13:48:565

13:48:596

13:49:017

13:49:038

13:49:059

13:49:0260

13:49:0281

13:49:232

13:49:273

13:49:2224

13:49:255

- 13:49:261
- 13:49:34**2**
- 13:49:36**3**
- 13:49:42**4**
- 13:49:445
- 13:49:4%
- 13:49:537
- 13:49:558
- 13:49:579
- 13:50:000
- 13:50:**181**
- 13:50:**1**12
- 13:50:**1**33
- 13:50:**1**9**4**
- 13:50:215
- 13:50:**236**
- 13:50:**2**67
- 13:50:**2**88
- 13:50:**3**19
- 13:50:**250**
- 13:50:**291**
- 13:50:**4282**
- 13:50:**233**
- 13:50:**254**
- 13:51:0205

- O. Oh, I meant Graves or Sims.
- A. Yes. It's likely that I had a conversation at some point with both Osta Davis and Ali O'Neil, and Osta was working with Ali. I don't -- I don't remember any conversation that I had at any point with Commissioner Graves' staff.
 - Q. I don't know -- I'm not following.
- Is it correct that you believe, on the 16th after the public meeting in the banquet room, that you had conversations with Ali in front of Anton or Osta?
- A. Well, I -- I believe it's possible that I had a conversation in front of Osta. I spent -- I did speak with Osta. I do not -- I do not remember ever having a conversation with Anton.
 - Q. How about Dominique?
- A. Yes. I had -- I did have conversations in front of Dominique.
- Q. And, in those conversations you had with Dominique, who else was present?
- A. We -- we had conversations in -- on the 15th and on the 16th where Ali was present and I was obviously present. A lot of those, too, were about just checking in to -- just making sure things were moving along. But, yes, Osta may also have been present.
 - Q. And was Sims or Graves present for any of those

conversations?

13:51:031

13:51:042

13:51:07**3**

13:51:124

13:51:1**45**

13:51:156

13:51:187

13:51:228

13:51:249

13:51:270

13:51:291

13:51:**1**12

13:51:**143**

13:51:**174**

13:51:**4**1**5**

13:51:416

13:51:**4**37

13:51:**4178**

13:51:509

13:51:5220

13:51:**241**

13:51:**272**

13:52:**2213**

13:52:**234**

- Never Graves. I -- I didn't communicate with --Α. I can't remember if -- again, I can't remember with any conversations I had with Commissioner Graves other than the one I shared earlier.
- So was Sims present for any of the conversations O. you and Ali had with Dominique and Osta?
- Α. Yes. And on the 15th as well, yes. On the 15th, a group of us did speak.
- And how about on the 16th in the banquet room Ο. after the public meeting?
- I -- I can't recall a group conversation that Α. the group of us had. But I -- I definitely spoke with Osta, if nothing else, just to check in on how she was doing.
 - On the mapping? Ο.
- Also just as a person being up for so many hours Α. and being exhausted from mapping.
- Okay. But you were talking to Osta about the Ο. mapping specifics; right?
- Not -- again, I wouldn't say specifics. Α. Ι think, if I was talking to Osta, it was -- it was much more along the lines of what I said earlier, just, like, "Are you doing okay?" Checking in.
 - Are you getting mapping --Q.

"How's it going?" Α.

13:52:081

13:52:082

13:52:113

13:52:164

13:52:185

13:52:196

13:52:237

13:52:278

13:52:319

13:52:330

13:52:36

13:52:392

13:52:423

13:52:454

13:52:465

13:52:496

13:52:507

13:52:548

13:52:589

13:53:0200

13:53:0211

13:53:0222

13:53:0253

- -- did you -- do you recall needing to know O. whether or not the mapping was resulting in District boundaries consistent with the elements you thought you voted on?
- I mean, I -- as I've said publicly and as Α. No. is in my text messages too, I actually didn't see the maps -- the Legislative maps before they were completed. So, no.
- So, at the time that you were looking at the Ο. Legislative maps, you were not affirming whether or not the Legislative maps complied with elements you voted on? Or you were?
 - If anything --Α.
- Ο. On the 16th. On the 16th in the banquet room after the public meeting.
- Α. I was primarily in that time working with Commissioner Fain on finalizing the Congressional maps on -- and physically separated.
 - That's not what I'm asking. Ο.
 - I'm getting there. Α.
- I -- I did -- if there was a conversation, it was along the lines of just checking in and saying, "How is it going? Is it all tracking right?"
 - And I would get an affirmation probably from

Commissioner Sims, like, "Okay. Yeah. This is what we voted on."

I don't remember ever making any decisions with regards to the Legislative map lines on the 16th, personally.

- Q. Did you ever have any communications that would lead you to believe that the Legislative mapping was progressing in conformance with what you voted on, on the 16th --
- A. I think that's exactly what I just said is that
 I -- I would -- I feel like there may have been a
 conversation there where I might have said -- and
 Commissioner Sims was also in the room. I may have said
 something.

We were separate by physical distance. I may have walked over and said, "Are things going okay over here? Like, are things tracking?"

And then she very well said -- would have said, "Yes."

And, again, I didn't see -- I didn't see -- I didn't actually see the boundaries of the Legislative maps until the following day --

- Q. Okay.
- A. -- when they were shared.
- Q. So let's move now to the Congressional mapping

13:53:151

13:53:172

13:53:183

13:53:214

13:53:245

13:53:256

13:53:307

13:53:338

13:53:409

13:53:400

13:53:**4**3L

13:53:462

13:53:483

13:53:514

13:53:535

13:53:566

13:53:587

13:54:008

13:54:029

13:54:0250

13:54:0281

13:54:1222

13:54:1243

13:54:244

13:54:275

13:54:221 process.

13:54:222

13:54:253

13:54:304

13:54:33**5**

13:54:386

13:54:41**7**

13:54:438

13:54:499

13:54:540

13:54:561

13:55:012

13:55:**123**

13:55:**144**

13:55:**175**

13:55:**2**06

13:55:247

13:55:768

13:55:319

13:55:2270

13:55:4211

13:55:4282

13:55:503

13:55:5214

13:55:5275

Was there a point in time when you were present with Fain and/or Graves or Sims reviewing the Congressional mapping process?

- A. I don't remember Graves or Sims reviewing the Congressional maps. I was working on them with Commissioner Fain.
- Q. You were working -- hey, guys. Out. Out. Out.

 So you were working on maps -- well, strike
 that. Let's start over.

Do you recall any statement made between you and Fain and Sims while looking at the Congressional maps?

A. Do I recall any statement made by the three of us together? Is that what you're asking?

I had several conversations with Commissioner Fain about the Congressional maps.

- Q. All I want to know is -- all that I want to know timing-wise would be, in the banquet room on the 16th after the public meeting, while looking at congressional mapping, do you have a recollection of communications between you and Fain and Sims?
- A. I don't recall a conversation that the three of us had together, no.
- Q. Okay. Did you have a conversation with Fain on the 16th in the banquet room on the Congressional map?

LITIGATION SERVICES

- 13:56:021
- 13:56:032
- 13:56:063
- 13:56:124
- 13:56:145
- 13:56:186
- 13:56:217
- 13:56:248
- 13:56:269
- 13:56:280
- 13:56:**3**2L
- 13:56:332
- 13:56:363
- 13:56:**37**4
- 13:56:405
- 13:56:426
- 13:56:**4**67
- 13:56:518
- 13:56:549
- 13:56:5270
- 13:57:0211
- 13:57:0212
- 13:57:0233
- 13:57:0264
- 13:57:0285

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if what you and Fain discussed was communicated in any way to Sims?
- A. There may have been -- yes. I think there was probably one or two specific things that, when Commissioner Sims was similarly checking in with me to make sure things were on track, that I would have shared back with her.
- Q. Okay. And do you know whether or not Fain took back any of your conversations with him to Graves?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Do you know whether or not the staff did that?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Okay. All right.

Was there a point in time when you had to make a decision on the 16th about pulling down a Congressional map?

- A. What do you mean by "pulling down"?
- O. Taking it down from the website.
- A. Oh, yes. I think we -- I had voiced that earlier.

There was a -- I had a perspective that I thought both of the maps, when they were completed, should just be posted at the same time.

Q. Okay. And -- and your position on that was

communicated in a way that other Commissioners like Fain,
Sims, and Graves would receive that communication?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure when -- I'm not actually even sure who made that decision or even logistically how it was executed. But there was a period that I recall that morning where the Congressional map -- because the Congressional map was completed before the Legislative map because it was further along in the process, and it was posted.

And I may have -- I can't remember if I expressed to Sarah Augustine or to Lisa McLean, but it was my opinion that they should both be released together.

I believe that what happened was that, as you said, the Congressional map was taken off the site. And I believe what happened was that they were both then posted at some point in the future together.

I don't remember when they were both posted.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Did you have -- did you in any way convey in the banquet room on the 16th after the public meeting your expectation that you would be able to review and approve the final Congressional and/or Legislative map when the mapping was completed?
 - A. I don't know that I conveyed it, but I did

13:57:151

13:57:212

13:57:263

13:57:28**4**

13:57:31**5**

13:57:346

13:57:39**7**

13:57:428

13:57:45**9**

13:57:**470**

13:57:**9**1**1**

13:57:**522**

13:57:**1**63

13:57:**194**

13:58:**0.05**

13:58:**166**

13:58:**1**87

13:58:**1**18

13:58:139

13:58:1240

13:58:2201

13:58:2242

13:58:3203

13:58:3264

13:58:**225**

expect to see the final maps before they were made public.
Yes, I did.

Q. Do you believe your expectation was a shared expectation among the Commissioners?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know.

BY JOAN MELL:

13:58:421

13:58:462

13:58:473

13:58:494

13:58:515

13:58:526

13:58:537

13:58:538

13:59:009

13:59:050

13:59:071

13:59:092

13:59:123

13:59:154

13:59:165

13:59:216

13:59:297

13:59:348

13:59:369

13:59:280

13:59:4241

13:59:4282

13:59:513

13:59:5244

13:59:575

- Q. Did -- did you have any conversations such that -- with other Commissioners to support your expectation?
- A. No. And I must have been confused on this point because that didn't happen. I did not actually see a map before -- I did not actually see a Legislative map before it was posted.
- Q. Did you have conversations or communications with voting Commissioners about approving the final maps prior to a press conference?
- A. I -- I can't remember that conversation happening. And I don't think I was party to it, if it did. Because that -- there was never a -- there was never an approval of those -- of those maps by the full Commission.
- Q. And you said there was never an approval of the final maps by the whole Commission --
 - A. Because there was never a sec -- there was never

- a second vote, if that's what you're asking.
- 14:00:012 Q. No. That's not what I was asking.

 14:00:043 Did you approve the final maps prior to the

 14:00:064 press conference?
 - A. By the time of the press conference, I did -- I reviewed them and agreed that they were a reflection of the plans that we voted on the night of the 15th.
 - Q. Did you communicate that to any other Commissioner prior to the press conference?
 - A. Did I communicate that I was in agreement -that I was going to be supportive of the maps? Is that
 what you're asking?

Yes. I think that I -- I think I did communicate that I was going to be at the press conference.

- O. And support --
- A. At the press conference, I was supportive of the maps. That's true.
- Q. Did you communicate prior to the press conference to any other voting Commissioner that you would convey at the press conference that you were supportive of the maps?
 - A. I did.
 - O. And who was that?
 - A. Commissioner Sims, and I believe I had a

13:59:591

14:00:135

14:00:156

14:00:207

14:00:258

14:00:279

14:00:310

14:00:331

14:00:362

14:00:383

14:00:404

14:00:425

14:00:456

14:00:467

14:00:488

14:00:509

14:00:520

14:00:551

14:00:592

14:00:5293

14:01:0204

14:01:0235

conversation with Commissioner Graves.

- Q. Did you also communicate that to Augustine?
- A. I don't remember communicating it to Augustine, but I -- I may have. But -- but I recall specifically the other two.
 - O. And was that on the 16th?
- A. I'm piecing this together. I believe the conference was on Thursday, the 18th. It may have been on the 17th. I'm not sure.
- Q. Do you know how your communications with Graves and Sims were -- were exchanged?
- A. Yeah. It would have been a one-on-one phone call.
- Q. Do you know which phone call was first and which was the second?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Do you remember, at the time you talked to Sims, knowing what Graves was going to do?
- A. Knowing what he was going to do with respect to what?
 - Q. Approving the maps.

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm confused by what you mean in terms of "approving the maps." We already voted on the plan.

14:01:081

14:01:172

14:01:233

14:01:264

14:01:295

14:01:316

14:01:367

14:01:388

14:01:419

14:01:430

14:01:461

14:01:532

14:01:53

14:01:564

14:01:585

14:01:596

14:02:027

14:02:048

14:02:109

14:02:1220

14:02:1231

14:02:272

14:02:1293

14:02:224

14:02:255

BY JOAN MELL:

14:02:251

14:02:252

14:02:273

14:02:314

14:02:365

14:02:386

14:02:427

14:02:448

14:02:479

14:02:500

14:02:**52**

14:02:532

14:02:533

14:02:544

14:02:565

14:02:586

14:03:**027**

14:03:**1138**

14:03:0149

14:03:0250

14:03:0291

14:03:232

14:03:**263**

14:03:**2**8**4**

14:03:**225**

- Q. But you had no maps so you had to, at some point, decide that the maps were correct. So I'm talking about affirming that the maps were acceptable.
- A. Yeah. Affirming or supporting the map. That would be on -- yes. I -- I believe I spoke with them on the 17th about that.
- Q. Yeah. But my question is, when you spoke to Sims, did you already know that Graves was going to approve the maps?
 - A. Oh, that I don't know.

GREG WONG: Form.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. When you talked to Graves, did you know that Sims was going to approve the maps?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: That I don't know. I don't remember the exact sequencing.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. Do you recall knowing when you went into the press conference that the Commissioners were uniformly accepting the maps?
- A. Yes. I did know that -- I did know going into the press conference that there was support -- that there was a -- there was a feeling that the maps, as they'd been

drawn, reflected the agreement that we voted on, on Monday night.

- Q. You knew that before the press conference?
- A. Yeah. I did know -- I did know what was going to be -- yes. I did know their perspectives at the press conference. I had not spoken with Commissioner Fain so I can't say that I knew his.
- Q. I didn't hear who was the last one. I'm having a trouble again getting your last words.
- A. Oh, I'm sorry. No. I was -- they had made it -- in one way, I was going to say I didn't know Commissioner Fain's perspective, but then I reconsidered that thought because he had made statements publicly to the media and press regarding the maps so I did know his position.
- Q. Okay. And did you -- and you knew Graves' and Sims' from actually talking to them; correct?
- A. I did speak with Graves and Sims on the 16th and/or 17th. I can't remember specific dates.
 - Q. And so is that a "yes"?
 - A. That I had spoken with them? Yes
- Q. And that you knew their position and they knew yours on the maps prior to the press conference.
- A. I actually don't know if they knew mine. I
 won't -- I won't speak to that. I'm not sure if they knew

14:03:261

14:03:282

14:03:293

14:03:324

14:03:345

14:03:386

14:03:407

14:03:448

14:03:469

14:03:480

14:03:501

14:03:522

14:03:53

14:03:584

14:04:015

14:04:016

14:04:047

14:04:078

14:04:129

14:04:1260

14:04:1281

14:04:202

14:04:223

14:04:274

14:04:2295

mine.

14:04:321

14:04:322

14:04:363

14:04:424

14:04:435

14:04:476

14:04:507

14:04:528

14:04:549

14:04:170

14:05:051

14:05:112

14:05:183

14:05:254

14:05:265

14:05:266

14:05:277

14:05:298

14:05:329

14:05:3280

14:05:461

14:05:502

14:05:543

14:05:274

- Q. Did you -- did you tell Graves or Sims what your position was on the maps when you spoke to them on the 7th --
- A. I -- I believe I did. I may have said -- I may have said that I still had to review them because I did not -- I did not see the final maps.
 - Q. I didn't hear what you last said.
- A. I may -- I may have. I don't know how direct -- I don't recall how direct I was.
- Q. Did you make -- do you recall now whether or not you decided to accept the maps as consistent with what you voted on in the press conference or prior to it?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Shortly prior. Shortly prior.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. When you say "shortly prior," what do you mean?
- A. Maybe I made a final decision that -- that morning of the day -- the evening of the 17th. I had to take the time to review them.
 - Q. When did you review them?
- A. The Congressional map I knew because I'd worked on it directly. I would say probably over the course of the days on the 16th and 17th.
 - Q. And did you review them from your laptop?

- 14:06:061 A. I -- I assume so, yes. But I'm not -- I -- yes.

 14:06:092 I imagine, yes. I -- I'm not sure where else I would have

 14:06:123 reviewed them.
 - Q. And did you have a Commission-issued computer, or was it your private computer?
 - A. I had a Commission-issued computer.
 - Q. And where is that computer now?
 - A. It is in my home.
 - Q. Have you accessed the computer for purposes of producing meta data or information from that computer that would be reflective of when you looked at the map?
 - A. I have not -- I have not looked at that, no.
 - Q. Okay. Did you have conversations with two
 Commissioners in the banquet room about a press statement?
 - A. No. I recall talking to Commissioner Graves about that the following day.
 - O. On the 17th?
 - A. Or later in the day on the 16th, but not in person. Not at the banquet room. But I do recall a conversation with Commissioner Graves.
 - Q. What did you say?
 - A. I believe Commissioner Graves either texted me or I texted him or he either maybe read me or shared with me what he thought the press statement should be, and I agreed with him is what I recall.

14:06:134

14:06:165

14:06:186

14:06:227

14:06:268

14:06:309

14:06:360

14:06:391

14:06:442

14:06:473

14:07:014

14:07:165

14:07:196

14:07:247

14:07:268

14:07:299

14:07:3220

14:07:341

14:07:3262

14:07:293

14:07:4234

14:07:4265

- 14:07:501 Q. Did you make a proposed press statement?
 - A. I had a longer version, yes, that I had put together. But then I recall thinking his was more succinct.
 - Q. The proposal you put together, did you share it with Sims?
 - A. I believe that was different than -- I -- I did text Sims -- Commissioner Sims the statement that I was thinking about individually because, as you will see from my text, I was -- I was surprised when the Commission transmitted the maps to the Court and I hadn't -- I had not yet seen the Legislative map. So I did -- I did make that public so I was considering saying that, which I did later state.
 - Q. Did you at any time share with Sims what you were communicating with Graves on the press statement?
 - A. I don't think so. But -- not that I can recall, but I may have. I'm nearly certain. I don't believe so.
 - Q. Was there unanimity amongst the Commissioners to issue a press statement?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I didn't discuss it with everyone on the Commission.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Did you express your affirmation of Graves'

14:07:532

14:07:563

14:08:014

14:08:025

14:08:046

14:08:06**7**

14:08:108

14:08:149

14:08:**1**80

14:08:**1**31

14:08:**172**

14:08:**3**03

14:08:**344**

14:08:445

14:08:476

14:08:**137**

14:08:**58**

14:09:079

14:09:1220

14:09:231

14:09:**272**

14:09:**293**

14:09:2224

14:09:2275

statement to Sims?

14:09:301

14:09:352

14:09:373

14:09:414

14:09:455

14:09:4%

14:09:527

14:10:088

14:10:119

14:10:1180

14:10:**1**21

14:10:**1**32

14:10:**153**

14:10:**3**14

14:10:**1**55

14:10:**3**76

14:10:387

14:10:**398**

14:10:**429**

14:10:**4250**

14:10:4271

14:10:**2**12

14:10:**2**3

14:10:**254**

14:11:0215

- A. I may have. I'm not sure. I did -- I did to Graves. I told him that I thought that sounded good.
 - Q. And you may have told Sims that?
- A. I may have told Sims verbally or by text that I thought that what Commissioner Graves had written looked fine.
- Q. Was there a point in time that you believed that you had not voted on an agreement?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Point in time when I believed I had not voted on an agreement?

Do you mean the evening of the 15th? I believe that I did vote on the Congressional and Legislative map on the evening or early morning of 16th or 15th.

BY JOAN MELL:

- O. You did what?
- A. I believe that I did vote on the proposed
 Legislative and Congressional plan late the evening of the
 15th, early morning of the 16th.
 - Q. That was in agreement?
- A. It was a vote on a proposal that we passed unanimously. I would say that that was an agreement that -- in the public vote that we took.
 - Q. Did you believe that it was an agreement between

two other Commissioners at the time it was moved?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I believed that Commissioner -- I believed that the proposal that was being put forward by Commissioners Graves and Sims for the Legislative maps was something that the two of them were aligned on, yes.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Okay. So when -- when you voted on the Legislative maps, you knew Graves and Sims were in agreement.

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I guess I assumed that to be the case, yes. I don't know that I had that written somewhere, but I assumed that to be the case.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. And you assumed that based on your communications with Sims?

A. That's correct.

Q. With regard to the Congressional map, you knew that you were voting on an agreement with Fain?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. And you had communicated that to Sims prior to the vote?

14:11:031

14:11:092

14:11:123

14:11:144

14:11:205

14:11:246

14:11:287

14:11:298

14:11:349

14:11:380

14:11:401

14:11:**4**32

14:11:**4**53

14:11:**4**9**4**

14:11:515

14:11:536

14:11:567

14:11:**1**88

14:12:079

14:12:220

14:12:251

14:12:**272**

14:12:283

14:12:284

14:12:205

- 14:12:221 I updated her on the process throughout, yes. Α.
- 14:12:322 Ο. Did you talk to -- let me see. How do you want 14:12:423 to do this?

Do you know who Amita Spencer -- Amita Spencer is?

> I do. Α.

14:12:454

14:12:475

14:12:476

14:12:487

14:12:508

14:12:539

14:12:590

14:13:001

14:13:032

14:13:053

14:13:074

14:13:105

14:13:186

14:13:277

14:13:328

14:13:339

14:13:280

14:13:4221

14:13:4252

14:13:4293

14:13:504

14:13:5245

- 0. Who is she?
- I believe she was --I've never met her in Α. I believe she's -- was in an administrative role person. on the Commission staff.
 - Have you ever spoken with her directly? Ο.
 - I have. Α.
 - When was that? Q.
 - A few days ago, actually, for the first time. Α.
 - And what was the purpose of your conversation? 0.
- She reached out to me to follow up on what she Α. was working on with the public disclosure request that I'd been responding to.
 - And what public disclosure request was that? Ο.
- There were multiple. But she was involved in Α. responding with my record -- I guess some of the records on the PDC request. So I'm not sure specifically which one.
 - Do you know if it was mine? 0.
 - I don't believe so, but I'm not sure. Α.

14:13:561 Q. Was it Arthur West?

14:13:582

14:13:593

14:14:024

14:14:055

14:14:076

14:14:097

14:14:108

14:14:15**9**

14:14:170

14:14:**1**9**1**

14:14:712

14:14:**1**83

14:14:**3**64

14:14:**4**2**5**

14:14:**456**

14:14:497

14:14:**1**18

14:14:**159**

14:14:290

14:15:**2251**

14:15:0282

14:15:203

14:15:**224**

14:15:235

- A. I believe it was with regards to one from Jim Bruner, actually.
- Q. Okay. So did you contact her, or did she contact you?
 - A. She reached out to me.
 - Q. How?
- A. Maybe early last week. I believe she sent me an email asking me if I had a moment to connect.
 - Q. And did you connect?
 - A. I called her back, yes.
 - Q. And what did you say?
- A. She wanted to make sure that -- that the -- that the materials that were being released in response to public disclosure didn't also include privileged items.

 And I said, "Thanks for letting me know."
 - Q. So what did she say to you?
- A. She -- just what I said. She said she wanted -- she just wanted to make sure that in -- in the kind of push to release all the public documents and public disclosure, that emails that were privileged weren't accidentally being also released.
 - O. Did you talk about those emails?
 - A. No. I --
 - Q. No. Did you know what she meant?

- 14:15:161 A. I -- I did not know what she meant actually.
 - Q. Did she give you an explanation of what her concerns were?

GREG WONG: Object to -- hold on. I'm going to object to the extent that anything reveals the content of attorney/client privileged communications that may have been discussed. I'm going to direct you not to answer.

If you can answer the question without talking about the substance of the attorney/client communication, you can go ahead and do so.

THE WITNESS: I'll leave it that I don't recall it being anything sensitive. And it didn't raise concerns to me so I didn't follow up at the time over the last -- this occurred last week.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. What did she say about the way in which the disclosure was being handled?

GREG WONG: I'll object to form.

THE WITNESS: Only that there was a really high volume of requests and that she wanted to make sure that everything was being given the time and attention that it needed so that there weren't any mistakes on -- given the volume of requests.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Was -- was she the staff person to issue the

LITIGATION SERVICES

14:15:202

14:15:233

14:15:244

14:15:275

14:15:316

14:15:337

14:15:368

14:15:389

14:15:420

14:15:901

14:15:512

14:15:53

14:15:584

14:15:595

14:16:006

14:16:027

14:16:118

14:16:149

14:16:260

14:16:211

14:16:242

14:16:213

14:16:324

14:16:405

Commission's public records officer?

- A. I actually don't know. That's the first time I had spoken with her.
 - O. Did she say anything about Lisa McLean?
 - A. Yes.

14:16:471

14:16:502

14:16:533

14:16:584

14:17:075

14:17:086

14:17:12**7**

14:17:158

14:17:189

14:17:**240**

14:17:**281**

14:17:**3**02

14:17:**3**23

14:17:**3**34

14:17:365

14:17:396

14:17:407

14:17:**4138**

14:17:**449**

14:17:4250

14:17:4261

14:18:0202

14:18:**2253**

14:18:**2**14

14:18:**265**

- Q. What'd she say?
- A. Just that she'd -- she shared with me that she had a concern that, given the volume of requests that -- the bandwidth in disclosing them, that she just wanted to be sure that all the emails were being looked at carefully so that, if there were things that should have attorney/client privilege that -- that they were also being looked at. I think --
- Q. Did she tell you that Lisa -- did she tell you that Lisa McLean wasn't properly redacting your communications?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: She didn't say that in specifics, no.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Was that your takeaway?
- A. I wouldn't say that was my specific takeaway.

 My takeaway was that the volume of requests

 coming in, maybe there hadn't been enough attention to

 detail. That was my takeaway.

- 14:18:181
- 14:18:20**2**
- 14:18:233
- 14:18:26**4**
- 14:18:295
- 14:18:326
- 14:18:337
- 14:18:358
- 14:18:389
- 14:18:410
- 14:18:431
- 14:18:**4**82
- 14:18:**5**13
- 14:18:524
- 14:18:55
- 14:18:**176**
- 14:19:**007**
- 14:19:**048**
- 14:19:0169
- 14:19:210
- 14:19:**241**
- 14:19:252
- 14:19:1293
- 14:19:**234**
- 14:19:2265

- O. By Lisa?
- A. I -- I'm not sure. I'm not as familiar with the inner -- I don't -- I have not had the chance to interact with a lot of the people working operationally on the Commission staff so I did not ascribe it directly to Lisa. No.
- Q. Did you reach out to Lisa and ask her about the -- the redactions?
- A. I hadn't. I hadn't had time over the last few days.
 - Q. Did you reach out to Chair Augustine and ask?
- A. Again, I hadn't yet. I'd been too busy over the last few days.
- Q. Was it your intent to reach out to Lisa and/or the Chair?
- A. I -- I had not had the time to think about it yet. I actually had not processed it yet. I was out of town over the holidays.
- Q. Did you promise Amita Spencer that you would not disclose the content of your conversation to anyone?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did she ask whether or not she could have a confidential conversation with you?
 - A. She asked to have a conversation with me, yes.
 - O. Did she ask whether or not she could have a

conversation with you that you would not share with anyone else?

- A. I can't recall those were her -- her words. But she did -- what you have shared is accurate. She did call me to express concern about attention to detail in the public just to make sure that they were all being replied to and with sufficient detail.
- Q. And -- and was -- was there anything exchanged between the two of you that lead you to believe she expected you to keep her communication between you and her?
 - A. No.
- Q. Was she expressing concern that the public records requests were not being fulfilled completely?
- A. No. No. To my understanding, it was actually the opposite.
- Q. What about your conversation pertained to any of your records?
- A. It was a brief conversation. And it's -- it's what I just shared, which is the way I understood the concern was that maybe the -- maybe there'd been -- maybe there were emails that should have attorney/client privilege that may have been accidentally disclosed. That was -- that was how I understood the conversation in all honesty.

14:19:291

14:19:312

14:19:343

14:19:374

14:19:405

14:19:466

14:19:50**7**

14:19:538

14:19:599

14:20:0120

14:20:**1**71

14:20:**192**

14:20:133

14:20:1164

14:20:**225**

14:20:**256**

14:20:317

14:20:338

14:20:**179**

14:20:**4210**

14:20:**251**

14:20:**522**

14:20:**253**

14:21:0204

14:21:2235

- 14:21:041 Q. But you did nothing about it?
 - A. I have not yet. This happened -- just recently happened.
 - Q. Have you had any communications -- well, in that communication with Amita, was there any communication about Jamie Nixon?
 - A. No. I -- no.
 - Q. Do you know Jamie Nixon?
 - A. I've actually -- I know who he is. I don't believe I've had any interaction with him.
 - Q. Did you give any direction to non-partisan staff during the course of the negotiations or --
 - A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. Do you remember giving any direction to somebody like Jamie Nixon or Amita Spencer or anyone employed by the Commission with regard to mapping or redistricting?
 - A. No. Not that I recall. That was the first time that I had interacted with Amita other than scheduling emails or expense reimbursements.
 - Q. Other than -- what was the first part of that?
 - A. Oh, expense -- expense reimbursements.
 - Q. Okay. So what did you do to respond to the public records requests relevant to your text messages?
 - A. Oh, I actually was very comprehensive. I worked with a -- a third party that was arranged by Pacifica and

14:21:062

14:21:103

14:21:154

14:21:185

14:21:206

14:21:247

14:21:258

14:21:279

14:21:**310**

14:21:**3**41

14:21:382

14:21:**4**23

14:21:444

14:21:465

14:21:546

14:21:**177**

14:21:**598**

14:22:**1159**

14:22:0280

14:22:**201**

14:22:1232

14:22:273

14:22:**2**1**4**

14:22:**245**

had a third party individual go through all of the requests and individually kind of go through my phone on -- and take screenshots of all of the relevant texts that were then disclosed.

And then he did the same thing in my personal in-box and then described the steps that he took at each level to make sure that my disclosures were compliant with the requests that were coming in.

So it was all done by a third party in my presence. I was sitting next to him, but he actually was physically removing the texts from my phone and from my personal in-box.

- Q. And who was this person? What's his name?
- A. I believe his name was Allan Muchmore.
- O. Where does he work?
- A. I believe he's a -- he's on contract with Pacifica Law.
 - O. What kind of phone do you have?
 - A. I have an iPhone.
- Q. And do you back up your text communications in the cloud?
- A. I'm not -- I'm not very tech savvy. I'm not sure if I do. But all of the texts -- all the history of all of my texts dated back to the time periods that were covered in the public disclosure request.

14:22:291

14:22:332

14:22:373

14:22:424

14:22:445

14:22:476

14:22:517

14:22:548

14:22:579

14:22:590

14:23:011

14:23:042

14:23:103

14:23:134

14:23:175

14:23:186

14:23:207

14:23:288

14:23:319

14:23:330

14:23:371

14:23:3282

14:23:4213

14:23:4244

14:23:4275

- 14:23:511
- 14:23:53**2**
- 14:23:55**3**
- 14:23:58**4**
- 14:24:025
- 14:24:036
- 14:24:117
- 14:24:168
- 14:24:18**9**
- 14:24:**100**
- 14:24:**1**31
- 14:24:**172**
- 14:24:343
- 14:24:434
- 14:24:455
- 14:24:496
- 14:24:497
- 14:24:118
- 14:24:539
- 14:24:5270
- 14:25:0231
- 14:25:**232**
- 14:25:0253
- 14:25:0284
- 14:25:0295

- Q. I didn't hear what -- what you just said.
- A. I'm sorry. All of my -- the text history -- I'm not sure how much is backed up. But all the texts were contained and are on my phone over the whole time period that was covered under the Commission.
- Q. So -- so this Allan that you worked with didn't use any software to just download your texts?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I -- that's -- that wasn't the process that we went through. He went through and systemically screenshotted. I -- that was my understanding of the process. That's what I've provided.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Okay. And then -- then I think you said something that led me to believe that he took them out of your phone.

Are they all still in your phone?

- A. Oh, yes. I have not deleted anything.
- Q. Okay. And, since you became a Commissioner, have you retained any text communications with any Commissioner?
 - A. I've retained my text messages, yes.
- Q. Have you ever deleted any text messages with any Commissioner?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.

- 14:25:111 Q. Have you deleted any text messages with any 14:25:142 elected official as --
 - A. No.

14:25:163

14:25:164

14:25:175

14:25:196

14:25:257

14:25:28

14:25:309

14:25:**150**

14:25:**1**9**1**

14:25:**422**

14:25:**4**6**3**

14:25:474

14:26:05

14:26:086

14:26:**1**07

14:26:**1**28

14:26:**169**

14:26:1280

14:26:281

14:26:**292**

14:26:223

14:26:**2**34

14:26:**245**

- Q. -- it pertains to redistricting?
- A. No, not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. And so then, when you were working with Allan, he had your phone?
- A. That's correct. I unlocked my phone. He -- we went through all of the people that -- with whom disclosures were requested and each one of the individual public disclosure requests. He then proceeded to screenshot the discussions with those individuals from my phone. That's correct.
- Q. Do you know what kind of person Allan is in terms of why -- why him?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: He -- he was referred to me by our -- my -- he was referred to me by counsel at Pacifica Law.

- Q. Okay.
- A. I -- this was my first time -- my first time meeting him.
 - O. Did you --
 - A. My sense is he does this professionally.

- WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT VS STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 14:26:271 Do you remember conversing with him about how to Ο. 14:26:302 obtain the meta data, digital data? 14:26:353 I -- I don't recall that conversation, no. Α. 14:26:374 And do you understand that the screenshots do Ο. 14:26:405 not reflect the date and time of the texts in their 14:26:436 entirety? Object to form. 14:26:447 GREG WONG: 14:26:478 THE WITNESS: I -- I would be glad to take any 14:26:519 further public disclosure steps to answer any questions. 14:26:540 BY JOAN MELL: 14:26:541 Okay. Do you know whether or not he's Ο. 14:26:562 continuing to do work that would include using software to 14:27:003 obtain the complete text communications complete with meta 14:27:044 data?
 - I -- I don't know, but I would -- more than willing to provide anything.
 - Okay. I think I've requested that, and I do 0. But we're going to kind of painfully go through what we can see from the screenshots, and maybe you can fill in some of the gaps because you just know.

So I think we're on Exhibit 96; is that correct? GREG WONG: Joan, we've gone about an hour ten. Can we take a quick break?

JOAN MELL: Yeah. What time is it? Sure. Τ actually didn't get to eat last time so we can do 15 so I

14:27:**0**65

14:27:026

14:27:1127

14:27:1148

14:27:179

14:27:2210

14:27:2251

14:27:322

14:27:233

14:27:3264

14:27:3285

14:27:441 can grab a bite? 14:27:442 If that works for you, that's fine. GREG WONG: 14:27:453 You want to say 2:45 or 2:40? What is that? 14:27:494 JOAN MELL: Yeah. Let's do that. 14:27:505 GREG WONG: Okay. All right. 14:27:566 (Recess.) 14:47:357

BY JOAN MELL:

Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 96 --Ο. I guess I got to share screen again.

> (Deposition Exhibit 96 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Do you recognize that text communication? Q.
- Α. I do.
- Is this a text communication between you and Ο. Commissioner Graves?
 - Α. Can you scroll down to the bottom? Yes, it is.
- All right. And does this refresh your Ο. recollection as to whether or not you were in communication with Commissioner Graves between the 15th and the 16th other than in the one meeting that you described in the banquet room?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it refreshes my memory.

14:47:378

14:47:419

14:47:440

14:47:441

14:47:522

14:47:563

14:48:**1154**

14:48:095

14:48:126

14:48:**1**67

14:48:**108**

14:48:229

14:48:220

14:48:241

14:48:422

14:48:4293

14:48:264

14:48:285

BY JOAN MELL:

14:49:011

14:49:012

14:49:043

14:49:084

14:49:105

14:49:176

14:49:217

14:49:268

14:49:319

14:49:**170**

14:49:421

14:49:482

14:49:513

14:49:**134**

14:49:545

14:50:026

14:50:147

14:50:1148

14:50:159

14:50:280

14:50:**2291**

14:50:302

14:50:**263**

14:50:2274

14:50:4205

Q. And how does this refresh your memory -- or what does this text message tell you -- strike that.

What does this text exchange with Commissioner Graves tell us about your communications with him specific to redistricting on the 15th and 16th?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: This references what I spoke to earlier, and it's me expressing agreement with the press statement that I had mentioned.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. This is you communicating to Graves that you agreed with the press statement?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Where did it go? I don't see it. Okay.

Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 97.

(Deposition Exhibit 97 was marked for identification.)

JOAN MELL:

Q. Do you recognize that document?

A. Yes.

Q. And is this you texting Sarah Augustine?

A. Yes.

Q. And does this text communication reflect the fact that you were informing Commissioner Augustine that

14:50:451 you were in close touch with Commissioner Sims as of the 14:50:522 15th? 14:50:55**3** Α. Yes. 14:50:564 And did you mean that you were closely in Ο. 14:50:595 touch with April Sims with regard to the activities on the 14:51:036 redistricting? This reflects April continuing to keep me 14:51:077 Α. Yes. 14:51:128 up to date on the Legislative negotiations. 14:51:259 Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 98, is 14:51:280 this communicating with -- you communicating with 14:51:311 Commissioner Sims? (Deposition Exhibit 98 was marked for 14:51:342 14:51:343 identification.) 14:51:**1**54 I'm sorry. You cut out for a THE WITNESS: 14:51:**3**6**5** moment. Could you repeat that? 14:51:386 BY JOAN MELL: 14:51:387 Does Exhibit 98 reflect your text communications 0. with Commissioner Sims? 14:51:428 14:51:**4**8**9** Α. Could you scroll down? 14:52:2200 Yes. 14:52:0201 And what are you communicating to April Sims at Q. this point? 14:52:0252 14:52:**2**13 She was updating me on a disagreement she was Α. 14:52:**294** having with Paul Graves on the Legislative maps.

So is she blue, or are you blue?

Ο.

14:52:2255

- 14:52:301 A. I believe I'm blue.
- 14:52:322 Q. Okay. And so when she's saying, "We're meeting 14:52:383 again at 8:30," who's "we"?
 - A. I'm not sure who she's referring to there.
 - Q. The insert here in this text box, do you know where that comes from?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What is that?
 - A. I believe that's an exchange between myself and Commissioner Fain.
 - Q. And so you're now communicating via text with Sims about your communications with Fain on November 15th at 6:16 a.m.?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: In part.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. Is this -- this is -- 6:16 a.m. is not a point in time when you're communicating publicly; correct?
- A. That's correct. There was not a public meeting at that time.
- Q. So would you agree that this exchange of information is a communication between three Commissioners?

GREG WONG: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: No. This was a communication

14:52:414

14:52:515

14:52:576

14:53:027

14:53:038

14:53:069

14:53:110

14:53:121

14:53:162

14:53:213

14:53:254

14:53:295

14:53:316

14:53:327

14:53:378

14:53:459

14:53:4280

14:53:4291

14:53:522

14:54:0203

14:54:014



about the -- the context of this communication is about, effectively, logistics.

BY JOAN MELL:

14:54:121

14:54:202

14:54:233

14:54:244

14:54:305

14:54:366

14:54:457

14:54:558

14:54:579

14:55:030

14:55:05

14:55:062

14:55:143

14:55:194

14:55:265

14:55:306

14:55:337

14:55:378

14:55:419

14:55:4260

14:55:4271

14:55:502

14:55:523

14:55:5244

14:56:0255

Q. Is -- is you -- is this text exchange between you and Commissioner Sims communicating considerations with regard to the negotiations on the redistricting plan?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: This is regard -- this -- this specific text is regarding a disagreement between Commissioner Sims and Graves.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. And so were -- were you and Commissioner Sims taking into consideration the disagreement between Sims and Graves in your negotiations?
- A. My recollection of this text is that it's about whether the negotiations are making progress or if they were stalled and potentially dead.
- Q. Okay. What consideration did you give to this exchange with Commissioner Sims?
 - A. Could you repeat that?
- Q. What consideration did you give to this communication with Sims?
- A. Could you scroll down so I can see the rest of it?

This was at a point in the negotiations on both

sides where it was unclear if either dyad would reach agreement. So it was -- I would say she was updating me on her discussions.

- Q. Was she asking you to help her figure out what to do next? Or is this you asking, "Can follow your lead. Thoughts on my rely to Joe"? What does that mean?
- A. If you see Commissioner Fain's text to me, which says, "Not much of a point to do anything more on the CD maps because the leg maps are dead," what I understand him saying is that, if the negotiation on the Legislative maps had stalled, then he is suggesting that he wants to stop working on the Congressional maps.

So this was a logistical -- I would describe this as a logistical coordination between the two of us on our dyads.

- Q. When you say "logistical," is it correct to say that that's a strategic conversation you're having with Commissioner Sims about how to -- how to negotiate in the face of this communication from Fain?
- A. I was concerned at this stage that the overall progress on all of the Commission's work was potentially stalled.
- Q. So, when you say, "Can follow your lead," are you asking Commissioner Sims what her response to Fain would be?

14:56:071

14:56:122

14:56:143

14:56:174

14:56:235

14:56:286

14:56:337

14:56:378

14:56:419

14:56:440

14:56:491

14:56:542

14:56:563

14:56:594

14:57:035

14:57:046

14:57:077

14:57:128

14:57:189

14:57:250

14:57:281

14:57:232

14:57:3243

14:57:3264

14:57:425

- 14:57:481 A. I don't recall the specifics.
 - Q. Do you recall whether or not you integrated any of Sims' thoughts on how to reply to Joe to Joe?
 - A. No.

14:57:512

14:57:543

14:58:034

14:58:065

14:58:086

14:58:207

14:58:218

14:58:279

14:58:290

14:58:341

14:58:342

14:59:003

14:59:004

14:59:035

14:59:146

14:59:147

14:59:178

14:59:229

14:59:250

14:59:291

14:59:302

14:59:3223

14:59:364

- Q. Do you know what you did after this text relative to the negotiations?
- A. I can't remember specifically; but, if we looked at my text with Commissioner Fain, that probably contains a subsequent conversation.
 - Q. Okay. Well, we'll look at that later.

 (Deposition Exhibit 99 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 99, does that refresh your recollection as to how you are -- strike that.

Does Exhibit 99 refresh your recollection as to how you acted on your communications with Commissioner Sims relative to your reply to Joe?

A. Could you scroll down?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: It -- it does not with this -- this specific text does not spark a recollection, no.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. What "leg convo" were you talking about?

LITIGATION SERVICES

- A. I believe that refers to Commissioner Sims and Commissioner Graves's conversations.
 - Q. Do you remember chatting with Sims after the "leg convo"?
- A. I don't -- I don't remember the exact sequencing.

(Deposition Exhibit 100 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 100, do you recognize this communication with April Sims?
 - A. Could you scroll me down a bit?

 Vaguely. I think I -- I think I -- go ahead.
 - O. What is this?
- A. That I believe is a screenshot of my conversation with Commissioner Fain.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember -- you're in blue again.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And do you remember talking to Paul to follow Sims' lead?
- A. I -- I had one conversation with Commissioner Graves in person over this period, and that is likely in reference to that conversation. I don't recall specifically when it occurred.
 - Q. What's the clear agreement that Paul backed out

14:59:501

14:59:542

15:00:013

15:00:054

15:00:075

15:00:106

15:00:187

15:00:188

15:00:279

15:00:270

15:00:301

15:00:352

15:00:493

15:00:534

15:00:585

15:01:006

15:01:047

15:01:108

15:01:109

15:01:1240

15:01:1291

15:01:232

15:01:283

15:01:214

15:01:275

on?

15:01:391

15:01:432

15:01:49**3**

15:01:55**4**

15:02:02**5**

15:02:066

15:02:107

15:02:128

15:02:16**9**

15:02:1160

15:02:**1191**

15:02:212

15:02:**253**

15:02:294

15:02:**3**15

15:02:**3**86

15:02:447

15:02:488

15:02:**139**

15:02:**270**

15:03:**2251**

15:03:0272

15:03:**203**

15:03:**234**

15:03:245

- A. This was the negotiating framework that April and Paul were working from in their dyad, and they had -- they had a set of disagreements that stalled their progress.
- Q. Okay. So it -- the clear agreement was a -- an agreement between Commissioner Graves and Commissioner Sims prior to this date?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Or prior to this time, I guess?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Do you know what date this is?
 - A. This specific -- this specific screenshot?
- Q. Yeah. When -- what day is this communication occurring and time?
 - A. I believe the 14th or 15th of November.
- Q. And so do you know what part of the agreement Paul backed out on? Was it part or incomplete?
- A. I don't remember all the specifics, but they did have to do with aspects of a couple Legislative Districts that they had been discussing.
 - Q. Do you remember what Legislative Districts?
- A. One was the 44th. I believe there were others, but I don't recall.
 - Q. What was the disagreement that came up about

15:03:171 | the 44th?

15:03:22**2**

15:03:27**3**

15:03:31**4**

15:03:345

15:03:376

15:03:39**7**

15:03:418

15:03:439

15:03:**100**

15:04:001

15:04:032

15:04:073

15:04:**1**2**4**

15:04:175

15:04:176

15:04:1187

15:04:1198

15:04:279

15:04:**290**

15:04:**2291**

15:04:4242

15:04:4273

15:04:5224

15:05:**2245**

- A. I believe it had to do with a combination of the District as a majority/minority district and the partisan performance of the District.
- Q. Do you know how it was resolved in terms of what you voted on?
- A. I don't remember how that specific disagreement was resolved, no.
 - Q. Do you know if it involved another Commissioner?
 - A. It didn't, to my recollection.
- Q. When you say you were walking over there in ten, did you mean you were walking over to meet with Commissioner Sims?
 - A. I don't recall specifically.

(Deposition Exhibit 101 was marked for identification.)

- Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 101, do you recognize this exchange?
 - A. Could you scroll down?
 - No. But I -- I -- I recall it from reading it.
- Q. Okay. So did you communicate to Commissioner Sims that you had told "everyone that we were in lockstep as of 11:01 a.m. on November 15th"?
 - A. I don't recall who "everyone" is in this

context.

15:05:061

15:05:164

15:05:185

15:05:366

15:05:367

15:05:378

15:05:389

15:05:460

15:05:511

15:05:562

15:06:083

15:06:104

15:06:145

15:06:196

15:06:**2**37

15:06:268

15:06:329

15:06:270

15:06:4241

15:06:4252

15:06:4283

15:06:5224

15:06:525

- 15:05:082 Q. What were you in lockstep -- who was in lockstep?
 - A. I don't recall what that was in reference to at this point.

(Deposition Exhibit 102 was marked for identification.)

- Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 102, does this text exchange refresh your recollection about any communications you had with Sims about your communications with Joe?
 - A. No, not specifically.
- Q. Do you remember telling Commissioner Sims that you thought Joe was lightly playing Paul?
- A. I had this impression many times throughout this process so I'm not surprised I wrote this here.
 - O. What did that mean?
- A. I think this is just me speculating without knowledge of the dynamics between the two of them.
 - O. Between Paul and Joe?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So what did "lightly playing" mean?
- A. I'm not sure in this case. I'm not sure specifically what -- I don't recall the conversation that

I am referencing prior to that.

15:06:581

15:07:002

15:07:013

15:07:054

15:07:075

15:07:096

15:07:1*2*7

15:07:178

15:07:239

15:07:300

15:07:**37**1

15:07:402

15:07:443

15:07:494

15:07:495

15:07:506

15:07:507

15:07:538

15:07:59

15:08:0240

15:08:0261

15:08:0272

15:08:0273

15:08:0294

15:08:215

- Q. I'm not asking about the conversation. I'm asking you about what -- what did "lightly playing Paul" mean?
- A. Yeah. I don't -- I actually don't recall. I think it's in reference to the prior sentence, and I don't remember the substance of that conversation with Joe.
- Q. Did you -- what -- was it you communicating to Sims that Joe saying to Paul that he wouldn't negotiate absent one of the maps being completed was not serious?
- A. I -- I don't think that's what it was in reference to. That doesn't ring a bell, but I don't -- I don't recall.

(Deposition Exhibit 103 was marked for identification.)

- Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 103, do you remember this exchange with April Sims?
 - A. Maybe scroll down.
- Q. Looks like it was a continuation on what was on the other page?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Does this refresh your recollection what "lightly playing" meant?
 - A. Only that I think that, at this point, there was

a lot of speculation on people's motives between

15:08:192 Commissioners; and I think that's what you're seeing here

15:08:223 is just speculation on motives.

Q. Okay. Is it -- is it you and Commissioner Sims trying to evaluate what to do next and how to -- how to make your next moves to reach some kind of consensus before midnight?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. I -- I think this is reflective of us keeping one another up to date on the respective parts that we're negotiating.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Did you want her to keep these -- your thoughts here in mind when she was engaged in her discussions?
 - A. No. That wasn't my intent with these texts.
- Q. Was it your -- your expectation that she give some consideration to your thoughts on what Joe and Paul were saying to one another?

A. No.

GREG WONG: Object to form.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. I didn't hear you. Did you answer the question?
- A. No. I think this was just me thinking out loud.

 I had no expectations like that.
 - Q. Was this you thinking out loud to Commissioner

15:08:244

15:08:295

15:08:326

15:08:367

15:08:388

15:08:389

15:08:410

15:08:**4**61

15:08:492

15:08:503

15:08:514

15:08:585

15:09:036

15:09:057

15:09:138

15:09:159

15:09:1260

15:09:1271

15:09:242

15:09:273

15:09:204

15:09:3225

Sims about the negotiations?

15:09:401

15:09:422

15:09:45**3**

15:09:534

15:09:555

15:09:586

15:10:00**7**

15:10:028

15:10:05**9**

15:10:**190**

15:10:**0**91

15:10:1132

15:10:**1**13

15:10:**1**34

15:10:325

15:10:326

15:10:**3**27

15:10:328

15:10:**3**59

15:10:3280

15:10:**421**

15:10:**4242**

15:10:**42**83

15:10:**2**1**4**

15:10:**275**

- A. Not negotiations. This is -- I believe this is in reference to Commissioner Fain's motivations.
- Q. But how -- how is that not related to the negotiations?
- A. Sure. Yes. It is broad -- broadly, it's related to redistricting. I wouldn't say it's with respect to our specific activities on the Congressional map or the work that Commissioner Sims was doing on the Legislative maps.
- Q. It was more a conversation about the strategies of the negotiations; is that correct?
- A. Yeah. I think that's -- that's correct. I think I was trying to broadly ascertain broad strategy.

(Deposition Exhibit 104 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 104, looks like this might just be a continuation of the last one. So would you characterize this similarly again --
- A. Could you scroll -- could you scroll down just so I could see?

I think this is broadly my -- as I said earlier, that I -- this is just broadly my keeping -- keeping one another up to date on our conversations.

- WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT VS STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 15:11:041 Would you agree that this is a text communication with Commissioner Sims where you're 15:11:072 15:11:103 reviewing with her that you had communications with Fain? 15:11:344 Α. I would put this in the category, I think, Yes. 15:11:3**75** as just general updates to one another as we both were 15:11:416 doing separate work. 15:11:427 0. Did you tell her what your interesting conversation was with Fain? 15:11:448 I don't -- I don't recall. 15:11:46**9** Α. 15:11:470 Ο.
 - What was your interesting conversation that you had with Fain?
 - Had no -- I have no idea at this point. Α.
 - Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 105. Q. (Deposition Exhibit 105 was marked for identification.)

JOAN MELL: Whoa, that's weird.

GREG WONG: Joan, are any of these you're doing just for authorization, or are you asking on substance on any of these?

I -- I'm assuming we're JOAN MELL: No. operating on the same premise that they're all authenticated.

> Okay. Just wanted to confirm. GREG WONG:

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question, I didn't quite hear what you said. Greq?

15:11:501

15:11:**112**

15:12:013

15:12:**0**64

15:12:065

15:12:076

15:12:127

15:12:138

15:12:1169

15:12:280

15:12:201

15:12:2242

15:12:2253

15:12:**2**8**4**

15:12:**205**

GREG WONG: No. This was a conversation that Joan and I had during a different deposition. Just confirming something we had talked about before.

BY JOAN MELL:

15:12:321

15:12:332

15:12:363

15:12:484

15:12:495

15:12:516

15:12:547

15:13:018

15:13:059

15:13:**170**

15:13:**191**

15:13:**1**32

15:13:133

15:13:144

15:13:165

15:13:**256**

15:13:**277**

15:13:**198**

15:13:319

15:13:3240

15:13:**271**

15:13:**292**

15:13:4203

15:13:4234

15:13:**4265**

Q. Showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 105, is it correct that you're communicating to April Sims that you had met with Joe Fain and discussed the final terms of a Congressional District?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: This is just my continuing to keep April up to dale. I hadn't shared specifics at this stage.

- Q. Okay. So would you agree that, as of this date and time, you had not reached a final proposal with Joe?
- A. That's correct. I think there were many -there were many moments throughout this process where I
 thought we were closer than we were.
- Q. Okay. And so this -- do you know what time this exchange occurred?
- A. I don't. I probably had that feeling at various times.
- Q. Okay. So you can see why I need the meta data -- right -- and these in a different form?
 - A. Oh, I see. Well taken.

WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT VS STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 15:14:011 On November 16 at 8:28 a.m., you were Ο. 15:14:062 communicating with Commissioner Sims that there are legal 15:14:123 issues with your proposing to recommend a map? 15:14:174 Object to form. GREG WONG: 15:14:21**5** THE WITNESS: Yes. 15:14:236 BY JOAN MELL: 15:14:237 Q. Okay. So did you know that April Sims had 15:14:318 communicated with legal?

- I did not. I do not know. Α.
- Did you -- were you directly communicating with 0. legal?
 - Α. No.

15:14:33**9**

15:14:350

15:14:**3**91

15:14:**4**0**2**

15:14:423

15:14:444

15:14:475

15:14:536

15:14:**57**

15:14:**598**

15:15:**1**69

15:15:**200**

15:15:**241**

15:15:262

15:15:293

15:15:2254

15:15:285

- When you said, "There could be some legal issues Ο. around us even recommending a map from this process, " was that based on legal advice at that point or your concerns about it separate from any legal advice?
- Α. That was based on -- that was based on my own interpretation of the email that we received saying that the Commission had lost jurisdiction shortly after midnight on the 15th -- I'm sorry -- on the 16th. Shortly after midnight on the 16th.
- So this is you communicating via text with Ο. Commissioner Sims at 8:28 a.m.
- Had you left the banquet room by 8:28 a.m. on November 16th?

- 15:15:301 A. Nearly certain I had, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember what time you left the banquet room on the 16th?
 - A. Gosh, I -- I think it was 6:00 or 7:00 a.m., but I may be wrong. Ali O'Neil and I left together and drove back to Seattle, and she dropped me off at my apartment. I believe it was before that.
 - Q. Okay. Did you talk with Commissioner Sims or any of the other Commissioners about lacking jurisdiction when the communication came in from the Assistant AG on the 16th when you were in the banquet room?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I did speak about it. I don't know that I spoke about it in the banquet room. But, yes, I did speak about it.

BY JOAN MELL:

- O. With other Commissioners?
- A. At least with Commissioner Sims.
- Q. Do you remember speaking about it with any of the other Commissioners?
 - A. I don't.

COURT REPORTER: For the record, is that Exhibit

15:16:3293 | 106?

JOAN MELL: Yes.

15:16:465

15:16:4244

15:15:322

15:15:353

15:15:374

15:15:415

15:15:456

15:15:477

15:15:498

15:15:589

15:16:040

15:16:101

15:16:152

15:16:163

15:16:174

15:16:205

15:16:216

15:16:217

15:16:248

15:16:269

15:16:290

15:16:211

15:16:382

(Deposition Exhibit 106 was marked for

15:16:461 identification.) BY JOAN MELL: 15:16:462 15:16:463 What does it mean when you said, "I think 0. there's a specific REC around liability"? 15:16:474 I think this is -- if I recall, I think this is 15:16:56**5** 15:16:5% still in reference to the loss of jurisdiction and steps that we would take after having lost jurisdiction. 15:17:05**7** There was a lot of confusion -- there was -- I 15:17:0**78** 15:17:09**9** was very confused by what that meant. And I think, at 15:17:**120** this point in the morning, on lack of sleep, that email 15:17:**1**6**1** was -- we didn't know the meaning of it. But what's the "REC" mean? 15:17:1192 Ο. 15:17:**1**23 I believe that's an abbreviation for Α. 15:17:**254** recommendation. 15:17:265 And you're talking about the AG's Office? 0. Oh. 15:17:**3**56 GREG WONG: I'll object --15:17:**3**6**7** THE WITNESS: Not to --15:17:368 GREG WONG: Hold on, Brady. 15:17:**3**89 Object to the extent you're asking whether the 15:17:4200 AG communicated any recommendations around liability. 15:17:4271 Direct you not to answer. If there's a way you 15:17:502 can answer that without getting into any attorney 15:17:5223 communications, you can do so. 15:17:**254** THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah. This -- this was not

the result, Joan, of any attorney communication. This was

15:17:**265**

15:18:001 just my reaction to the email from the Attorney General's 15:18:042 Office.

BY JOAN MELL:

15:18:053

15:18:094

15:18:165

15:18:256

15:18:307

15:18:338

15:18:369

15:18:390

15:18:**47**1

15:18:492

15:18:513

15:18:584

15:19:005

15:19:056

15:19:077

15:19:108

15:19:179

15:19:200

15:19:231

15:19:272

15:19:283

15:19:304

15:19:225

- O. What was the House Senate call for 2:00?
- A. April and I had a call that morning with Democratic House and Senate leadership.
 - O. Who is that?
- A. At least -- at least Laurie Jinkins and Andy
 Billig, but there may have been other people on the call
 as well. I believe Jamie Pedersen and Joe Fitzgibbon were
 also on that call.
 - Q. Who's the last one?
 - A. Joe Fitzgibbon, State Legislator.
- Q. Tell me what you recall from that conversation. What did you say?
- A. I, frankly, don't think that I said a lot. I don't actually recall saying very much.
 - O. What did April say?
- A. We'd just come out of a very kind of sleepless 24 hours. I don't recall specifically what was said in the meeting. Just I believe it was primarily others speaking.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember anything Commissioner Sims said in that meeting?
 - A. No. But I -- I believe that we texted about it

during the call.

15:19:371

15:19:392

15:19:423

15:19:434

15:19:465

15:19:496

15:19:497

15:19:518

15:19:579

15:20:000

15:20:071

15:20:092

15:20:1153

15:20:174

15:20:205

15:20:226

15:20:257

15:20:288

15:20:329

15:20:3250

15:20:271

15:20:4212

15:20:423

15:20:4244

15:20:4265

- Q. What do you recall texting about during the call?
- A. We can -- we can look at the specifics. I don't recall the -- I don't recall exactly what we discussed, but --
- Q. What generally do you remember about texting with Commissioner Sims during that meeting?
- A. I believe -- I recall being exhausted and frustrated after the preceding 24 hours.
- Q. And you think that you were texting Sims during the meeting about being tired and exhausted?
- A. I don't know that those were my exact language. We can look at the precise text.
- Q. Do you have any recollection without looking at the texts about your communications with Sims during this meeting?
 - A. Only what you've shown me.
- Q. When you say "only what you've shown me," what do you mean? This Exhibit 106?
- A. The text that we've just looked at that you've screen shared.
 - Q. This one?
- A. All of them -- you asked -- maybe repeat your question?

- Walkinshaw, Brady January 18, 2022 15:20:501 What is your recollection as we sit here today 0. 15:20:522 about what you were texting Commissioner Sims while you 15:20:573 were having this House Senate call? 15:21:014 Is that at 2:00? I believe it -- I believe it was frustration and 15:21:05**5** Α. 15:21:106 exhaustion is -- I believe was the sentiment of the texts. 15:21:147 Q. Okay. What did Laurie Jinkins say in that 15:21:198 meeting? 15:21:19**9** I don't remember the specifics. Α. 15:21:220 Can you remember anything she said? 0. 15:21:**2**8**1** Α. I believe she voiced general support for the 15:21:**102** maps. 15:21:**3**43 Was that the purpose of the meeting? Q. 15:21:**4**0**4** Α. 15:21:**4**35
 - - Actually at this point don't recall the specific purpose for the meeting.
 - Can you think of anything else Laurie Jinkins Ο. said?
 - Not that I remember. Α.
 - What did Andy Billig say? Ο.
 - I don't remember specifics that he shared. Α.
 - What do you remember about what he shared? Ο.
 - The purpose, I think, was to check in on the Α. process coming out of the prior evening. So, to my recollection, it was kind of an update on the events.
 - But what did Andy Billig say? Ο.

15:21:456

15:21:487

15:21:**1**18

15:21:549

15:22:**200**

15:22:0231

15:22:**282**

15:22:**2**13

15:22:**294**

15:22:2245

15:22:281 I don't remember. Α.

15:22:292

15:22:333

15:22:354

15:22:385

15:22:406

15:22:427

15:22:458

15:22:489

15:22:530

15:22:55

15:22:582

15:23:093

15:23:124

15:23:155

15:23:216

15:23:267

15:23:268

15:23:319

15:23:350

15:23:381

15:23:4202

15:23:4253

15:23:4294

- Nothing? You don't remember one word he said in Ο. that meeting?
- Α. I actually don't remember any specific one word he said in the meeting, no.
- Do you generally know what he was communicating 0. in that meeting?
- I think he was generally wanting to check Α. in with how we were doing and where we thought the process stood.
- Do you remember conveying to him where you 0. thought the process stood?
- Α. I actually don't remember the specifics or the general sense of where we were.

We conveyed that the maps had at that point -the drawing of the maps had probably been completed at that point.

I won't kind of conjecture or try to piece together my memories because I don't recall specific.

- Well, I'm just trying to figure out if you have 0. any recollection of what happened.
- I do. And I -- I believe what I said is that I Α. think he checked in on process, checked in on how folks were feeling.
 - Well, check -- "checking in" means you were Q.

reporting something.

15:23:531

15:23:552

15:24:01**3**

15:24:034

15:24:195

15:24:226

15:24:3**27**

15:24:378

15:24:42**9**

15:24:**4**80

15:24:**4**91

15:24:532

15:24:53

15:24:**164**

15:24:**185**

15:25:**126**

15:25:**1**57

15:25:068

15:25:079

15:25:2290

15:25:241

15:25:282

15:25:**293**

15:25:**294**

15:25:**235**

What were you saying?

- A. I don't remember.
- Q. What did Jamie Pedersen say in that meeting?
- A. If I recall, I think the gist of Jamie

 Pedersen's comments were around speculating whether the

 Commission still had any jurisdiction after midnight.
 - Q. Did anyone respond to him?
- A. Not that I remember. I don't remember the specific back and forth on the call.
- Q. What was his speculative expression about the legitimacy of the maps?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't -- I don't recall any specific comment on that point. I just recall what I said, which was questions about the jurisdiction of the Commission after midnight.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Well, you said that Jamie Pedersen was speculating about the legitimacy of the maps. Was he speculating that they weren't legitimate?

GREG WONG: I don't believe --

THE WITNESS: I don't know if I said
"legitimacy." I think I said the jurisdiction of the
Commission on the maps, and I don't recall beyond that.

BY JOAN MELL:

15:25:261

15:25:262

15:25:293

15:25:35**4**

15:25:38**5**

15:25:426

15:25:43**7**

15:25:458

15:25:509

15:25:**160**

15:25:**5**8**1**

15:26:002

15:26:**133**

15:26:**0**74

15:26:105

15:26:1146

15:26:**1177**

15:26:**208**

15:26:**3**09

15:26:**220**

15:26:3251

15:26:**292**

15:26:4203

15:26:**254**

15:26:4265

- Q. Do you remember what he was saying -- that he thought there was jurisdiction or not?
- A. Just that -- I believe what was brought up was that the Commission had lost jurisdiction, and that's consistent with the email received from the Attorney General.
- Q. So was Pedersen expressing concern that, because there was no jurisdiction, that the maps weren't valid?
- A. My recollection is there was a lot of uncertainty.
 - Q. About the validity of the maps?
 - A. About the jurisdiction of the Commission.
- Q. Well, I'm asking about the validity of the maps.

 Was -- was there communication that the maps
 wouldn't be valid because of the lack of jurisdiction?
- A. That was also an open question. Absolutely. I don't -- I don't think we knew.
 - Q. What did Joe Fitzgibbon say?
 - A. I don't recall him saying anything specific.
 - Q. Do you remember him saying anything generally?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you remember how the meeting concluded?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you remember any expressions made during the

course of that meeting that led to any later action?

Α. No.

15:26:541

15:26:582

15:27:053

15:27:094

15:27:11**5**

15:27:126

15:27:157

15:27:168

15:27:199

15:27:230

15:27:**2**6**1**

15:27:**1**32

15:27:**3**53

15:27:**3**74

15:27:485

15:27:536

15:27:**5**87

15:28:**118**

15:28:089

15:28:0280

15:28:0291

15:28:0292

15:28:223

15:28:274

- Were there any recommendations made in that 0. meeting about what you should do next?
 - Α. Not that I remember.
- Did you do anything after that meeting based on 0. the conversation?
 - No, not that I remember. Α.
- Did you choose not to take action based on your communications in that meeting?
- I don't remember any recommendations or steps Α. even being recommended out of that meeting.
- Did you rely on any -- on any of your Ο. communications in that meeting at 2:00 to inform your decision about whether or not to approve the maps or agree with the maps, accept the maps as final later on?
- Α. That meeting I don't think had any bearing No. on my decision.

(Deposition Exhibit 107 was marked for identification.)

- Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 107. Ο. Again, this is you and April Sims communicating, and you're in blue; is that correct?
 - That's correct. Α.



- Q. And when you say -- or -- is this you telling Commissioner Sims to call you before the meeting with the House and the Senate?

 A. I can't tell if that's either affirming the time for the call or asking her to call me.
 - Q. Okay. What does, "This is unproductive where Jamie is heading," mean?
 - A. I recall being frustrated on the call with some of the commentary, and I can't -- I can't remember specifically what. But it didn't feel like a very productive call.
 - Q. Is this you texting to Commissioner Sims during the meeting at 2:00 --
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. I'm sorry. I have to painfully number as we go because I never know what direction we're going to go in.

 (Deposition Exhibit 108 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. So Exhibit 108, this is, again, you communicating with Commissioner Sims. And she's asking you how Jamie Pedersen knows our old 47 goes back to Black Diamond.
- A. I didn't know what she was referring to; and, in my second text back, I think it reveals that. I remember

15:28:506

15:29:057

15:29:098

15:29:159

15:29:**210**

15:29:**241**

15:29:252

15:29:263

15:29:**1**8**4**

15:29:405

15:29:446

15:29:487

15:29:488

15:29:499

15:29:5200

15:29:5221

15:29:582

15:30:0233

15:30:**2**64

15:30:**2295**

being confused by her text to me.

15:30:141

15:30:172

15:30:193

15:30:214

15:30:275

15:30:326

15:30:337

15:30:358

15:30:419

15:30:430

15:30:441

15:30:482

15:31:053

15:31:104

15:31:145

15:31:176

15:31:217

15:31:248

15:31:349

15:31:3240

15:31:35

15:31:392

15:31:4233

15:31:4264

15:31:4285

- O. Is that the 47th District?
- A. I imagine that's what she's referring to.
- Q. Was Jamie Pedersen saying that, when you -- the maps were finally drawn as to the 47th, didn't include Black Diamond?
- A. Jamie must have made some comment on the call with reference to the 47th Legislative District and the city of Black Diamond, and I don't remember specifically what it is.
- Q. And is this content here your conversation with Commissioner Sims about what to say publicly?
- A. Yes. I think that's me brainstorming a potential public statement.
- Q. Who's "we" in this for, "When we chat." Who was going to chat?
- A. I believe that's a reference to myself and April.

(Deposition Exhibit 109 was marked for identification.)

- Q. And is this your text communication to Commissioner Sims approving Paul's text content for a public statement?
 - A. I recall thinking that Commissioner Graves' text

- was more concise and better than mine so I believe I was okay with his.
 - Q. And is this you telling Commissioner Sims, "Go with his, not mine"?
 - A. I -- I think that's the -- I think what I'm saying there is that I preferred Paul's to mine.
 - Q. Okay.

15:31:521

15:31:572

15:31:593

15:32:024

15:32:045

15:32:076

15:32:1*2*7

15:32:198

15:32:199

15:32:250

15:32:311

15:32:352

15:32:433

15:32:464

15:32:475

15:32:506

15:32:547

15:32:578

15:33:009

15:33:0230

15:33:0281

15:33:212

15:33:1243

15:33:1294

15:33:225

(Deposition Exhibit 110 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Is this you communicating with Lisa -- who is this? Lisa who?
- A. Oh, I'm sorry. It took me a moment. That is -that is Lisa McLean.
 - Q. That is -- okay.

Does this reflect the time when Lisa McLean sent you the resolution to sign?

- A. That is how I understand the text, yes.

 I did not reply so I'm not sure whether I saw
 it, but that is what I understand that to mean.
- Q. And is this you communicating to Lisa McLean that you do not agree with the statement she put forward in her email?
- A. That sounds like my opinion based on that text.

 I don't remember what email that's in reference to.

15:33:281 Do you remember Lisa McLean emailing the 15:33:322 Commissioners with a statement to approve for the media on 15:33:353 the 16th? 15:33:37**4** I don't. But it sounds like, if you -- will you Α. scroll down? 15:33:40**5** 15:33:456 I don't remember this specific email right now. 15:33:507 Ο. Is this Lisa McLean sharing content asking for 15:33:548 your approval? That's my -- that would be my guess, yes. 15:33:57**9** Α. 15:33:590 Okay. And do you remember how this compared 0. 15:34:0131 with the one in the prior text here? I -- I don't. 15:34:**1**82 Α. 15:34:1143 So you don't know if you approved both Graves' Q. and --15:34:204 15:34:**2**15 I believe only one statement went out that Α. 15:34:**136** morning, and I believe it was the couple sentences that 15:34:**3**17 Commissioner Graves had drafted. 15:34:338 0. Okay. 15:34:399 (Deposition Exhibit 111 was marked for 15:34:3290 identification.) 15:34:**521** THE WITNESS: Can you give me two minutes for a 15:34:**242** very, very quick restroom break? 15:34:5293 JOAN MELL: Yeah. I'm going to try to number

THE WITNESS: Give me literally two minutes.

these while you're there.

15:35:0224

15:35:**2275**

15:35:091 JOAN MELL: Okay. 15:35:102 (Recess.)

15:37:123

15:37:174

15:37:215

15:37:226

15:37:267

15:37:318

15:37:379

15:37:**400**

15:37:4131

15:37:472

15:37:503

15:37:**154**

15:37:575

15:38:**116**

15:38:047

15:38:108

15:38:139

15:38:**260**

15:38:2211

15:38:2212

15:38:2213

15:38:2224

15:38:2275

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Actually, I don't think we went through 111. I don't think we did.

Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 111, is this you communicating with McLean that you're approving what Commissioner Graves had recommended with regard to a press statement?

- A. I believe that's correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you know whether or not at this point in time it's the same content that you were conferring with Sims about?
 - A. Oh, I believe it's different.
 - Q. By this time, Paul's statement had changed?
 - A. Oh, I don't know the answer to that.
- Q. Do you know if Paul had -- Paul's statement was altered from the time you approved it with Sims from the time you approved it with McLean?
 - A. No, I don't know that.

(Deposition Exhibit 112 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 112, is this Andy Billig?

- 15:38:351 Could you scroll down? Α. That is -- that is Andy Billig. 15:38:422
 - And is this Andy Billig giving you Q. recommendations on how to handle the redistricting work you were -- were undertaking on November 15th at 8:45 p.m.?
 - I believe this was him giving advice. Α.
 - Do you know if you acted on his advice? 0. Okav.
 - I -- I don't believe I did. Α.
 - What finally happened with 17 and 42? 0.
 - I'm not specifically sure what he's referring to Α. in that sentence.
 - Do you know what happened with 17 and 42 in the 0. final maps?
 - Α. I do.
 - What happened? Ο.
 - The 42nd and the 17th Districts remained roughly Α. the same from the partisanship perspective, and they both saw some changes to their boundaries and borders adjusted based on public feedback and input.
 - Do you know where those boundaries moved based Ο. on public feedback?
 - I do. Α.
 - Where did they move? O.
 - The 42nd ran more specifically along the city Α.

15:38:453

15:38:494

15:38:535

15:38:586

15:39:017

15:39:048

15:39:089

15:39:110

15:39:1171

15:39:202

15:39:243

15:39:264

15:39:275

15:39:286

15:39:307

15:39:368

15:39:429

15:39:4260

15:39:501

15:39:522

15:39:5243

15:39:5254

15:39:555

lines of Bellingham which had been requested, and it incorporated the Lummi and the Nooksack Tribal lands into a single Legislative District. It did a number -- it did a number of things that were in response to public input.

And the 17th did something similar in Vancouver, better aligning with the city lines of Vancouver.

- Q. Do you remember Jamie Pedersen making any specific requests with regard to Districting?
 - Along what lines? Α.
- Where the District boundaries would be or Ο. whether or not the Districts would -- any communities -particular communities would change Districts?
- Α. I did speak to Jamie through the process, and he did provide input and advice.
 - 0. What do you recall about his input?
- Α. He had a wide range of ideas that were, I would say, varied and spoke to different Legislative Districts. I don't -- I couldn't remember specifically off the top of my head.
- Do you remember any District that changed 0. consistent with what he was asking?
 - Do you mean in the final maps? Α.
 - 0. Yes.
 - Α. Yes.
 - What?
- 15:41:4215 Ο.

15:40:011

15:40:042

15:40:103

15:40:134

15:40:165

15:40:226

15:40:267

15:40:288

15:40:379

15:40:400

15:40:431

15:40:452

15:40:483

15:40:504

15:40:535

15:41:016

15:41:067

15:41:128

15:41:169

15:41:270

15:41:1291

15:41:232

15:41:363

15:41:294



- 15:41:44 A. I think that the final drawings of some of the 15:41:52 Seattle Legislative Districts reflected input that he'd provided, but it was also input we'd received from a lot 15:41:54 of other people throughout the process.
 - Q. Okay. What were -- what were consistent with what he asked you?
 - A. You know, change -- one of the -- one of the controversial decisions was around the changing shape of the 11th Legislative District which changed in the course of this mapping to not include any parts of Seattle. The lines of the 43rd District moved as well, and the boundaries to the 37th.
 - O. And how did the 43rd -- how did the 43rd move?
 - A. The 43rd moved further north to take in parts of what had previously been the 46th Legislative District.
 - O. What part of the 46th?
 - A. I believe some of the southern parts of the -- by Lake Union and the ship canal.
 - O. What does that -- what is that area called?
 - A. There are a few neighborhoods, neighborhoods like Laurelhurst, University District, Windermere.
 - Q. Okay. And so Jamie Pedersen was requesting this change, and you made it consistent with his request?
 - A. No. He was one of -- I met with many people through the process, including Jamie. And some of the

15:42:015

15:42:056

15:42:117

15:42:148

15:42:189

15:42:210

15:42:**271**

15:42:332

15:42:353

15:42:414

15:42:455

15:42:506

15:42:537

15:42:568

15:43:019

15:43:0260

15:43:**0281**

15:43:222

15:43:1243

15:43:274

15:43:255

- input had came also aligned with input we were getting from public meetings and from my own perspectives. So --
- Q. Okay. But -- but it is correct that the change you made to the lines in the 43rd that affected the 46th were within the request made by Jamie Pedersen.
- A. Not solely, but he had -- he had feedback as well in the 43rd, yes.

And I would not -- I also would not say that it was perfect alignment with the request that -- of the input that was provided.

- Q. How was it not consistent with the input Jamie Pedersen provided as to the 43rd?
- A. I don't remember all the specifics, but I know that it wasn't exactly the same.
- Q. Can you remember generally how it wasn't exactly the same.
- A. I think that there were some questions about specific precincts.
 - Q. Which precincts?
- A. I don't -- I don't remember the specifics, just in some other neighborhoods in Seattle like Leschi.
 - Q. Anything else?
 - A. No.
- Q. So when you would -- when you were doing these kinds of line changes, were you making line changes for

15:43:291

15:43:332

15:43:403

15:43:444

15:43:505

15:43:566

15:44:007

15:44:018

15:44:039

15:44:070

15:44:111

15:44:1142

15:44:173

15:44:244

15:44:275

15:44:296

15:44:327

15:44:348

15:44:389

15:44:290

15:44:4211

15:44:462

15:44:4273

15:44:504

15:44:525

15:44:561 District boundaries that were consistent with precincts?
15:45:002 GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe that was required actually to -- to move along precinct lines. Yes.

BY JOAN MELL:

15:45:04**3**

15:45:06**4**

15:45:115

15:45:116

15:45:2*2*7

15:45:258

15:45:309

15:45:**1**10

15:45:**3**51

15:45:422

15:45:493

15:45:524

15:45:**1**8**5**

15:46:106

15:46:107

15:46:1108

15:46:119

15:46:250

15:46:281

15:46:232

15:46:**27/3**

15:46:**204**

15:46:**235**

Q. Okay. And when -- when Billig is saying, "Hearing 17, 42 also went back which -- backward from previous offer," does that mean as to the political preference metrics?

A. I believe that's what he's referring to, yes.

Q. And did -- did the recommendation about not agreeing to Legislative until you agree on Congressional come from Billig, or was this consistent with what you'd already been strategizing on before you heard from him?

A. That was always my plan.

(Deposition Exhibit 113 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 113, this looks like a continuation of your conversation with Billig. And you're communicating to Billig about your conversations with Laurie Jinkins?

A. Can you scroll down?

No. I think it's the opposite. I think he is communicating with me. I'm blue.

- 15:46:351 Oh, so this is -- this is Billig talking to Ο. Jinkins and telling you, "She's got nothing noteworthy. 15:46:372 15:46:423 She supports it, meaning what?
 - Α. I'm not sure.
 - Ο. What is, "The 42nd gets better, but I don't see that in the summary we have."

What summary was he looking at?

- Α. I'm not also not sure.
- Did you prepare a written summary of the Ο. protocol or planned vote content to Billig and Jinkins?
 - I didn't --Α.
 - O. Or just to Billig?
 - I did not. Α.
 - Did caucus staff? Ο.
 - Α. Possibly.
- Did you think that's what he's talking about, a Ο. communication from caucus staff?
 - I -- I'm not sure. Α.
- If it came from caucus staff, who would it come Ο. from?
- There was a lot of map drawing Α. I'm not sure. happening at this time of the evening on the 15th.
- What caucus staff would be communicating with Ο. Billiq?
 - There were -- there were a few people Α.

15:46:47**4**

15:46:525

15:46:576

15:46:597

15:47:018

15:47:039

15:47:060

15:47:**1**3L

15:47:132

15:47:**153**

15:47:164

15:47:**1**0**5**

15:47:216

15:47:237

15:47:**168**

15:47:289

15:47:210

15:47:**231**

15:47:**2**62

15:47:293

15:47:4224

15:47:**245**

- involved -- Ali O'Neil, someone named Matt Bridges, and then someone named Adam Bartz.
 - Q. Were they in the Hampton with you too?
 - A. No. No. Only -- only Ali.

(Deposition Exhibit 114 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 114, do you know if you communicated with April about your strategy beforehand?
- A. I'm not sure at this stage what strategy he's referring to.
- Q. But you wanted to know whether or not he and Jinkins were on the same page before you voted?

 GREG WONG: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No. I believe, if you look at the timestamp of this, Joan, this is the 16th. This is already after the vote.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. I can't tell because it's not on here.
- A. I'm sorry.
- O. This was -- this was on the 16th?
- A. Yeah. I -- I believe that 9:00 a.m. Teams call reference at the top is with respect to the call that you were discussing earlier.

15:47:471

15:47:552

15:47:583

15:48:014

15:48:035

15:48:036

15:48:037

15:48:098

15:48:169

15:48:190

15:48:241

15:48:272

15:48:313

15:48:334

15:48:385

15:48:416

15:48:437

15:48:**4**78

15:48:489

15:48:480

15:48:521

15:48:532

15:48:5243

15:48:5294

15:49:0225

- 15:49:101 Q. This isn't the 2:00 -- this isn't -- this isn't 15:49:122 the two o'clock call; right? This is something else?
 - A. I'm a little bit confused on specific times, but there was only one call that happened that date. So I believe maybe the time changed, but that's a reference. My guess is that's the reference to the only call that happened that day.
 - Q. Okay. And there wasn't a separate meeting with the House from one with the House and Senate?
 - A. Not that I remember.
 - Q. Did you say not that you remember?
 - A. Not that I know of.
 - Q. Not that you know of. Okay.

 And is -- is this you talking?
 - A. No.
 - O. What is this?
 - A. That is a -- that is me sharing a text that I received from Tina Podlodowski with Andy Billig.
 - Q. Okay. But you tried to reach her to talk to her about this text but didn't reach her?
 - A. No. I believe that I received it, but I don't actually believe I spoke with her. I believe it was an unsolicited text.
 - Q. Does this mean that, to Billig, you were saying that you tried to reach Tina?

15:49:18**3**

15:49:21**4**

15:49:24**5**

15:49:276

15:49:30**7**

15:49:318

15:49:349

15:49:**170**

15:49:**3**91

15:49:**4**1**2**

15:49:423

15:49:504

15:49:**145**

15:49:576

15:49:**197**

15:50:**058**

15:50:119

15:50:250

15:50:**271**

15:50:**2**92

15:50:**2**3

15:50:2254

15:50:2285

- A. No. I think those texts -- I believe those texts are unrelated.
 - Q. Oh, okay.

15:50:301

15:50:362

15:50:383

15:50:404

15:50:455

15:50:486

15:50:517

15:50:538

15:50:549

15:50:580

15:51:011

15:51:052

15:51:083

15:51:114

15:51:145

15:51:216

15:51:217

15:51:238

15:51:339

15:51:4210

15:51:4241

15:51:472

15:51:523

15:51:**27**4

15:52:0205

Are you talking about Laurie here?

- A. Apologies. If you scroll up slightly. It's a little -- I believe what I'm talking about -- I believe that text is in reference actually to April because he's asked if I've --
 - Q. Oh, okay.

So, when you would go talk to April like you're suggesting you would do here, is that to discuss what Billig and Jinkins are telling you to?

A. I don't -- I don't recall. I -- I did not speak with -- I don't believe that I spoke once with -- I did not speak with -- with Laurie Jinkins.

(Deposition Exhibit 115 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. So and this link to the Team's call, is that the one that we discussed earlier, the two o'clock call?
 - A. I believe that's the case, yes.
- Q. Okay. What does this mean, "I will need to talk with April after this to break"?
- A. I'm not sure. There may be a grammatical error in that sentence.

- 15:52:051 Q. And what was this -- did you -- did you 15:52:062 formulate a strategy with Andy Billig to buy time?
 - A. No. I'm not -- maybe we can see the next set of texts. I'm not immediately sure what that partial text is in reference to.

(Deposition Exhibit 116 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Does this help?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So what's happening -- can you tell what timeframe -- what day are we on?
 - A. I believe this is the 16th of November, Tuesday.
 - Q. Okay. Before the maps were finalized?
 - A. After -- after the vote --
- Q. After the vote but before the maps are finalized?
 - A. I'm not sure specifically.
- Q. What is -- what is Andy Billig referring to? What is the "final decision"?
- A. I believe there's a lot of ambiguity on the 16th as to whether -- as to what the loss of jurisdiction from the Commission in terms of the Attorney General's email means. So I'm -- yeah. I'll leave it there.
 - Q. Okay. I don't understand how your answer

15:52:13**3**

15:52:194

15:52:2**25**

15:52:266

15:52:267

15:52:468

15:52:469

15:52:**150**

15:52:**5**71

15:53:002

15:53:**1**33

15:53:**0**74

15:53:**125**

15:53:156

15:53:1187

15:53:**1198**

15:53:259

15:53:2270

15:53:**201**

15:53:**252**

15:53:**2**93

15:53:**254**

15:53:5255

relates to the meaning of a final decision.

- A. I just think that there was a lot of uncertainty at this stage because the maps -- the maps had not yet been sent by the Commission to the Supreme Court. So the status of the maps was uncertainty to me at this stage as well. I had not seen the Legislative map, but I think at this stage there was a lot of confusion.
- Q. So there was an understanding at this stage, at least between you and Andy Billig, that a decision was forthcoming relative to the maps.
- A. In retrospect, I'm not entirety sure what he is referencing by "final decision."
- Q. Okay. At this point in time, when you were communicating with Andy Billig, did you recognize that you had to make a decision about the position you took on the maps?
- A. I already voted on the plan. I wasn't sure if there would be a second decision that would need to be made, if I -- if I recall.

(Deposition Exhibit 117 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Okay. Exhibit 117, is this you telling Andy Billig what the statement is that you were circulating to give to the press?

15:53:571

15:53:592

15:54:02**3**

15:54:05**4**

15:54:10**5**

15:54:146

15:54:19**7**

15:54:218

15:54:249

15:54:290

15:54:**341**

15:54:**4**0**2**

15:54:423

15:54:454

15:54:495

15:54:526

15:55:**0.07**

15:55:**0.48**

15:55:**1**69

15:55:0290

15:55:0291

15:55:252

15:55:263

15:55:2214

15:55:2245

- I believe this was a draft -- if my memory serves me correctly, I believe this was a draft statement that was prepared by the Senate Democratic Caucus staff for me to consider.
 - So when you're say, "We're circulating," is that you and your fellow voting Commissioners?
- Α. I'm sorry. Let me -- I'm not sure who the -who the "we" is in reference to. That -- that may be in reference to myself and --
- Right here -- right here. "Something like this" 0. is right here.
- I believe I was working on that with Yes. Α. Senate -- Senate caucus staff, not the other Commissioners.
 - 0. But Sims was in the know?
- I'm not sure if she was. I don't remember the Α. timing. She may not have been.

(Deposition Exhibit 118 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Okay. You were telling Andy Billig that this 0. statement buys you what time? Time to do what?
- My interpretation of that in retrospect is time Α. to know what the Supreme Court was going to do. Because,

15:55:331

15:55:372

15:55:413

15:55:464

15:55:505

15:55:536

15:55:567

15:56:058

15:56:089

15:56:110

15:56:161

15:56:182

15:56:213

15:56:**244**

15:56:285

15:56:326

15:56:**347**

15:56:418

15:56:419

15:56:4260

15:56:4291

15:56:532

15:56:583

15:57:0224

15:57:0255

15:57:101 transferred to the Supreme Court and we have not yet heard
15:57:122 what action the Court is going to take.

Q. Okay. And here you're telling Andy Billig, "We're all agreed on it."

Who did you mean there?

- A. I -- I believe that's in reference to the Senate caucus staff who'd been working on it.
 - Q. But you're --
 - A. Not the other Commissioners.
- Q. Yeah, but you're a D, not an R; so how did you know what the Rs wanted?
- A. I'm -- I don't remember the specifics, but I had spoken with Paul Graves at some point earlier that day.
 - O. You had?
- A. I believe I mentioned that -- that he'd asked about the statement -- I believe the statement that was -- that went out was the one that he had drafted, if I recall.
 - Q. Okay. And how was the R's statement stronger?
 - A. I don't -- I don't remember.
- Q. Are you sure that you're not referencing agreement amongst the voting Commissioners about the statement to Billig?
- A. I'm fairly certain that that draft was something that I worked on with the Senate Democratic Caucus staff.

15:57:143

15:57:174

15:57:195

15:57:236

15:57:297

15:57:358

15:57:369

15:57:380

15:57:411

15:57:442

15:57:473

15:57:504

15:57:525

15:57:556

15:57:977

15:58:008

15:58:039

15:58:0260

15:58:1211

15:58:232

15:58:263

15:58:204

15:58:245

- 15:58:281 I'm not 100 percent sure, but I -- I believe that was the case.

 15:58:332 Q. And at the time that you worked out a statement with the Senate Caucus staff, you had a proposal from the
 - A. I -- I can't remember the specific timeline of who I spoke to when.

(Deposition Exhibit 119 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Republicans?

Q. So this is an exchange with you and Andy Billig about a proposal coming from Commissioner Augustine.

What did she propose sort of?

- A. There was not a proposal. I think there was not a proposal.
- Q. Why'd you say "sort of" to Billig about his question as to whether or not she did have a proposed map?
- A. Because there may have been an attempt, but there was really no -- there really was not -- there was not one. So the answer to that is no.
 - Q. But you didn't tell Billig that at that time?
- A. It -- I effectively did. I mean, saying "not really," that -- that's effectively "no."
- Q. Okay. And what did you -- what did you mean, "I can see how they are saying that"?

15:58:425

15:58:446

15:58:477

15:59:008

15:59:009

15:59:020

15:59:031

15:59:062

15:59:123

15:59:174

15:59:205

15:59:216

15:59:257

15:59:308

15:59:329

15:59:250

15:59:381

15:59:4222

15:59:4243

15:59:4294

15:59:525

- A. If I recall, I believe what Commissioner

 15:59:582 Augustine raised was some compromised points between the

 16:00:033 negotiations that I was not involved in. So I don't know

 16:00:074 all the specifics. I was not involved in those

 16:00:125 Legislative negotiations in those kind of waning hours of

 16:00:176 the 15th.
 - Q. Have you heard the -- the other Commissioners and/or staff or blaming you for the lack of action earlier in the day?
 - A. Yeah. I know that that was something that -- that was said by some people.
 - Q. Do you agree with them?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you go into the Commission negotiations believing the Supreme Court would do a job that you could live with?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I did believe that.
 - Q. Did you make a decision at any point on the 15th that you no longer needed to -- needed to communicate further because the Supreme Court could decide the outcome?
 - A. No. I negotiated in good faith throughout the entire process.

16:00:217

16:00:268

16:00:319

16:00:330

16:00:351

16:00:392

16:00:403

16:00:424

16:00:485

16:00:546

16:01:027

16:01:048

16:01:049

16:01:0260

16:01:1221

16:01:262

16:01:213

16:01:2224

16:01:275

- Q. So do you believe that the individuals who are blaming you for the late activity are wrong?

 A. Yes.

 Q. Okay. Were you out of country the week before
 - Q. Okay. Were you out of country the week before the meetings started on the 12th?
 - A. I -- I had three days of international travel, I believe, one or two weeks prior.
 - Q. Did you -- did you have any conversations with Billig that made you take an action to reach agreement as opposed to let the Supreme Court decide it?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you have a conversation or any communications with anyone that led you to compromise rather than take a different action?
 - A. I don't --

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Oh, I apologies.

GREG WONG: You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I take input -- all my decisions are mine.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. Did you at some point have input that caused you to change your mind about taking a vote that night?
 - A. No.

16:01:465

16:01:526

16:01:58**7**

16:02:098

16:02:219

16:02:290

16:02:**321**

16:02:342

16:02:363

16:02:434

16:02:**135**

16:02:546

16:02:**157**

16:02:578

16:02:**189**

16:03:**210**

16:03:0221

16:03:022

16:03:0293

16:03:224

16:03:**205**

- WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT VS STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 16:03:261 Was there a point prior to taking a vote in which you had decided in your own mind that you would not 16:03:292 16:03:323 be voting? 16:03:364 Do you mean voting in support of the maps? Α. 16:03:385 That you just would -- that you were done Ο. 16:03:426 negotiating; there would be no vote that night. I struggled up until the very end with how to 16:03:45**7** Α. vote on the Legislative maps because of questions that I 16:03:4**78** had about the 14th and 15th Legislative Districts in the 16:03:529
 - So showing you what's been marked as Exhibit Ο. 20 -- 120, what was wild about the decision to submit the maps to the Court?

That was a real challenge for me.

(Deposition Exhibit 120 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: In that case, I'm referencing that the maps allege -- what I've already said publicly and in this testimony, that I didn't see the Legislative Districts in their final forms before they went to the Court.

BY JOAN MELL:

Yakima Valley.

- And so that was the big problem? Ο.
- That's what I'm referencing, yes. Α.
- Ο. So at the time the maps went to the Supreme Court, you had not approved that transmittal?

16:03:**190**

16:04:0171

16:04:082

16:04:163

16:04:184

16:04:185

16:04:**1**%

16:04:**227**

16:04:**268**

16:04:**1**89

16:04:**210**

16:04:3221

16:04:322

16:04:**253**

16:04:2274

16:04:3295

16:04:431 A. I had not. I had not -- I had not approved the 16:04:472 transmittal. That's correct.

Though, I had -- I had approved -- I don't know that it needed my approval, and we had also voted on the plan. And the maps did reflect in their final form the framework that I voted on the night of the 15th.

- Q. Was it a big problem to you, as expressed to Andy Billig, because you had understood you would have the opportunity to approve or at least review and decide whether or not the final map reflected your vote?
 - A. I expected that that would be the case.
- Q. Do you know if any of the other Commissioners expected that would be the case?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Do you know if Ali O'Neil expected that to be the case?
 - A. I do not.

(Deposition Exhibit 121 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. What's your VRA concern you're talking about to Andy Billig in Exhibit 121?
- A. This is my concern that I raised throughout the process on the Voting Rights Act.
 - Q. Oh, that's -- the VRA means the Voting Rights

16:04:483

16:04:524

16:04:585

16:05:036

16:05:107

16:05:148

16:05:179

16:05:230

16:05:281

16:05:352

16:05:373

16:05:384

16:05:415

16:05:456

16:05:457

16:05:518

16:05:519

16:05:540

16:05:551

16:05:582

16:06:0213

16:06:0254

16:06:0275

16:06:131 16:06:14**2** 16:06:153 16:06:184 16:06:195 16:06:366 16:06:427 16:06:498 16:06:529 16:06:530 16:06:551 16:06:592 16:07:043 16:07:**0**64 16:07:**0**85 16:07:106 16:07:**127**

16:07:1148

16:07:179

16:07:**1280**

16:07:201

16:07:2212

16:07:2253

16:07:**214**

16:07:**235**

Act --

- A. Exactly.
- Q. Why did you think all the Commissioners would be taken aback?
- A. I think there was uncertainty about what the Supreme Court was going to do after we were informed that we lost jurisdiction around midnight -- when we were informed that we lost jurisdiction around midnight from the Attorney General.
- Q. So did you think the Commissioners would be taken aback at you raising publicly your Voting Rights Act concerns before you knew whether or not the maps would be validated?
- A. I don't recall specifically what I'm referring to in that text.
 - Q. Is that generally what you were referring to?
 - A. That's possible.
 - Q. Well, it is likely?

 GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I would say it's possible.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. And possible to you means less than 50 percent or 50 percent?
- A. Given that I was texting about the Voting Rights
 Act immediately before this, I'm -- it was likely

referencing my concerns with the Voting Rights Act.

And that the Commissioners would be taken aback Ο. because the maps had yet to be validated?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: That's correct. There was There was uncertainty at -- on the 16th, uncertainty. there was significant uncertainty about the next steps.

BY JOAN MELL:

16:07:361

16:07:422

16:07:443

16:07:484

16:07:505

16:07:506

16:07:537

16:07:578

16:07:599

16:08:060

16:08:081

16:08:112

16:08:133

16:08:174

16:08:205

16:08:226

16:08:277

16:08:298

16:08:319

16:08:250

16:08:3271

16:08:372

16:08:3293

16:08:4224

16:08:4285

- So did you -- did you publish your VRA concerns?
- I've stated them. I did not -- I did not Α. publish them in a press release.
- O. Did you state them in a way that they were heard publicly, or did you just state them to the Commissioners?
- I believe that I referenced them in interviews with the media after the fact.
 - Okav. Were the Commissioners taken aback? 0. GREG WONG: Object to form.

On this issue, I -- I believe that THE WITNESS: I made it fairly clear all along my perspective so I don't -- I don't believe so.

BY JOAN MELL:

Okay. Did -- did you have any communications Ο. with any of the Commissioners to find out whether or not they were taken aback by your public comments on the VRA concerns you had with the maps?

	Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 234
16:08:491	A. No.
16:08:492	(Deposition Exhibit 122 was marked for
16:08:493	identification.)
16:09:104	BY JOAN MELL:
16:09:105	Q. So this is Andy Billig's advice to you about
16:09:136	covering your back. Did you cover your back?
16:09:157	GREG WONG: Object to form.
16:09:188	THE WITNESS: Not sure what you mean by that
16:09:199	question.
16:09:200	BY JOAN MELL:
16:09:22	Q. Do you did you distance yourself from the
16:09:292	transmitted maps?
16:09: 3 53	A. No. No. I have not distanced myself from the
16:09: 414	transmitted maps. No.
16:09:435	Q. So it correct to say you did not follow Andy
16:09:466	Billig's advice?
16:09:517	A. At this specific moment, at the time this text
16:09:538	was sent, that is accurate. However, time this was a
16:09:599	very quickly moving situation given the uncertainty around
16:10:0230	the jurisdiction.
16:10:051	Q. Okay. So did you at some later point in time
16:10:1202	after do you know when this text communication was?
16:10:1283	A. Likely on November 16th.
16:10:214	Q. Okay. And then did you at some later time after

November 16th distance yourself as he recommended?

16:10:245

16:10:311	Α.	No.	Ι	have	not.

16:10:322

16:10:323

16:10:404

16:10:415

16:10:466

16:10:527

16:10:558

16:10:589

16:10:580

16:11:011

16:11:012

16:11:043

16:11:104

16:11:145

16:11:166

16:11:177

16:11:198

16:11:229

16:11:270

16:11:301

16:11:332

16:11:363

16:11:384

16:11:4215

(Deposition Exhibit 123 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. What was the backlash he was referring to?
- A. I'm not sure.
- Q. That's not helpful. I don't know what that's doing there.

(Deposition Exhibit 124 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Okay. So this is Jamie Pedersen communicating with you about the fact that you don't have an agreement and it's nine minutes before midnight; is that correct?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. And it -- does -- does your communication back to him indicate that, as of 11:52, nine minutes before midnight, that you had reached agreement among your Commissioners that whatever you voted on would be conditioned -- contingent on transmission of the maps after the vote?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I -- I don't believe I was clear

at that point.

16:11:42L

16:11:432

16:11:433

16:11:484

16:11:515

16:11:556

16:11:597

16:12:028

16:12:039

16:12:100

16:12:**1**2L

16:12:162

16:12:183

16:12:224

16:12:275

16:12:306

16:12:327

16:12:358

16:12:199

16:12:4210

16:12:4241

16:12:262

16:12:4283

16:12:504

16:12:545

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Okay. Did -- did you have an understanding or an expectancy based on communications with other Commissioners that the vote you would be taking in the next five -- nine minutes was contingent on the transmission of the maps after the -- sometime after the vote?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I believe the maps would have to be drawn in accordance with the plan that we voted on.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. And did you have an expectancy, based on your communications with other Commissioners, that the maps would have to be drawn in accordance with what you voted on?
- A. Yes. I believe that's what we -- yes. I think my expectation was that the maps would be drawn based on the plan that we approved.
- Q. And that that was a mutual understanding among Commissioners.
 - A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Did you have a mutual understanding with the other Commissioners prior to the vote that what you were voting on was contingent on transmission of maps that

conformed with what you voted on?

- A. No. Not when you frame it like that. I don't believe that there was -- I don't -- I don't know if that was the case.
- Q. So why did you tell Andy Billig that before you voted on it that there was?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Do you mean Jamie Pedersen?

BY JOAN MELL:

O. Oh, excuse me. Yes. Jamie Pedersen.

GREG WONG: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: I -- I can't recall. Those were very busy ten, 15 minutes.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. And how did you take Jamie's prediction of lawsuits? What kind of lawsuits do you think he was predicting?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Did you -- did you suspect that he was concerned about open government?
 - A. Again, I don't know.
 - O. How did you take it?
 - A. I don't recall my reaction at that moment.

16:12:581

16:13:022

16:13:043

16:13:07**4**

16:13:175

16:13:196

16:13:227

16:13:248

16:13:269

16:13:260

16:13:291

16:13:**1**22

16:13:**143**

16:13:464

16:13:465

16:13:506

16:13:537

16:13:548

16:13:**159**

16:13:5260

16:13:5261

16:13:5282

16:14:**223**

16:14:0244

16:14:2295

16:14:131 (Deposition Exhibit 125 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

16:14:153

16:14:214

16:14:295

16:14:356

16:14:38**7**

16:14:418

16:14:459

16:14:520

16:14:**9**81

16:15:**1**22

16:15:0133

16:15:**0**74

16:15:105

16:15:186

16:15:**2**57

16:15:**278**

16:15:329

16:15:4200

16:15:4251

16:15:522

16:15:**5283**

16:16:0204

16:16:0235

Q. Okay. Exhibit 125 is a joint communication with Osta, a staffer -- is that correct -- April Sims, and AO -- AO -- Ali O'Neil?

A. Correct.

- Q. And what was your instruction to Ali O'Neil relative to what she could share with Osta or Dominique or Anton or Paul?
- A. I don't recall giving explicit instructions on that topic.
- Q. What was your expectation with regard to Ali O'Neil's communications with other caucus staff people or -- well, let's leave it just other caucus staff about your position as to the redistricting work?
- A. I believe it would have been on a case-by-case basis. I don't think there was a general rule.
- Q. Okay. So is it correct that here April Sims is communicating to you that Paul Graves is talking to Joe Fain about a proposal that would consolidate Lakewood with the lowest possible Republican increase?
 - A. You're asking if that's correct?
- Q. Is that -- is that what you took it to mean -- that you were receiving information for consideration

about Lakewood?

16:16:081

16:16:162

16:16:19**3**

16:16:25**4**

16:16:295

16:16:316

16:16:35**7**

16:16:368

16:16:389

16:16:410

16:16:461

16:16:492

16:16:523

16:16:544

16:16:585

16:17:006

16:17:017

16:17:038

16:17:0189

16:17:0280

16:17:0281

16:23:5242

16:23:5253

16:24:0224

16:24:0255

- A. This wasn't a text that I particularly remember or remember responding to in a way that was substantive. I don't recall those specifics.
 - Q. Do you know what happened?
 - A. I -- I don't know enough.

 Bless you.
 - Q. Thank you.

GREG WONG: Joan, can we do a quick break? I'm sorry. I have to run to the restroom. And it's 4:15 now so just five minutes or so. Because really started at 9:00 and hoping to be done around 5:00.

JOAN MELL: Yeah. I -- hopefully, we can make it. I don't know. These go so dang slow. I've got some more in the other batch that I've got to get through too, but we'll see.

No. I don't mind if we take a break. That's fine.

(Recess.)

(Deposition Exhibit 126 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Commissioner Walkinshaw, Exhibit 126 is a continuation of the conversation between April Sims,
Osta -- I forget Osta's last name -- Osta Davis and Ali

16:24:101 O'Neil.

16:24:112

16:24:143

16:24:234

16:24:295

16:24:316

16:24:327

16:24:348

16:24:379

16:24:**3**70

16:24:401

16:24:442

16:24:**123**

16:24:**154**

16:24:565

16:24:596

16:25:067

16:25:098

16:25:**129**

16:25:**240**

16:25:271

16:25:2012

16:25:2253

16:25:2294

16:25:**235**

Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not you and April were negotiating terms to communicate to Joe?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't believe -- I don't remember this specific -- I don't remember the specific thread and the context behind it, no.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Is it a correct characterization to say that April Sims communicated to you that she wanted a consolidated Lakewood on the Congressional maps?
- A. No. I don't believe this is in reference to the Congressional maps.
- Q. Okay. So is this a communication between -that included you and Commissioner Sims wherein she's
 communicating that she wants the consolidated Lakewood on
 the Legislative maps?
- A. I'm not sure. I don't remember this -- I don't remember the context of this specific thread.
- Q. Okay. Well, seeing it in black and white, would you have any reason to believe that April Sims was doing anything other than telling you what she wanted in terms of Lakewood in the Legislative maps?
 - A. Could you scroll down? Maybe I could get more

context.

16:25:351

16:25:422

16:25:453

16:25:494

16:25:535

16:25:546

16:25:577

16:26:018

16:26:059

16:26:090

16:26:101

16:26:1142

16:26:173

16:26:194

16:26:205

16:26:236

16:26:247

16:26:288

16:26:359

16:26:4230

16:26:481

16:26:572

16:27:0253

16:27:0274

16:27:205

It -- I read this as April updating me on her conversation.

- Q. Her conversations with Paul Graves?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And what is she saying about, "The diff now is 1.4. Trying to get that down so we can sell it to Joe"? What does that mean?
- A. I'm not sure, but I think that 1.4 -- I'm not sure.
- Q. Does the 1.4 reflect the difference in political preference?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I -- I believe so, but I'm not sure what she's specifically referring to in terms of --

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. All right. So, if this communication means that April Sims is telling you that, in the Legislative map -- map draft that she has been working on, the political preference in Lakewood, having consolidated Lakewood, was at 1.4 but she needed to move that number down so that Paul can convince Joe to agree to that metric?
- A. Again, I don't recall. And I didn't engage back on this text so I don't recall. I don't recall this conversation.

Ι

I don't

- WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT VS STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 16:27:121 Do you think there's anything incorrect about my 0. 16:27:132 statement? 16:27:16**3** Again, I don't know. There may be. Α. 16:27:18**4** know what direction that is in -- I don't know. 16:27:225 0. Why are you having such a hard time 16:27:256 understanding what this says? I'm not sure I'm having a hard time 16:27:29**7** Α. 16:27:308 understanding it. I think that that is a reflection --16:27:32**9** that is a partisanship -- I read that as her expressing a 16:27:**360** partisanship metric. 16:27:**4**1**1** I don't know which metric she's referring to. 16:27:432 don't know what direction that difference is in. So I'm 16:27:**473** not -- I'm not -- I don't remember the context, and I 16:27:**504** don't know where Lakewood ended either. 16:27:55 0.
 - Okay. But would you agree that, at this point in time, Sims is communicating with you about communications she's having with Graves?
 - I think she's updating me on -- I read Α. this as Commissioner Sims updating me on her negotiations.
 - And, at this point in time, do -- oh, strike 0. that.

What did you mean when you said, "let's all do a check-in"?

Α. I probably meant that we had periodic check-ins in person throughout the 15th. It was probably -- I can

16:27:586

16:28:0137

16:28:**1**28

16:28:**1159**

16:28:2260

16:28:3211

16:28:3282

16:28:423

16:28:**264**

16:28:**2**1**5**

- only venture to say that I suggested that we all do a quick check-in.
 - Q. When you voted on the Legislative map, did you know where Lakewood was?
 - A. I believe it was consolidated, but I don't remember the final outcome.
 - Q. When you voted, did you know whether or not it was consolidated?
 - A. Yeah. I believe, in the plan, the intent was to consolidate Lakewood. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know, in the final map, all of Lakewood is in one District?
 - A. I don't.
 - Q. Do you know what the political metric is?
 - A. I don't. Not for Lakewood, no.
 - Q. Do you know what the political metric was when you voted on it?
 - A. For the Legislative District that Lakewood is contained in? Yes.
 - O. Where the what?
 - A. Not for the city of Lakewood specifically, no.
 - Q. Where was your place?
 - A. I don't know what I'm referencing there.
 - Q. Did you have a place where you would convene to meet with staff and Commissioner Sims?

16:28:551

16:28:592

16:29:013

16:29:064

16:29:105

16:29:126

16:29:157

16:29:188

16:29:209

16:29:250

16:29:26

16:29:282

16:29:293

16:29:314

16:29:345

16:29:386

16:29:407

16:29:428

16:29:459

16:29:4280

16:29:501

16:30:022

16:30:0263

16:30:1204

16:30:1245

- 16:30:211 A. Osta and Commissioner Sims may have come once to 16:30:272 the hotel -- the hotel where Ali O'Neil and I were 16:30:323 staying.
 - Q. Did you have a place in the hotel where you were staying to meet with them?
 - A. I had a room at the hotel, yes.
 - Q. And did you meet in your hotel room with them?
 - A. I believe we may have met once there. I don't recall the specifics, but I believe we did.
 - Q. With regard to this Exhibit 127, is this on the 16th? Do you know?
 - A. Could you scroll --
 - Q. It's about the press statement.
 - A. -- up and down?

(Deposition Exhibit 127 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Scroll up? This one's up as far as it goes. Do you need me to move it --
- A. No. No. I believe that's the 15th, Joan. And I don't believe that's in reference to the press statement only because we were at the hotel, and we're not at the hotel on the 16th until --
- Q. Okay. So what did you have -- what did April have to get back to Paul on?

16:30:354

16:30:375

16:30:396

16:30:417

16:30:458

16:30:489

16:30:580

16:31:05

16:31:062

16:31:073

16:31:104

16:31:125

16:31:126

16:31:137

16:31:138

16:31:179

16:31:1280

16:31:221

16:31:242

16:31:273

16:31:294

16:31:3225

- 16:31:351 A. I don't -- I don't remember what that specific 16:31:372 was at that stage.
 - Q. And what did you mean, "We all just wrapped up a bit ago"?
 - A. I'm not sure. It may have been referring to a conversation I had with Joe. I don't know.
 - Q. Exhibit 18, April Sims and Sarah Augustine and you, is that the trio there?
 - A. I don't believe I'm on that text thread.

(Deposition Exhibit 128 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. You don't know that -- is that just because you don't see any communications back?
- A. I don't believe that text is from me. There's only two people on that -- oh, is that -- that may be with me. I don't --
- Q. It says two people so I am assuming that means two others and you.
- A. Oh, I think you're correct. I don't -- maybe scroll down.
 - Q. That's -- that's down. That's up.
- A. Okay. Yes. That -- that is -- you are correct. That is with April Sims and Sarah Augustine.
 - Q. Okay. So did you have an ongoing chat between

16:31:453

16:31:494

16:31:495

16:31:526

16:32:047

16:32:098

16:32:129

16:32:150

16:32:151

16:32:162

16:32:253

16:32:274

16:32:315

16:32:336

16:32:357

16:32:368

16:32:389

16:32:4210

16:32:423L

16:32:42

16:32:4293

16:32:5224

16:32:55

16:32:581 the two of them throughout the course of the negotiations?

- A. I actually don't recall exchanging any chats with the two of them throughout the course of the negotiations myself. This is, to my recollection, the only text that I was included on.
- Q. And when April says you were with Joe, what were you doing with Joe on November 15th around 10:55 p.m.?
- A. Oh, I believe we were final -- working on our Congressional negotiations.
 - Q. Okay.

(Deposition Exhibit 129 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Do you recall there being a suggestion that -- that -- well, strike that.

Is it correct that, when you voted, you didn't have a shapefile to vote on?

- A. There were not maps. There were not final maps. We didn't vote on final maps.
- Q. Do you understand a shapefile to be a requisite -- prerequisite for a map?
- A. I -- I believe that's the case, but -- could you describe to me what a shapefile is?
 - Q. No. I was hoping you could describe it to me.

 Can you describe what a shapefile is?

16:33:04**2**

16:33:07**3**

16:33:094

16:33:135

16:33:166

16:33:207

16:33:258

16:33:29

16:33:320

16:33:**3**91

16:33:392

16:33:443

16:33:444

16:33:465

16:33:486

16:33:507

16:33:**178**

16:34:**1**09

16:34:0230

16:34:0261

16:34:**2**2

16:34:**243**

16:34:1284

16:34:2215

16:34:26L I -- I believe it's input into the -- into the Α. 16:34:292 mapping. 16:34:323 So would you agree that, when you said that you 0. 16:34:354 didn't have maps, you also didn't have shapefiles when you 16:34:395 voted? 16:34:406 Α. They're closely -- yes. Yes. Yes. That's correct. 16:34:437 16:34:448 (Deposition Exhibit 130 was marked for 16:34:449 identification.) 16:34:520 BY JOAN MELL: And is "OR" Osta Davis? 16:34:56 0. 16:35:022 That's correct. Α. 16:35:053 And are you communicating to Osta, who is April Q. 16:35:114 Sims' staff person, your thoughts on this negotiating 16:35:165 tactic? 16:35:196 Object to form. GREG WONG: 16:35:337 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what that's in

16:35:369 BY JOAN MELL:

reference to.

- Q. Is this you? "Okay. Great. Give a ring, if helpful whenever"?
 - A. Yes, that's me.
- Q. And then you say, "I heard that you're a no on the leg maps no matter what at this point."

Is that April?



16:35:358

16:35:3260

16:35:391

16:35:4212

16:35:423

16:35:4244

16:35:4275

- 16:35:531 A. No. This is -- no. That is not April. That is 16:35:582 a text that -- that is a -- a text that I received from 16:36:083 Joe Fain.
 - Q. Okay. And you're sharing this with April Sims' staff person Osta Davis?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And was you sharing what Fain was saying with Osta so she would get April to talk to you?
 - A. No. Not for that reason, no.
 - Q. Why were you sharing this information about Joe with Osta?
 - A. I believe we were just coordinating -- we may well have been coordinating logistics.

I remember there was a real question that evening on whether or not we were going to be staying at the hotel that night, and I may have just been keeping her up to date. I may have also shared that text with April. I'm not -- I can't recall.

(Deposition Exhibit 131 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Did you have an ongoing text thread between Adam Smith and April Sims?
- A. Only what you -- only what you see -- what's been submitted.

16:36:094

16:36:135

16:36:156

16:36:207

16:36:238

16:36:269

16:36:290

16:36:311

16:36:342

16:36:383

16:36:424

16:36:4135

16:36:456

16:36:507

16:36:538

16:36:599

16:36:5290

16:37:0211

16:37:232

16:37:263

16:37:1294

16:37:225

- 16:37:231 Q. This is all you remember communicating with Adam 16:37:262 Smith and April?
 - A. On the same text thread, I don't know how long that -- yes. That's all I remember. There may be more.
 - Q. There may be more?
 - A. Whatever I provided -- I provided all of my -- all of my texts. I don't remember the -- I don't remember what else there was with Adam Smith.
 - Q. What is this? "Thank you for the suggestion. I haven't read the ST piece yet."
 - A. I don't know what April Sims is referencing.
 - O. Huh?

16:37:28**3**

16:37:32**4**

16:37:365

16:37:406

16:37:43**7**

16:37:45**8**

16:37:489

16:37:500

16:37:**171**

16:38:012

16:38:**123**

16:38:**044**

16:38:065

16:38:166

16:38:237

16:38:398

16:38:439

16:39:260

16:39:261

16:39:272

16:39:273

16:39:204

- A. I don't know what April is -- I don't know if -- I don't know what April is referencing.
- Q. Okay. All right. We'll finished the numbered batch. Let me move here. This is bazillions, but let me see.

All right. Well, shoot. Let me do this. Just a second.

(Deposition Exhibit 132 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 132, I think we had a portion of this earlier. But this communication is from you, "Met with Joe. We should go.

LITIGATION SERVICES

16:39:261 | We're pretty close to final offer on CDs."

Is that you asking to talk to Commissioner Sims about where you and Joe Fain are on the Congressional District maps on November 15th at 9:02?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if I am offering to provide April an update or not or if I'm saying that Joe and I are going over it again soon.

BY JOAN MELL:

16:39:352

16:39:383

16:39:424

16:39:475

16:39:536

16:39:547

16:39:58

16:40:019

16:40:0130

16:40:0191

16:40:**1**12

16:40:393

16:40:424

16:40:**465**

16:40:**476**

16:40:527

16:40:**548**

16:40:589

16:41:0250

16:41:0291

16:41:0292

16:41:213

16:41:2214

16:41:2285

- Q. So when you say "we" in this comment, is the "we" you and April?
 - A. I'm not sure.
- Q. So in this text chain, who is this? Andy Billig and AO? Who's that?
- A. I don't believe that's Andy Billig. I believe that's Adam Bartz who works also in the Senate caucus.
 - O. And who's AO?
 - A. Ali O'Neil.
 - O. Oh, that's Ali.

And does -- let me mark this. Just a second.

(Deposition Exhibit 133 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Okay. With regard to Exhibit 133, does this text exchange reflect the fact that your staff are

16:41:321	communicating the position of the Commissioners amongst
16:41:352	each other for purposes of integrating, for instance, Joe
16:41:393	Fain's recommendations into the mapping they're working
16:41:434	on?
16:41:4 65	A. No.

16:41:526

16:42:027

16:42:068

16:42:079

16:42:1140

16:42:**17**

16:42:302

16:42:203

16:42:214

16:42:**135**

16:42:146

16:42:**1**67

16:42:788

16:42:**199**

16:42:230

16:42:**23L**

16:42:3242

16:42:273

16:42:**2**8**4**

16:42:3295

- So is this you in the green? Ο.
- The -- I believe the green is me in this text Α. thread, yes.
- And you're telling Andy Billig and Ali O'Neil Ο. what Joe is proposing?
 - Not Andy Billig. Α.

GREG WONG: Objection.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Who did you say AB was? Q.
- Α. Adam Bartz.
- Another staff person. Partisan? O.
- Partisan staff, yes. Α.
- D? Q.
- Democratic partisan staff, yes. A.
- Senate? Q.
- Yes. Α.
- Two people that were helping you craft Okay. Ο. maps?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Okay. And so is it correct that you were Q.

communicating what Joe wants to your staff?

A. I was -- I believe that what you see here is me sharing the feedback that Joe had shared with me on the map that I had proposed to him.

So, at this stage, Commissioner Fain and I were negotiating back and forth on Congressional maps, but the staff were actually the ones doing the drawings.

So I was sharing Joe's feedback. I was not agreeing to it. I was sharing it with --

Q. Oh, okay. Okay.

Do you know if the same thing was happening over in his universe with his staff?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know how he was managing his staff.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Did Ali O'Neil or -- I better write down whoever AB is -- ever communicate to you what they were hearing from his staff?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did they --
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Did they ever communicate to you what they were hearing from staff for Sims or Graves?
 - A. Yes. I believe there were cases of that. Ali

16:42:461

16:42:52

16:42:58**3**

16:43:03**4**

16:43:05**5**

16:43:086

16:43:137

16:43:15**8**

16:43:18**9**

16:43:200

16:43:241

16:43:752

16:43:293

16:43:**3**0**4**

16:43:**3**15

16:43:326

16:43:**3**27

16:43:368

16:43:409

16:43:4220

16:43:4231

16:43:**242**

16:43:4253

16:43:4274

16:43:**265**

was working very closely with Osta Davis and Dominique Meyer.

Q. Okay.

16:43:591

16:44:052

16:44:063

16:44:184

16:44:205

16:44:246

16:44:277

16:44:308

16:44:319

16:44:350

16:44:391

16:44:472

16:44:483

16:44:514

16:48:365

16:48:456

16:48:507

16:48:528

16:48:599

16:49:0270

16:49:211

16:49:242

16:49:1273

16:49:1284

16:49:225

JOAN MELL: Actually, you know, if we want to take another just five-minute break, let me get these all just exhibited up and do a quick run-through and see if I can figure out a way to get through these quickly.

GREG WONG: That sounds fine. We are -- you know, we did start at 9:00 so I'm expecting to end shortly after 5:00. We'll give a little leeway but not too much.

JOAN MELL: Yeah. We'll see what we can do.

GREG WONG: Appreciate that.

JOAN MELL: I'm just going to get going here so you guys don't have to painfully watch me.

(Recess.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Commissioner, was there a point when you received a memo on the 15th or thereabouts from Commissioner Fain describing his proposed Districts?
- A. There was a memo -- yes. There was a memo that I received that we did exchange. I don't know that they were his final Districts, but there was -- there was a memo he shared.
- Q. And do you remember talking to Commissioner Sims about that?

- Not specifically, but I -- we likely would have Α. because we -- we likely would have.
 - Do you remember what you did with it in terms of Q. his recommendations -- how you reflected his recommendations and any proposal?

GREG WONG: Object --

THE WITNESS: Can I see the memo you're referring to so I'm sure we're talking about the same thing?

JOAN MELL: Yes. Let's see. Where is the memo most easily retrieved? I believe it's 41.

> (Deposition Exhibit 41 was marked for identification.)

> (Deposition Exhibit 42 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 42, do 0. you recognize that document as a memo from Commissioner Fain that you discussed with Commissioner Sims?
- I was asking -- I was asking if you could scroll Α. down so I could see. Yes.
- I was going to open up Exhibit 41 too because Ο. that's the enclosure in case that helps.
 - Yes. I'm familiar with this memo.
 - All right. Is this the memo you discussed with Ο.

LITIGATION SERVICES

16:49:261

16:49:302

16:49:343

16:49:374

16:49:415

16:49:446

16:49:457

16:49:468

16:49:499

16:49:500

16:49:541

16:51:012

16:51:013

16:51:104

16:51:105

16:51:116

16:51:117

16:51:1148

16:51:169

16:51:250

16:51:281

16:51:422

16:51:4273

16:51:595

16:52:031 | April Sims?

16:52:042

16:52:063

16:52:094

16:52:105

16:52:126

16:52:187

16:52:198

16:52:229

16:52:230

16:52:251

16:52:**182**

16:52:**1**13

16:52:**3**24

16:52:**3**65

16:52:**176**

16:52:**3**87

16:52:**3**98

16:52:419

16:52:4230

16:52:5211

16:52:**272**

16:52:**293**

16:53:0204

16:53:**225**

- A. We likely did discuss it. I don't recall -- I don't recall April and my specific conversation about it, though, no.
- Q. Do you remember what consideration you gave to it in developing the proposal that you ultimately voted on?

GREG WONG: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: None actually.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. You remember that you gave it no consideration?
- A. I read the memo, but I did not take it seriously, no.
- Q. Okay. Did April Sims not take it seriously as well?
 - A. I'm not sure.

GREG WONG: Object to form.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Do you remember, in your communications with Commissioner Sims, that she did not integrate any of this input into any proposal she was working on?
- A. I don't -- I don't recall any correspondence with her about it.
 - O. "I don't recall" what?
 - A. Any -- I don't recall correspondence with her

- about it. And I'm -- I don't have any recollection of her using it, and I'm not aware if she did.
- Q. Do you have any recollection that she told you that she was not interested in using any of it; it didn't make sense to her?
 - A. No. I have no recollection of that.

(Deposition Exhibit 134 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. In Exhibit 34, were you expressing to April Sims, "Good luck in negotiating with Paul Graves, the Legislative maps"?
- A. I believe that's the case. I think this was just a friendly encouragement.
 - O. Rah-rah?

And Exhibit 135, again, is this a text communication updating April that you're -- on your communications with Commissioner Fain?

(Deposition Exhibit 135 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know what I'm referencing with regard to my -- when I say, "I did speak with Joe," I don't know -- I don't recall what that conversation was about, and I don't remember why I was conveying it to April.

16:53:041

16:53:092

16:53:123

16:53:144

16:53:175

16:53:206

16:53:217

16:53:218

16:53:219

16:53:310

16:53:401

16:53:462

16:53:473

16:53:494

16:53:515

16:54:046

16:54:067

16:54:098

16:54:139

16:54:1230

16:54:251

16:54:262

16:54:203

16:54:2224

16:54:275

BY JOAN MELL:

16:54:281

16:54:282

16:54:333

16:54:354

16:54:395

16:54:466

16:55:06**7**

16:55:098

16:55:149

16:55:**200**

16:55:**241**

16:55:**1**92

16:55:**3**23

16:55:**3**54

16:55:385

16:55:466

16:55:517

16:55:**158**

16:55:589

16:56:0220

16:56:0251

16:56:0282

16:56:**1223**

16:56:234

16:56:295

- Can you review Exhibit 34 and 35 together to Ο. understand what was happening on Saturday, November 13, 12:55, with respect to the negotiations on a redistricting plan relative to Paul's feedback on coming across the I think basically talking about this text chain. highway?
- I believe that I'd -- what I -- what I read from Α. that is I believe that I had expressed hesitation and concerns with crossing the -- with the approach to the Legislative maps that crossed the mountains at Highway 2, which was an area of disagreement.

And what I read from April there is her asking me about it.

So at -- at this point in time, what was -- what was -- what am I trying to say?

Where was Fain and Graves on Highway 2 when you were talking to April in this text communication?

I don't know. Α.

So when -- when Sims is saying, "Paul's map comes across highway so making it one less thing to negotiate would be extremely helpful, you ask, "You mean Highway 2?" Is that -- is that correct?

That's correct. Α.

Ο. Okay. And so, if it came across Highway 2 in

- 16:56:261 A. I don't know at this stage. There were a lot of 16:56:292 maps at this point. I had put together maps. There were 16:56:343 several maps --
 - Q. Where did you want it to go?
 - A. The maps that I drew crossed the mountain at Interstate 90.
 - Q. Not Highway 2?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And did Sims have less of a position on that? Was she willing to go either way?
 - A. No. I believe that -- that Commissioner Sims' perspective was toward Highway 2.
 - Q. All right. So, at this point in time, she could go with Highway 2. Paul was going with Highway 2, and she wanted to know where you were at Highway 2?
 - A. I wouldn't characterize it like that. There were a lot of maps at this stage. I don't know where Paul was, and I don't know what map she's referring to there.
 - Q. Well, she's telling you he's at Highway 2 at this stage; correct?
 - A. That -- that is what I -- what I would understand that to mean, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And did you just not answer her in text at that time?
 - A. That's -- that's very likely.

16:56:344

16:56:365

16:56:386

16:56:417

16:56:438

16:56:439

16:56:460

16:56:501

16:56:542

16:56:573

16:57:014

16:57:05

16:57:026

16:57:107

16:57:138

16:57:189

16:57:220

16:57:241

16:57:272

16:57:283

16:57:3244

16:57:2295

- 16:57:411 Q. Okay. But at this time, you were -- you were 16:57:462 still on 90?
 - A. Yes. I -- I believe I was just -- I would read my text as seeking clarification there.
 - Q. Of where you were?

 And then --
 - A. No. No. On the meaning where I say, "You mean Highway 2?" I think I was seeking clarification.
 - Q. Okay. And then -- then where -- what did you vote on? Where did it go?
 - A. In the end, the plan that was voted on, on November 15th did cross the mountains at Highway 2 for the Legislative maps.
 - Q. So do you remember conceding your position on 90 prior to the action portion of the agenda?
 - A. No. I would not say I conceded. No. I wasn't sure how I was going to vote.
 - I did know that the proposal came across Highway

 2. But, no, I don't remember conceding.
 - Q. Did you communicate -- when Sims communicated to you what the proposal was, did you express any objections to any of it to her prior to the action portion of the meeting?
 - A. I'd raised my concerns at various points all along, yes.

16:57:48**3**

16:57:52**4**

16:57:555

16:57:566

16:57:56**7**

16:57:58

16:58:019

16:58:050

16:58:**191**

16:58:**1**32

16:58:**173**

16:58:184

16:58:235

16:58:**1%**

16:58:**3**27

16:58:**348**

16:58:**179**

16:58:4230

16:58:4261

16:58:502

16:58:5263

16:59:**214**

16:59:**22/5**

16:59:071 16:59:102 16:59:123 t 16:59:164 c 16:59:195 a 16:59:226 a 16:59:287 w

16:59:328

16:59:349

16:59:**400**

16:59:431

16:59:462

16:59:473

16:59:504

16:59:545

Q. No. No. No. That's not what I'm saying.

I want to know at a specific point in time prior to the action -- at the last moment in time when Sims communicated to you what was in the proposal prior to the action portion of the meeting, had you communicated anything back to her on your thoughts about her agreement with Graves?

- A. I'd shared concerns, yes.
- Q. At -- at -- immediately prior to the vote?
- A. On the 15th, yes. I don't recall --
- Q. No. No. No. I'm asking a very specific point in time.

At the point in time when Commissioner Sims told you what she had agreed upon with Graves, what, if anything, did you say to her about her and Graves' proposal? Not at any other point this time. At that moment.

A. I think, in those ten minutes before, I was deciding how to vote so I don't -- I don't recall expressing any specific defect.

(Deposition Exhibit 136 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Do you remember April Sims expressing her thoughts on Fain's memo other than her comment that it's

LITIGATION SERVICES

- 16:59:586 17:00:017 17:00:038
- 15.00.850
- 17:00:**159**
- 17:00:**270**
- 17:00:241
- 17:00:1242
- 17:00:2273
- 17:00:3204
- 17:00:3225

clever PR court strategy?

17:00:371

17:00:41**2**

17:00:45**3**

17:00:494

17:00:495

17:00:506

17:00:567

17:00:598

17:01:03**9**

17:01:0140

17:01:**0**81

17:01:1122

17:01:**173**

17:01:184

17:01:195

17:01:**126**

17:01:**257**

17:01:788

17:01:309

17:01:3250

17:01:**261**

17:01:422

17:01:4253

17:01:4294

17:01:**205**

A. Not -- not really. I remember -- not really, no.

(Deposition Exhibit 137 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. In your -- this is you communicating in blue in Exhibit 137 to Commissioner Sims; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And, at this point, you're sharing with Commissioner Sims that you have a concern about Fain regarding the Congressional District maps?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What was your concern at that time that you communicated with Commissioner Sims?
- A. I had so many concerns. I'm not sure what I was referring to specifically there.
- Q. Do you think you communicated whatever your concern was about the Congressional maps relative to Fain to Commissioner Sims?
 - A. I'm not sure with respect to that concern.
- Q. Well, do you remember sharing concerns about Commissioner Fain's position on the Congressional map with Sims?
 - A. Yes. I mean, we kept each other updated

- throughout the process. I don't remember this specific concern -- what this specific concern is, though.
 - Q. Is this the first time anyone proposed mapping after the agreement -- after the meeting?
 - A. Could I see the timestamp on that text? That --
 - Q. I sure wish I could show it to you, but I don't have one.
 - A. I -- I don't believe -- we would have to look at the timestamp.

I don't believe that's what -- I don't -- I believe this was sent at least the day prior to the deadline. I believe this text is from the 14th.

Q. Okay.

17:01:521

17:01:562

17:01:583

17:02:074

17:02:165

17:02:196

17:02:217

17:02:228

17:02:249

17:02:250

17:02:271

17:02:312

17:02:343

17:02:374

17:02:375

17:02:436

17:02:517

17:02:538

17:02:579

17:03:0200

17:03:023L

17:03:0252

17:03:0283

17:03:1234

(Deposition Exhibit 138 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 138, this "join in the cloud HD video meeting," does that mean the public part of the meeting? Or did you have a separate meeting going on?
- A. I don't -- that -- my assumption is that that was a call between just me and April.
 - Q. So were you Zoom communicating?
- A. April and I did Zoom with one another on occasion.

17:03:171 Were you Zoom communicating on the 15th? 0. I don't recall. 17:03:21**2** Α. 17:03:263 (Deposition Exhibit 139 was marked for 17:03:264 identification.) 17:03:305 BY JOAN MELL: 17:03:376 Does Exhibit 139 reflect a meeting you asked O. 17:03:407 April Sims to join with a person named Barretto and a 17:03:488 Civil Rights attorney? 17:03:49**9** Α. Yes. 17:03:530 That happened on --Ο. 17:03:**941** Repeat the question. Α. 17:03:52 What day did the -- this happen? 0. 17:04:**1**03 I'd have to confirm, but that may -- my guess Α. 17:04:**134** would be that that text is from the 14th. 17:04:0165 Ο. Did you --17:04:**06** Α. -- it would be good to confirm. 17:04:0187 Did you communicated what you learned from Ο. 17:04:1108 Beretto and a Civil Rights attorney with Fain? I don't believe so. 17:04:**1**9 Α. 17:04:200 (Deposition Exhibit 140 was marked for 17:04:201 identification.) JOAN MELL: That just looks like the same 17:04:262 17:04:2273 continuation. 17:04:2284 (Deposition Exhibit 141 was marked for

identification.)

17:04:2285

BY JOAN MELL:

17:04:311

17:04:322

17:04:373

17:04:404

17:04:50**5**

17:04:536

17:04:597

17:04:598

17:05:019

17:05:0180

17:05:111

17:05:**152**

17:05:283

17:05:284

17:05:**3**45

17:05:**3**46

17:05:**377**

17:05:408

17:05:439

17:05:**4290**

17:05:**251**

17:05:**5**92

17:06:**233**

17:06:**2**0**4**

17:06:**235**

- Q. Oh, this is Exhibit 141. Is this you confirming for April Sims why you were staying in the Marriott instead of the Hampton?
 - A. Yes.
 - 0. Okay.

(Deposition Exhibit 142 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Does Exhibit 142 refresh your recollection as to your negotiations with April Sims over Fain's memo?
 - A. Could I see the next text after that?

 (Deposition Exhibit 143 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- O. So we're on Exhibits 142 and 143.
- A. Yes. This does refresh my memory, yes.
- Q. So tell me what you and Sims were discussing with regards to Fain's recommendations.
- A. The -- I think that -- what I recollect is

 Fain's memo was responding to two maps. It was responding
 to a Legislative map that I had proposed, and it was
 responding to a Legislative map that Paul Graves had
 proposed publicly. And it was giving analysis of it, and
 it was rejecting kind of my proposal. And it was using

metrics to do that.

I believe that April and I had a brief conversation about whether one of us should do a similar analysis.

- Q. And did you?
- A. No.
- Q. So when you say, "We're going to start mapping off your map as a base of looking at the performance metrics as a guide," are you talking about your and your staff?

(Deposition Exhibit 144 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. And what mapping are you talking about? Did you get a Congressional map from April to work on?
- A. No. I believe that this is -- we were doing -I released so many maps in this prior couple months. I
 believe this was in reference to a Legislative map that I
 was working on that crossed the mountains at Interstate
 90.
- Q. And who -- but it's -- when you say "your map," it's Sims -- oh, Sims' Legislative map. So you were shifting to her mapping. Okay. I got it.

LITIGATION SERVICES

(Deposition Exhibit 145 was marked for

17:07:**241**

17:06:181

17:06:192

17:06:223

17:06:284

17:06:355

17:06:366

17:06:587

17:07:008

17:07:039

17:07:060

17:07:061

17:07:062

17:07:093

17:07:104

17:07:115

17:07:136

17:07:187

17:07:228

17:07:289

17:07:210

17:07:362

17:07:3202

17:07:4203

17:07:4244

17:07:4275

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 17:07:471 identification.) BY JOAN MELL: 17:07:512 17:07:543 All right. So did you prepare a consolidated 0. 17:07:564 draft of the Legislative map to review with Sims and her 17:08:025 staff? 17:08:046 I don't think we actually ever did. Α. Even though you said, "We'll have some draft 17:08:117 Q. scenarios to look at in about 15 minutes"? 17:08:148 Uh-huh. I believe it was discussed, but I don't 17:08:16**9** Α. 17:08:**180** believe it actually ever happened. 17:08:201 And that's Exhibit 145. Ο. (Deposition Exhibit 146 was marked for 17:08:232 17:08:233 identification.) 17:08:254 BY JOAN MELL: 17:08:**3**45 0. Let's see. Is this 147? 17:08:**3**96 (Deposition Exhibit 147 was marked for 17:08:397 identification.) 17:08:418 BY JOAN MELL: 17:08:429 Okay. Does Exhibit 147 and 146 refresh your 17:08:4260 recollection as to whether or not you did get this one map 17:08:5211 to review --We were working on it. I mean, we may have had 17:08:**522** Α. 17:08:**253** a draft, but I don't believe it ever came to anything. 17:09:0204 0. But you're asking her whether or not they agreed

to the 47th and the 5th as you presented it?

17:09:0235

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 17:09:111 Object to form. GREG WONG: Let me read the text. 17:09:13**2** THE WITNESS: I can't tell in that text what I mean when I say 17:09:183 17:09:21**4** "agree re 47th." 17:09:295 (Deposition Exhibit 148 was marked for 17:09:296 identification.) 17:09:307 BY JOAN MELL: Does 148 help? It's further down the chain. 17:09:318 0. 17:09:38**9** I believe this is -- that text from April I read 17:09:**410** is her keeping me up to date on her conversations with 17:09:**451** Commissioner Graves. 17:09:462 Isn't she telling you how to handle the 47th in Ο. 17:09:493 your proposed Legislative map? 17:09:**524** That's actually not how I read it. I think 17:09:**155** that's referring to her own mapping. 17:09:176 Okay. And when she's saying "they," is she Ο. 17:10:017 talking about Graves? 17:10:**0.48** That's my understanding. 17:10:**0**69 She's talking about Graves and his staff or 17:10:0280 Graves and Fain? 17:10:**2**1 I -- I read that as Graves and his staff, but Α. 17:10:**242** I'm not sure. 17:10:253 (Deposition Exhibit 149 was marked for 17:10:254 identification.)

253.627.6401

25

BY JOAN MELL:

17:10:191

17:10:242

17:10:273

17:10:334

17:10:365

17:10:426

17:10:45**7**

17:10:518

17:10:53**9**

17:10:**150**

17:10:581

17:11:**0**02

17:11:043

17:11:**174**

17:11:105

17:11:136

17:11:**1**8**7**

17:11:**1**18

17:11:249

17:11:2260

17:11:**2**8**1**

17:11:**2**92

17:11:2213

17:11:2274

17:11:4235

- And Exhibit 149, when you're saying, "The Ο. current CVAP map goes north; right?" are you still chatting with Sims so that you can be drafting your own leq map?
- I believe that comment is expressing my concerns Α. on the 14th and 15th Legislative Districts in Yakima.
 - To Sims to share with Graves? Ο.
- Not necessarily to share with Graves. Α. Ι think just for her awareness.
 - In her negotiations with Graves? 0.
 - Or in her own drawing of maps. Α.
 - That she was negotiating with Graves? Q.
 - She was negotiating with Graves, yes. Α.
- Okay. And when you said that, "We're digesting Ο. analyzing what Joe sent" --
- Α. I believe that's myself and Ali O'Neil and potentially Adam Bartz.
- What does the "RN" mean? Is that just an Ο. artifact?
- I believe that's an abbreviation for "right Α. now."
- Oh, it's getting late, and I'm going to miss a lot of stuff. I'm very old. Okay. All right.

And so had Joe at that point -- are you talking

- about -- is this what Joe sent in the memo, or is there some other map he'd sent by this time?
 - A. I'm not sure. Joe may have sent another map by then.
 - Q. And do you know what day this is?
 - A. I believe the 14th, but I would like for that to be fact checked.

(Deposition Exhibit 150 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. More of the same -- you and April chatting about -- this is really -- is this all leg map? Or is any of this Congressional map discussions in Exhibit 150?
- A. I read this as April updating me on the Legislative maps.

(Deposition Exhibit 151 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. And so in this -- this communication here?
- A. I'm sorry. There's a number of windows open. Which one are you in?
- Q. I'm on Exhibit 151. It's -- it's partially reflected in the one that you were just looking, 150 right here.

17:11:53**3**

17:11:56**4**

17:11:565

17:12:026

17:12:0**77**

17:12:108

17:12:109

17:12:200

17:12:201

17:12:23

17:12:273

17:12:**344**

17:12:**3**85

17:12:406

17:12:407

17:12:448

17:12:489

17:12:5230

17:12:**5281**

17:13:**22**2

17:13:0223

17:13:0254

17:13:0295

- 17:13:131 A. Yes. I'm tracking.
 - Q. And so is this Sims wanting to talk to you about what she and Paul had been negotiating as to the 44th and the Legislative mapping?
 - A. Yes. I believe she's updating me on -- I believe that's an update, yes.
 - Q. And, at that point in time, what was -- what was the negotiation between Sims and Graves on the 44th?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Do you remember her updating you?
 - A. No. I don't remember -- I don't remember the specifics at that stage in the negotiation on the 44th.
 - Q. What was your issue with the 44th at that point in time?
 - A. I wasn't --

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't believe I had an issue. I wasn't closely enough involved. I don't believe I had an issue, or I can't recall what it would be.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Okay. And, again, Exhibit 152, you're texting

April saying that, "Are you back with Paul? I've spoken

to Joe," and then you call and talk about that?

(Deposition Exhibit 152 was marked for

identification.)

17:14:1270

17:13:152

17:13:193

17:13:234

17:13:265

17:13:396

17:13:427

17:13:448

17:13:499

17:13:510

17:13:951

17:13:582

17:14:023

17:14:044

17:14:085

17:14:086

17:14:107

17:14:128

17:14:159

17:14:281

17:14:342

17:14:273

17:14:404

17:14:405

17:14:431 I'm not sure if the call happened. THE WITNESS:

BY JOAN MELL:

- This was an invitation to do just that; right? Q.
- Yes. Α.

17:14:452

17:14:473

17:14:524

17:14:535

17:14:536

17:14:567

17:14:588

17:15:009

17:15:050

17:15:091

17:15:122

17:15:153

17:15:184

17:15:215

17:15:236

17:15:257

17:15:278

17:15:309

17:15:340

17:15:525

(Deposition Exhibit 153 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- How about Exhibit 153? Is this Commissioner Ο. Sims trying to get some leverage and was asking you to cooperate in that strategy?
- I think it's what she says. I think it's an Α. FYI. I think that Commissioner Sims is just sharing her -- I mean, sharing how she's feeling and what she's doing, I think, for mutual support.
 - And then you're providing --Ο.
 - I was not involved in that strategy.
- But you -- but you were giving your thoughts on Ο. what other strategy she could deploy, which would be to say that you only want it in the 14th so you don't accept it in the 15th?
- I was repeatedly raising the issue that I cared Α. very deeply about, which is the 14th and 15th Districts and the Voting Rights aspects of those, which did not get reflected in the final proposal.
 - But when you're saying -- you're suggesting to Ο.

LITIGATION SERVICES

her that you only want it in the 14th, that you don't accept it in the 15th, what is "it"?

A. The majority/minority Hispanic District.

(Deposition Exhibit 154 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

17:15:531

17:15:572

17:16:01**3**

17:16:164

17:16:165

17:16:196

17:16:197

17:16:258

17:16:299

17:16:**330**

17:16:**371**

17:16:452

17:16:513

17:16:**134**

17:16:**165**

17:16:**196**

17:17:007

17:17:038

17:17:0189

17:17:**210**

17:17:231

17:17:202

17:17:203

17:17:2254

17:17:2285

- Q. And is this a -- does Exhibit 154 further those suggestions you're making to Sims on how to negotiate with Graves on the Legislative maps?
- A. It would read this as conveying my perspective on the Voting Rights Act District.
- Q. Is -- what does this mean? "50 percent CVAP in the 14th?"
- A. CVAP stands for the Citizen Voting Age Population, which is a metric that gets used in redistricting conversations.
- Q. Okay. When you're saying, "Accept lower than 50 percent CVAP in the 14th," is that the minority preference?
 - A. Correct. Minority population, yes.
 - Q. Okay. See if 155 furthers this discussion.

 (Deposition Exhibit 155 was marked for identification.)
- JOAN MELL: Oh, I've got to close out some of these or it's not going to open any of the other ones.

17:17:311 Just a second.

17:17:542

17:17:573

17:18:004

17:18:025

17:18:036

17:18:057

17:18:078

17:18:099

17:18:110

17:18:131

17:18:1152

17:18:173

17:18:194

17:18:245

17:18:**3**16

17:18:**3**97

17:18:408

17:18:409

17:18:4230

17:18:4241

17:18:**4282**

17:18:**203**

17:18:5214

17:18:5275

GREG WONG: Joan, just checking. It's 5:17. I've noticed it's marked, looks like, more than 20 more exhibits.

JOAN MELL: We're going pretty good right now. I think we can roll through these pretty quickly.

GREG WONG: We can do that. Because I assume Arthur has a few questions as well.

JOAN MELL: Let me think. Is there -- was there -- no. I'll go ahead and concede after we get through these, and he can do what he's going to do.

> GREG WONG: Okay. Thank you.

BY JOAN MELL:

Now, I forgot where I was. I'm at -- I was trying to see if 155 added to that last bubble in a way that helped make sense of what you were proposing she do with Graves at this stage.

"I heard that you're no on the leg maps no matter what at this point" -- oh, here come -- here's that statement again.

Is this you communicating Fain to Sims?

- This is the same text, yes. This is -- that's Α. correct.
- Okay. And when she's saying, "Back to status Ο.

17:19:021 or is she telling you that's what she and Graves are on?

- A. That's not my position. That's not my position so I assume that it's coming from somewhere else.
- Q. So at Exhibit 155, "Back to status quo on the 14th and 15th," she's asking if you're in -- would be willing to agree to that.
- A. I think she's keeping me up to date on the conversations with Paul.
- Q. And then you say, "I think the very best for us would be a less than 50 percent CVAP in the 14th."

So is that -- are you responding to her back to the status quo with an alternative proposal that's not the status quo for the 14th?

- A. No. That was never -- no. I'm expressing an opinion.
- I don't -- that was never a proposal that I would have advanced. I think it was -- short answer is "no." I am expressing a reaction to what she shared.
 - O. And she says, "Okay. Not a status quo."
- A. That's correct. I'm not sure what I said in the next text, but --
- Q. But when she's saying "not status quo," question mark, is she asking you "don't negotiate status quo" and --

GREG WONG: Object to form.

17:19:05**2**

17:19:083

17:19:104

17:19:135

17:19:186

17:19:20**7**

17:19:238

17:19:249

17:19:260

17:19:**3**01

17:19:**3**52

17:19:**3**93

17:19:**414**

17:19:**445**

17:19:**446**

17:19:**487**

17:19:**118**

17:19:589

17:20:**250**

17:20:**2271**

17:20:0282

17:20:213

17:20:254

THE WITNESS: I'm a little lost in this thread.

But I -- I will -- I won't venture to -- to suppose what I might have been thinking. But I'm a little lost in this thread. That's not my -- that was not my perspective on the 15th and the 14th.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. But would you agree that at this -- we don't know what time this is or what day; correct? You're assuming --
 - A. We should fact-check that.
- Q. But you're assuming at this time that it's the 14th?
- A. There is a debate as to whether or not the District would be drawn as the 14th through the 15th District, and there were cases for both. And I had an opinion on it. It was also an opinion that I made public in all of my maps that I released.
- Q. But I'm wanting to ask what -- what is the ask here?
- A. I actually don't understand that. I -- I read that, in retrospect, as meaning keeping those two Districts constant with their current status prior to redistricting.
- Q. Okay. And you're responding with this statement, which is a reflection of it being status quo or

17:20:469

17:20:221

17:20:232

17:20:303

17:20:324

17:20:355

17:20:376

17:20:377

17:20:408

- 17:20:**470**
- 17:20:491
- 17:20:522
- 17:20:**5**53
- 17:20:**5**84
- 17:21:0125
- 17:21:056
- 17:21:**127**
- **17:21:14**8
- 17:21:189
- 17:21:1280
- 17:21:**221**
- 17:21:**2**92
- 17:21:243
- 17:21:344
- 17:21:405

17:21:451 | not status quo?

17:21:46**2**

17:21:493

17:21:50**4**

17:21:525

17:21:556

17:22:00**7**

17:22:038

17:22:059

17:22:080

17:22:**181**

17:22:082

17:22:**1**03

17:22:**124**

17:22:145

17:22:1146

17:22:207

17:22:218

17:22:239

17:22:2250

17:22:2291

17:22:322

17:22:**273**

17:22:**294**

17:22:4215

- A. I read that as expressing an alternative.
- Q. To status quo?
- A. Alternative to status quo, correct.
- Q. Okay. And so then -- then Sims is acknowledging that by saying, "Not status quo," question mark.
- A. I actually read confusion between both of us in that thread with one another.
- Q. Do you remember calling after she talked to Laurie --
 - A. I --
 - Q. -- and clarifying her --
- A. -- I don't recall calling with respect to that after her -- I don't recall that, no.

(Deposition Exhibit 156 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Does Exhibit 156, the continuation of that thread, clarify or refresh your recollection as to whether or not you clarified your position on the 14th and 15th with regard to whether or not Sims should negotiate with Graves for a Legislative District map status quo?
- A. I don't think that -- I don't think that we ever spoke that night actually.
 - Q. Okay. So are you saying that there was a clear

LITIGATION SERVICES

17:22:461 agreement that Paul backed out on that reflected your position on the 14th and 15th? 17:22:532 17:22:59**3** I don't recall that text at that moment and what 17:23:01**4** I was referring to. 17:23:025 (Deposition Exhibit 157 was marked for 17:23:026 identification.) 17:23:177 BY JOAN MELL: Do you remember replying to Joe --17:23:188 0. 17:23:199 GREG WONG: Object to form. 17:23:200 BY JOAN MELL: 17:23:201 -- after talking to Sims as reflected in 157? 0. I'm not sure when I replied to Joe. 17:23:**1**62 Α. 17:23:283 Do you know what Sims told you to say to Joe? Q. 17:23:**3**14 GREG WONG: Object to form. 17:23:**325** THE WITNESS: No. I don't recall if she said --17:23:**366** she may not have said anything. 17:23:407 (Deposition Exhibit 158 was marked for identification.) 17:23:408 17:23:419 JOAN MELL: I'm just going to scroll through 17:23:4280 these quickly. Sorry. This is not where I thought I was. 17:23:5221 Got to get back up to speed. (Deposition Exhibit 163 was marked for 17:24:2212 17:24:2213 identification.) 17:24:2234 BY JOAN MELL:

When you say "false alarm," were you saying

0.

17:24:2235

- "false alarm," you didn't intend to text her but then you decided to say, "I really think Joe wants to run the clock still midnight, then agree"?
 - A. Those are disconnected thoughts. I read "false alarm," pocket dial, meaning I accidentally called her by accident from my pocket.
 - Q. But then did you intend to text her, in parens, "I really think Joe wants to run the clock until midnight and then agree"?
 - A. That's just me reflecting some open thoughts I was having --
 - Q. That was the thought you were having at that time that you shared with Sims?
 - A. That was -- that was me just speculating.

JOAN MELL: Hold on. Remember the days of paper, Greg?

GREG WONG: Yeah. There's a lot less documents back when you only had paper.

JOAN MELL: So then I was trying to get to 164.

No. No. I don't need that one.

(Deposition Exhibit 165 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. 165, Sims is telling you, "Yes, but we have to hop on and give an update. Can walk to the steps."

17:24:45**4**

17:24:485

17:24:526

17:24:537

17:24:568

17:25:009

17:25:**120**

17:25:**041**

17:25:062

17:25:063

17:25:**1**8**4**

17:25:185

17:25:446

17:25:447

17:25:478

17:26:049

17:26:1220

17:26:2281

17:26:282

17:26:2293

17:26:3215

Did you have the impression at some point during the public meeting, when you were privately negotiating, that you would conform with open government as long as you gave updates as to what you discussed privately?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: At -- at that time in that hour leading up to the vote, I was -- I was not clear.

JOAN MELL: We're back to the meeting now.

GREG WONG: You have some repetition in exhibits there.

JOAN MELL: It's hard because I got two different batches, and they've numbered this same content differently in the public records request. And then they omitted numbers so there's -- there's something missing -- something going on that doesn't make sense to me so this must have all run over.

Okay. I got that.

(Deposition Exhibit 176 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Exhibit 176 is between you and Sarah Augustine.

 And did she -- did you guys have a plan to meet
 in Federal Way by the 8th?
- A. I think she may have. I didn't decide to go down to Federal Way until Sunday morning on the 14th.

17:26:341

17:26:362

17:26:403

17:26:464

17:26:525

17:26:57**6**

17:27:00**7**

17:27:328

17:27:349

17:27:**3**50

17:27:**3**71

17:27:**3**82

17:27:423

17:27:484

17:27:55

17:28:1146

17:28:217

17:28:378

17:28:**3**79

17:28:4200

17:28:4201

17:28:42

17:28:4273

17:28:**234**

17:28:**265**

- Q. And when she stopped by either on the 14th -- 17:29:112 did she stop by on the 14th?
 - A. I can't remember.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember telling Sarah anything to communicate back with the other Commissioners --
 - A. No.

17:29:14**3**

17:29:154

17:29:215

17:29:25**6**

17:29:257

17:29:2**78**

17:29:279

17:29:270

17:29:401

17:29:402

17:29:453

17:29:474

17:29:525

17:29:**156**

17:29:**187**

17:30:**138**

17:30:**1**89

17:30:**210**

17:30:**2**6**1**

17:30:**1292**

17:30:203

17:30:**234**

- Q. -- when she met with you?
- A. No.

(Deposition Exhibit 177 was marked for identification.)

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. In this communication from Sarah, when he she's saying, "Redistricting staff have completed the final record to the Legislature," what -- what is she talking about?
- A. Oh, that's a fair bit later. She's -- this is after the maps have been transmitted. This is in reference to what the Redistricting Commission was statutorily required to do, which was to submit all the public testimony and the shapefiles of the maps to the Legislature. And I believed that we did need to approve it. I don't remember the exact date.
 - Q. Do you remember meeting publicly to approve it?
 - A. That was a public meeting, yes.
 - Q. Got all out of order here. Okay. That's --

253.627.6401

wait a minute. Right here.

17:30:511

17:30:532

17:30:563

17:30:594

17:31:105

17:31:166

17:31:167

17:31:16**8**

17:31:18**9**

17:31:**220**

17:31:**261**

17:31:762

17:31:263

17:31:**3**04

17:31:**3**35

17:31:**346**

17:31:**3**77

17:31:**3**88

17:31:449

17:31:**4260**

17:31:**42**8**1**

17:31:**232**

17:31:5253

17:32:0254

17:32:0295

Exhibit 178, was she saying to you -- oh, you're saying to her, "Should I stay logged in, or okay to sign out?" Is this -- is this you asking whether or not you should sign out of a public meeting after it convened?

(Deposition Exhibit 178 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: I was seeking advice, yes. I was seeking advice on when to keep my camera on and off in the public meeting portion of the agenda that began after 7:00 p.m.

BY JOAN MELL:

Q. Did you stay in the public meeting just off camera the entire time?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. I was on camera for portions.

BY JOAN MELL:

- Q. Okay. Were you logged into the meeting for all five-plus hours?
- A. I believe I followed Sarah's advice. There was -- there were internet connectivity issues that sprung up on occasion, but I believe I was.
- Q. So then was -- was Chair Augustine controlling you coming in and out of the public portion of the meeting?

17:32:101 | GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe she was -- she was convening those the -- the sequential and periodic updates we were providing from 7:00 p.m. until midnight.

BY JOAN MELL:

17:32:12**2**

17:32:143

17:32:194

17:32:225

17:32:226

17:32:267

17:32:3**38**

17:32:349

17:32:460

17:32:4191

17:32:532

17:32:573

17:32:594

17:33:0125

17:33:0146

17:33:**17**7

17:33:088

17:33:179

17:33:**220**

17:33:**241**

17:33:262

17:33:2273

17:33:**2**8**4**

17:33:3245

Q. And, during that time, you were just off mic, off camera unless she let you into the public meeting?

A. That's my recollection.

JOAN MELL: Okay. I had -- I had another question floating around in my head that that precipitated, but it's gone now. So let me cede to Arthur since we're late hour. If I remember it, I would reserve the right to bring back at least that one even if it might be outside the scope of what Arthur says.

GREG WONG: Arthur, go ahead, and hopefully appreciate your brevity on this.

ARTHUR WEST: Very good.

Can we see Exhibit 2, page 5? Is that possible?

JOAN MELL: Yeah. Just a minute. Let me see.

THE WITNESS: Can you see me okay in the room?

I don't have the lights on.

ARTHUR WEST: You're fine.

GREG WONG: Pretty good to me.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

JOAN MELL: I think that's Mister reminding me

17:33:371 that I have not fed him yet. 17:33:402 GREG WONG: It's been a long day. 17:33:42**3** Is Mister a feline or canine? THE WITNESS: 17:33:45**4** Okay. Canine. 17:33:525 JOAN MELL: Okay. I believe this is Exhibit 2, 17:33:526 Ali O'Neil's statement. 17:33:557 Is that what you're asking for? 17:33:578 Start with page 5, and then move ARTHUR WEST: 17:33:599 through 6 and 7. (Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked for 17:34:000 17:34:**0**.01 identification.) EXAMINATION 17:34:002 17:34:003 BY ARTHUR WEST: 17:34:014 Good evening, Commissioner Walkinshaw. Ο. 17:34:0185 At any time around 11:57 p.m., did you receive a 17:34:166 text from Ms. O'Neil? 17:34:1197 GREG WONG: Is there a screen share that's on? 17:34:**1**18 I can't see any exhibit. THE WITNESS: 17:34:239 JOAN MELL: Okay. Just a second. I thought I 17:34:2250 was sharing. And, Arthur, I think that the -- it's 11:47 on 17:34:2281 this document. 17:34:322 17:34:263 ARTHUR WEST: Yeah. 17:34:2274 JOAN MELL: If you want to direct him to a

17:34:3295

different time.

17:34:411 ARTHUR WEST: Little lower down on page 5, the 17:34:432 second paragraph from the bottom.

JOAN MELL: Oh, there we go. Sorry. Okay.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- Q. Have you reviewed the statement of Ali O'Neil?
- A. I have.

17:34:453

17:34:474

17:34:505

17:34:546

17:34:567

17:35:0**28**

17:35:04**9**

17:35:**170**

17:35:**0**91

17:35:1132

17:35:**1**33

17:35:**2**64

17:35:**3**15

17:35:**136**

17:35:407

17:35:458

17:35:**4**8**9**

17:35:**200**

17:35:5211

17:35:5252

17:35:**2**93

17:36:**214**

17:36:0245

- Q. Do you consider it to be accurate?
- A. It -- there are portions that I agree with.

 There are other portions that I have some different recollections on.
- Q. Okay. As to the second to the last paragraph on page 5, is that an accurate statement?
- A. The -- the first sentence of that bullet is accurate. She did text me saying this. I don't recall the next two sentences.

And I just lost the screen share. I'm sorry.

- Q. So were you contacting her voicing these concerns at any time prior to this point?
- A. Can you repeat that, Arthur? I didn't hear what you said.
- Q. Were you contacted by her voicing these type of concerns at any time prior to this point?
- A. Throughout the process, Ali and I discussed our concerns over a variety of issues, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Did you discuss the -- her concerns about

voting on a proposal that lacked maps?

- A. We did in a waning -- in the waning minutes prior to midnight, yes, because it was -- it became apparent that the maps would not yet be completed.
- Q. And what were her concerns that she expressed to you?
 - A. Specifically those in that text.
 - Q. Okay. Very good.

Let's proceed to page 6.

Around 12:38 a.m., were you aware that an -that Matt Bridges sent an updated and
near-final Congressional map proposal?

- A. I -- I wasn't. I don't remember the -- I assume that I saw it, but I don't remember the exact time or this email coming in.
- Q. And is it your -- one, two, three, four, five -- fifth paragraph down -- "around the same time, all staff and Commissioners gathered," is this a correct paragraph?

 Is there any inaccuracies here?
- A. It's not how I would characterize the full paragraph, no.
- Q. Okay. And what manner is it not accurate in your --
- A. The first sentence I agree with, that following -- you know, around that time, staff and

17:36:101

17:36:18**2**

17:36:21**3**

17:36:23**4**

17:36:265

17:36:296

17:36:30**7**

17:36:338

17:36:379

17:36:560

17:37:**0**31

17:37:072

17:37:**1**23

17:37:**1154**

17:37:**2**0**5**

17:37:216

17:37:277

17:37:**3**28

17:37:**3**89

17:37:**200**

17:37:**231**

17:37:5242

17:37:5293

17:37:**294**

17:38:**225**

Commissioners were gathered in this main meeting room on the first floor of the Hampton Inn.

Q. Okay.

17:38:061

17:38:092

17:38:123

17:38:124

17:38:165

17:38:206

17:38:257

17:38:258

17:38:289

17:38:310

17:38:**3**51

17:38:382

17:38:403

17:38:444

17:38:495

17:38:526

17:39:027

17:39:058

17:39:099

17:39:200

17:39:341

17:39:4212

17:39:4273

17:39:5214

17:39:5235

I agree with that. And I agree with that, in that large room, the second sentence I also agree with.

I don't agree -- I don't recall the third sentence.

I do agree that we had been informed by the Supreme Court that we no longer had jurisdiction -- or I'm sorry -- by -- I apologize. Strike that -- from the Attorney General that we no longer had jurisdiction.

But not this -- not this point that the OPMA was taken in a light-hearted way.

- Thank you. And, just for the record, did you have an understanding of how all the staff and the Commissioners ended up in that conference room?
- Α. When I -- when I track it back to remember in that given moment, I don't remember the steps that lead to that, no.
- Did you remember the -- receiving some --Ο. involving the -- a Legislative map around 11 -- 12:57 a.m. that she talks about in the next paragraph?
 - Not familiar with that --Α.
 - So you weren't involved in that? O.
 - I was not. Α.

- Q. At the last paragraph at the bottom of this page, did you have a discussion with Commissioner Fain involving Normandy Park?
 - A. Yes. Commissioner Fain raised this point. And then I said to him that that's outside of the agreement and we've already made decisions on this so it was not taken into account.
 - Q. Were any of the other Commissioners present during that discussion?
 - A. We were in the same large conference room, but the conversation was between myself and Commissioner Fain.
 - Q. But were any of the other Commissioners in the vicinity during that conversation?
 - A. How would you define "vicinity"?
 - Q. Ten feet?
 - A. I would say more -- more distance than that, but we were in the same conference room that's been described.
 - Q. Onto page 7, paragraph 2 says, "The Commissioners agreed to send the Congressional map files to Commission staff."

Were you part of any discussion or agreement to do that?

- A. I -- I was. That would have been between myself and Commissioner Fain.
 - Q. Okay. Were any of the other Commissioners

253.627.6401

17:40:12**4**

17:40:15**5**

17:40:206

17:40:23**7**

17:40:258

17:40:279

17:40:**190**

17:40:**3**1**1**

17:40:342

17:40:**3**73

17:40:**414**

17:40:435

17:40:**4**66

17:40:**4**9**7**

17:40:548

17:41:019

17:41:0250

17:41:0271

17:41:202

17:41:**223**

17:41:**244**

17:41:265

involved in that?

17:41:181

17:41:20**2**

17:41:233

17:41:294

17:41:32**5**

17:41:366

17:41:40**7**

17:41:45**8**

17:41:479

17:41:**4170**

17:41:**501**

17:41:532

17:41:563

17:41:594

17:42:0125

17:42:**0.46**

17:42:**157**

17:42:**11/28**

17:42:**179**

17:42:230

17:42:2231

17:42:2252

17:42:283

17:42:234

17:42:275

- A. Not to my recollection.
- Q. Okay. Do you know one way or the other, or are you just not recalling?
- A. It was Commissioner Fain and I were working most closely on -- along with the Legislative staff who worked most closely with us who were Paul Campos and Ali O'Neil respectively.
 - O. Were --
- A. We were working together on translating the agreement that we voted on into the maps.
- Q. Could anyone else have been working slightly less closely with you on that such as either of the other two Commissioners?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: They were not involved in that process so I don't -- I -- other than maybe the kind of, "How's it going?" kind of question, I -- it was -- it was primary -- it was myself and Commissioner Fain.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. Okay. To the extent that any of the other Commissioners and/or Ms. O'Neil may have stated under oath that there was a discussion amongst the Commissioners at this point, you -- your testimony is that they would be mistaken?

17:42:381 | GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. I think there was an awareness that it was being sent in. I don't remember the exact specifics. I would say it was primarily myself and Commissioner Fain.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- Q. Okay. But you're saying that there was an awareness of this on the part of the other Commissioners?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. How would that awareness have been transmitted to them?
- A. I don't recall specifically how it was said at that -- at that -- in that evening. But it was -- we were all working to complete the respective maps that we'd negotiated in our dyads.
- Q. The other two Commissioners had an awareness of that; did they voice any objections to your knowledge?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. Two paragraphs further down, paragraph 4, do you recall a point where the Commissioners -- plural -- were urging staff to hurry and finish the maps so they could be posted as quickly as possible and before the reporters woke up?
- A. Which -- sorry. With the scroll, I lost track. Which bullet are you on?

253.627.6401

17:42:41**2**

17:42:43**3**

17:42:45**4**

17:42:485

17:42:496

17:42:497

17:42:518

17:42:58**9**

17:42:590

17:43:**0**41

17:43:**1**82

17:43:**1**13

17:43:**1154**

17:43:**2**15

17:43:236

17:43:267

17:43:**1**18

17:43:329

17:43:4270

17:43:5211

17:43:5242

17:43:5273

17:44:**204**

17:44:**2235**

- Q. The fourth one from the top. It starts with,
 T1:44:102 "The Commissioners were urging staff to hurry," right at
 the top of the screen right now.
 T:44:214

 A. I'm tracking. I'm rereading the paragraph.
 - A. I'm tracking. I'm rereading the paragraph. Thank you.

I don't remember -- I don't recall myself doing that. I do believe that there was a sense of urgency to complete the maps because we had missed the deadline.

- Q. Okay. Did you discuss the timing of the completion of the maps with the other Commissioners?
- A. For instance, when the Congressional map was completed and submitted in the non-partisan staff, that was -- I believe all the Commissioners were aware that that was completed.

I don't recall when the Legislative map was completed. And I have -- I said previously I -- I did not see the Legislative map before it was transmitted so I don't recall when that was completed.

- Q. Okay. Did you have any discussions with any of the other Commissioners about the Legislative map?
- A. Insomuch as I checked in to see how they were doing but not -- not in specifics.
 - Q. In generalities?
- A. Along the lines of, "Are we -- are we making progress? Is this aligned with what we voted on?" but not

17:44:245

17:44:36**6**

17:44:39**7**

17:44:478

17:44:569

17:44:580

17:45:**0**61

17:45:**1**92

17:45:**143**

17:45:**1154**

17:45:**175**

17:45:**1**86

17:45:**27**

17:45:**268**

17:45:289

17:45:3210

17:45:**271**

17:45:**4232**

17:45:4263

17:45:**214**

17:45:**255**

in specifics.

- Q. Okay. And these general conversations, who did they take place with?
- A. I don't recall. I was -- in those hours, I was primarily focused on the Congressional maps. And then I recall taking an extended kind of rest while staff were working on the maps --
 - Q. Did you have --
 - A. -- in the morning hours.
- Q. To the extent that you may have had discussions over these maps with more than two of the other Commissioners, would you recall that at this point?
- A. In the sense of what I said a moment ago, in generalities. But I was not involved in the direct kind of drawing of the Legislative maps that Commissioner Graves and Commissioner Sims were collaborating on.
- Q. But you discussed with two of the other -- at least two of the other Commissioners in general terms this process?
 - A. Getting a little confused.

I was aware of what they were working on, and

I -- I checked in with Commissioner Sims on -- for an

update just to be sure that the work that they were doing

to translate the agreement to the maps was still in line

with kind of the decisions that we'd previously made.

- 17:45:58L in
- 17:45:592
- 17:46:033
- 17:46:064
- 17:46:085
- 17:46:126
- 17:46:177
- 17:46:1**9**8
- 17:46:199
- 17:46:220
- 17:46:251
- 17:46:**29**2
- 17:46:**4**33
- 17:46:454
- 17:46:525
- 17:46:566
- 17:46:597
- 17:47:028
- 17:47:069
- 17:47:1200
- 17:47:1221
- 17:47:1252
- 17:47:2213
- 17:47:234
- 17:47:2295

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 17:47:341 Did you speak with Commissioner Fain and Graves 17:47:372 concerning those matters? 17:47:39**3** I spoke with Commissioner Fain a fair bit that Α. 17:47:43**4** evening because we were working on the Congressional maps. 17:47:52**5** I don't recall specific conversations with Commissioner 17:47:546 Graves. Okay. With -- was Commissioner Fain in the 17:47:557 0. 17:48:008 vicinity when you talked to any of the other Commissioners? 17:48:049 17:48:**1170** Α. We were -- we were all in the same conference 17:48:**181** room at the Hampton Inn in that period after midnight. 17:48:1132 0. Okav. Were you involved in any conversations 17:48:163 with two or more of the other Commissioners? 17:48:234 Object to form. GREG WONG: 17:48:**265**

THE WITNESS: I think, again, we -- we were all in the same room, and we all did have some conversations.

They were not substantive -- I didn't see them as -- I did not see them as -- as related to kind of negotiating or giving direction on maps that I wasn't involved in negotiating like the -- like the -- like the Legislative maps which we'd already voted on a plan for.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- What about the Congressional maps? 0.
- Α. I -- I believe that, you know, Commissioner Fain and I actually did that quite directly with one

17:48:**1**86

17:48:**3**17

17:48:**158**

17:48:**409**

17:48:**4260**

17:48:**231**

17:48:562

17:48:5273

17:49:**224**

17:49:**2255**

another in translating that agreement into maps in which 17:49:142 Paul Campos and Ali O'Neil were also involved.

Q. Did you at any time discuss any portions of that process or receive any type of implicit or explicit approval from either of the other two Commissioners in that seven-hour period?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Only in the sense of what I said, is this -- you know, just -- is this consistent with the vote that we'd taken that evening?

I think that everyone was -- I was interested in making sure that what was done that morning was consistent with the vote being taken.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. Did the other two Commissioners find that the maps, as produced, were consistent with the vote that they had taken?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I -- they've since supported them.

I don't know.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- Q. Okay. Did you have any discussions with them concerning the maps?
- A. On -- on the Legislative maps, I didn't -- not have a discussion on the final maps before they were

17:49:183

17:49:224

17:49:285

17:49:306

17:49:337

17:49:378

17:49:399

17:49:420

17:49:451

17:49:482

17:49:523

17:49:564

17:49:565

17:49:586

17:50:027

17:50:038

17:50:049

17:50:0290

17:50:201

17:50:202

17:50:233

17:50:1284

17:50:225

submitted. And I -- I think I -- yeah. I did not, no.

- Q. Did you have any discussions on the maps as they were a work in progress?
- A. Only in what I said, which was to -- in my mind, just to ensure that -- to check in to just affirm that they were aligned with the agreement we voted.
 - Q. So that would be a "yes" then?

 GREG WONG: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: It's -- I would say it was -- it was to check in on the -- to ensure that they were aligned with what we voted on. So it was not -- that's what I would say.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- Q. So you did discuss the maps to the extent with -- with two or more of the other Commissioners to the extent that they assured you that they were consistent with what you had voted on?
- A. I don't recall any conversation where I was assured by two Commissioners in a conversation that they were, no. I don't recall that.
- Q. Do you remember any -- any conversation where you were assured by one Commissioner?
- A. I -- I actually can't recall the specifics of them at this point, no.
 - Q. So to the extent that you may have discussed

LITIGATION SERVICES

17:50:241

17:50:292

17:50:333

17:50:404

17:50:445

17:50:536

17:50:567

17:50:598

17:51:039

17:51:050

17:51:101

17:51:132

17:51:143

17:51:144

17:51:185

17:51:256

17:51:277

17:51:308

17:51:329

17:51:250

17:51:391

17:51:422

17:51:4263

17:51:4294

17:51:525

these matters with two Commissioners at the same time or sequentially, you do not recall that at this point?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: At this point, I don't recall the specifics on how those conversations happened that evening, no.

I do -- I -- I would affirm what I had said, that I was reassured, I suspect, by Commissioner Sims that evening that the Legislative maps were consistent with what we agreed to.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- Q. Okay. And were you reassured by any of the other Commissioners?
- A. Well, on the -- on the Congressional maps was reassured -- well, I was working on it directly.

And, consistent with what Ali O'Neil shared in this memo, we submitted -- we submitted those maps to non-partisan Commission between 4:00 and 5:00 a.m. the morning of the 16th.

- Q. Which two of the other Commissioners did you speak with or have receive assurances of -- concerning those maps then?
- A. Well, on the Congressional map, I was directly involved in it so I guess I -- I affirmed it for myself.

 And with the Legislative maps, I would say I

17:52:0**44**

17:52:09**5**

17:52:126

17:52:13**7**

17:52:18**8**

17:52:23**9**

17:52:**270**

17:52:**1**91

17:52:302

17:52:**3**23

17:52:**174**

17:52:**405**

17:52:**456**

17:52:**4**8**7**

17:52:**1**18

17:52:**169**

17:52:5270

17:53:0201

17:53:0242

17:53:**203**

17:53:**234**

17:53:**205**

trusted in the assurance of Commissioner Sims.

- Q. Okay. So around 5:30 or 6:00 a.m., are you aware that Commissioner Fain left the room?
- A. I -- I don't recall that time window and what Commissioner Fain did between those hours.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall Commissioner Graves receiving a phone call?
 - A. No, I don't recall.
- Q. And we're talking now one, two, three, four -- six -- sixth paragraph down.

Do you recall Graves indicating to Commissioners Sims and Walkinshaw that there were potentially some legal questions about the previous night's vote?

- A. I recall myself, as I testified earlier, having questions given the Attorney General's email that we had lost jurisdiction on -- as a Commission around what that meant legally for the work product that we were developing.
- Q. To the extent that Ali O'Neil wrote a statement -- wrote paragraph 6 saying that that happened and testified under oath to that effect, do you believe she's mistaken?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not disavowing it. I just don't recall those specifics.

17:53:251

17:53:292

17:53:413

17:53:464

17:53:525

17:53:546

17:53:577

17:53:598

17:54:029

17:54:050

17:54:121

17:54:192

17:54:233

17:54:**274**

17:54:**34**5

17:54:386

17:54:437

17:54:**4**78

17:54:499

17:54:530

17:54:581



BY ARTHUR WEST:

17:55:111

17:55:122

17:55:163

17:55:214

17:55:285

17:55:326

17:55:34**7**

17:55:40**8**

17:55:429

17:55:4130

17:55:491

17:55:542

17:56:**113**

17:56:**134**

17:56:0145

17:56:056

17:56:107

17:56:1148

17:56:**159**

17:56:**270**

17:56:**211**

17:56:2242

17:56:**243**

17:56:**274**

17:56:3215

Q. Okay. So as far as your memory goes, to the extent that someone testified under oath that this happened, you would have no knowledge to contest that?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Again, I -- I don't recall the specifics of that time period after 6:00 a.m. and the specific conversation that's referenced.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. Okay. Are there any other conversations with the Commissioners that you do not precisely recall?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Not at -- not at the top of my mind.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- Q. Okay. So you remember all the conversations you had with each one of the other Commissioners during the seven-hour period?
- A. No. No. I don't -- I don't -- I don't have a perfect memory of that. I'd been up for many hours and don't recall all the specifics, no. And --
 - O. So --
- A. -- for a significant period I was -- for a period, I was off to the side of the room taking a nap.
 - Q. I'm talking about the time when your eyes were

open and hopefully you were conscious. And I'm asking if it's possible that you had conversations with the other Commissioners that you do not recall at this point.

- A. That -- that's possible.
- Q. Okay. Let's get to the next paragraph.

Ms. O'Neil stated in her -- her -- her statement and in her testimony that she then heard the Commissioners discuss how they would portray what they had done.

Do you consider that to be an accurate statement? Third paragraph from the bottom.

- A. I'm not sure if I was a party to this. I recall -- I recall aspects of this, like what we discussed earlier around the statement to the press the following day.
- Q. When Ms. O'Neil was questioned -- when I questioned Ms. O'Neil about this paragraph, she indicated that she observed you and the other two Commissioners who were present in -- involved in this discussion.

Do you believe she was testifying falsely when she said that?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. I just don't have the memory of those specifics.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. So to the extent that this might have happened,

LITIGATION SERVICES

17:56:331

17:56:402

17:56:443

17:56:50**4**

17:56:535

17:57:056

17:57:097

17:57:148

17:57:199

17:57:210

17:57:**451**

17:57:**522**

17:57:**173**

17:57:**194**

17:58:**0**65

17:58:116

17:58:147

17:58:1188

17:58:239

17:58:2250

17:58:**3224**

17:58:3225

you have no recollection?

- A. I -- I don't -- I just -- I do not believe that I was making a decision that evening to recommend the maps to the Supreme Court. I don't believe that that -- I was not privy to a conversation where I agreed to that or stated that that I can recall.
- Q. Short of making a decision to recommend the maps to the Supreme Court, do you recall being involved in any discussions that did not quite rise to that where they -- there was a discussion of how to portray the maps?

 Discussion of what -- what was to happen with them short of recommending them to the Supreme Court?
- A. I don't remember strategy discussion that I participated in to that effect.

I do agree that it was stated that we'd missed the deadline and that -- that's true.

- Q. Stated by who?
- A. At some point, I think that was -- I think we all probably -- I won't surmise, but I certainly felt that we missed the deadline.
- Q. Okay. And were there any discussions about that between you and any of the other Commissioners?
- A. I may have spoken with Commissioner Fain about it in our -- as we were working on the Congressional map.

 We may have -- that may have been discussed. I don't -- I

253.627.6401

17:58:341

17:58:482

17:58:5**3**

17:59:09**4**

17:59:11**5**

17:59:15**6**

17:59:177

17:59:228

17:59:279

17:59:320

17:59:**3**41

17:59:412

17:59:**443**

17:59:**4.74**

17:59:**495**

17:59:**136**

17:59:567

17:59:**178**

18:00:**139**

18:00:**270**

18:00:0281

18:00:222

18:00:**2**13

18:00:**234**

18:00:**255**

don't recall.

18:00:291

18:00:292

18:00:323

18:00:354

18:00:405

18:00:426

18:00:447

18:00:468

18:00:479

18:00:550

18:01:001

18:01:062

18:01:093

18:01:154

18:01:185

18:01:226

18:01:297

18:01:338

18:01:349

18:01:270

18:01:4211

18:01:4252

18:01:525

Q. Okay. Do you recall speaking about that subject with either of the other two Commissioners during that seven-hour period?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't remember the specific unfolding of --

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. Okay. Second to last paragraph, Ms. O'Neil wrote in her statement and testified under oath in her deposition that she then heard the Commissioners decide -- Commissioners -- plural -- decide that they did not want to post the maps publicly at that time. And there was a request made to the Commission staff to take down the Congressional map that was posted shortly beforehand.

Did you -- do you recall any conversations to that effect or any decision that was made to that effect?

A. I don't remember the decision.

I did have the belief, which I stated earlier, that I thought that the two maps should be released simultaneously to the public.

Q. Okay.

GREG WONG: We're a little bit after 6:00 right now. Are you almost done?

ARTHUR WEST: I'm almost done.

18:01:473 18:01:494 now. Are



GREG WONG: Yes. Thank you. I think we're all running out of steam here, and the witness has been pretty accommodating.

ARTHUR WEST: He has been accommodating to the extent that his memory serves him. I only have a few questions left. And, if you'll let me proceed, we'll be done rather quickly.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. So I'll go back to where I was.

Did you have any conversations with the other Commissioners about not posting and removing the maps that were posted?

A. I -- I believe that I -- I had stated that I thought both maps should be posted at the same time. That was my opinion.

I don't know in what -- I don't know how many others I expressed that to, but that was my -- that was my opinion.

Q. And then did you express that opinion to the other two Commissioners?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I -- I'm not sure if I just expressed it to Lisa McLean or to Commissioner Augustine or to a broader group.

18:01:521

18:01:542

18:01:573

18:01:584

18:02:005

18:02:036

18:02:087

18:02:118

18:02:119

18:02:1140

18:02:1171

18:02:762

18:02:**193**

18:02:**134**

18:02:**3**6**5**

18:02:**3**86

18:02:**417**

18:02:**448**

18:02:459

18:02:4280

18:02:5211

18:02:**522**

18:02:**253**

BY ARTHUR WEST:

18:03:001

18:03:012

18:03:043

18:03:084

18:03:145

18:03:166

18:03:20**7**

18:03:23**8**

18:03:249

18:03:280

18:03:**3**11

18:03:**132**

18:03:**153**

18:03:**3**74

18:03:435

18:03:486

18:03:5*2*7

18:03:548

18:03:569

18:04:**210**

18:04:**221**

18:04:0232

18:04:**2293**

18:04:214

18:04:225

- Q. To the extent that Ms. O'Neil testified under oath that you and the other two Commissioners discussed this matter and came to a -- a decision, can you testify from your memory that this would be a false representation?
- A. No. I -- no. I would not -- I would not testify to that.
- Q. So, to the best of your recollection, you don't remember whether you talked with two of the other Commissioners about this matter?
- A. Yes. That -- that's correct. I don't remember how the decision was made.
- Q. Okay. And for a large portion of these other decisions and conversations that Ms. McNeil identify, your testimony would also be that you do not recall the specifics of what your conversations were with the other two Commissioners.

GREG WONG: Object to perform.

THE WITNESS: I worked closely with Commissioner Fain that evening completing the Legislative -- the Congressional maps. So I -- I -- I don't know that I fully agree with that statement.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. Okay. Do you recall -- well, in what way or

manner don't you fully agree with it?

18:04:171

18:04:192

18:04:223

18:04:284

18:04:325

18:04:366

18:04:407

18:04:448

18:04:519

18:04:580

18:05:**1**21

18:05:032

18:05:083

18:05:**1**04

18:05:**135**

18:05:**166**

18:05:**207**

18:05:**138**

18:05:**159**

18:05:200

18:05:3221

18:05:**242**

18:05:**263**

18:05:3284

18:05:4255

A. Could you restate it?

Q. My question is that, to the extent that Ms. O'Neil has written a statement talking about the various actions that the Commissioners jointly took and the discussions that they had and to the extent that she testified under oath that you and the other Commissioners jointly discussed three or four matters during this seven-hour period, is it your testimony that -- that you -- none of this happened?

Or is it your testimony that you do not precisely recall what your conversations were with the other Commissioners?

A. Yeah. My testimony is that, during this time, I was working primarily with Commissioner Fain to -- to kind of resolve and translate the votes into maps.

I don't recall all the specifics. I don't know that I was party to all of the bullets here that are outlined Ms. O'Neil's memo.

- Q. So you don't know whether or not you were a party to all these bullet discussions?
- A. Yes. And I know for certain that I'm -- yes. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. So thank you.

 Do you recall a point where the maps were

LITIGATION SERVICES

finally reconciled?

Q.

18:05:481

18:05:54**2**

18:05:573

18:06:014

18:06:045

18:06:06

18:06:087

18:06:178

18:06:219

18:06:250

18:06:291

18:06:**132**

18:06:363

18:06:414

18:06:**475**

18:06:106

18:06:**57**

18:06:578

18:07:019

18:07:0250

18:07:**2281**

18:07:**2**12

18:07:233

18:07:274

18:07:2215

A. No, I don't.

Okay.

- Q. Okay. Were you in the room still at seven o'clock?
- A. I believe I left thereabouts. I don't -- I don't recall that bullet.
- Did the Commissioners -- do you recall being a part of an agreement among the Commissioners not to post the maps before the 10:00 a.m. press conference as Ms. O'Neil writes in her -- in her statement?

Let's go to page 8, paragraph 2.

- A. Not that decision, no.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall any discussion of that -- of when to post the maps at that point?
- A. I recall all of us being very tired and concerned that a 10:00 a.m. press conference, we may not be awake. That I do recall.
- Q. And then was that understanding based upon conversations with the other two Commissioners who were present in the room at that point?
- A. I probably conveyed it to at least -- to at least one Commissioner. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. So to the extent that there may have been an understanding and you may have spoken to the other two Commissioners at that point, you don't recall that either?

- 18:07:241 A. Not on this point of the -- no. Not with 18:07:262 regards to the -- the press conference.
 - Q. Do you recall leaving the Hampton Inn at around 7:40 a.m.?
 - A. I believe that's accurate, yes.
 - Q. During the ride back with Ms. O'Neil, do you recall her discussing that the maps were -- were still a work in progress and not yet finally approved?
 - A. That --

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I agree with that bullet, yes.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- Q. Okay. So even after seven o'clock in the morning, the -- by your perspective, the maps were still a work in progress and not fully approved?
- A. I had not seen a Legislative map, yes. It had not been completed.
 - Q. Okay. Were the maps later fully approved?

 GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "approved"?

The --

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. Well, it says that they were a work in progress and not fully approved. I'm wondering if they ever proceeded to a point where they were not a work in

18:07:313

18:07:354

18:07:365

18:07:476

18:07:527

18:07:578

18:08:009

18:08:010

18:08:021

18:08:052

18:08:053

18:08:074

18:08:105

18:08:1146

18:08:187

18:08:198

18:08:299

18:08:3220

18:08:231

18:08:352

18:08:3253

18:08:274

18:08:4215

progress and they were approved.

18:08:441

18:08:462

18:08:513

18:08:584

18:08:595

18:09:006

18:09:09**7**

18:09:118

18:09:149

18:09:1190

18:09:241

18:09:**152**

18:09:**1**63

18:09:**194**

18:09:**135**

18:09:366

18:09:4137

18:09:**508**

18:09:**149**

18:09:5270

18:09:5281

18:09:5292

18:10:**2203**

18:10:0214

18:10:0215

- A. Well, I felt that the maps reflected the agreements that were -- the proposal that was voted on the evening of the 15th.
 - Q. At what point?
- A. For me, once I had seen them, probably by Wednesday or Thursday. I don't remember specifically.
- Q. Okay. So sometime -- sometime Wednesday and Thursday they became something more than a -- than a work in progress and they were approved. Is that -- would that be your testimony?
 - A. Not that they were approved.

We -- they were transmitted to the Supreme Court as acknowledging that we had missed the deadline, and then the Court issued a judgment.

- Q. Okay. Did -- at such point as they became finalized, did the Commissioners review them?
- A. Yes. I -- I reviewed -- I had reviewed the Congressional maps and later the Legislative maps.
- Q. Did the other Commissioners similarly review them?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. You have no knowledge of that?

- 18:10:041 A. I -- no, I don't -- I don't know, no.
 - Q. Okay. Did you approve of the maps formally at any point or informally?
 - A. I voiced support for them, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And who did you do that to?
 - A. On -- I believe I did at the subsequent press conference later that week.
 - Q. Okay. Were the other Commissioners present at that press conference?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Did they also voice their approval for the maps at that point?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Was that press conference noticed and published as a public meeting?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I -- you'd have to check the record. I -- I don't -- I don't know.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

Q. So it's your testimony that you appeared at a press conference and engaged in discussions and approvals of the final maps at a meeting that you do not know whether it -- if it was a proper public meeting or not?

GREG WONG: Object --

THE WITNESS: That's not -- that's not --

18:10:072

18:10:123

18:10:154

18:10:185

18:10:206

18:10:237

18:10:258

18:10:279

18:10:290

18:10:30

18:10:322

18:10:143

18:10:354

18:10:395

18:10:416

18:10:427

18:10:448

18:10:469

18:10:4270

18:10:511

18:10:562

18:11:003

18:11:0264

18:11:0275

18:11:191 I object to form. GREG WONG: Argumentive. 18:11:232 Mischaracterizes prior testimony. 18:11:263 And I'll let Mr. Walkinshaw speak as to the

> THE WITNESS: There's not correct. I voiced support for the maps at the press conference. There was not a vote of approval.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

18:11:294

18:11:35**5**

18:11:376

18:11:40**7**

18:11:438

18:11:439

18:11:460

18:11:491

18:11:502

18:11:**523**

18:11:**154**

18:11:**175**

18:11:596

18:11:597

18:12:018

18:12:089

18:12:2290

18:12:**2**1

18:12:252

18:12:273

18:12:**204**

18:12:**245**

answer.

Okay. Did the other two Commissioners similarly discuss and voice approval for the maps at this press conference?

> Object to form. GREG WONG:

THE WITNESS: I -- I believe that the four voting Commissioners did voice support for the maps at that press conference.

BY ARTHUR WEST:

- Is it your testimony that, at this point, 0. Okay. you do not know whether that was noticed as an open public meeting?
- I believe -- I do believe that that press Α. conference was -- was publicly posted and announced, yes.
 - As a public meeting? O.

Object to form. GREG WONG:

THE WITNESS: It was publicly posted as a press conference. We were not approving -- I did not see it as

- a meeting to approve the maps. It was a press conference.
- 18:12:292 BY ARTHUR WEST:

18:12:251

18:12:293

18:12:334

18:12:395

18:12:436

18:12:467

18:12:518

18:12:539

18:12:560

18:13:**QOL**

18:13:022

18:13:053

18:13:064

18:13:115

18:13:116

18:13:127

18:13:178

18:13:199

18:13:220

18:13:241

18:13:302

18:13:3243

18:13:274

18:13:4255

- Q. Okay. But, to your knowledge, then it was posted and noticed as a press conference?
 - A. To my knowledge, there was a notification that there was a press conference that day, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And is it -- is it your knowledge -- was it your knowledge that there was any notice of a public meeting on that date?

GREG WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what -- if there was a public meeting on that date. I'm not sure.

ARTHUR WEST: Thank you very much for your testimony. I'm done.

GREG WONG: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

GREG WONG: Are we done?

JOAN MELL: Not going to ask the witness any further questions at this time.

I would just tell whoever's online from the legal teams that we've got issues in terms of getting documents that are necessary for the caucus depositions -- caucus staff depositions.

I think that I will go ahead with the deposition tomorrow of Osta Davis, but we'll reserve the right to

recall her at a later time based on the actual production of the records because I think that there's bad faith in not producing them in time for the deposition. But I don't want to incur the dep charge of rescheduling at this late hour.

But the other two have been canceled for now, and I'm waiting to get the documents.

GREG WONG: So noted. Thank you.

ARTHUR WEST: Thank you.

ANDREW HUGHES: I'm not in a position to speak.

Oh, my apologies, Greg. Go ahead.

GREG WONG: Go ahead, Andrew. I wasn't going to say anything else.

JOAN MELL: Yeah. Andrew, I knew that -- we'll be communicating with their legal counsel. But what you should see in your in-box, there's been subpoenas issued to the caucuses and then a request for production to you.

And, if you want to get the State to get those documents over to me right away, I -- I can try to continue with the depositions without moving them.

ANDREW HUGHES: Well, I'm going to -- we have 30 days to respond to -- to RFPs under the civil rules.

But what I do what to say is I'm not in a position to make a statement on behalf of Legislative staffers, but I can't imagine they're going to get two

253.627.6401

18:13:511

18:13:542

18:13:593

18:14:014

18:14:045

18:14:056

18:14:087

18:14:118

18:14:139

18:14:1140

18:14:1151

18:14:1162

18:14:183

18:14:204

18:14:225

18:14:256

18:14:297

18:14:358

18:14:**1**79

18:14:4220

18:14:4261

18:14:4282

18:14:5243

18:14:5244

18:14:5285

18:15:001 bites of the apple. So any suggestion that there's been bad faith 18:15:022 18:15:063 entitles you to a second deposition is -- sorry. 18:15:114 my baby -- I'm reasonably certain is not going to be well 18:15:165 taken. 18:15:176 It may not be well taken, but it JOAN MELL: will be our position going into that. 18:15:207 So if somebody in this team wants to do 18:15:228 18:15:249 something about it so it doesn't happen, that's fine with 18:15:270 If not, fine with me. But I will be seeking some 18:15:**3**01 sort of relief for the shenanigans around getting Commission communications. 18:15:342 18:15:**3**73 ANDREW HUGHES: "Shenanigans" is obviously a 18:15:394 ridiculous way of characterizing it, but I will state that for the record and leave it at that. Thanks. 18:15:435 18:15:456 GREG WONG: Okay. Thank you. 18:18:417 (Signature reserved.) 18 (Deposition concluded at 6:18 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

Δ	Ŧ	ਜ	Т	\Box	Δ	7.7	Т	т

I, BRADY WALKINSHAW, hereby declare under
penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
deposition and that the testimony contained herein is a
true and correct transcript of my testimony, noting the
corrections attached.

BRADY WALKINSHAW

Date:			

		(7	E	R	Т	I	F	I	С	A	Т	Ε
STATE	OF	WASHINGTOR	1		`	ss.							
COUNTY	Z OE	F PIERCE) -	,	•						

I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010, authorized to administer oaths and affirmations in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing deposition of the witness named herein was taken stenographically before me and reduced to a typed format under my direction;

That, according to CR 30(e), the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read, and sign the deposition after same was transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the review was waived;

That I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel or participant and that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the action or the outcome herein;

That the witness coming before me was duly sworn or did affirm to tell the truth;

That the deposition as transcribed is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions, and examinations, and said transcript was prepared pursuant to the Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135 preparation guidelines;

That as a matter of firm policy, the stenographic notes of this transcript will be destroyed three years from the date appearing on this transcript, unless notice is received otherwise from any party or counsel on or before said date.

Jamie Booker, RPR, CCR State of Washington CCR #30.81 My CCR certification expires on 10/20/2022

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022	Page 3
To: GREGORY J. WONG ZACHARY PEKELIS JONES PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101-3404 206.245.1700 Greg.Wong@pacificalawgroup.com Zach.Pekelis.Jones@pacificalawgroup.com	
Case Name: Washington Coalition v. Washington State Deposition of: Brady Walkinshaw Date Taken: 11/18/2022 Cause No.: 21-2-02069-34 Court Reporter: Jamie Booker, RPR, CCR	
This letter is to advise you of the following:	
Signature was reserved. The affidavit and correction sheet are being forwarded to you in electronic form. Please have the deponent review the transcript, note any corrections on the correction sheet, and return the signed affidavit and correction sheet to us within 30 days of this notice. According to Court Rule 30(e), the deposition affidavit should be signed within thirty (30) days or signature is considered waived.	
Signature was reserved. The transcript is ready for review and signature. Your office did not order a copy of the deposition transcript. Please contact our office to make an appointment for review. Signature must be completed within 30 days of this notice.	

Sent	WILIIOUL	signature	LO	avoid	deray)

Jamie Booker, RPR, CCR

	C	ORRECTION SHEET
		CHANGES OR CORRECTIONS ON THIS SHEET BY UMBER AND THE REASON THEREFOR.
PAGE	LINE	CORRECTION AND REASON

WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT vs STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 316Index: ...Walkinshaw Exhibit 1

Exhibits	Walkinshaw Exhibit 112 4:15 213:21,24	Walkinshaw Exhibit 134 6:3 256:7
Walkinshaw Exhibit 2 3:8 282:18 283:5,10	Walkinshaw Exhibit 113 4:16 218:16,19	Walkinshaw Exhibit 135 6:4 256:16,19
Walkinshaw Exhibit 41 3:9 254:12,22	Walkinshaw Exhibit 114 4:18 220:5,8	Walkinshaw Exhibit 136 6:6 260:21
Walkinshaw Exhibit 42 3:10 254:14,17	Walkinshaw Exhibit 115 4:19 222:16	Walkinshaw Exhibit 137 6:7 261:4,8
Walkinshaw Exhibit 44 3:11 133:20,21	Walkinshaw Exhibit 116 4:21 223:6	Walkinshaw Exhibit 138 6:9 262:14,17
Walkinshaw Exhibit 95 3:12 69:16,17 94:24	Walkinshaw Exhibit 117 4:22 224:20,23	Walkinshaw Exhibit 139 6:10 263:3,6
Walkinshaw Exhibit 96 3:13	Walkinshaw Exhibit 118 4:24 225:18	Walkinshaw Exhibit 140 6:12 263:20
Walkinshaw Exhibit 97 3:15 184:16,17	Walkinshaw Exhibit 119 5:3 227:8	Walkinshaw Exhibit 141 6:13 263:24 264:2
Walkinshaw Exhibit 98 3:16 185:9,12,17	Walkinshaw Exhibit 120 5:4 230:14	Walkinshaw Exhibit 142 6:15 264:7,10
Walkinshaw Exhibit 99 3:18 189:11,14,17	Walkinshaw Exhibit 121 5:6 231:18,22	Walkinshaw Exhibit 143 6:16 264:13
Walkinshaw Exhibit 100 3:19 190:7,10	Walkinshaw Exhibit 122 5:7 234:2	Walkinshaw Exhibit 144 6:18 265:11
Walkinshaw Exhibit 101 3:21 192:15,18	Walkinshaw Exhibit 123 5:9 235:2	Walkinshaw Exhibit 145 6:19 265:25 266:11
Walkinshaw Exhibit 102 3:22 193:6,9	Walkinshaw Exhibit 124 5:10 235:9	Walkinshaw Exhibit 146 6:21 266:12
Walkinshaw Exhibit 103 3:24 194:14,17	Walkinshaw Exhibit 125 5:12 238:1,4	Walkinshaw Exhibit 147 6:22 266:16,19
Walkinshaw Exhibit 104 4:3	Walkinshaw Exhibit 126 5:13 239:20,23	Walkinshaw Exhibit 148 6:24 267:5
Walkinshaw Exhibit 105 4:4 197:13,14 198:5	Walkinshaw Exhibit 127 5:15 244:10,15	Walkinshaw Exhibit 149 7:3 267:23 268:2
Walkinshaw Exhibit 106 4:6 200:22,23,25 203:20	Walkinshaw Exhibit 128 5:16 245:10	Walkinshaw Exhibit 150 7:4 269:8,13
Walkinshaw Exhibit 107 4:7 208:19.22	Walkinshaw Exhibit 129 5:18 246:11	Walkinshaw Exhibit 151 7:6 269:16,23
Walkinshaw Exhibit 108 4:9 209:17,20	Walkinshaw Exhibit 130 5:19 247:8	Walkinshaw Exhibit 152 7:7 270:21,24
Walkinshaw Exhibit 109 4:10 210:19	Walkinshaw Exhibit 131 5:21 248:19	Walkinshaw Exhibit 153 7:9 271:5,8
Walkinshaw Exhibit 110 4:12 211:8	Walkinshaw Exhibit 132 5:22 249:20,23	Walkinshaw Exhibit 154 7:10 272:4,7
Walkinshaw Exhibit 111 4:13 212:19 213:6	Walkinshaw Exhibit 133 5:24 250:21,24	Walkinshaw Exhibit 155 7:12 272:22 274:4

WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT vs STATE OF WASHINGTON Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 317Index: --i've..153

		- ago o i i iliada. I voli i o
Walkinshaw Exhibit 156 7:13	10:30 60:13	12:57 286:21
276:15,18	10:55 246:7	12th 28:17 57:7 229:5
Walkinshaw Exhibit 157 7:15 277:5	10th 41:10 42:23 48:3 49:17 115:12	13 95:3,8 257:3
Walkinshaw Exhibit 158 7:16	11 286:21	130 247:8
277:17	110 211:8	131 248:19
Walkinshaw Exhibit 163 7:18	111 212:19 213:4,6	132 249:20,23
277:22	112 213:21,24	133 250:21,24
Walkinshaw Exhibit 165 7:19 278:21	113 218:16,19	134 256:7
Walkinshaw Exhibit 176 7:21	114 220:5,8	135 256:16,19
279:18,21	115 222:16	136 260:21
Walkinshaw Exhibit 177 7:22	116 223:6	137 261:4,8
280:9	117 224:20,23	138 262:14,17
Walkinshaw Exhibit 178 7:24 281:2,6	118 225:18	139 263:3,6
	119 227:8	13th 57:7
<u> </u>	11:00 102:19,23 113:19	14 95:3,8,12
i've 172:8	11:01 192:24	14/15 273:25
000 8:3	11:43 109:4	140 263:20
	11:47 283:21	141 263:24 264:2
0	11:52 235:19	1414 8:15
0818 8:19	11:57 283:15	142 264:7,10,16
0010 0.19	11th 36:17,19,21 57:7 216:9	143 264:13,16
1	12 29:1 86:24	144 265:11
4.4.044.70.44.04	120 230:12,14	145 265:25 266:11
1.4 241:7,9,11,21	121 231:18,22	146 266:12,19
10 78:21 80:17 81:11	122 234:2	147 266:15,16,19
100 190:7,10 227:1	123 235:2	148 267:5,8
101 192:15,18	124 235:9	149 267:23 268:2
102 193:6,9	125 238:1,4	14th 35:3 40:3 41:3 57:4 78:6 137:5,
103 194:14,17	126 239:20,23	11,22,23 138:9,20 139:4,10 191:16 230:9 262:12 263:14 268:7 269:6
104 196:15,18	127 244:10,15	271:19,22 272:1,13,18 274:5,10,13
105 197:13,14 198:5	128 245:10	275:5,12,14 276:20 277:2 279:25 280:1,2
106 200:23,25 203:20	129 246:11	15 95:14 98:1 182:25 237:13 266:8
107 208:19,22	12:00 109:3 135:5	150 144:14 269:8,13,24
108 209:17,20	12:04 134:4	151 269:16,23
109 210:19	12:37 134:15	152 270:21,24
		•
10:00 304:10,16 10:20 60:13	12:38 285:10	153 271:5,8

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 318Index: 154..6:00

154 272:4.7

155 272:21.22 273:15 274:4

156 276:15,18

157 277:5,11

157,000 30:2 36:12

158 277:17

15th 12:21,22 13:1 14:13,24 16:23 21:24 25:6,17 35:3 40:3 41:3 45:7 50:2,5,20 54:12 57:4,11,15,23 58:17 61:2,9,14,18 62:3,8 63:18 65:19 69:25 70:2 71:13,15 72:23 74:5,15 76:3 78:6,8 87:15 98:10,17,24 112:15 116:3 117:13 120:14 135:9, 12 137:5,11,22,23 138:9 139:2,5 142:12 146:21 155:20 156:8,9 163:7 170:13,15,20 183:21 184:6 185:2 186:12 191:16 192:24 199:20 214:5 219:22 228:6,20 230:9 231:6 242:25 244:20 246:7 250:4 253:18 259:12 260:10 263:1 268:7 271:20,22 272:2 274:5 275:5,14 276:20 277:2 306:4

16 99:16 199:1

163 277:22

164 278:19

165 278:21,24

16th 71:13,14 82:19 134:3,4 135:1,3, 4,6 138:23 140:21 141:16,23 142:4, 13 144:9 146:21 147:7,14 148:1 149:6,23 150:4,9,21 151:2,8 153:1 155:8,21 156:10 157:15 158:4,9 159:18,25 160:16 161:21 164:6 166:18 167:24 168:18 170:15,20 183:22 184:6 199:20,21,25 200:3,11 212:3 220:17,22 223:13,21 233:6 234:23,25 244:11,23 295:19

17 99:25 214:10,13 218:7

176 279:18,21

177 280:9

178 281:2,6

17th 164:9 165:7 166:19 167:19,24 168:17 214:17 215:5

18 8:1 101:18 245:7

18th 164:8

19 101:18 102:1

1:15 134:21

1:30 134:16

1:37 134:15

1st 80:4 95:24 96:5,14,15,16 97:6 103:22,24 112:1,3,6,12

2

2 28:5,14,18 29:4,18 34:17 97:3 99:13 113:4,5 257:10,16,22,24 258:7,12,14,15,19 259:8,12,19 282:18 283:5,10 287:18 304:7

20 102:6 230:12 273:3

2000 89:10

2006/2007 9:23

2010 89:10 95:24 97:7

2013 9:20 10:8,15

2017 9:20

2020 38:4

2022 8:1

206 465-0818 8:18

24 202:20 203:10

24th 41:10

2:00 202:4 204:4 208:14 209:13 221:1

2:40 183:3

2:45 183:3

2nd 18:16,21 42:3,4 49:19 80:4,9,23 95:25 96:5,14,19,20 97:7 110:5,11, 23 111:8

3

30 310:21

32nd 37:2

34 256:10 257:2

35 257:2

36th 37:11

37 10:2

37th 37:7,11 216:12

38th 29:21

39th 29:21

3rd 48:4 115:22,25 116:1,6

4

4 289:19

40th 29:22

41 254:11.12.22

42 214:10,13 218:7 254:14,17

42nd 28:2 29:22 34:16 41:10 214:17,25 219:5

43rd 11:4,5 37:6,11 216:11,13,14 217:4,7,12

44 133:20,21

44th 29:21 38:12 191:23 192:1 270:3,8,12,13

45 133:13

46th 37:7 216:15,16 217:4

47 209:22

47th 210:2,5,8 266:25 267:4,12

49 13:12 38:6 43:22,24

4:00 295:18

4:15 239:10

4th 18:12 48:1 49:13 86:6 113:21,24 114:4

5

5 282:18 283:8 284:1,12

50 232:22,23 272:12,18 274:10

55 38:13

5:00 82:12 134:19 239:12 253:10 295:18

5:17 273:2

5:30 296:2

5th 18:12 28:22 48:2 49:13 53:6 86:7 113:22,25 114:5 266:25

6

6 283:9 285:9 296:20

6:00 82:12 200:4 296:2 297:7 300:23

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 319Index: 6:16..agenda

6:16 186:13,17

6:18 311:18

6th 42:22 48:3 49:17 115:3,5,6,11

7

7 283:9 287:18

710,000 43:11

760,000 43:12

7:00 57:11,15,23 58:17,22 200:4 281:11 282:4

7:40 305:4

7th 18:23 43:17,20 49:20 91:4,17 96:18 106:25 107:6,9,14 108:4 167:4

8

8 75:14,21 304:7

8:28 199:1,23,24

8:30 186:3

8:45 214:6

8th 49:22 108:15 112:23,24 113:1,9, 13.16 279:23

9

90 28:22 29:14 99:12 258:6 259:2,14 265:21

95 69:16,17 94:24

96 182:21 183:8,10

97 184:16,17

98 185:9,12,17

98112 8:16

99 189:11,14,17

9:00 8:2 220:23 239:12 253:9

9:02 250:4

9th 91:4 94:7,8 103:23 107:13 115:3 118:13

Α

a.m. 8:2 134:4 186:13,17 192:24

199:1,23,24 200:4 220:23 285:10 286:21 295:18 296:2 297:7 304:10, 16 305:4

AB 251:14 252:18

aback 232:4,11 233:2,16,24

abbreviation 201:13 268:21

Aberdeen 86:16

abiding 35:8

ability 25:2

abreast 50:8

absent 194:10

Absolutely 90:16 108:2 207:17

accept 167:12 208:16 271:19 272:2,

17

acceptable 165:4

accepted 22:5 25:24

accepting 165:22

access 68:11

accessed 168:9

accident 278:6

accidentally 173:22 177:23 278:5

accommodating 301:3,4

accomplishing 29:6

accordance 148:21 236:11,15

account 287:7

accuracy 38:22

accurate 103:10 177:4 234:18 284:7.12.14 285:22 298:9 305:5

accurately 90:7

achieved 97:7

acknowledging 276:5 306:14

act 11:19 40:6 68:14,19 137:12,24 138:2,7,8 139:4 231:24 232:1,11,25

233:1 272:11

acted 189:18 214:8

action 13:13 16:11,16,24 17:1 124:25 128:23 131:8,9 136:17,18,22 208:1,9 226:2 228:8 229:9,14

259:15,22 260:3,5

actions 140:1,4,20 303:5

activities 140:6,10,16 154:3 185:5 196:8

activity 143:5 229:2

actual 16:18 26:5 90:1 98:14 111:6 120:13,20 122:14 123:6 142:6,17 148:23 150:25 310:1

Adam 220:2 248:22 249:1,8 250:16 251:15 268:18

adapt 28:7

adapted 83:4

add 75:19 111:15

added 273:15

additional 55:21 111:13

address 8:14

adjacent 29:20,23

adjusted 214:19

administrative 172:9

adopt 122:10 123:21 124:1 129:15

130:11

adopted 76:23,25 77:4,8,9 97:1

122:23

advance 89:17 116:8 122:18

advanced 274:17

advances 105:19 106:2

advancing 40:15

advice 129:9 199:15,16 214:7,8 215:14 234:5,16 281:8,9,20

advised 66:16

advocating 78:10 139:7

Affairs 9:6

affected 29:18 217:4

affirm 294:5 295:7

affirmation 153:17 157:25 169:25

affirmed 132:20,21 295:24

affirming 157:11 165:4,5 209:4

AG 200:10 201:20

AG's 201:15

age 138:11,21 139:12 272:14

agenda 16:20,24 95:1 136:22

259:15 281:10



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 320Index: agree..articulate

agree 12:19 14:17,23 15:9,13 19:15 30:17,19 32:6 33:18,21 34:11,13 44:9 45:3 46:5,11,15,24 47:8 76:9 78:21 90:25 95:6,13 100:17 101:1, 17,24 102:13,19 104:10,18 111:2 114:9 118:8 120:15 123:8,14,16 128:17 147:12,15 186:21 197:1 198:14 208:15 211:22 218:12 228:12 241:22 242:15 247:3 267:4 274:6 275:7 278:3,9 284:8 285:24 286:4,5,6,8 299:15 302:23 303:1 305:11

agreed 15:7 20:15 22:5 25:11,15,20 26:17 27:5,23 34:9 38:23 46:11 47:10 52:25 54:22 55:1 80:23 96:24 98:8,10 111:11 118:24 119:14 123:15 138:22 146:2 147:11 152:22 163:6 168:25 184:13 226:4 260:14 266:24 287:19 295:10 299:5

agreed-upon 16:6 19:9 45:8 131:11

agreeing 44:13 218:12 252:9

agreement 14:25 15:5 17:12 18:1,9 19:12 20:3,8,23 21:6 22:9,12,14 25:9,10 26:3 44:9 45:20,21 46:1,4, 14 47:21 48:9 49:10 51:5,6,15,24 52:4,13 53:15 79:22 81:7 93:19 97:24 98:2 99:17 100:11,14 101:19 102:14,15,20,24 103:17,21 105:1,5 107:20 116:11,16,20 117:6,14,23 118:10 125:8 127:2 128:13 129:15, 20 131:6 140:7 163:10 166:1 170:9, 12,21,23,25 171:10,20 184:9 188:2 190:25 191:6,7,17 226:22 229:9 235:13,20 260:6 262:4 277:1 287:5, 21 288:11 291:24 293:1 294:6 304:9

agreements 24:21,23 99:23 306:3

ahead 13:8 17:16,18 39:8 72:17 109:8,11 118:2 125:5 129:11 134:11 174:10 190:13 273:10 282:15 309:24 310:11,12

aiming 35:22

alarm 277:25 278:1,5

Ali 129:1 132:11 140:22 141:2,6 153:12,20,22 154:4,8,15,21 155:3,4, 10,21 156:7 200:5 220:1,4 231:15 238:6,8,13 239:25 244:2 250:18,19 251:9 252:17,25 268:17 283:6 284:5,23 288:7 293:2 295:16 296:19

aligned 171:6 217:1 290:25 294:6, 10

aligning 215:6

alignment 217:9

Allan 179:14 180:6 181:7,14

allege 230:17

alluded 80:3

alluding 23:9 38:14

altered 213:18

alternative 28:19 274:12 276:2,4

ambiguity 223:21 ambiguous 147:22

amenable 134:12

Amita 172:4 176:19 178:5,15,18

amount 153:17

analysis 264:24 265:4

analyzing 268:16

and/or 12:15 147:25 159:3 161:23 166:19 176:14 228:8 288:22

Andrew 310:10,12,14,21 311:13

Andy 11:13,17 202:8 204:19,25 213:25 214:2,3 221:18 223:2,19 224:9,14,23 225:21 226:3 227:11 231:8,22 234:5,15 237:5 250:13,15 251:9,11

announced 64:9 308:21

answers 32:24

anticipated 124:9

Anton 155:10,14 238:10

anyone's 39:6,24

AO 238:6 250:14,17

apartment 200:6

apartments 126:9

apologies 14:22 15:11 70:20 96:25 99:20 222:5 229:17 310:11

apologize 17:21 92:23 286:10

apparent 285:4 appeared 307:20

appears 75:18 118:16

apple 311:1

applied 67:20 68:3,22

applies 67:12

apply 83:18

appointed 9:18 10:8,10 11:4,8,11,

approach 88:17 257:9

approached 37:24 38:1 56:6

approaches 89:11

approaching 116:2

approval 162:21,23 212:8 231:4 293:5 307:11 308:7,10

approvals 307:21

approve 22:22 47:11 55:13 70:6 130:24 161:22 163:3 165:10,15 208:15 212:2 231:9 280:21,23 307:2 309:1

approved 32:1 77:15 212:13 213:18,19 230:25 231:1,3 236:19 305:8,15,18,20,24 306:1,10,12

approving 162:16 164:21,24 210:23 213:8 308:25

April 185:5,7,21 190:11 191:2 194:18 198:6,11 199:7 202:5,18 208:23 210:18 220:9 222:7,10,23 238:5,19 239:24 240:3,11,22 241:2, 18 244:24 245:7,24 246:6 247:13,25 248:1,4,8,17,23 249:2,11,13,14 250:7,11 255:1,3,14 256:10,17,25 257:12,17 260:24 262:22,24 263:7 264:3,11 265:2,16 267:9 269:11,14 270:22

apropos 83:19

area 35:16 40:19 84:15 104:21 216:19 257:11

areas 64:23 81:23 107:12 108:13 130:19

Argumentive 308:1

arranged 178:25

Arthur 173:1 273:8 282:11,14,15,17, 22 283:8,13,21,23 284:1,4,19 288:20 289:6 292:22 293:14,21 294:13 295:11 297:1,9,15 298:24 300:8,25 301:4,8 302:1,24 305:12, 22 306:24 307:19 308:8,16 309:2,13 310:9

articulate 77:9

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 321Index: articulated..bit

articulated 32:10

artifact 268:20

ascertain 30:5 146:4 147:6 196:14

ascribe 176:5 **asleep** 144:17

aspect 113:1

aspects 28:10,12 46:25 48:20 52:19 66:7 68:5 77:6,9,10 99:6 112:20 119:21 138:17,19 191:20 271:23 298:12

Assistant 200:10

assume 61:21,23,24 168:1 273:7 274:3 285:13

assumed 171:12,14,16

assuming 73:12 197:20 245:18 275:9,11

assumption 89:2 262:21

assurance 296:1

assurances 295:21

assured 294:16,19,22

attempt 19:12 24:18 227:18

attempting 91:17

attend 67:2

attention 83:17 174:21 175:24 177:5

attorney 66:23 201:22,25 202:1 207:6 223:23 232:9 263:8,18 286:11 296:15

attorney/client 174:6,9 175:12 177:22

attributable 75:9.11

attributed 71:19 75:15

audibly 143:23

audio 24:5

audiovisual 24:3

Augustine 61:9,17 62:22 72:23 73:4 74:21 83:21,25 84:16,22 85:3, 18,23 92:17 100:1 101:6,15 104:7 129:13,19,25 130:10 136:4,24 161:12 164:2,3 176:11 184:22,25 227:12 228:2 245:7,24 279:21 281:23 301:23

Augustine's 85:15

authenticated 197:22

authorization 197:18

authorized 83:21

availability 84:19

avoid 71:4 144:3

awake 304:17

aware 13:10,11,19 15:15,21,22 47:20 51:10,13 54:7 63:11 256:2 285:10 290:13 291:21 296:3

awareness 47:13,16 106:11 268:10 289:3,8,10,16

В

baby 311:4

bachelor's 9:2

back 23:22 47:4,7 56:17 57:6 69:8 73:14 78:17 84:22 85:15,22 89:1 92:9 94:24 97:15 100:12,24 105:10 107:25 108:22 109:4,16 133:6 134:16 137:18 139:18 160:8,10 173:11 179:20,24 200:6 206:10 209:22,25 218:7 234:6 235:18 241:23 244:25 245:14 252:6 260:6 270:22 273:24 274:4,11 277:21 278:18 279:8 280:5 282:13 286:17 301:9 305:6

backed 180:3 190:25 191:18 277:1

backlash 235:5

backtrack 21:12

backward 218:7

bad 310:2 311:2

Bainbridge 115:9

bandwidth 175:9

banquet 135:16,25 136:8,23 137:1 139:18,21,24 140:4,10,20,22 141:2, 7,11,15,23 142:5,9,12 143:10 144:12,24 146:21 147:8,14 148:1 149:6,22 150:3,9,21 151:2,8 153:1 155:9 156:10 157:15 159:18,25 161:21 168:14,19 183:23 199:24 200:3,11,14

Barretto 263:7

Bartz 220:2 250:16 251:15 268:18

base 265:8

based 32:1,5 33:11,19,21 34:4 37:14 38:13 39:22 41:6 42:25 50:1 88:21 99:14 118:8 119:10 152:9 171:16 199:15,17 208:6,9 211:24 214:20,21 236:4,13,18 304:18 310:1

basically 41:23 71:6 112:25 113:23 145:25 257:6

basis 80:1 88:18 120:20 121:10,25 238:18

batch 239:15 249:16

batches 279:12

bazillions 249:16

bearing 208:17

beautiful 126:12

began 281:10

begin 100:6 104:8

beginning 39:15

behalf 11:19 310:24

belief 300:19 **beliefs** 127:8

believed 71:7 105:5 126:1 127:25 139:14 146:19 170:8,11 171:3,4 280:21

believing 228:15

bell 194:12

Bellingham 18:20 42:4,15 110:8 111:16 215:1

Beretto 263:18

big 230:22 231:7

Billig 11:13,17 202:9 204:19,25 213:25 214:2,3 218:6,13,21 219:1, 10,12,24 221:18,24 222:12 223:2,19 224:9,14,24 225:21 226:3,23 227:11,16,21 229:9 231:8,22 237:5 250:13,15 251:9,11

Billig's 234:5,16

bipartisan 11:19

bit 9:8 17:3 19:19 28:1 29:12 37:1 43:14 47:13,15 68:6 71:15 97:15 119:6 144:9 190:12 221:3 245:4 280:16 292:3 300:23



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 322Index: bite..challenge

bite 183:1 bites 311:1

black 209:22 210:6,9 240:21

blaming 228:8 229:2

Bless 239:7

blue 185:25 186:1 190:17 208:24

218:25 261:7

board 49:16 **body** 15:7,10

border 36:3 80:4,8,24 96:18 110:19

111:25

borders 35:9,10 214:19

bottom 183:17 284:2 287:1 298:10

boundaries 29:17 32:1 33:2,4 34:4 37:10 43:7,23 44:4,13,15,17,21 45:2 78:1 79:23 82:21 103:7 114:4 115:15 120:25 121:8,10,13,18 147:13,25 151:17 152:3 157:4 158:21 214:19,21 215:10 216:12 218:1

boundary 30:6 31:25 32:17 33:20 34:8 110:11 138:22 139:1 147:7 148:10.14

box 186:5

Brady 8:5,13 72:4 201:18

brainstorming 210:13

break 16:25 23:11 53:21,22 60:11 108:24 109:9,17 126:14,18 134:12 182:23 212:22 222:23 239:9,17 253:5

breakfast 63:24

breaking 133:3

Bremerton 35:16 115:8

brevity 282:16

Bridges 220:1 285:11

briefed 67:8 briefer 109:6

briefing 46:13 66:17,22

bring 15:14 20:16 28:17 31:16 44:9 45:13 46:6 57:21 81:7 84:22 85:22 87:22,25 88:20 93:19 107:20 116:17

119:14 128:14 282:13

bringing 15:1,15,17 19:13 25:1 26:20 36:9 46:21 47:18 54:25 100:12 118:19 128:7

broad 38:5 196:6,14

broader 301:24

broadly 26:7,8 41:21 48:21 66:8 138:10 196:6,14,23,24

brought 13:6,17 19:11 22:15 24:25 26:1 41:16 43:1 44:19 46:24 79:13 111:12 207:4

Bruner 173:3 **bubble** 273:15

built 76:12

bullet 284:13 289:25 303:21 304:6

305:11

bullets 303:18

burning 126:10

busily 57:20 140:15

business 67:6

busy 16:8 31:19 45:16 46:19 83:5 176:12 237:13

buy 223:2

buys 225:22

С

call 45:12 120:22 164:13,14 177:4 202:4,5,9,11 203:1,3 204:3 206:10 209:2,5,8,11 210:7 220:23,24 221:2, 4,6 222:19,20 262:22 270:23 271:1 296:7

called 69:4 138:11 173:11 216:19 278:5

calling 276:9,13

camera 281:9,14,16 282:7

Campos 140:23 288:7 293:2

Canadian 96:17

canal 216:18

canceled 310:6

canine 283:3,4

capable 55:9

Capable's 55:9

capacity 9:22

Capitol 69:14

capture 120:3

care 49:7 109:13 126:15

cared 271:21

carefully 175:10

case 11:25 14:8 36:15 38:16 55:17 73:8 91:19 92:16 98:16 105:15 107:5 112:10 113:3 116:6 117:18 119:16 127:15 171:13,14 193:24 222:21 227:2 230:16 231:11,13,16 237:4 246:22 254:23 256:13

case-by-case 80:1 238:17

cases 35:14 77:19,21,22 78:4 132:21 252:25 275:15

catching 71:24

category 197:4

caucus 11:8,12 60:22,25 61:2,4,7,8, 10,14,15,18 72:24,25 73:22 74:22 75:2 91:21 92:10,14,16 131:4 138:6 140:13,19 219:14,17,19,23 225:3,13 226:7,25 227:4 238:14,15 250:16 309:22,23

caucuses 60:17,22 61:11 87:19 93:1 100:18 119:14 310:17

Caucusing 87:5,7

caused 125:4,6 128:24 229:23

CD 188:8

CDS 250:1

cede 282:11

cell 8:18

centered 138:10

centers 18:20 42:2 110:7 115:8

CEO 69:3

chain 250:13 257:6 267:8

Chair 61:17 72:23 73:4 83:20,25 84:22 85:15,18 100:1 104:6 136:4 141:10 176:11.15 281:23

chairs 144:14

challenge 230:10

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 323Index: chance..Commissioner

chance 72:25 176:3

change 43:14,15,19,25 113:19 116:1 215:12 216:7,23 217:3 229:23

changed 43:16,23 67:14 68:11,12 83:13 96:4 114:5,6 115:15 213:15 215:20 216:9 221:5

changing 216:8

chaos 24:10.13.15

chaotic 20:25 24:9,16 101:14 130:14

characteristics 17:5 18:11 23:6 27:7

characterization 240:10

characterize 19:12 102:5 196:20 258:16 285:20

characterized 90:6

characterizing 311:14

charge 310:4

chat 72:1 210:15,16 245:25

chats 246:2

chatting 190:3 268:4 269:11

check 126:17 153:6 156:14 204:22 205:8,25 294:5,10 307:17

check-in 242:23 243:2

check-ins 146:24 242:24

checked 147:16 148:19 205:23 269:7 290:21 291:22

checking 78:20 147:21 148:22 152:16 153:17,19,21 155:22 156:24 157:23 160:6 205:25 273:2

checklist 152:11.14

choice 20:7 33:4

choose 106:25 208:9

chronologically 71:23

circulated 124:10

circulating 224:24 225:5

cities 35:13,21 36:14 42:14 110:8

citizen 138:11,21 139:12 272:14

city 35:8,9,10,15,23 36:5,8,20,22 37:2 43:21 107:2 116:5 210:9 214:25 215:6 243:21

civil 263:8.18 310:22

clarification 132:16 259:4,8

clarified 276:20

clarify 276:19

clarifying 276:12

class 91:16

clear 33:12 41:17 42:6 77:2 128:10 139:1 190:25 191:6 233:19 235:25 276:25 279:7

clever 261:1

clock 278:2,8

close 30:11 70:25 78:4 87:21 102:24 125:1 126:17 145:12 185:1 250:1 272:24

closely 38:7 79:11 140:23,24 151:25 185:4 247:6 253:1 270:18 288:6,7,13 302:20

closer 9:14 198:18

closing 131:19

cloud 179:21 262:18

Coalition 90:23 91:1 94:6 97:20.21

code 8:16

coffee 63:24

collaborating 291:16

collective 63:6

collectively 119:13

Colville 42:18,19 77:20 84:3,4,21 85:8,21,23 86:4,10

combination 32:13 192:2

commencing 105:7

comment 21:4 27:18 28:1 29:16 50:15 76:3 91:1 95:18 101:13 110:22 149:2 206:15 210:7 250:10 260:25 268:6

commentary 130:17 209:9

commented 40:7

commenting 20:22 80:16

comments 27:11 40:9 103:4 206:6 233:24

Commission 8:21,23,25 10:24,25 11:10,20 13:6,18 14:20 19:14 20:17

41:16 45:13 46:7 54:25 63:8 64:12 66:22 70:3 79:13 81:8 84:8 85:3,4, 10 86:2 87:22 88:15 93:19 97:9 100:3 102:25 107:21 119:15 132:5 162:22,24 169:10,23 172:10 176:5 178:16 180:5 199:19 206:7,17,25 207:5,13 223:23 224:4 228:14 280:18 287:20 295:18 296:16 300:14 311:12

Commission's 66:24 67:6 175:1 188:21

Commission-issued 168:4,6

commissioned 138:5,6

Commissioner 9:18 11:7 14:11,15, 17,23 15:4,10,13 16:2,6,15 17:11,25 18:3,8 19:10,13 20:14 21:25 22:17 25:24 26:2,13 27:19,20 31:9,10,15 37:25 39:14,15,19,20 41:14 44:25 45:3 46:9,10 47:18 48:5 50:8,11 51:6,10,15 52:9,10 55:21 56:23 57:14 59:1,6,10 61:24 62:1,22 63:22 64:2,4,20 65:6,11,12,23,24 66:5,6,9, 13 70:10,13 71:11 73:8,9,18,20 75:15,16 76:6 77:7 80:20 81:6,15 83:14 84:16 85:3 86:15 87:24 88:19 89:21,25 90:8,9,24 92:18,22,24 93:18 94:8 95:7,13 97:24 98:15 99:14 101:3,15,24 102:23 103:11 104:22 106:15 107:16,19 108:14 109:22 110:17,22 111:12 112:9 115:1 116:11,23 117:5,15,22 118:3, 9,15,20,23 119:17 121:4 128:8 129:13 130:19 134:25 136:24 137:5 141:16,22 143:21,24 144:23 145:4, 6.11 147:18 151:24 152:20 155:5 156:4 157:18 158:1,13 159:7,15 160:6 163:9,20,25 164:1 166:6,12 168:15,20,22 169:8 170:6 171:3 180:19,21,24 183:16,21 184:4,25 185:1,11,18 186:10 187:5,10,12,19 188:7,18,24 189:8,18 190:1,2,16,21 191:7 192:9,13,22 193:14 195:4,25 196:3,9 197:2 199:2,23 200:8,18 202:23 203:8 204:2 209:2,12,21 210:12,23,25 211:3 212:17 213:8 227:12 228:1 239:23 240:16 242:19 243:25 244:1 250:2 252:5 253:17. 19,24 254:18,19 255:20 256:18 258:11 260:13 261:8,11,15,20,23 267:11 271:8,12 283:14 287:2,4,11, 24 288:5,19 289:5 291:15,16,22 292:1,3,5,7,24 294:22 295:8 296:1, 3,5,6 299:23 301:23 302:20 303:15 304:22

Commissioner's 76:25

Commissioners 11:9,20 18:2 38:20 40:9,23 49:4 62:23 63:1,3,19 64:11,17 65:20 70:16 71:5 84:13 89:6,8,10,20,23 90:3 100:7,11 119:13 127:9 135:16 136:1,3,7 141:19 142:1,4,8,11,16,22 143:9,13 144:6 154:24 161:1 162:4,9,16 165:21 168:14 169:19 171:1,5 186:23 195:2 200:9,17,20 212:2 225:6,14 226:9,22 228:7 231:12 232:3,10 233:2,13,16,23 235:21 236:5,14,21,24 251:1 280:5 285:18 286:1,16 287:8,12,19,25 288:14,22, 23 289:8,16,20 290:2,10,13,20 291:12,18 292:9,13 293:5,15 294:15,19 295:1,13,20 296:11 297:11,17 298:3,7,17 299:22 300:3, 11,12 301:11,20 302:3,11,18 303:5, 7,13 304:8,9,19,25 306:17,20 307:8 308:9,14

Commissioners' 84:12

Commissions 55:7 66:18 88:14 89:4

common 127:2,4,6,9

communicate 17:11 75:17 105:2 116:19 129:19 146:3 154:7 156:2 163:8,10,14,19 164:2 192:22 228:21 240:4 252:18,23 259:20 280:5

communicated 17:24 22:4 52:24 53:15 78:19 102:5 108:3 110:24 111:9 112:2 118:24 129:16 136:17 137:19 154:8 160:3 161:1 171:24 199:8 201:20 220:9 240:11 259:20 260:4,5 261:15,18 263:17

communicating 17:13 22:10,12,13 58:25 75:18 79:24 81:19 86:17 93:11,14,16,21 94:13 99:3 101:4 104:5 107:4,5,8 113:21 115:4,25 129:3 146:7,18 164:3 169:16 184:12 185:10,21 186:11,18 187:5 194:8 198:6 199:2,10,22 205:6 208:23 209:21 211:11,21 213:7 218:21,25 219:23 224:14 235:12 238:20 240:17 242:16 247:13 249:1 251:1 252:1 261:7 262:23 263:1 273:21 310:15

communication 53:5 117:14 125:10 129:25 132:12 136:6 145:21 161:2 174:9 177:10 178:5 183:13, 15,21 184:24 186:22,25 187:1,22 188:19 190:11 191:14 197:2 200:10

201:25 207:15 210:22 219:17 234:22 235:18 238:4 240:15 241:17 249:25 256:17 257:17 269:19 280:12

communications 50:20 54:5
58:16,24 71:11 128:22 141:10 147:3
148:9,23 152:17 154:15 158:6
159:20 162:15 164:10 171:17 174:6
175:16 178:4 179:20 180:20 182:13
184:5 185:17 186:12 189:18 193:11,
12 197:3 201:23 203:16 208:10,14
229:13 233:22 236:4,14 238:14
242:17 245:14 255:19 256:18
311:12

communities 18:17 27:8,22,24 29:11 35:8 40:25 41:24 42:2,11 49:19 78:24 79:5,22 91:14,15 92:3 105:19 106:9 215:11,12

community 83:3 138:12

compared 212:10

compendium 77:4

compendiums 76:21

competing 29:9

competitive 49:23

competitiveness 27:13 34:23,25 37:21 38:2 106:10

complete 12:9,15,17 25:3 126:1 136:15 140:1,3 154:3 182:13 289:14 290:8

completed 12:23 31:7 33:25 105:15 121:11 126:5 140:8,10,21 143:15 144:4,18 157:8 160:23 161:8,24 194:10 205:16 280:13 285:4 290:12, 14,16,18 305:17

completely 113:13 139:20 177:14

completing 33:24 140:20 143:4 302:21

completion 55:20 120:13 290:10

compliant 179:7

complied 157:12

comply 71:7

component 21:14 22:5 28:25 121:23

components 44:2 52:16

composition 107:10

comprehensive 114:18 178:24

comprised 19:16 27:6 130:10

compromise 128:24 229:13

compromised 228:2

computer 149:8 168:4,5,6,7,9,10

computers 140:14

concede 111:19 125:6,7 273:10

conceded 259:16

conceding 259:14,19

concepts 42:9

concern 35:4 40:19 175:8 177:5,13, 21 207:8 231:21,23 261:11,14,19,21 262:2

concerned 102:11 188:20 237:21 304:16

concerns 40:10,11,14 56:5 87:17 137:10,22,23 138:13,15,17 174:3,12 199:15 232:12 233:1,9,25 257:9 259:24 260:8 261:16,22 268:6 284:18,22,24,25 285:5

concessions 138:15

concise 211:1

concluded 113:15 207:23 311:18

conclusion 20:3 24:8

conditioned 235:22

conduct 66:20

conducted 63:12,15

Confederated 42:18,19 77:20 86:4

conference 144:17 162:17 163:4,5, 9,15,17,20,21 164:8 165:21,24 166:3,6,23 167:13 286:16 287:10,17 292:10 304:10,16 305:2 307:7,9,14, 21 308:6,11,15,21,25 309:1,4,6

conferring 213:13

confidential 176:23

confirm 147:16 148:20 153:14 197:23 263:13,16

confirmation 153:18

confirming 146:10 148:11 198:3 264:2

conflict 59:13

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 325Index: conflicted..correct

conflicted 129:10

conform 34:9 150:13 279:3

conformance 158:8

conformed 145:9,14,19 237:1

conforming 34:5 151:14 152:22

confused 162:11 164:23 201:9 210:1 221:3 291:20

confusion 75:7 125:18 130:15 143:1 144:3.9 201:8 224:7 276:7

congressional 11:22 12:16,18,21, 23 13:5,15,20 17:6,12 18:1,7,12,16, 23 20:9,12 21:15,21 22:23 23:7 25:5,17 41:13,14,18 42:1,3,5,20 43:2,7,15 44:3,15,23 45:1,11 47:12, 14,15 49:10,14,20,23 50:21 51:1 52:18 54:3 57:12,17,21 58:3,18 59:18 61:24 64:22 74:20 75:23 77:14 80:5,6,9,21,23 83:9,11 86:7, 21 90:18 91:5,18 93:2,8 95:25 96:14.20 98:13 99:17 102:21 103:14,23 104:9,11,15,16 105:13 107:1,7,9,14 108:4,16 110:5 111:8 113:6 116:24 117:7 118:13 120:19 122:10 127:13 129:14,15,20 130:9, 11 136:15 140:25 157:18 158:25 159:4,6,12,16,19,25 160:16 161:7,8, 15,23 167:22 170:14,19 171:19 188:12 196:8 198:8 218:12 240:12, 14 246:9 250:3 252:6 261:12,19,23 265:16 269:13 285:12 287:19 290:11 291:5 292:4,23 295:14,23

Congressionals 77:12 127:15

299:24 300:15 302:22 306:19

conjecture 205:18

connect 72:25 173:9,10

connectivity 281:21

conscious 20:7 298:1

consensus 21:14,21,25 22:16

195:6

consent 63:1,3

consideration 14:20 90:12 102:25 187:13,18,21 195:17 238:25 255:5,

considerations 187:5

considered 25:2 56:3.4

consistent 32:17 37:10,13 157:4 167:12 207:6 215:21 216:5,23

217:11 218:1,13 293:9,12,16 294:16 295:9.16

consolidate 238:21 243:10

consolidated 86:9 240:12,17 241:20 243:5,8 266:3

constant 275:22

constraints 84:12

consultation 83:15 84:9 94:19

consultations 83:18 84:14

contact 71:4 173:4,5

contacted 284:21

contacting 284:17

contained 44:2 112:12,22 115:18 134:6,9 180:4 243:19

content 23:3 50:4 65:22,25 93:25 111:8 134:6 137:18 143:8 147:22 148:8 174:5 176:20 210:11,23 212:7 213:12 219:10 279:12

contest 297:4

context 13:24 61:16 67:9 73:2 147:10 187:1 193:1 240:8,20 241:1 242:13

contextualize 21:3

contingent 235:22 236:6,25

continually 59:1

continuation 136:20 194:20 196:19 218:20 239:24 263:23 276:18

continue 113:2,25 119:6 310:20

continued 90:7 145:6

continuing 74:18 76:7 105:12 118:12 140:15 143:3 145:5 182:12 185:7 198:10

contract 179:16

contrast 98:13 125:13

controlling 281:23

controversial 107:12 216:8

convene 63:19 136:23 139:21 243:24

convened 281:5

convening 136:8 282:3

conversation 58:22 61:25 73:7,9

85:23 89:25 103:13,19 108:4 114:20,24 128:1 141:16,22 142:15, 23 143:9,12,14 145:12 149:15 153:6,24 154:18 155:2,5,12,14 156:12 157:22 158:12 159:22,24 162:18 164:1 168:20 172:15 176:20, 23,24 177:1,17,19,24 182:3 188:17 189:9 190:16,21,23 193:25 194:2,7 196:11 197:8,10 198:1 202:14 208:7 210:11 218:20 229:12 239:24 241:3, 25 245:6 255:3 256:24 265:3 287:11,13 294:18,19,21 297:8 299:5

conversations 14:11 21:2 50:9 53:18 54:11,16 57:10 64:14,16 65:7, 20 66:6,9,20 73:7 74:12,18,23,24 88:18 90:10 92:18,22,24 93:1 108:14 110:16 111:1 112:9 114:21 119:19 127:24 128:8 129:7 131:2,4 137:1 141:18,19 142:8,23 154:21 155:10,16,18,20 156:1,4,6 159:15 160:10 162:8,15 168:13 190:2 196:25 218:22 229:8 241:4 267:10 272:16 274:8 291:2 292:5,12,16 295:5 297:10,16 298:2 300:16 301:10 302:15,17 303:12 304:19

conversing 182:1

convert 45:16

converted 102:9

convey 161:20 163:21

conveyed 60:2 161:25 205:15 304:21

conveying 113:1,23 205:11 256:25 272:10

convince 241:22

convo 189:25 190:4

cooperate 271:10

coordinating 248:12,13

coordination 188:14

core 42:4 107:1

correct 8:20 10:6 12:20,24 15:2,6 26:11,13 29:2,5 30:18 32:11 33:1,5, 20 34:10 39:4,11 44:12,22,23 47:6 50:2 52:14,15 58:7,9 59:12 73:13 74:4,13,16,17,25 76:16,23 77:4,5 78:12 79:4,6,21,25 81:10 82:21,22 83:1,2,4 84:2 89:21 91:10,21 92:8, 20 93:13,22 95:3,12 97:2,23 100:18 103:1,8 104:11 106:4 111:23,24 112:19 114:5,10 116:19 117:3,13



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 326Index: correctly..deposition

118:3,5 119:10,17 121:1,8,14,15,16 122:1,8,14 123:3,12 128:20 131:12 135:3,7,13,21 136:1,2,4 139:16 150:7 152:10,13 155:8 165:3 166:17 171:18,22 181:8,13 182:21 186:18, 19 188:16 190:18 191:9,11 193:22 196:12,13 198:6,16 208:24,25 213:10 217:3 231:2 233:5 234:15 235:14,16 238:5,7,19,23 240:10 245:20,23 246:16 247:7,12 248:6 251:25 257:22,23 258:8,20 261:8,9 272:20 273:23 274:20 275:8 276:4 285:18 302:12 303:23 307:10,13 308:5

correctly 225:2

correspondence 255:22,25

corridor 28:6 34:17

Council 10:11 85:9 86:1

counsel 181:18 310:15

counties 18:18

country 229:4

county 10:11 35:8,18,22 42:13 44:17 80:4,8,24 96:22 110:18,21 111:14,24

couple 23:16 31:21 45:6,20 52:8 118:17 130:18 131:20 191:20 212:16 265:18

court 79:14 106:20 122:3 149:4 169:11 200:22 224:4 225:24 226:1,2 228:15,22 229:10 230:13,20,25 232:6 261:1 286:9 299:4,8,12 306:13,15

Courtyard 62:12,17

cover 234:6

covered 179:25 180:5

covering 234:6

craft 251:22

create 12:1 32:11 126:2,7

created 82:1,11 105:20 148:15

creating 84:8 98:23

criteria 12:1,6 13:11 23:8 26:23 27:8,9,12,14,16 32:6 33:12 34:5,6,9, 19,20,23,25 35:7,13,15 38:2 40:2,4 78:23 91:24,25 92:1 102:9 121:24 122:13 127:11 143:7 146:13,16 148:18,21 151:21 152:8

criterias 145:10

critical 113:1 114:15,17

cross 28:6,21 29:4,10 259:12

crossed 257:10 258:5 265:20

crossing 29:13 34:16 41:2 56:9

99:12 257:9

cue 72:8

current 107:10 268:3 275:22

cut 13:8 185:14

CVAP 268:3 272:12,14,18 274:10

D

dale 198:11

dang 239:14

data 168:10 182:2,14 198:24

date 15:18 27:19 45:23,25 46:1 53:17,18 66:25 182:5 185:8 191:8, 12 195:10 196:25 198:14 221:4 248:17 267:10 274:7 280:22 309:9, 12

dated 68:25 179:24

dates 166:19

Davis 155:3 239:25 247:11 248:5 253:1 309:25

dawn 101:9

day 69:12 144:8 158:22 167:19 168:16,18 191:14 221:7 223:12 226:13 228:9 262:11 263:12 269:5 275:8 283:2 298:14 309:6

days 10:3 55:19 167:24 172:14 176:10,13 229:6 278:15 310:22

dead 187:17 188:9

deadline 81:9 120:13,14 125:9 140:8,17 143:17 262:12 290:8

299:16,20 306:14

deal 35:18 100:20,22 104:7 116:8

debate 275:13 **decade** 114:3

December 9:19

decide 165:3 228:22 229:10 231:9

279:24 300:11,12

decided 35:20 60:17 128:2,5 129:11 167:12 230:2 278:2

decides 83:10

deciding 260:19

decision 26:10 29:24 30:3 32:4,16 55:4 63:6 125:21 129:11 160:16 161:5 167:18 208:15,18 223:20 224:1,9,12,15,18 228:20 230:12 299:3,7 300:17,18 302:4,13 304:12

decisions 32:9 33:18 34:3 55:2 93:11 145:18 147:20 148:5,7 158:3 216:8 229:19 287:6 291:25 302:15

decrease 42:23

dedicated 16:21 89:13

deep 46:21

deeply 90:21 271:22

defect 260:20

define 287:14

defined 113:13 120:25 121:14

defining 113:8 definition 61:3

definitive 110:11,12

degree 9:2 **delay** 102:12

deleted 180:18,23 181:1

deliberated 93:4

Democrat 10:16,18,19 61:14

Democratic 11:8,12,20 38:13 61:11 72:24 138:6 202:6 225:3 226:25 251:19

Democrats 74:6

dep 41:7 310:4

Depends 76:17

deploy 271:18

deponent 8:5

deposition 23:18 39:4,15,24 40:22 69:17 133:21 183:10 184:17 185:12 189:11 190:7 192:15 193:6 194:14 196:15 197:14 198:2 200:25 208:19 209:17 210:19 211:8 212:19 213:21 218:16 220:5 222:16 223:6 224:20 225:18 227:8 230:14 231:18 234:2



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 327Index: depositions..Dominique

235:2,9 238:1 239:20 244:15 245:10 246:11 247:8 248:19 249:20 250:21 254:12,14 256:7,19 260:21 261:4 262:14 263:3,20,24 264:7,13 265:11,25 266:12,16 267:5,23 269:8,16 270:24 271:5 272:4,22 276:15 277:5,17,22 278:21 279:18 280:9 281:6 283:10 300:11 309:24 310:3 311:3,18

depositions 309:22,23 310:20

deps 38:15

describe 92:1 104:14 110:14 120:17,18 188:13 246:23,24,25

describing 12:2 25:5,11 51:5 91:12 93:1 97:2 105:16 111:13 117:19 253:19

description 39:19

descriptions 39:10

design 113:1,24

desire 86:6 102:8 136:14,17

detail 66:10 76:1 79:16 92:21,25 111:23 120:10 129:17 175:25 177:5,

detailed 30:12 122:13 124:23

details 48:6 51:8 111:13 112:4,7 115:18 123:12

determined 143:3

develop 11:21 88:19

developing 13:23 57:3 255:6 296:18

development 13:21

dial 278:5

Diamond 209:23 210:6.9

dictated 44:16

diff 241:6

difference 241:11 242:12

differences 83:1

differently 37:24 279:13

differing 49:19 91:11

digesting 268:15

digital 182:2

direct 107:17 167:9,10 174:7 201:21

283:24 291:14

directed 140:19

direction 51:3,4 54:8 91:7 146:11 178:11,14 209:16 242:4,12 292:19

directions 121:20 126:2

directly 13:4,21,22 14:10 41:19 56:25 85:2 167:23 172:11 176:5 199:10 292:25 295:15,23

disagree 38:25 79:9

disagreement 64:24 81:24 104:21 137:16 185:23 187:9,13 191:25 192:7 257:11

disagreements 191:4

disavowing 296:24

disclose 68:6 176:20

disclosed 18:4 177:23 179:4

disclosing 175:9

disclosure 67:14 68:6,8 172:17,19 173:15,21 174:17 179:25 181:11 182:9

disclosures 82:23 179:7 181:10

disconnected 278:4

discounting 50:18

discrepancies 38:22

discuss 65:11 74:19 118:12 148:8 169:22 222:11 255:2 284:25 290:9 293:3 294:14 298:8 308:10

discussed 15:23 35:18 52:20 65:4 78:25 89:13 104:19 147:23,25 151:21 160:3 174:7 198:7 203:5 222:20 254:19,25 266:9 279:4 284:23 291:17 294:25 298:12 299:25 302:3 303:8

discussing 52:10 96:3 102:11 191:21 220:25 264:18 305:7

discussion 16:16,21,25 19:8 20:1,4 23:5 28:19 47:5,13 49:24 103:11 104:8,9 105:6 119:12,18 124:24 125:2 127:21 128:23 131:9 272:21 287:2,9,21 288:23 293:25 298:18 299:10,11,13 304:13

discussions 27:20 37:24 50:4 53:4 58:6 59:2 74:23 91:22 93:3,7 100:6 181:12 188:3 195:14 269:13 290:19 291:10 293:22 294:2 299:9,21

303:6.21 307:21

dissimilar 86:8

distance 70:23 149:8 158:15 234:11,25 287:16

distanced 234:13

distinct 82:20 103:7

distinction 68:18 70:5

distribute 29:25

district 11:2 12:21 13:15,20 17:12 18:1,16,23 20:9 28:2,18,22 29:3,17 30:2,6 31:25 32:17 33:2,4,20 34:3,8 36:4,9,17,19,25 37:4,16 38:9,12 40:3 41:14 42:1,5,20 43:16 44:4,13, 15,20,24 49:20,23,24 50:21 51:1 52:18 54:6 56:11 79:23 80:5,6,9,23 86:7 91:18 95:25 96:20 97:2,3 103:15,24 107:1,7,9,14 108:4,16 110:5,7,23 111:9,18 114:4,6 115:14 118:14 120:25 121:7,10,13,17 122:11 137:24 139:11 147:7.13.25 148:10,14 150:20 151:2,8 152:2,3 153:5 157:3 192:3,4 198:8 210:2,8 215:3,10,20 216:9,11,15,21 218:1 243:12,18 250:4 261:12 272:3,11 275:14,15 276:22

Districting 215:8

districts 13:5,12 17:6 18:12,13 20:12 23:7 25:5 27:13 29:24 34:24 35:1,3,9 36:7,12,16 38:3,6 40:5 41:4,10,13 43:2,5,8,11,16,22 44:4 45:11 49:10,14,15 51:22 54:3 77:12 78:7 86:5 90:19 91:5 92:5 96:1,4,6, 10,11,14 106:9 114:1 137:11,22 145:19 148:15 191:20,22 214:17 215:11,12,17 216:2 230:9,19 253:19,22 268:7 271:22 275:22

divide 36:5

divided 35:20,21 86:5,11

dividing 35:13 41:25 42:13 80:4,9 110:19

divisions 36:14

divorced 79:19

document 39:17 69:20 124:19 133:25 134:6 184:20 254:18 283:22

documents 124:22,23 173:20 278:17 309:22 310:7,19

Dominique 155:15,17,19 156:7



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 328Index: domino..excused

238:9 253:1

domino 29:12

doubt 102:15

download 180:7

Downtown 63:24

dozing 144:17

draft 76:20 77:12 78:6 97:20 225:1,2 226:24 241:19 266:4,7,23

drafted 212:17 226:17

drafting 268:4

drafts 82:9

draw 29:11 33:11,20 34:3 42:21 98:12 99:11 102:8 136:19

drawing 18:7 20:14 25:3 32:5 33:22 35:9,23 37:12 78:6 94:7 137:25 145:9 205:16 219:21 268:12 291:15

drawings 216:1 252:7

drawn 20:13 28:15 33:2,21 35:4 36:19,25 42:22,25 44:22 55:20 95:24 97:8 119:23 120:10 123:10 138:20 139:11 145:13 150:13 151:17 153:14 166:1 210:5 236:11, 15,18 275:14

drew 77:25 258:5

dropped 200:6

drove 200:5

duly 8:6

dyad 58:10 74:19 88:11,12 89:11 91:22 98:15 116:21 117:6 188:1 191:3

dyads 55:4 66:19 71:2 74:7 87:7,10, 13,19 89:13 92:12 99:23 102:16,20 117:1 119:13 129:16 143:4,6 188:15 289:15

dynamics 193:20

Ε

eager 87:23

earlier 27:19 34:10 44:17 56:8 77:11 80:3 81:15 103:5 110:5 121:3,25 130:17 156:5,23 160:21 184:9 196:23 220:25 222:20 226:13 228:8 249:24 296:14 298:13 300:19

early 66:24 89:13 144:10,12,16 170:15.20 173:8

earshot 141:25 143:12

easily 254:11

East 8:15 35:17 113:2

east/west 96:6 97:10

Eastern 28:8 29:25 41:25 48:2

114:1

eat 182:25

Ed 10:14

education 9:1

effect 29:12 296:21 299:14 300:17

effectively 130:18 138:4 187:2 227:22,23

effort 126:1

efforts 18:19

elected 10:7,9 11:5 181:2

election 10:15 11:3

element 21:21 122:9,15 146:19

elements 23:8 27:6 34:14 44:16 77:16 92:9 93:13 94:1 104:19 105:17 112:6,10,12 113:8 114:8 118:4 128:25 148:24 157:4,12

email 68:12 173:9 199:18 201:10 202:1 207:6 211:23,25 212:6 223:23 285:15 296:15

emailing 212:1

emails 68:10 173:21,23 175:10 177:22 178:19

employed 178:15

enclosure 254:23

encompass 112:2

encompasses 107:1

encourage 129:4

encouragement 256:14

end 12:13 16:16 35:6 108:15,17 144:8,16 230:7 253:9 259:11

ended 29:14 40:15,16 63:5 119:12 135:1,2,8,12 139:24 141:2 242:14 286:16

ending 109:23

engage 241:23

engaged 195:14 307:21

engagement 84:6

ensure 126:22 145:9 146:14 152:12

294:5,10

ensuring 147:10

entire 29:8 38:22 52:13 228:25

281:14

entirety 182:6 224:11

entitles 311:3

entrust 55:11

entrusted 84:22 85:1

environment 69:3

error 222:24

essential 12:12 25:9

evaluate 195:5

evaluated 91:22 93:4,12

evaluating 90:17 91:10 92:9 94:1

evening 16:22 20:25 21:24 22:8,16 24:9 25:6,17 45:16 46:20 62:3 73:16 83:5 88:3 93:20,21 112:15 119:2,9 167:19 170:13,15,19 204:23 219:22 248:15 283:14 289:13 292:4 293:10 295:6.9 299:3 302:21 306:4

events 51:25 52:1 116:18 204:24

eventually 12:9,13,18

Everett 18:20 42:4,15 110:8 111:16

Everything's 145:22

exact 51:25 52:1 113:17 141:8 165:18 190:5 203:13 280:22 285:14 289:4

examined 8:7

exchange 59:22 184:4 186:9,21 187:4,19 192:19 193:10 194:18 198:20 227:11 250:25 253:21

exchanged 41:15 45:1 58:21 81:16 121:4 164:11 177:8

exchanging 82:23 246:2

excuse 62:8 74:14 142:13 147:20 151:11 237:10

excused 61:18

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 329Index: executed..figure

executed 134:2,6 161:6

executing 145:25

exhausted 156:18 203:9,12

exhaustion 204:6

exhibit 69:16,17 94:24 133:3,19,20, 21 182:21 183:8,10 184:16,17 185:9,12,17 189:11,14,17 190:7,10 192:15,18 193:6,9 194:14,17 196:15,18 197:13,14 198:5 200:22, 25 203:20 208:19,22 209:17,20 210:19 211:8 212:19 213:6,21,24 218:16,19 220:5,8 222:16 223:6 224:20,23 225:18 227:8 230:11,14 231:18,22 234:2 235:2,9 238:1,4 239:20,23 244:10,15 245:7,10 246:11 247:8 248:19 249:20,23 250:21,24 254:12,14,17,22 256:7, 10,16,19 257:2 260:21 261:4,8 262:14,17 263:3,6,20,24 264:2,7,10, 13 265:11,25 266:11,12,16,19 267:5,23 268:2 269:8,13,16,23 270:21,24 271:5,8 272:4,7,22 274:4 276:15,18 277:5,17,22 278:21 279:18,21 280:9 281:2,6 282:18 283:5,10,18

exhibited 253:6

exhibits 133:5 264:16 273:4 279:9

exist 96:10 **expect** 162:1

expectancy 236:4,13

expectation 55:12 161:22 162:3,4, 10 195:16 236:18 238:13

expectations 195:24

expected 37:14 152:8 177:10 231:11,13,15

expecting 253:9

expense 178:19,21

experience 9:17 89:7

Explain 103:19

explained 92:2

explanation 174:2

explicit 238:11 293:4

express 19:9 20:8 56:20 80:23 115:19 121:17 137:10 169:25 177:5 259:21 301:19

expressed 21:14 45:21 48:24 81:13 112:13,18 114:8 121:19 127:20 128:18 161:12 231:7 257:8 285:5 301:17,23

expressing 30:23 85:11 109:24 177:13 184:9 207:8 242:9 256:10 260:20,24 268:6 274:14,18 276:2

expression 111:4 206:11

expressions 207:25

extended 103:13 291:6

extending 37:2

extensively 40:8 90:14

extent 130:8,23 131:10 174:5 201:19 288:21 291:10 294:14,16,25 296:19 297:3 298:25 301:5 302:2 303:3,6 304:23

extremely 87:23 257:21

eyes 297:25

F

face 188:19

facets 118:7

facilitate 62:22

facilitated 63:16

fact 76:12 115:11 147:1 153:17,19, 21 184:25 233:15 235:13 250:25 269:7

fact-check 275:10

faded 19:20

Fain 14:11,15,17,23 15:4,25 16:2,7 17:11 18:1,9 19:10,13,16 20:4,8,14 21:6 22:1,17 31:9 41:15 44:25 45:3, 20,22 46:4,11,14 47:9,18,21 48:9 49:11 50:5,11 51:6,16,24 52:4,10, 13,18,25 53:16 54:4 55:22 56:23,24 57:10,14,23 58:14,25 60:3 61:24 63:22 64:7,20 65:3,5 66:1,6 69:10 73:10,22 74:14 75:2,16 76:6 79:5 80:20,23 81:7,12,15 86:15 87:24 90:8,24 92:24 93:5,18 94:1,8 95:14 96:24 97:24 98:15 101:3 102:23 103:11 104:22 105:6 107:16,19 108:6,14 110:17,22 111:12 112:4,9 113:15 114:9 115:1 116:11,20,23 117:5,15,22 118:4,10,15 121:4 127:20 128:1 129:20 130:2,19

140:24 145:6,11 154:24 157:18 159:3,7,12,16,21,24 160:2,9 161:1 166:6 171:20 186:10,12 188:19,24 189:8 190:16 197:3,8,11 198:7 238:21 248:3,7 250:3 252:5 253:19 254:19 256:18 257:16 261:11,19 263:18 267:20 273:21 287:3,4,11,24 288:5,19 289:5 292:1,3,7,25 296:3,5 299:23 302:21 303:15

Fain's 39:16,19 59:17 130:12 154:23 166:12 188:7 196:3 251:3 260:25 261:23 264:11,19,21

fair 97:15 106:17 144:9 280:16 292:3

fairly 44:6 111:15 226:24 233:19

faith 228:24 310:2 311:2

fall 44:5

false 277:25 278:1,4 302:5

falsely 298:19

familiar 13:16 37:6 69:21 70:5 132:23 176:2 254:24 286:23

faster 75:10

fatigued 143:1

fault 24:10,12

favor 125:25

features 115:21

February-march 67:1

fed 283:1

Federal 62:10,13,14,17,19 63:2,4,5 279:23,25

federally 77:23 84:10 106:9

feedback 48:18,19 97:13,14,16 214:20.22 217:6 252:3.8 257:5

feel 11:15 158:11 209:10

feeling 24:8 87:20 165:25 198:21 205:24 271:13

feet 287:15

feline 283:3

fellow 225:6

felt 14:19 25:15 40:15 65:25 93:17, 18 102:10 116:3 127:4 140:5 299:19 306:2

figure 86:16 88:7,8 114:20 188:4 205:20 253:7



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 330Index: file..giving

file 134:7

files 287:19

fill 10:12 182:20

filled 10:14

final 12:15 21:6 29:15 30:23 37:9 77:18,25 78:9,13 79:2 82:17,18,25 85:24 86:13 91:6,9 95:22 101:11 103:9,12 104:9,10,16 119:23 120:6 121:17,21 124:19 129:5,14,15 130:8,11,25 131:14 133:19 134:8 149:1 161:23 162:1,16,24 163:3 167:7,18 198:7,15 208:16 214:14 215:22 216:1 223:20 224:1,12 230:19 231:5,10 243:6,11 246:8,18, 19 250:1 253:22 271:24 280:13 293:25 307:22

finalize 31:17 100:8 143:6

finalized 20:14 121:7 223:14,17 306:17

finalizing 120:20 140:24 142:7 157:18

finally 30:4 44:22 60:3 77:4,15 111:3 125:6 128:2 131:6 210:5 214:10 304:1 305:8

find 233:23 293:15

fine 65:11 119:8 134:19 170:7 183:2 239:18 253:8 282:22 311:9.10

finish 39:13 87:23 88:4 126:18 143:3 289:21

finished 249:15

finishing 87:21

Fircrest 126:9

firm 127:16

fit 103:14 144:13

Fitzgibbon 202:10,13 207:19

five-minute 253:5

five-plus 281:19

floating 282:10

floor 286:2

focused 42:1 58:3 88:3 291:5

folks 145:25 205:23

follow 122:21 129:8 172:16 174:13

188:5,23 190:19 234:15

followup 42:8

forget 239:25

forgot 273:14

form 14:14 17:15 20:10 21:16,22 24:11,24 25:13 30:13 32:2,12 33:6 47:23 48:10 53:8 59:19 60:18,23 63:10,21 64:18 67:16,21 68:23 70:15 74:8 79:8 93:15 95:16 99:19 104:12 105:22 106:22 117:8,16 119:23 120:1 122:22 123:6 124:20 125:12 127:8 130:13 132:14 135:17, 22 136:9 140:11 148:3 149:19 154:16 161:3 162:5 164:22 165:12, 16 167:14 169:21 170:10 171:2,11, 21 174:18 175:17 180:8 181:16 182:7 183:24 184:7 186:14,24 187:7 189:21 195:8,20 198:9,24 199:4 200:12 206:13 218:2 220:15 229:16 231:5 232:19 233:4,17 234:7 235:15,24 236:9 237:7,18 240:5 241:13 247:16 250:5 252:13 255:8, 17 267:1 270:16 274:25 277:9,14 279:5 281:15 282:1 288:15 289:1 292:14 293:7,18 294:8 295:3 296:23 297:5,12 298:21 300:5 301:21 305:10,19 306:22 307:16 308:1,12, 23 309:10

formal 85:1 86:1

formally 85:8,9 307:2

forms 230:19

formulate 223:2

forthcoming 224:10

Forty-nine 43:6

forward 13:6,17 15:1,14,16,17 19:11,14 20:12,16 21:24 22:15 24:25 25:1 26:1,20 31:16 41:16 43:1 44:10,19 45:13 46:6,22,24 47:19 54:25 57:21 81:8 87:22,25 88:20 93:19 102:24 107:20 111:12 114:9 116:17 118:19 128:7,11,15 171:4 211:22

fourth 290:1

frame 33:8 237:2

framework 111:11 191:2 231:6

frankly 88:22 93:7 202:16

friendly 256:14

front 153:20 154:5,10,13 155:10,12,

frustrated 203:10 209:8

frustration 204:5

Fulbright 9:23

fulfilled 177:14

full 10:9 39:6 77:8 118:19 162:21 285:20

fully 40:13 112:2 302:23 303:1 305:15.18.24

function 84:11 88:11

functioned 88:12

furthers 272:21

future 97:2 161:17

FYI 271:12

G

gaps 182:20

gather 50:16

gathered 50:14,15 285:18 286:1

gave 21:1 99:8 255:5,11 279:4

general 54:8 114:2 146:24 197:5 204:11 205:14 207:7 232:9 238:18 286:11 291:2,18

General's 66:23 202:1 223:23 296:15

generalities 290:23 291:14

generally 38:23 68:2,18,20 115:12 146:7,9,18 203:7 205:6,8 207:21 217:15 232:16

generate 121:20

generated 122:2

geographic 95:23

get all 95:11

Gig 115:8

gist 206:5

give 13:24 31:16 56:20 78:22 90:20 151:3 154:4 174:2 178:11 187:18,21 195:16 212:21,25 224:25 247:20 253:10 278:25

aivina 90:12 153:9 178:14 214:3.7 238:11 264:24 271:17 292:19

glad 182:8

gleaned 85:5,16

goal 36:13 38:12 100:6

good 29:7 33:10 47:9 60:14 68:16 70:22 71:3,21 100:20,22 109:15 116:8 134:14,22 170:3 228:24 256:11 263:16 273:5 282:17,23 283:14 285:8

good-faith 126:1

goods 109:18

Gosh 82:5 200:4

governing 81:19

government 9:18,21,24 66:15 71:8 237:22 279:3

Government-to-government 84:9

governments 83:16 85:2

grab 183:1

grammatical 222:24

Graves 26:2,18 27:5,20,24 28:5 31:10,15 38:1 49:1,4 54:6,18,22 55:24 58:14,17,19,22 59:3,6,7,10 60:4 64:5 66:9 73:9,21 74:14 75:4 92:22 93:4.13 95:2.7 104:4.5 118:24 128:5,12 130:25 131:7 137:5,19 145:4,16 146:4,8 147:6,13 148:1,9, 23 149:11,21 150:2,10,19 151:1,7, 16 152:1,11,15,17 153:20 154:5,10, 14 155:1,25 156:2,4 159:3,5 160:10 161:2 164:1,10,18 165:9,14 166:18 167:2 168:15,20,22 169:16 170:3,6 171:5,9 183:16,21 184:5,12 185:24 187:10,14 190:22 191:7 212:17 213:8 226:13 238:20 241:4 242:17 252:24 256:11 257:16 260:7,14 264:23 267:11,17,19,20,21 268:8,9, 11,13,14 270:8 272:9 273:17 274:1 276:22 291:16 292:1,6 296:6,11

Graves' 39:14,20 90:9 106:16 155:6 166:16 169:25 210:25 212:13 260:15

Graves's 118:20 190:2

great 27:1 35:18 55:5 66:10 72:17 79:1 94:18 247:20

green 251:6,7

Greg 17:15,18 18:25 19:3 20:10 21:16,22 24:11,24 25:13 30:13 32:2,

12 33:6 47:23 48:10 53:8,20,24 59:19 60:8.9.12.14.18.23 63:10.21 64:18 67:16,21 68:23 70:15 71:25 72:3 74:8 79:8 93:15 95:16 99:19 104:12 105:22 106:22 108:21.23 109:1,5,8,14,18 117:8,16 120:1 125:12 126:14 130:13 132:14 133:2 134:14,18,22 135:17,22 136:9 140:11 148:3 149:19 154:16 161:3 162:5 164:22 165:12,16 167:14 169:21 170:10 171:2,11,21 174:4,18 175:17 180:8 181:16 182:7,22 183:2,5,24 184:7 186:14,24 187:7 189:21 195:8,20 197:17,23,25 198:1,9 199:4 200:12 201:16,18 206:13,22 218:2 220:15 229:16,18 232:19 233:4,17 234:7 235:15,24 236:9 237:7,11,18 239:9 240:5 241:13 247:16 250:5 251:12 252:13 253:8,12 254:6 255:8,17 267:1 270:16 273:2,7,12 274:25 277:9,14 278:16,17 279:5,9 281:15 282:1,15, 23 283:2,17 288:15 289:1 292:14 293:7,18 294:8 295:3 296:23 297:5, 12 298:21 300:5,23 301:1,21 302:19 305:10,19 306:22 307:16,24 308:1, 12,23 309:10,15,17 310:8,11,12 311:16

Grist 69:4,11

group 89:15,16 90:21 143:20 154:18 156:9,12,13 301:24

growth 43:10

guess 23:16 43:17 109:8 114:19 154:11,12 171:12 172:21 183:9 191:10 212:9 221:6 263:13 295:24

guide 265:9

guidelines 32:21

guy 133:7

guys 45:20 103:16 117:1 134:12 159:8 253:14 279:22

Н

half 39:14 109:1

hammer 108:5

Hampton 62:9,10,15 70:14,17,19, 21 73:11,12,16 100:25 135:16 220:3 264:4 286:2 292:11 305:3

handle 214:4 267:12

handled 174:17

hands 133:11

happen 30:24 31:1 33:13 99:11 162:12 263:12 299:11 311:9

happened 32:22,23 52:2,8 71:16 85:12 90:25 100:15 112:8 114:25 124:24 136:11,13 140:13 149:18 150:5 161:14,16 178:2,3 205:21 214:10,13,16 221:4,7 239:5 263:10 266:10 271:1 295:5 296:20 297:4 298:25 303:10

happening 66:9 81:3 121:12 144:8 149:24 162:19 219:22 223:11 252:11 257:3

happy 78:18

Harbor 115:8

hard 24:18 31:22 45:15 60:1 91:8 242:5,7 279:11

Harrison 8:15

hash 127:20

hashing 127:19

hazy 54:13,14

HD 262:18

head 215:19 282:10

headed 51:3,5 54:8

heading 209:7

hear 10:17,21 15:11 19:2 61:12 87:11 122:3 145:16 166:8 167:8 180:1 195:22 197:25 284:19

heard 9:10 21:9 98:20 131:1 143:24 154:14 218:14 226:1 228:7 233:12 247:23 273:18 298:7 300:11

hearing 9:8 101:6 218:7 252:18,24

heart 115:6 116:5

helped 273:16

helpful 235:7 247:21 257:21

helping 251:22

helps 254:23

hesitation 257:8

hey 159:8

high 174:19

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 332Index: highest..input

highest 9:1

highlight 116:4

highlighting 114:17 115:20

highway 28:5,14,18 29:4,18 34:17 41:2 56:9 99:13 113:4,5 257:6,10, 16,20,22,24 258:7,12,14,15,19 259:8,12,18

Hill 69:14

Hispanic 40:5 56:7 78:8 138:21 139:11 272:3

historic 55:6 110:6

historically 66:18 115:6

history 179:23 180:2

hold 63:9 133:7 174:4 201:18

278:15

holding 70:3

holidays 176:18

home 126:9 168:8

honest 40:13

honestly 24:7 126:16

honesty 59:20 177:25

hop 278:25

hope 126:24

hoping 239:12 246:24

hotel 62:10,11,16 100:24 244:2,4,6, 7,22,23 248:16

hotels 62:19

hour 53:20 81:8 109:1,11 145:7 182:22 279:6 282:12 310:5

hours 14:3 20:2 31:21 32:22 45:6,20 50:17 82:10 91:9 147:2 148:20 156:17 202:20 203:10 228:5 281:19 291:4,9 296:5 297:20

House 61:12,13 202:4,6 204:3 209:3 221:9

How's 57:16 153:25 157:1 288:18

HUGHES 310:10,21 311:13

hurry 289:21 290:2

ı

idea 28:21 56:22 60:22 62:19,21

76:10 99:8.11 197:12

ideally 31:6 32:22 33:24

ideas 35:2 56:1,4 99:7 215:16

identification 69:18 133:22 183:11 184:18 185:13 189:12 190:8 192:16 193:7 194:15 196:16 197:15 201:1 208:20 209:18 210:20 211:9 212:20 213:22 218:17 220:6 222:17 223:7 224:21 225:19 227:9 230:15 231:19 234:3 235:3,10 238:2 239:21 244:16 245:11 246:12 247:9 248:20 249:21 250:22 254:13,15 256:8,20 260:22 261:5 262:15 263:4,21,25 264:8,14 265:12 266:1,13,17 267:6,24 269:9, 17 270:25 271:6 272:5,23 276:16 277:6,18,23 278:22 279:19 280:10 281:7 283:11

identify 106:13 302:15

identifying 64:23

illustrative 112:16 113:11,12,24 114:11.12

imagine 83:14 106:11 168:2 210:3 310:25

immediately 131:7 135:9 223:4 232:25 260:9

impact 29:22 91:11

impacted 29:20,23

impacts 29:11

implementing 146:1

implications 42:21

implicit 293:4

important 14:1 21:3 30:2 39:25

impression 193:16 279:1

in-box 179:6,12 310:16

inaccuracies 285:19

inarticulately 17:3 41:22 96:1

include 32:16 48:16 93:25 94:20 111:8,12,16 148:10,22 173:15 182:12 210:5 216:10

included 29:15 39:10 48:14 77:3 78:11 111:23,25 138:1 139:3,6,7,9 152:9 240:16 246:5

includes 26:16 96:21

including 16:20 90:12 216:25

inclusion 107:12.13

incomplete 111:20 191:18

incorporate 86:12

incorporated 47:10 76:13,19 77:6, 22,23 78:16 86:14 138:18 139:14 215:2

incorporating 42:17 48:17 55:13 94:5.14

incorrect 22:24 124:21 242:1

increase 238:22

incur 310:4

Independent 100:3

indicating 296:11

individual 77:7 141:19 143:20

179:1 181:10

individually 169:9 179:2

individuals 181:12 229:1

inform 208:14

informally 307:3

information 105:21.23 168:10 186:22 238:25 248:10

informed 232:6,8 286:8

informing 184:25

ingoing 89:2

ingredient 14:1

ingredients 121:19

initial 66:17

initially 84:5

initiated 136:21

Inn 62:10,15 100:25 286:2 292:11 305:3

input 13:25 14:6 15:19 21:4,9 27:10 29:8 41:17 42:17 49:6 50:14,16 59:11,12 64:22 76:13,14,15,19,22 77:21,23 78:25 79:13,20 83:21,24 84:23 85:1,4,5,14,22 86:1,8,13 90:5, 12,25 91:6,9,12 94:7,18 95:14 96:3 97:14 107:6,11,17 110:6 130:4 153:9 154:4 214:20 215:4,14,15 216:2,3 217:1,10,11 229:19,22 247:1 255:21



inputs 103:9

inquiry 118:4

insert 186:5

Insomuch 148:11 290:21

instance 42:3,12 64:20 89:15 90:4, 20 97:19 103:10 148:13 251:2

290:11

instances 55:18

instruct 17:19

instruction 33:23 238:8

instructions 32:21 238:11

integrate 79:2 81:23 84:23 255:20

integrated 83:4 85:6,7,24 131:6

189:2

integrating 251:2

intend 278:1,7

intended 17:10 20:7 123:12

intent 18:22 19:8 20:11,15 41:8

176:14 195:15 243:9

interact 176:3

interacted 178:18

interaction 178:10

interest 18:17 27:22,24 35:17 41:1 78:24 79:6,22 91:20 92:3 106:10

interested 84:16 256:4 293:11

interesting 197:7,10

interests 27:9 29:9 41:24 42:11

international 229:6

internet 131:18 281:21

interpretation 199:18 225:23

Interstate 28:21 29:14 99:12 258:6

265:20

intervening 130:20

interviews 233:14

invitation 271:3

involved 13:4,21,22 14:4,10 41:19 79:10 90:21 172:20 192:9 220:1 228:3,4 270:18 271:16 286:24 288:1,16 291:14 292:12,20 293:2

295:24 298:18 299:8

involving 286:21 287:3

iphone 179:19

Island 103:13,14,22 108:8

Islands 109:24 110:3

issue 35:16 138:2 139:4 169:20 174:25 233:18 270:13,17,19 271:21

issued 8:23 306:15 310:16

issues 40:5 41:1,3 67:8 82:13 106:8 118:11 127:19 138:7,8 199:3,13 281:21 284:24 309:21

item 16:24 129:13 136:18,22

items 131:22 173:15

iterative 82:10

J

Jamie 178:6,8,15 202:10 206:4,5,19 209:7,22 210:4,7 215:7,13 216:22, 25 217:5,11 235:12 237:8,10

Jamie's 237:15

January 8:1 9:20

Jim 173:2

Jinkins 130:5 202:8 204:7,16 218:22 219:2,10 220:14 222:12,15

Joan 8:11 10:21 17:20,22 18:25 19:2,5,6 20:18 21:18 22:2 24:14 25:7,19 30:16 32:8,15 33:15 48:15 53:13,20,22 54:1 59:23 60:8,10,13, 16,21,24 61:1 63:14 64:6 65:1 67:18 68:1 69:1,19 70:18 71:25 72:2,7,17 74:11 79:17 93:23 96:8 99:24 104:17 105:24 106:23 107:24 108:25 109:3,7,12,15,21 117:11,20 120:4 122:6 125:14 126:16,20 130:22 132:18 133:2,4,14,23 134:11,15,21,24 135:20,24 136:12 140:18 148:6 149:20 154:19 161:19 162:7 165:1,13,19 167:16 169:24 170:16 171:7,15,23 174:15,24 175:20 180:13 181:20 182:10.22.24 183:4,7,12 184:1,11,19 185:16 186:16 187:3,11 189:13,24 190:9 192:17 193:8 194:16 195:12,21 196:17 197:16,17,20 198:2,4,13 199:6 200:16,24 201:2,25 202:3 206:18 207:1 208:21 209:19 210:21 211:10 212:23 213:1,3,23 218:5,18 220:7,17,19 222:18 223:8 224:22

225:20 227:10 229:21 230:21 231:20 232:21 233:8,21 234:4,10 235:4,11,17 236:2,12 237:9,14,20 238:3 239:9,13,22 240:9 241:16 244:17,20 245:12 246:13 247:10,19 248:21 249:22 250:9,23 251:13 252:16 253:4,11,13,16 254:10,16 255:10,18 256:9 257:1 260:23 261:6 262:16 263:5,22 264:1,9,15 265:14 266:2,14,18 267:7 268:1 269:10,18 270:20 271:2,7 272:6,24 273:2,5,9, 13 275:6 276:17 277:7,10,19,24 278:15,19,23 279:8,11,20 280:11 281:12,17 282:5,9,19,25 283:5,19, 24 284:3 309:18 310:14 311:6

job 84:8 228:15

Joe 125:2 140:24 188:6 189:3,19 193:12,15,21 194:7,9 195:17 198:7, 15 202:10,13 207:19 238:20 240:4 241:8,22 245:6 246:6,7 248:3,10 249:25 250:3,7 251:2,10 252:1,3 256:23 268:16,25 269:1,3 270:23 277:8,12,13 278:2,8

Joe's 252:8

John 72:6

join 262:18 263:7

joined 84:2

joint 238:4

jointly 98:14 303:5,8

Juan 96:22 109:24 110:3 111:17

Juans 110:9

judgment 306:15

jurisdiction 199:19 200:9 201:6,7 206:7,16,24 207:3,5,9,13,16 223:22 225:25 232:7,8 234:20 286:9,11 296:16

Justice 11:1

Κ

keen 154:2

keeping 27:8,22 35:7 41:24 42:1,14, 17 45:23,24 46:1 79:22 110:7 195:10 196:24 248:16 267:10 274:7 275:21

key 112:6,16 113:11,24 114:11

kick 78:18

kicked 131:20

kids 57:16

kind 18:23 40:2 60:11 72:15 75:10 91:15 96:9 108:8 115:10,20 116:14 119:8 126:13 127:23 134:19 140:15 143:1 144:18 146:10,12 153:23 173:19 179:2,18 181:14 182:18 195:6 202:19 204:24 205:18 228:5 237:16 264:25 288:17,18 291:6,14, 25 292:18 303:15

kinds 74:5 217:25

King 10:11 35:17

knew 15:22 21:24 22:16 26:6,9,12 28:25 35:22 40:10 48:5,23 49:12,15, 18,25 54:21,24 59:7,9,11,13,14 66:8 81:18 87:25 104:23,25 119:17 126:3,5 130:8,24 131:10 166:3,7,16, 22,24,25 167:22 171:9,19 207:18 232:12 310:14

knowing 79:5 106:3 164:18,19 165:20

knowledge 86:18 90:1 129:22 180:25 181:5 193:20 252:22 289:17, 18 297:4 306:25 309:3,5,7,8

L

lack 201:10 207:16 228:8

lacked 285:1 lacking 200:9

Lake 216:18

Lakewood 35:11,16 37:15,19 238:21 239:1 240:12,17,24 241:20 242:14 243:4,10,11,15,18,21

lands 215:2

language 22:24 122:18 203:13

lapses 131:18 laptop 167:25

large 144:13 286:5 287:10 302:14

largely 104:22 larger 36:13 largest 40:19

late 17:4 93:20 170:19 229:2 268:23

282:12 310:5

Laurelhurst 216:21

Laurie 130:4 202:8 204:7,16 218:22 222:4,15 276:10

Law 179:17 181:19

lawsuits 237:16

lay 32:17

lead 158:7 177:9 188:5,23 190:20 286:18

Leader 11:13

leadership 202:6

leading 41:15 125:23 279:7

learn 88:12

learned 13:10 55:23 263:17

leave 86:24 174:11 223:24 238:15 311:15

leaving 87:15,17 100:18 305:3

led 76:14 180:15 208:1 229:13

leeway 253:10

left 86:25 140:6 199:24 200:2,5 296:3 301:6 304:5

leg 145:16 188:9 189:25 190:4 247:24 268:5 269:12 273:18

legal 199:2,8,11,13,15,16 296:12 309:21 310:15

legally 296:17

legislative 11:22 12:16,18,21,23 13:12,15 25:17,25 26:24 27:2,4 28:2,18,22 29:3 30:1 31:8,20 34:22 35:3 36:7,12,16,17 37:13 38:6 40:3, 24 41:4 43:5,22 46:19 54:6,18,23 55:11,18,21 57:3,12,17,22 58:17 59:4 68:12 75:23 78:7 86:5 90:19 98:5,7,9,10,13,16,18,24 99:2,12,18 102:21 113:7 118:22,25 120:19 122:10 125:24 127:14 130:25 136:15 137:11 139:11 145:5,19 146:8,20 147:6,13,25 148:15 149:1, 3,7,9,10,22 150:3,9,12,20 151:1,8 152:2,12,15,17,25 153:8,13 154:4, 15,22 157:8,11,12 158:4,7,21 161:9, 23 162:13 169:12 170:14,19 171:5,9 185:8,24 188:10 191:20,22 196:10 210:8 215:3,17 216:2,9,15 218:12 224:6 228:5 230:8,9,18 240:18,24 241:18 243:3,18 256:12 257:10

259:13 264:22,23 265:19,23 266:4 267:13 268:7 269:15 270:4 272:9 276:22 286:21 288:6 290:15,17,20 291:15 292:21 293:24 295:9,25 302:21 305:16 306:19 310:24

Legislator 202:13

Legislature 9:19 10:5,23 30:5 37:9 67:9,13,20 68:3,12,22 280:14,21

legitimacy 206:12,20,24

legitimate 206:21

length 79:1 145:7

Leschi 217:21

letter 85:10 124:14 131:23 135:14

letter_11.15.21. 133:20

letting 173:16

level 9:1 92:21,25 179:7

leverage 271:9 liability 201:4,20

light-hearted 286:13

lightly 193:15,23 194:3,24

lights 282:21

likelihood 70:23

likes 111:24

limited 93:9 **lined** 153:14

lines 11:22 33:11 35:8,15,20,22,24 36:25 42:21 56:11 57:9 94:11,17 110:4 137:25 151:10,12 152:19 153:5,7,25 156:23 157:23 158:4 215:1,6,9 216:11 217:4 218:4 290:24

link 222:19

Lisa 141:11 161:12 175:4,14,15 176:1,5,7,14 211:11,12,14,16,21 212:1,7 301:23

list 79:2 114:18

listed 16:24 40:25

lit 126:10

literally 212:25

live 228:16

lives 84:12

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 335Index: located..marked

located 62:2

location 63:19 78:1

locations 33:3

lockstep 192:23 193:2,3

logged 281:3,18

logistical 188:13,14,16

logistically 161:6

logistics 187:2 248:13

long 65:9,11 82:14 96:1,9 134:17,18 137:17 146:25 249:3 279:3 283:2

longer 36:20,22 169:2 228:21 286:9,

looked 30:4,8 97:5 149:22 150:3 168:11,12 170:6 175:10,13 189:7 203:21

loss 28:7 201:6 223:22

lost 123:22 124:7 199:19 201:7 207:5 232:7,8 275:1,3 284:16 289:24 296:16

lot 14:6 15:19 29:7,10 35:17 42:21 48:17 49:24 50:14 58:6 61:6 76:1, 14,15 77:14,16 94:18 98:12 107:1,6, 11 125:2 138:7 140:13 147:1 155:22 176:4 195:1 201:8 202:16 207:10 216:3 219:21 223:21 224:2,7 258:1, 17 268:24 278:17

loud 195:23,25

lower 272:17 284:1

lowest 238:22

luck 256:11

Lummi 28:3 34:16 215:2

lunch 108:20,24 109:10,17 133:3 134:12

lying 144:16

M

made 20:7 22:19 32:10 33:19 34:3 40:1 47:20 50:16 55:2,4 103:4 110:22 114:14 116:23 119:11 125:21 148:7 159:11,13 161:5 162:1 166:10,13 167:18 207:25 208:3 210:7 216:23 217:4,5 224:19 229:9 233:19 275:16 287:6 291:25 300:14, 17 302:13

main 15:23 42:2 51:8 52:16,24 53:2, 15 54:3 56:18 115:21 116:3 137:9

majority 11:13 40:4 56:7 78:8 138:21 139:11

majority/minority 92:5 106:8 192:3 272:3

make 20:19 21:19 22:25 23:10 26:9 28:7 30:1 33:3 39:16 45:17 49:16 77:1 97:9 129:11 147:20 148:4 160:7,15 167:11 169:1,12 173:13,19 174:20 177:6 179:7 195:6 224:15 228:20 239:13 256:5 273:16 279:15 310:24

makes 70:6 111:17

making 22:18 29:24 32:16 59:25 84:17 104:25 111:3 116:8 118:4 145:18 155:23 158:3 187:16 215:7 217:25 257:20 272:8 290:24 293:12 299:3.7

managing 252:14

manner 285:22 303:1

manual 33:23

map 12:12,16,18,21 13:15 14:17,23 15:2,3 21:15,21 30:4 32:5,11 33:2 34:22 35:3,23 37:9,12,13 40:15,24 44:20 45:4,9,12,14,16 48:20 52:18 55:21 56:13,14,20 57:3,12 75:3 76:23,25 77:3,7,18,25 78:9,13 81:19 82:12,18,25 83:7,9,11 85:24 86:13, 21 87:25 88:18 90:15 91:6 96:2 97:5,8,14,15 98:18,24 99:12 103:9 104:15,16 111:21 117:7 120:6,10 123:10 145:5,9 146:5 147:6 148:25 149:1,3,7,9,11,22 150:3,9,12,20 151:2,8 152:2,9,12 158:4 159:25 160:17 161:7,8,9,15,23 162:12,13 165:5 167:22 168:11 169:12 170:14 171:19 196:9 199:3,14 219:21 224:6 227:17 231:10 241:18,19 243:3,11 246:21 252:4 257:19,25 258:18 261:23 264:22,23 265:8,16,19,22,23 266:4,20 267:13 268:3,5 269:2,3,12, 13 276:22 285:12 286:21 287:19 290:11,15,17,20 295:23 299:24 300:15 305:16

map-drawing 31:20 33:13

map-drawing-cartographic 31:12

mapping 55:11 59:4 96:18 120:20 121:12,13 145:17,18 146:8,20 147:10 148:20 152:18,25 153:9 154:3 156:16,18,20,25 157:3 158:7, 25 159:4,20 161:24 178:16 216:10 247:2 251:3 262:3 265:7,15,24 267:15 270:4

maps 11:21 12:1,7,8,9,23 14:11,12 18:7 20:13,14 24:8,19,21 25:3,17,25 27:2,4 30:8,20,23 31:5,8,11,17 32:19 33:21 36:18 41:15,18 44:24 45:1 46:20 47:12,14 50:21 51:1 54:19 55:19 57:13,21,22 58:3 59:18 61:24 64:22 74:20 76:19,20 77:12, 14 78:6 79:2,11 80:21 81:16,22 82:1,4,6,15,20,23 89:14,18 91:9 93:2,8 95:22,23 96:25 97:1,20 98:5, 7,12,13,14,16 99:2,23 100:4 101:8, 11 102:8,9 103:5 105:20 112:21 113:6,7 116:9,24 119:23 120:12,13, 19 121:3,9,21 122:14 123:17 125:15,24 126:1,5,7,22 134:8 136:16,19 140:7,25 142:7,17 143:3, 4,6,13,15,16 144:3,25 145:16 146:13 152:15 153:13 154:5,15,22 157:8,11,12,18 158:21 159:6,9,12, 16 160:23 162:1,16,21,24 163:3,11, 18,22 164:21,24 165:2,3,4,10,15,22, 25 166:14,23 167:3,7,12 169:11 171:5,9 185:24 188:9,10,12 194:10 196:10 204:12 205:15,16 206:12,20, 25 207:9,12,14,15 208:15,16 210:5 214:14 215:22 223:14,16 224:3,5, 10,16 230:4,8,13,17,24 231:5 232:12 233:3,25 234:12,14 235:22 236:7,10,14,18,25 240:12,14,18,24 246:18,19 247:4,24 250:4 251:23 252:6 256:12 257:10 258:2,3,5,17 259:13 261:12,19 264:21 265:18 268:12 269:15 272:9 273:18 275:17 280:17,20 285:1,4 288:11 289:14,21 290:8,10 291:5,7,11,15,24 292:4,19, 21,23 293:1,16,23,24,25 294:2,14 295:9,14,17,22,25 299:3,7,10 300:13,20 301:11,14 302:22 303:16, 25 304:10,14 305:7,14,18 306:2,19 307:2,11,22 308:6,10,14 309:1

mark 250:20 274:23 276:6

marked 69:15,17 133:15,18,21 183:8,10 184:16,17 185:9,12 189:11,14 190:7,10 192:15,18 193:6,9 194:14,17 196:15,18 197:13,14 198:5 200:25 208:19,22 209:17 210:19 211:8 212:19 213:6,



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 336Index: Marriott..minutes

21,24 218:16,19 220:5,8 222:16 223:6 224:20 225:18 227:8 230:11, 14 231:18 234:2 235:2,9 238:1 239:20 244:15 245:10 246:11 247:8 248:19 249:20,23 250:21 254:12,14, 17 256:7,19 260:21 261:4 262:14,17 263:3,20,24 264:7,13 265:11,25 266:12,16 267:5,23 269:8,16 270:24 271:5 272:4,22 273:3 276:15 277:5, 17,22 278:21 279:18 280:9 281:6 283:10

Marriott 62:12,17 70:11,14 264:3

Mary 11:1

materials 173:14

Matt 220:1 285:11

matter 154:12 247:24 273:19 302:4,

matters 292:2 295:1 303:8

mayor 10:15

Mclean 141:11 161:12 175:4,15 211:14,16,21 212:1,7 213:7,19 301:23

Mcneil 302:15

meaning 90:3 112:17 119:12 120:9 130:24 141:24 201:11 219:3 224:1 259:7 275:21 278:5

means 75:5,8 92:17 205:25 223:24 231:25 232:22 241:17 245:18

meant 56:9 61:8,15,19 69:6 155:1 173:25 174:1 194:24 201:9 242:24 296:17

measure 125:8

media 69:3 166:14 212:2 233:15

meet 61:13 62:23 63:1,4 64:11 66:1 135:25 141:6 151:17 192:12 243:25 244:5,7 279:22

meeting 13:14 15:24 16:12 17:3,7 18:6,10 19:11,19 21:1,20 41:22 47:2,14 50:6 51:2 53:6,7,10,12,16 54:11 61:2,9 62:7 63:18,20 64:8,9 65:3,12,14 66:12 70:3,4 74:17 75:20 76:10 81:11 84:4 85:16,19,23 86:24 87:1,8,15,18 88:5,15 93:10 97:25 98:18 99:16 100:19 102:1 104:7 105:7 117:4 118:5 119:19 123:25 131:10,16,19 135:1,2,8,11,15,19,21 137:4,10,17,19 138:23 139:19,20,23 141:1,17,23 142:5,12 146:22 147:8

148:2 149:23 150:10,21 151:9,11 153:2 155:9 156:11 157:16 159:19 161:21 181:23 183:22 186:2,19 202:21,24 203:8,12,17 204:8,13,15 205:3,5,7 206:4 207:23 208:1,4,6, 10,12,14,17 209:2,13 221:8 259:23 260:5 262:4,18,19,20 263:6 279:2,8 280:23,24 281:5,10,13,18,25 282:7 286:1 307:15,22,23 308:19,22 309:1,9,12

meetings 14:2 63:9,12,15 64:7,20 65:19 70:24 71:1 74:22 76:3 83:22, 25 84:6 85:6 87:9,12 89:1 91:2 116:23 217:2 229:5

MELL 8:11 17:22 19:2,5,6 20:18 21:18 22:2 24:14 25:7,19 30:16 32:8,15 33:15 48:15 53:13,22 54:1 59:23 60:8,10,13,16,21,24 61:1 63:14 64:6 65:1 67:18 68:1 69:1,19 70:18 72:2,7 74:11 79:17 93:23 96:8 99:24 104:17 105:24 106:23 108:25 109:3,7,12,15,21 117:11,20 120:4 122:6 125:14 126:16,20 130:22 132:18 133:4.14.23 134:11.15.21.24 135:20,24 136:12 140:18 148:6 149:20 154:19 161:19 162:7 165:1, 13.19 167:16 169:24 170:16 171:7. 15,23 174:15,24 175:20 180:13 181:20 182:10,24 183:4,7,12 184:1, 11,19 185:16 186:16 187:3,11 189:13,24 190:9 192:17 193:8 194:16 195:12,21 196:17 197:16,20 198:4,13 199:6 200:16,24 201:2 202:3 206:18 207:1 208:21 209:19 210:21 211:10 212:23 213:1,3,23 218:5,18 220:7,19 222:18 223:8 224:22 225:20 227:10 229:21 230:21 231:20 232:21 233:8,21 234:4,10 235:4,11,17 236:2,12 237:9,14,20 238:3 239:13,22 240:9 241:16 244:17 245:12 246:13 247:10,19 248:21 249:22 250:9,23 251:13 252:16 253:4,11,13,16 254:10,16 255:10,18 256:9 257:1 260:23 261:6 262:16 263:5,22 264:1,9,15 265:14 266:2,14,18 267:7 268:1 269:10,18 270:20 271:2,7 272:6,24 273:5,9,13 275:6 276:17 277:7,10,19,24 278:15,19,23 279:8,11,20 280:11 281:12,17 282:5,9,19,25 283:5,19,24 284:3 309:18 310:14 311:6

member 22:6

memo 129:5 253:18,20,23 254:7,10, 18,24,25 255:12 260:25 264:11,21 269:1 295:17 303:19

memories 205:19

memory 19:20 20:25 39:23 68:24 71:12 94:4 112:14 183:25 184:2 225:1 264:17 297:2,20 298:22 301:5 302:5

mention 116:24

mentioned 35:14 57:25 61:9 80:7 81:15 110:17 184:10 226:15

Mercer 103:13,14,22 108:8

merits 90:17

mess 86:16

message 184:3

messages 157:7 178:23 180:22,23 181:1

met 63:22,24,25 64:2,4,21 69:10 89:10 172:8 198:7 216:24 244:8 249:25 280:7

meta 168:10 182:2,13 198:23

metric 38:9 151:18 241:22 242:10, 11 243:14,16 272:15

metrics 37:21 38:4,5,8 39:21 40:23 41:5 91:11,13,23 94:21 218:9 265:1,

Meyer 253:2

mic 9:14 282:6

midnight 12:22 17:8 19:19 24:8 32:19,23 33:25 45:6,21 116:2 120:13 121:11 124:17 125:1,9 130:6 135:11,14 136:20 140:16 195:7 199:20,21 206:7,17 232:7,8 235:14, 20 278:3,8 282:4 285:3 292:11

mind 40:1 72:6 102:7 105:11,12 195:14 229:23 230:2 239:17 294:4 297:14

mindset 145:24 146:1,12

mine 166:24 167:1 172:24 211:1,4,6 229:20

minority 91:14 138:12 272:18,20

minute 105:10 281:1 282:19

minutes 19:23 26:5 40:2 88:15 107:24 108:22 125:23 212:21,25

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 337Index: Mischaracterizes..O'NEIL

235:14,19 236:6 237:13 239:11 260:18 266:8 285:2

Mischaracterizes 308:2

missed 120:12 290:8 299:15,20 306:14

missing 279:14

mistaken 288:25 296:22

mistakes 174:22

Mister 282:25 283:3

misunderstood 21:11

moment 79:3 80:19 92:2 100:14 101:14 102:8 125:19 130:14 131:12, 14 173:9 185:15 211:13 234:17 237:25 260:3,17 277:3 286:18 291:13

moments 16:11 21:1 136:5 198:17

Monday 166:1

month 97:1

months 13:24 15:20 21:4 23:14,16 28:9 76:13 131:3 265:18

morning 135:6 144:10,12,16 161:7 167:19 170:15,20 201:10 202:5 212:16 279:25 291:9 293:12 295:19 305:14

motion 22:4,18,19,21,22,25 23:2,4, 6 47:3,5 103:12 122:10 123:21,25 124:11,12 129:14 130:11,24

motioning 25:4

motions 132:1

motivations 196:3

motives 195:1,3

mountain 113:3 258:5

mountains 28:6,18 29:4,10,14 257:10 259:12 265:20

move 15:7,9 20:15 28:22 119:5 125:8 158:25 214:24 216:13 218:4 241:21 244:19 249:16 283:8

moved 9:14 21:23 43:8 114:9 130:12,25 171:1 214:21 216:11,14

moves 195:6

moving 16:23 119:5 126:4 130:21 155:23 234:19 310:20

Muchmore 179:14

Mukilteo 18:20 42:4,15 110:8

111:16

multiple 31:25 45:1 114:14 142:23

172:20

Murray 10:14

muted 18:25

mutual 236:20,23 271:14

Ν

named 220:1,2 263:7

nap 297:24

narrative 106:19

narrow 110:23

Nation 78:7 138:1

Nations 21:9 28:3 48:22 77:11,17

78:2,13 85:13 86:9

nature 43:10

near-final 285:12

necessarily 92:13 106:5 268:9

needed 20:13 33:13 47:10 102:10 112:21 114:8 124:9 127:20 174:22 228:21 231:4 241:21

needing 157:2

negotiate 55:4 112:3 188:18 194:9 257:21 272:8 274:23 276:21

negotiated 64:23 66:19 116:20 228:24 289:15

negotiating 13:4 40:17 54:18 58:5, 8,10 59:8 74:13,14 87:7,18 88:17 89:11 94:8 101:2 107:16 117:1 191:2 195:11 230:6 240:3 247:14 252:6 256:11 268:13,14 270:3 279:2 292:19,20

negotiation 76:24 84:24 188:10 270:8.12

negotiations 20:23 45:19 52:17 54:4,5 55:12,24 59:5 74:5 81:12 87:24 88:6 89:18 90:7,11,24 91:4,22 93:12 101:25 113:15 178:12 185:8 187:6,14,16,25 189:6 196:1,2,5,12 228:3,5,14 242:19 246:1,4,9 257:4 264:11 268:11

neighborhoods 216:20 217:21

nexus 144:20

night 16:8 17:4 58:23 83:5 102:19 130:17 163:7 166:2 229:24 230:6 231:6 248:16 276:24

night's 296:13

Nixon 178:6,8,15

non-partisan 178:11 290:12 295:18

non-specific 30:18 31:24 44:21

Nooksack 28:3 34:16 215:2

normalizing 30:1

Normandy 287:3

north 96:17 109:23 110:3 216:14 268:3

North/central 96:13

north/south 18:13 95:24 96:6 97:7

114:1

northern 36:3

Northwest 29:22 41:23 89:16

noted 310:8

noteworthy 219:2

notice 309:8

noticed 273:3 307:14 308:18 309:4

notification 126:8 309:5

November 61:9 116:3 120:14 186:12 191:16 192:24 199:1,25 214:5 223:13 234:23,25 246:7 250:4 257:3 259:12

now-senator 10:13

number 8:17 17:5 23:6 26:23 27:7,9 29:19,23 34:24 35:1 41:15 44:1 52:20 81:16 99:2 105:19 106:2 133:19 145:12 209:15 212:23 215:3, 4 241:21 269:21

numbered 249:15 279:12 **numbers** 38:14 279:14

0

O'NEIL 129:2 132:11 140:22 153:12 155:3 200:5 220:1 231:15 238:6,8 240:1 244:2 250:18 251:9 252:17 268:17 283:16 284:5 288:7,22 293:2



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 338Index: O'neil's..passed

295:16 296:19 298:6,15,16 300:9 302:2 303:4 304:11 305:6

O'Neil's 238:14 283:6 303:19

oath 8:6 288:22 296:21 297:3 300:10 302:3 303:7

object 17:15,18 20:10 21:16,22 24:11,24 25:13 30:13 32:2,12 33:6 47:23 48:10 53:8 59:19 60:18,23 63:10,21 64:18 67:16,21 68:23 70:15 74:8 79:8 87:3,4 93:15 95:16 99:19 104:12 105:22 106:22 117:8, 16 120:1 125:12 130:13 132:14 135:17,22 136:9 140:11 148:3 149:19 161:3 162:5 164:22 165:16 167:14 169:21 170:10 171:2,11,21 174:4,5,18 175:17 180:8 181:16 182:7 183:24 184:7 186:14,24 187:7 189:21 195:8,20 198:9 199:4 200:12 201:16,19 206:13 218:2 220:15 229:16 232:19 233:4,17 234:7 235:15,24 236:9 237:7,18 240:5 241:13 247:16 250:5 252:13 254:6 255:8,17 267:1 270:16 274:25 277:9,14 279:5 281:15 282:1 288:15 289:1 292:14 293:7,18 295:3 296:23 297:5,12 298:21 300:5 301:21 302:19 305:10,19 306:22 307:16,24 308:1,12,23 309:10

objected 87:9,12,14

objection 106:21 237:11 251:12 294:8

objections 48:8,12 88:2 259:21 289:17

observed 298:17

obtain 83:21,24 182:2,13

occasion 84:3 262:25 281:22

occasions 45:1 52:21

occupied 10:14

occur 115:12

occurred 66:24 143:6 174:14 190:24 198:20

occurring 33:14 146:20 191:15

October 77:13

off-the-record 58:6

offer 218:8 250:1

offering 250:6

office 63:25 66:23 69:5,11,12,13 201:15 202:2

officer 175:1

official 63:12 181:2

offline 74:6

Olympia 63:9,13,16

Olympic 115:6

omitted 279:14

once-a-decade 11:21

one's 244:18

one-on-one 64:13 88:18 137:4 164:12

ongoing 245:25 248:22

online 309:20

open 27:17 66:14 71:8 72:1 207:17 237:22 254:22 269:21 272:25 278:10 279:3 298:1 308:18

operating 197:21

operationally 143:16 176:4

opinion 29:13 49:21 143:19 161:13 211:24 274:15 275:16 301:15,18,19

OPMA 66:21 67:4,5,7,8,12,19 68:3, 15,19,22 286:12

opportunity 71:23 231:9

opposed 39:23 51:5 68:14 229:10

opposite 177:16 218:24

option 8:24

options 99:2

order 12:11,14 47:10 125:8 280:25

organization 69:3

orientation 18:13 37:6 49:14 51:21 95:23,24 96:4 97:6,9,22

Osta 155:3,10,12,13,24 156:7,14,19, 22 238:5,9 239:25 244:1 247:11,13 248:5,8,11 253:1 309:25

Osta's 239:25

outcome 93:25 228:23 243:6

outlined 46:25 129:5 303:19

outlines 51:9

Ρ

p.m. 57:15 58:23 82:12 214:6 246:7 281:11 282:4 283:15 311:18

pace 134:19

Pacifica 178:25 179:17 181:18

paid 9:24

painful 133:10

painfully 182:18 209:15 253:14

pairs 37:24

paper 278:16,18

paragraph 109:23 114:14 284:2,11 285:17,18,21 286:22 287:1,18 289:19 290:4 296:10,20 298:5,10,16 300:9 304:7

paragraphs 289:19

parameters 13:11,14,17,19 15:23 17:4,13 18:3 19:9,16 20:4,9,12 117:19,22

Pardon 10:17

parens 278:7

Park 287:3

part 15:11 36:18 41:25 52:19 66:22 67:6 91:7 96:13 111:17 113:4 137:10 144:11,15,20 152:7 178:20 186:15 191:17,18 216:16 262:19 287:21 289:8 304:9

partial 38:17,19 223:4

partially 269:23

participate 11:21 84:20

participated 84:13,18,20 299:14

partisan 10:18 41:5 89:10 91:19 192:3 251:16,17,19

partisanship 38:3 41:2,9 214:18 242:9,10

partly 52:19

parts 115:7 195:11 216:10,14,17

party 162:19 178:25 179:1,9 298:11 303:18,21

pass 120:6

passed 143:17 170:22

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 339Index: past..posted

past 14:8 55:7 64:13 71:25 79:12 88:17 89:23 103:6 107:2

paths 134:7

Paul 140:23 185:24 190:19,25 191:3,18 193:15,21 194:3,9 195:17 213:17 226:13 238:10,20 241:4,22 244:25 256:11 258:14,17 264:23 270:3,22 274:8 277:1 288:7 293:2

Paul's 210:23 211:6 213:15,17 257:5,19,25

pause 42:7

paying 83:17

PDC 172:22

Pedersen 10:14 202:10 206:4,19 207:8 209:22 210:4 215:7 216:22 217:5,12 235:12 237:8,10

Pedersen's 206:6

Peninsula 115:7

people 16:3 30:2 31:21 36:12 49:1, 3,5 71:2 140:15 153:10 176:4 181:9 202:9 216:4,24 219:25 228:11 238:14 245:16,18 251:22

people's 195:1

percent 38:13 227:1 232:22,23 272:12,18 274:10

percentage 138:11 148:16

perfect 217:9 297:20

perform 302:19

performance 38:3,13 41:9 90:18 192:4 265:8

period 16:20 19:25 20:21 31:14 58:4,20 74:3 121:12 127:24 129:3,6 130:20 145:11 153:12 154:9 161:6 180:4 190:22 292:11 293:6 297:7, 18,23,24 300:4 303:9

periodic 242:24 282:3

periods 179:24

person 62:23 63:23 64:1,3,5,21 71:11 84:20 156:17 168:19 172:9 174:25 179:13 181:14 190:22 242:25 247:14 248:5 251:16 263:7

personal 8:18 179:5,12

personally 93:17 152:14 158:5

perspective 41:10 143:21,23 144:2 154:12 160:22 166:12 214:18 233:19 258:12 272:10 275:4 305:14

perspectives 166:5 217:2

pertained 177:17

pertains 181:4

phase 104:6

phone 8:17,21,22,23,25 164:12,14 179:2,11,18 180:4,16,17 181:7,8,13 296:7

physical 158:15

physically 74:1,2 153:23 157:19 179:11

piece 39:25 205:18 249:10

pieces 38:17,18,19 39:12 51:20 76:18

piecing 164:7

PINERO 8:5

place 34:8 71:3 243:22,24 244:4 291:3

placeholder 119:24 120:5,7,16,17,

places 35:17 115:8

plan 11:24,25 12:3,6,11,15 13:5 14:5 17:4 21:23 22:23 23:8 30:9,11 32:20 37:14 40:16 47:25 70:7,8 84:6,24 100:5,9 104:9,11,15 105:14,18 106:2 118:22 120:11,18 129:14,15 130:9,11,25 164:25 170:19 187:6 218:15 224:17 231:5 236:11,19 243:9 257:5 259:11 279:22 292:21

planned 219:10

plans 25:16 58:18 126:2 136:15,16 163:7

playing 193:15,23 194:3,24

pleasantries 58:21

plural 289:20 300:12

pocket 278:5,6

Podlodowski 221:18

point 16:22 19:8 20:21 28:16 50:13 51:23 58:13 62:25 67:19 68:16 71:10 72:22 75:20 76:10,18 80:22 86:23 95:2,7 97:15,24,25 98:17 99:25 101:17,25 103:1 107:18,22

108:3 110:10 112:4.8 113:11.12.24 114:13.15 115:23 116:3.12 117:3 118:9,12,25 119:20 141:24 147:5 149:12 152:24 155:3,5 159:2 160:15 161:17 162:11 165:3 170:8.11 185:22 186:18 187:25 188:8 193:5 194:25 197:12 199:15 201:10 204:14 205:15,17 206:15 213:12 224:13 225:25 226:13 228:20 229:22 230:1 234:21 236:1 242:15, 20 247:24 253:17 257:14 258:2,13 260:2,12,13,16 261:10 268:25 270:7,13 273:19 279:1 284:18,22 286:12 287:4 288:24 289:20 291:12 294:24 295:2,4 298:3 299:18 303:25 304:14,20,25 305:1,25 306:5,16 307:3,12 308:17

points 52:24 53:2,15 54:3 56:15,19 112:16 114:11,12,14,17 228:2 259:24

policy 9:7,11,12

political 90:17 91:11,13,23 94:20 148:16 151:17 218:8 241:11,19 243:14.16

population 18:19 28:8 29:25 30:1 40:5 42:2 43:10 56:7 78:8 110:7 111:18 115:7 138:11 139:4,12 272:15,20

portion 13:14 16:12 81:10,12 104:8 105:6 121:22 144:19 249:24 259:15, 22 260:5 281:10,24 302:14

portions 39:9 131:10 144:18 281:16 284:8,9 293:3

portray 298:8 299:10

posited 26:17

position 10:12,13 59:17 160:25 166:15,22 167:3 224:15 238:16 251:1 258:9 259:14 261:23 273:25 274:2 276:20 277:2 310:10,24 311:7

positioned 147:7

possibility 62:16 67:11

Possibly 219:15

post 100:4 300:13 304:9,14

post-public 139:19

posted 101:8 160:24 161:10,16,18 162:14 289:22 300:15 301:12,14 308:21,24 309:4



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 340Index: posting..propose

posting 301:11

potential 210:14

potentially 147:9 149:12 187:17 188:21 268:18 296:12

potentials 149:17

potty 60:11 **PR** 261:1

precedent 55:6 precedents 88:13

preceding 31:21 131:2 203:10

precinct 218:4

precincts 217:18,19 218:1
precipitated 139:24 282:11

precise 203:14

precisely 30:6 52:2 94:4 297:11 303:12

predicting 237:17 prediction 237:15

predominantly 49:15

preference 148:16 151:18 218:9

241:12,20 272:19

preferred 211:6 premise 197:21

preparation 23:17

prepare 219:9 266:3

prepared 225:3

prerequisite 246:21

presence 154:21,22 179:10

present 65:15,23 153:10 155:19,21, 22,24,25 156:6 159:2 287:8 298:18

304:20 307:8

presentation 118:21

presentations 119:11

presented 266:25

preserving 110:6

preset 123:4

press 162:17 163:4,5,9,14,17,19,21 165:21,24 166:3,5,14,23 167:13 168:14,24 169:1,16,20 184:9,13 213:9 224:25 233:11 244:13,21

298:13 304:10,16 305:2 307:6,9,14, 21 308:6,10,15,20,24 309:1,4,6

pressed 60:1

pretty 33:9,12 40:7 42:6 47:9 101:14 250:1 273:5,6 282:23 301:2

previous 218:8 296:13

previously 10:14 36:18 37:3 78:25 110:18 130:16 216:15 290:16 291:25

primarily 43:21 58:3 64:21 73:14 91:15 97:10 157:17 202:21 289:4 291:5 303:15

primary 143:5 288:19

Princeton 9:4,6

prior 10:15 14:18,24 15:20 17:25 22:4 25:23 45:6 47:11,22 50:25 51:16 54:22 57:5 76:1,2,13 81:8 88:14,15 89:3,6,8,20,21,22,25 96:24 105:6 114:2,25 116:23 117:17 123:5 130:17 131:13 133:5 136:17,20,22 137:2 139:19 140:16 146:2 162:17 163:3,9,19 166:23 167:13,15,17 171:24 191:8,10 194:1,6 204:23 212:11 229:7 230:1 236:24 259:15, 22 260:2,4,9 262:11 265:18 275:22 284:18,22 285:3 308:2

priorities 27:11 48:13,16,21 50:1 55:13 83:4 85:11 94:6 105:19 106:2, 3,6,12,13,15,16

priority 49:16,18 94:15,16

private 168:5

privately 137:21 279:2,4

privilege 175:12 177:23

privileged 173:15,21 174:6

privy 299:5

problem 66:12 230:22 231:7

problems 66:14

procedural 123:13 124:22

procedure 95:1

proceed 285:9 301:6

proceeded 181:11 305:25

process 11:21 12:10,17 14:1,7,8,12 15:18 20:16,20 24:9 26:15 27:12 29:8 33:24 40:17 49:6 52:7 59:4

65:6,17,18 66:5 79:10,20 83:15 84:9 85:12 88:11 89:8 90:22 94:19 95:10 102:3 106:7 116:8 120:11 126:4 138:14 143:1 146:20 150:13 153:1 159:1,4 161:10 172:1 180:10,12 193:17 198:17 199:14 204:23 205:9, 12,23 215:13 216:4,25 228:25 231:24 262:1 284:23 288:17 291:19 293:4

processed 176:17

produced 293:16

producing 168:10 310:3

product 21:3 76:24 296:17

production 310:1,17 productive 209:11

professionally 181:25

progress 45:25 46:2 59:25 104:25 187:16 188:21 191:5 290:25 294:3 305:8,15,23 306:1,10

progressing 158:8

promise 176:19

proper 307:23

properly 175:15

proposal 13:17 14:19 15:5.9.14.16 16:6 17:12 18:2,7 19:14 20:16 24:23 25:10,12,23 26:1,17,22,25 27:3,4,23 28:4,12,17 29:1,15,18 30:12,17,22, 23 31:1,3,7,16,23 32:1,10,18 33:1, 19 34:4,15 38:20 39:3 41:16,20 42:11 44:2,3,9,14,19 45:12,14 46:6, 21,24 52:13 54:23,24 57:12,20 59:4 72:12 78:2,22 81:7 87:22 92:9 93:19 94:1 98:10 99:18 101:19 102:21 103:3 104:19 107:20 110:14,23 111:7,22,23 112:3,11,18 113:9 114:7,9,21 115:19 116:12,17 117:6 118:4,10,19,25 121:23 122:9,16,20, 23,25 123:1,2,9,15 128:6,14,18 129:5,21 131:11 132:20 138:16,24 139:15 169:5 170:22 171:4 198:15 227:4,12,14,15 238:21 254:5 255:6, 21 259:18,21 260:4,16 264:25 271:24 274:12,16 285:1,12 306:3

proposals 24:18,19 25:1,16 33:5 44:21 57:17 75:24 76:8,11 78:13 88:19 90:15 91:11 102:14 119:14 125:11

propose 227:13



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 341Index: proposed..recall

proposed 13:12 36:19 77:3,7,18 139:13 169:1 170:18 227:17 252:4 253:19 262:3 264:22,24 267:13

proposing 79:5 199:3 251:10 273:16

protocol 19:9 219:10

provide 25:2 182:16 215:14 250:7

provided 14:7 32:20 49:5 79:20 85:2,3,4 91:1 123:5 124:22 126:2 180:12 216:3 217:10.12 249:6

providing 115:23 271:15 282:4

pubic 22:10

public 9:6,7,10,11,12 13:25 14:2,3, 4,12 15:19,24 17:3,7,11,14,25 18:4, 6,10,14 19:11 20:8,22 21:1,4,20 22:4,12,13 23:5 27:10,11,18 28:1 29:16 40:9 41:17,22 44:25 47:2,14 50:6,15 51:2,16 53:6,7,10,12,16 54:12 56:21 57:11 58:12,18 64:22 68:11.14.19 69:25 72:12.22 74:17 75:22,23 76:3,10,14,15,19,20,21,22 77:21 78:10,20,22,25 79:4,13 80:17 81:11,20 82:23 84:5,7 85:4,10 86:2, 24 87:1,8,15,18 89:1 90:4,12 91:1,2, 6,9 93:10 94:7 95:8,14 96:3 97:16, 18 99:15,16 100:18 101:10 102:1,5 103:2 105:17 106:2,25 107:11,17 110:6,22,24 114:17 116:7,20,23,25 117:4,14 118:21 119:11,18 123:25 131:3,17 135:8,11,19,21 139:20,23 141:1,3,17 142:4,12 146:21 147:8 148:1 149:2,23 150:10,21 151:9,11 153:1 155:9 156:11 157:16 159:19 161:21 162:1 169:13 170:24 172:17, 19 173:15,20 175:1 177:6,13 178:23 179:25 181:11 182:9 186:19 210:14, 24 214:20,22 215:4 217:2 233:24 262:19 275:16 279:2,13 280:20,24 281:5,10,13,24 282:7 300:21 307:15,23 308:18,22 309:8,12

publication 143:13,14,16

publicized 58:11

publicly 20:7 21:14 40:8 64:8 77:13 79:12 86:17 103:5 112:2 137:21 138:4,18 157:6 166:13 186:18 210:12 230:17 232:11 233:13 264:24 280:23 300:13 308:21,24

publish 233:9,11

published 56:13 82:16,19 84:6 307:14

pull 133:6

pulling 160:16,18

purely 93:9 113:7

purpose 137:9 172:15 204:13,15,22

purposes 87:18 168:9 251:2

purview 90:4

push 173:20

put 20:12 33:4 34:8 56:13 72:1 102:24 169:2,5 171:4 197:4 211:22 258:2

Q

question 10:17 14:22 15:12 18:5 21:11,17 27:1 29:7 30:14,15 31:2 32:3,7,24 51:14 55:5 60:20 64:19 67:17 70:20 72:23 73:3 74:10 89:19 101:23 108:1,18 112:7 120:2 122:7 132:3 141:21 142:10 144:21,22 149:5 150:1 165:8 174:8 195:22 197:24 203:25 207:17 227:17 234:9 236:22 248:14 263:11 274:22 276:6 282:10 288:18 303:3

questioned 298:15,16

questioning 117:22 123:19 132:13

questions 56:8 57:8 71:20 89:12 118:7,9 123:23 124:7 182:9 206:16 217:17 230:8 273:8 296:13,15 301:6 309:19

quick 127:24,25 182:23 212:22 239:9 243:2 253:6

quickly 119:7 133:1 234:19 253:7 273:6 277:20 289:22 301:7

quo 273:25 274:4,12,13,19,22,23 275:25 276:1,3,4,6,22

R

R's 226:19

race 38:4

Rah-rah 256:15

raise 174:12

raised 48:13 88:2 106:6 130:19 138:18 228:2 231:23 259:24 287:4

raising 118:11 232:11 271:21

ran 24:20 30:21 82:13 97:7 214:25

range 215:16

reach 20:3 24:18 44:9 57:20 103:16 105:1 119:14 129:4 176:7,11,14 188:1 195:6 221:19,20,25 229:9

reached 18:1 20:23 22:9,11,14 24:7 46:4 48:9 49:10 51:24 52:13 81:7 93:18 100:11 116:11,16 117:5 118:10 125:7 128:13 129:20 172:16 173:6 198:15 235:20

reaching 107:20

reaction 202:1 237:25 274:18

read 23:13,17,19,20,21,24 38:15,17, 19,21 39:6,10,13,14,15,24 41:7 71:23 89:3,5 95:21 99:20 100:13 105:8 112:25 115:13 117:18,24 118:11 119:7 132:12 168:23 241:2 242:9,18 249:10 255:12 257:7,12 259:3 267:2,9,14,21 269:14 272:10 275:20 276:2,7 278:4

reading 39:3 95:18 101:14 117:18 118:16 192:21

reads 95:10 102:3

ready 45:13 46:6 72:8 100:4 117:25

real 35:4 56:8 60:1 133:1 230:10 248:14

reality 24:20 46:19

realize 144:21

reason 26:12 35:5 40:1 129:8 240:22 248:9

reasons 31:12,13 86:11 reassured 295:8,12,15

REC 201:4,12

recall 9:25 19:24 20:5 22:14,19 23:3 24:2,6 31:23 34:15,20,24 36:1,2 37:17 38:11,22 39:22 40:21 41:5 45:5 46:20 51:25 52:1 53:9,10,11 57:19 58:15,19 59:22 66:25 67:10, 22 69:7 72:22 73:14 83:14 84:15 85:17,25 86:13,19 87:16 88:25 90:2 94:4 100:14 101:6,13 102:22 110:25 116:17 122:5 125:1,16 128:3 134:2 135:9 136:10,13 137:6,9 142:20 143:19 146:6,17 151:25 153:4 154:6,7,17,20 156:12 157:2 159:11, 13,22 161:7 164:4 165:20 167:10,11 168:15,19,25 169:3,17 174:11 177:3



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 342Index: recalling..rejecting

178:13.17 182:3 189:1.2 190:23 191:24 192:14.21.25 193:4.25 194:5,13 197:9 201:5 202:14,17,20 203:2,5,9 204:14 205:19 206:5,14, 15.25 207:20 209:8 210:25 215:15 222:13 224:19 225:25 226:18 228:1 232:14 237:12,25 238:11 239:4 241:23,24 244:9 246:2,14 248:18 255:2,3,22,24,25 256:23 260:10,19 263:2 270:19 276:13,14 277:3,15 284:14 286:6 289:12,20 290:6,15,18 291:4,6,12 292:5 294:18,20,23 295:2,4 296:4,6,8,11,14,25 297:6, 11,21 298:3,12 299:6,8 300:1,2,16 302:16,25 303:12,17,25 304:6,8,13, 15,17,22,25 305:3,7 310:1

recalling 288:4

receive 67:5 161:2 283:15 293:4 295:21

received 21:10 27:10 48:18,19 64:23 66:18 67:9 86:8 94:7 97:14,16 199:18 207:6 216:3 221:18,21 248:2 253:18,21

receiving 238:25 286:20 296:7

recent 16:9

recently 23:17 27:21 140:1 178:2

Recess 60:15 109:20 134:23 183:6 213:2 239:19 253:15

recite 143:8

recognize 69:20 73:24 133:24 183:13 184:20 190:11 192:19 224:14 254:18

recognized 77:23 84:10 106:9

recollect 150:8 264:20

recollected 37:10

recollecting 112:14

recollection 21:13 23:11 37:8 67:1 69:24 72:11 116:10 141:9 150:18,25 151:5,6,15,19 153:4 159:20 183:20 187:15 189:15,17,23 192:10 193:10 194:23 203:15 204:1,24 205:21 207:10 240:2 246:4 256:1,3,6 264:10 266:20 276:19 282:8 288:2 299:1 302:9

recollections 284:10

recommend 199:3 299:3.7

recommendation 201:14 218:11

recommendations 201:20 208:3, 11 214:4 251:3 254:4,5 264:19

recommended 208:12 213:8 234:25

recommending 199:14 299:12

reconciled 304:1

reconciling 83:1

reconsidered 166:12

reconvene 135:15.19

reconvened 104:7

record 51:1,16 54:12 57:6,11,23 58:9,18 71:16 77:1 84:5 85:10 88:8 90:3 172:21 200:22 280:14 286:14 307:18 311:15

recording 23:23 24:4

records 44:25 68:14,19 172:21 175:1 177:14,18 178:23 279:13 310:2

redacting 175:15

redactions 176:8

redistrict 37:14

redistricting 11:6,10,14,24,25 12:3, 6,10,11,15,17 14:8 22:23 25:16 70:3,6 85:11 89:24 90:1,22,23 91:1 94:6 97:21 100:3 120:18 142:24 178:16 181:4 184:6 185:6 187:6 196:7 214:4 238:16 257:4 272:16 275:23 280:13,18

Redmond 35:10,17

Redmond/bothell 96:16

reduce 70:23

refer 81:18

reference 61:6 83:9 92:15 97:13 102:17 113:5,7 190:23 193:4 194:6, 12 196:3 201:6 210:8,17 211:25 220:24 221:5,6 222:7 223:5 225:8,9 226:6 240:13 244:21 247:18 265:19 280:18

referenced 78:23,25 82:4,8 92:15 233:14 297:8

references 184:8

referencing 132:24 194:1 224:12 226:21 230:16,23 233:1 243:23 249:11,14 256:22

referred 181:17,18

referring 16:19 73:5,6 74:22 80:20 96:7,13 106:3 134:8 186:4 209:24 210:3 214:11 218:10 220:12 223:19 232:14,16 235:5 241:15 242:11 245:5 254:8 258:18 261:17 267:15 277:4

refers 61:10 190:1

reflect 30:9,10 56:12 91:6,9 100:10 128:1 182:5 184:24 185:17 211:16 231:5 241:11 250:25 263:6

reflected 26:3 27:25 41:17 71:16 75:21 78:9,21 80:17 81:11 95:3,8, 14,22 97:25 99:16,25 101:18 102:1, 6 120:12 123:2 126:22 146:5 166:1 216:2 231:10 254:4 269:24 271:24 277:1,11 306:2

reflecting 278:10

reflection 15:19 50:15 122:25 123:1,9 163:6 242:8 275:25

reflections 46:18

reflective 168:11 195:10

reflects 97:21 102:7 112:6 185:7

reframe 30:14

refresh 69:24 116:10 183:19 184:2 189:15,17 193:10 194:23 240:2 264:10,17 266:19 276:19

refreshes 72:11 183:25

regard 11:6 27:24 36:25 41:12 44:1 45:19 49:9,25 78:12,14 86:15 90:18 114:7 118:20 119:1 130:23 152:25 153:8 171:19 178:16 185:5 187:6,8 213:9 215:8 238:13 244:10 250:24 256:22 276:21

regional 89:14

registered 131:25

regret 24:7 32:23

regular 62:7 70:4 90:8 109:9

regularly 129:3

reimbursements 178:19,21

reject 48:8

rejected 28:24,25 76:16 77:4,5 78:13 132:20

rejecting 264:25



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 343Index: related..ridiculous

related 27:12 35:7,13 40:5 137:24 142:16 146:19 148:18 196:4,7 292:18

relates 152:18 224:1

relative 28:7 30:23 37:18 40:24 64:15,16 78:2 79:23 189:6,19 224:10 238:9 257:5 261:19

release 144:25 173:20 233:11

released 14:12 44:24 55:18 76:20, 21 77:13 79:11 161:13 173:14,22 265:18 275:17 300:20

relevant 178:23 179:3

relied 85:14 relief 311:11

rely 188:6 208:13

relying 40:22

remain 107:10 116:5

remained 42:4 214:17

remaining 118:17

remember 16:8,20 22:9,18,21 31:22 35:12 37:20 41:6 52:7 57:24 58:1,22,24 60:1 69:8 83:20 86:20,25 87:20 94:12,13 99:8 100:15 119:22 124:18 127:18,19 129:1,6 130:1,3,4, 7 132:1,3,8,12,22 133:19 134:5 141:8,10,14 142:14 143:11,12,21 144:1,5,11,15,23 146:7,18 149:16 150:5,14 152:1 153:9 155:4,13 156:3 158:3 159:5 161:11,18 162:18 164:3,17 165:18 166:19 178:14 182:1 189:7 190:3,5,17,19 191:19, 22 192:7 193:14 194:7,18 200:2,19 202:23 203:7 204:9,10,18,20,21 205:1,2,4,11,13 206:3,9 207:2,21, 23,25 208:5,8,11 209:9,25 210:9 211:25 212:1,6,10 215:7,18,20 217:13,15,20 221:10,11 225:16 226:12,20 227:6 239:2,3 240:7,19, 20 242:13 243:6 245:1 248:14 249:1,4,7 253:24 254:3 255:5,11,19 256:24 259:14,19 260:24 261:2,22 262:1 270:10,11 276:9 277:8 278:15 280:3,4,22,23 282:12 285:13,14 286:17,18,20 289:3 290:6 294:21 297:16 299:13 300:6,18 302:10,12 306:7

reminding 282:25

REMOTELY 8:1

removing 179:11 301:11

repeat 14:22 17:20 46:12 49:2 70:20 120:2 185:15 187:20 197:24 203:24 236:22 263:11 284:19

repeatedly 271:21

repetition 279:9

replied 177:6 277:12

reply 189:3,19 211:19

replying 277:8

report 99:14

REPORTER 106:20 122:3 200:22

reporters 289:23

reporting 85:15 206:1

reports 138:5

representation 56:6 91:14 302:6

representative 10:5 represented 21:25

Republican 49:15 61:11,14 72:24 73:22 238:22

Republicans 73:8 74:6 227:5

request 86:2 172:17,19,22 179:25 216:23 217:5,9 279:13 300:14 310:17

requested 181:10 182:17 215:1

requesting 216:22

requests 174:20,23 175:8,23 177:14 178:23 179:2,8 181:11 215:8

require 63:8 120:6

required 43:14 218:3 280:19

requirements 71:8

requires 12:16 requisite 246:21

rereading 290:4

rescheduling 310:4

reserve 282:12 309:25

reserved 311:17

reshare 109:25

resolution 103:21 119:22,24 120:5, 8,9,15 121:1,8,17,22 122:8,12,15, 17,19,22,25 123:2,4,5,6,8,11,13,14,

16,18,20,21,24 124:1,3,9,10,13,15 133:20 139:3,6,7,9 211:17

resolve 90:4 303:16

resolved 40:11,13 104:22 111:3 130:19 131:15 192:5,8

respect 54:18 68:10 78:19 80:20 142:6 164:19 196:8 220:24 257:4 261:21 276:13

respecting 41:1

respective 143:4 195:11 289:14 respond 178:22 206:8 310:22

responded 76:21

responding 110:6 172:18,21 239:3 264:21,23 274:11 275:24

response 96:3 173:14 188:24 215:4

responsibility 126:7 responsible 11:15

rest 70:14 105:8 119:3 187:23 291:6

restate 303:2

restroom 107:25 212:22 239:10

result 31:24 107:17 201:25

resulted 114:21 136:7 148:15

resulting 157:3 results 50:14

retain 18:17 114:1

retained 18:20 180:20,22

retrieved 254:11

retrospect 224:11 225:23 275:21

reveals 174:5 209:25

review 71:19 72:10 100:8 161:22 167:6,20,21,25 231:9 257:2 266:4, 21 306:17,20

reviewed 24:6 117:12 163:6 168:3 284:5 306:18

reviewing 72:4 90:15 159:3,5 197:3

rewatched 23:22 RFPS 310:22

ride 305:6

ridiculous 311:14

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 344Index: Rights..short

Rights 40:6 137:12,24 138:2,7,8 139:4 231:24,25 232:11,24 233:1 263:8,18 271:23 272:11

ring 194:12 247:20

rise 299:9 RN 268:19

role 11:6,18 172:9

roll 273:6

room 65:10 73:17,19,22 100:25 135:16,25 136:8,23 137:1 139:18, 21,24 140:4,10,20,22 141:2,7,12,15, 23 142:5,9,12 143:10 144:12,17,24 145:25 146:21 147:8,14 148:1 149:6,22 150:4,9,21 151:2,8 153:1 154:11 155:9 156:10 157:15 158:13 159:18,25 161:21 168:14,19 183:23 199:24 200:3,11,14 244:6,7 282:20 286:1,5,16 287:10,17 292:11,16 296:3 297:24 304:3,20

roughly 41:11 214:17

Rs 226:11

rule 67:11 238:18

rules 63:8 66:15 67:14 68:6,8 310:22

run 11:2 97:10 107:24 108:18 239:10 278:2,8 279:16

run-through 253:6

running 301:2

S

sad 126:12

San 96:22 109:24 110:3,9 111:16

Sarah 61:9 136:4 161:12 184:22 245:7,24 279:21 280:4,12

Sarah's 281:20

Saturday 257:3

savvy 179:22

scary 126:13

scenarios 266:8

scheduling 178:18

Scholar 9:23

School 9:6

scope 117:23 282:14

screen 149:8 183:9 203:22 283:17

284:16 290:3

screenshot 181:12 190:15 191:13

screenshots 179:3 182:4,19

screenshotted 180:11

scroll 71:18 72:13,17,19 105:12 118:1 183:17 185:19 187:23 189:20 190:12 192:20 194:19 196:21 212:5 214:1 218:23 222:5 240:25 244:12, 18 245:21 254:20 277:19 289:24

scrolling 78:17 95:19

Seattle 8:16 18:24 35:10,15 36:3,6, 9,18,20,22,25 37:2,12 43:21 49:20 91:17 96:15,18 107:2,13 200:6 216:2,10 217:21

Seattle's 36:13.15

sec 162:25

secret 78:5 137:21

section 16:16,17 17:1 19:8 20:4 83:6 119:12 124:24,25 127:21 128:23

seeking 259:4,8 281:8,9 311:10

segment 16:24 53:23

sell 241:7

Senate 11:8,12,13 61:11,13 98:25 138:5 202:4,6 204:3 209:3 221:9 225:3,13 226:6,25 227:4 250:16 251:20

send 287:19

sense 33:23,24 36:11 41:17 42:25 70:6 145:20,21 146:9 181:25 205:14 256:5 273:16 279:15 290:7 291:13 293:8

sensitive 147:1 174:12

sentence 80:18 194:6 214:12 222:25 284:13 285:24 286:5,7

sentences 130:10 212:16 284:15

sentiment 204:6

separate 36:7 71:3 158:15 197:6 199:16 221:8 262:20

separated 153:23 157:19

September 77:13

sequence 116:15 141:8

sequencing 165:18 190:6

sequential 282:3

sequentially 295:2

served 9:19 10:4,7

serves 225:2 301:5

session 72:22 109:10 119:18 127:22

set 31:15 84:5 92:7 121:10 138:7 140:5,14 191:4 223:3

setting 143:20

seven-hour 293:6 297:18 300:4 303:9

severed 12:6

shape 91:16 107:6,9 216:8

shapefile 246:17,20,23,25

shapefiles 247:4 280:20

share 17:2 18:10,14 51:8 54:4 59:17 60:3 83:3 101:25 103:25 104:4 112:16 143:17,23 151:5 169:5,15 177:1 183:9 238:9 268:8,9 283:17 284:16

shared 16:13 17:2,7 18:2,6,19,22 27:18 39:13 46:23 47:25 48:1,2,4, 12,21 49:12 50:1,5,7 51:9,17,19,20 52:8 53:2 54:2 55:6,21 58:14 65:23 66:8 70:25 75:22 76:2 95:7 103:2,5 104:3 121:3 122:18 143:20 144:4 151:20 153:6 156:5 158:24 160:7 162:3 168:23 175:7 177:4,20 198:11 203:22 204:20,21 248:17 252:3 253:23 260:8 274:18 278:13 295:16

sharing 22:8 46:20 76:5,7 101:5 110:4 115:5 143:19,21 212:7 221:17 248:4,7,10 252:3,8,9 261:10,22 271:12,13 283:20

she'd 27:23 104:8 175:7

shenanigans 311:11,13

shifted 96:5 97:22

shifting 265:24

ship 216:18

shoot 249:18

short 19:24 274:17 299:7,11

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 345Index: shortly..specifics

shortly 19:18,20,22 23:14 28:20 124:16 135:14 167:15,17 199:19,20 253:9 300:15

show 262:6

showing 69:15 133:15,18 183:8 184:16 185:9 189:14 190:10 192:18 193:9 194:17 196:18 197:13 198:5 208:22 213:6,24 218:19 220:8 230:11 249:23 254:17 262:17

shown 203:18,19

side 31:20 113:3 297:24

sides 188:1

sign 120:5 122:19,22 123:20 124:3,9 211:17 281:3,5

sign-off 46:1

signature 124:10,16 133:24 311:17

signed 120:25 121:8,17 123:9,15, 16,25 124:2,11,13,14,19 131:23 135:13

significant 21:9 48:12 111:17 144:11,15,19 233:7 297:23

signing 119:24 134:10

similar 85:12 97:5 107:10 215:5 265:3

similarities 56:18 77:14 78:14

similarly 42:22 115:22 130:23 160:6 196:20 306:20 308:9

simply 30:21 101:10 112:22 119:22

Sims 15:10,13 16:6,15 18:3 26:2,13 27:5,19 31:10,15 37:25 45:22 46:9, 10,13,14 47:10,20,21 48:5 49:1,4 50:1,5,8,20,25 51:10,15 52:5,9,12, 24 53:15 54:3,4,9,17,22 55:8,12,24 57:1 58:25 59:1,3,7,9,17 62:1 64:2,7 65:3,6 66:1,2,5 73:8,18,20 74:23 75:15 76:6 83:10,14 92:19 99:3,9 101:4,22,24 103:25 104:23 105:3 118:23 119:18 128:1,4,8 129:24 131:1,6 137:19 145:4,16 146:3,8 147:6,13,25 148:9,23 149:11,21 150:2,10,19 151:1,7,16,24 152:1,11, 15,17,20 153:20 154:5,10,14,23,25 155:1,25 156:6 158:1,13 159:3,5,12, 21 160:3,6 161:2 163:25 164:11,17 165:9,15 166:18 167:2 169:6,8,15 170:1,4,5 171:5,9,17,24 185:1,5,11, 18,21 186:12 187:5,10,13,14,19,22 188:18,24 189:19 190:1,3,11 191:8

192:13,23 193:11,14 194:9,18 195:4 196:1,9 197:2 198:6 199:2,7,23 200:8,18 202:24 203:8,11,16 204:2 208:23 209:2,12,21 210:12,23 211:3 213:13,18 225:15 238:5,19 239:24 240:11,16,22 241:18 242:16,19 243:25 244:1 245:7,24 248:23 249:11 250:2 252:24 253:24 254:19 255:1,14,20 256:11 257:19 258:9 259:20 260:3,13,24 261:8,11,15,20, 24 263:7 264:3,11,18 265:23 266:4 268:4,8 270:2,8 271:9,12 272:8 273:21 276:5,21 277:11,13 278:13, 24 291:16,22 295:8 296:1,12

Sims' 49:9 50:1 90:9 99:14 166:17 189:3 190:20 247:14 248:4 257:25 258:11 265:23

simultaneously 45:15 300:21

single 138:12 215:3

sit 21:13 34:14 52:3 68:21 73:17 204:1

site 161:15

sitting 149:17 152:20 179:10

situate 105:9 135:2

situation 234:19

sixth 296:10

Skagit 18:17 41:25 42:12 44:17 80:3,8,24 96:21 110:18,21 111:14, 24

skinny 96:1,10 97:3

sleep 87:23 201:10

sleepless 202:19

slightly 222:5 288:12

slow 239:14

slowly 72:16

smalltalk 145:3

Smith 248:23 249:2,8

Snohomish 37:3 96:21

software 180:7 182:12

solely 85:15 217:6

solicit 45:25

sort 227:13,16 311:11

sounded 170:3

sounds 60:14 71:21 76:5 109:18 134:14.22 211:24 212:4 253:8

source 35:4 49:24 128:24

Southeast 107:13

southern 80:8,24 216:17

Southwest 89:15 116:4

sovereignty 41:1

space 62:22

spark 189:23

speak 46:8 80:14 89:7 101:20 106:18 129:2 142:11 145:6 155:12 156:9 166:18,25 200:13,15 215:13 222:13,15 256:23 292:1 295:21 308:3 310:10

speaking 56:8 58:19 83:15 90:2 95:8 125:21 135:3 200:19 202:22 300:2

special 70:3

specific 19:19 20:5 31:4 35:9,14 37:20 40:4 42:14,16,20,23 44:3,6,14 45:2 48:20 50:19 51:14 56:22 66:25 75:23 86:3,6 89:14 92:13 95:15 97:15 99:8 101:13 105:17 106:15 107:17 111:8,9,15 112:4,20 114:21 118:7 125:19 147:3 148:9 150:25 151:4,16,19 152:23 153:4 160:5 166:19 175:22 184:5 187:9 189:23 191:13 192:7 196:8 201:4 204:14 205:4,19 206:10,15 207:20 212:6 215:8 217:18 221:3 227:6 234:17 240:7,20 245:1 255:3 260:2,11,20 262:1,2 292:5 297:8 300:7

specifically 11:12 16:9 34:2 45:5 49:8 81:21 132:5 138:20 164:4 172:22 189:7 190:24 192:14 193:13, 25 202:20 209:10 210:9 214:11,25 215:18 223:18 232:14 241:15 243:21 254:1 261:17 285:7 289:12 306:7

specificity 33:11 110:13

specifics 17:8 19:24 26:8 30:11 31:22 34:25 36:1 37:17 38:11 42:10 46:21,23 48:4 53:11 57:24 58:1 60:1 67:10,22 68:17 69:9 78:22 79:3 83:14 94:12,13 102:22 112:17 127:17 136:10 142:14,19,20 143:11 146:6,17 150:6,16 151:20 152:4,6 153:3 154:6 156:20,21 175:18 189:1 191:19 198:11 203:4 204:9,20



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 346Index: specifies..suggestion

205:13 217:13,20 226:12 228:4 239:4 244:9 270:12 289:4 290:22 291:1 294:23 295:5 296:25 297:7,21 298:23 302:17 303:17

specifies 11:25

speculate 95:17 117:9

speculating 51:13 193:19 206:6,20,

21 278:14

speculation 195:1,3 speculative 206:11

speed 16:3,4 50:8 277:21

Spencer 172:4 176:19 178:15

spend 73:15,19

spent 50:17 100:20,22 144:15 155:12

spirit 59:25 **split** 42:23

spoke 20:6 57:14 77:11 129:6 142:25 156:13 165:6,8 167:3 184:8 200:14 215:17 221:22 222:14 227:7 276:24 292:3

spoken 26:13 89:22,24 166:6,21 172:11 175:3 226:13 270:22 299:23 304:24

sprung 281:21

ST 249:10

stadiums 63:25

staff 99:1 100:2,3 132:13 140:13,19 147:2 153:10 154:23 155:6 160:12 172:10 174:25 176:5 178:11 219:14, 17,19,23 225:3,13 226:7,25 227:4 228:8 238:14,15 243:25 247:14 248:5 250:25 251:16,17,19 252:1,7, 12,15,19,24 265:10 266:5 267:19,21 280:13 285:17,25 286:15 287:20 288:6 289:21 290:2,12 291:6 300:14 309:23

staffer 238:5

staffers 310:25

stage 99:22 100:12 102:13,16 104:16,20,21,24 105:2 113:16 116:13 118:5 188:20 198:12 220:11 224:3,5,7,8 245:2 252:5 258:1,17,20 270:12 273:17

stalled 55:24 187:17 188:11,22

191:4

standard 122:18 123:5

standards 66:15

standing 149:11,21 150:2,10,16

stands 272:14

start 16:16 71:22 98:23 106:18 159:10 253:9 265:7 283:8

started 53:6 54:12 62:7 87:8 98:12, 18 135:12 229:5 239:11

starting 41:23 82:11 109:23

starts 290:1

state 8:12 9:19 10:4 12:13 21:19 38:4,14 43:11 50:16 66:23 67:9 96:13 102:7 116:2 169:14 202:13 233:12,13 310:18 311:14

State's 11:9,22 13:5,12 17:5

stated 233:10 288:22 298:6 299:6, 15,17 300:19 301:13

statement 21:19 71:19 99:21 100:13 159:11,13 168:14,24 169:1, 8,16,20 170:1 184:10,13 210:14,24 211:22 212:2,15 213:9,15,17 224:24 225:2,22 226:16,19,23 227:3 242:2 244:13,21 273:20 275:25 283:6 284:5,12 296:20 298:6,10,13 300:10 302:23 303:4 304:11 310:24

statements 166:13

status 20:22 21:2 27:20 98:4 224:5 273:24 274:4,12,13,19,22,23 275:22,25 276:1,3,4,6,22

statutorily 280:19

stay 62:19 70:19,21,25 71:3 281:3,

staying 62:11,17 70:10,13,17 100:18,25 115:11,14 244:3,5 248:15 264:3

steam 301:2

step 123:13

steps 30:25 179:6 182:9 201:6 208:11 233:7 278:25 286:18

stood 205:10,12

stop 13:7 72:14 115:24 188:11 280:2

stopped 280:1

strategic 188:17

strategies 196:11

strategizing 218:14

strategy 196:14 220:10,11 223:2 261:1 271:10,16,18 299:13

Street 8:15

strike 55:3,9 70:9 97:11 98:8 113:14 115:24 128:21 159:9 184:3 189:16 242:20 246:15 286:10

strong 49:17 102:8

stronger 226:19

strongest 84:8

strongly 90:25

structure 114:2

structuring 48:1

struggled 230:7

stuff 50:24 268:24

subject 83:13 300:2

subjects 65:4

submit 86:1 230:12 280:19

submitted 85:8,9 97:20 248:25 290:12 294:1 295:17

subpoenas 310:16

subs 95:5

subsequent 148:20 189:9 307:6

subsequently 79:14 106:18

substance 95:12 101:25 174:9 194:7 197:18

substantially 115:15 116:1

substantive 58:22 93:11 94:18 95:2,5,6,20,21 101:22 239:3 292:17

succeeding 32:22

succinct 169:4

sufficient 177:7

sufficiently 125:6,7

suggested 243:1

suggesting 188:11 222:11 271:25

suggestion 246:14 249:9 311:2

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 347Index: suggestions..time

suggestions 272:8

summarily 92:1

summary 219:6,7,9

Sunday 279:25

sunrise 100:5

support 162:9 163:16 165:24 204:11 230:4 271:14 307:4 308:6,14

supported 127:6 293:19

supporting 165:5

supportive 23:2 51:17,20 52:17

163:11,17,21

supports 219:3

suppose 15:22 275:2

Supreme 79:14 224:4 225:24 226:1 228:15,22 229:10 230:24 232:6 286:9 299:4,8,12 306:13

surmise 299:19

surprise 52:20,22 73:23

surprised 169:10 193:17

suspect 237:21 295:8

swore 10:20,22

sworn 8:6

systemically 180:11

Т

Tacoma 35:11 42:23 115:7 126:9

tactic 247:15

tail 35:6

takeaway 175:21,22,23,25

takes 31:6,10 32:11 82:12,14

taking 14:4 160:19 187:13 229:23 230:1 236:5 291:6 297:24

talk 16:15 19:8 57:22 65:4 66:2 71:14,15 85:18 89:15,16,20 110:21 137:3 142:3,4,22 147:12 148:14 172:2 173:23 200:8 221:19 222:10, 22 248:8 250:2 270:2,23

talked 65:10,22 89:6,21 121:25 147:5 164:17 165:14 198:3 276:9 292:8 302:10

talking 19:1,23 26:24 27:2 54:2 61:23 65:24 66:14 68:14 78:24 80:11 82:3 83:7,12 94:25 99:10 127:13 141:25 156:19,22 165:3 166:17 168:15 174:8 189:25 190:19 201:15 219:1,16 221:14 222:4,6 231:21 238:20 253:24 254:8 257:6, 17 265:9,15 267:17,19 268:25 277:11 280:14 296:9 297:25 303:4

talks 286:22

tame 100:23

targeted 67:5

team 311:8

Team's 222:19

teams 220:23 309:21

tech 179:22

technical 31:11

telling 26:16 42:9,10 46:3 193:14 209:2 211:3 219:2 222:12 224:23 225:21 226:3 240:23 241:18 251:9 258:19 267:12 274:1 278:24 280:4

ten 13:5 17:6 23:7 43:4 44:3,14 53:21 60:12 109:19 182:22 192:11 237:13 260:18 287:15

ten-minute 109:9

term 10:7,9 61:10 75:7

terms 11:14 38:3 40:11 44:4 45:17 72:3 77:17 83:17 103:7 108:13 145:17 164:24 181:15 192:5 198:7 223:23 240:3,23 241:15 254:3 291:18 309:21

testified 8:7 296:14,21 297:3 300:10 302:2 303:7

testify 302:4,8

testifying 298:19

testimony 14:3,4 17:10,23,24 20:6 22:7 40:10,22 97:18 110:18 112:8 113:18 114:16,18 121:3 230:18 280:20 288:24 298:7 302:16 303:9, 11,14 306:11 307:20 308:2,17 309:14

text 132:11 157:7 169:8,10 170:5 178:23 179:20 180:2,20,22,23 181:1 182:13 183:13,15 184:3,4,24 185:17 186:5,11 187:4,9,15 188:7 189:5,8, 23 193:10 197:1 199:22 203:14,21 209:25 210:1,22,23,25 211:18,24

212:11 221:17,20,23 222:7 223:4 232:15 234:17,22 239:2 241:24 245:9,15 246:5 248:2,17,22 249:3 250:13,25 251:7 256:16 257:6,17 258:23 259:4 262:5,12 263:14 264:12 267:2,3,9 273:22 274:21 277:3 278:1,7 283:16 284:14 285:7

texted 168:22.23 202:25

texting 184:22 203:2,7,11 204:2 209:12 232:24 270:21

texts 45:24 179:3,11,23,24 180:3,7 182:5 195:15 203:16 204:6 222:1,2 223:4 249:7

there'd 15:19 177:21

thereabouts 253:18 304:5

thing 60:11 91:3 104:14 114:19 126:13 132:25 179:5 252:11 254:9 257:20

things 18:12,16 23:9 27:25 28:1,4 32:14 35:11,14,15 36:3,20 37:1 44:8 47:24 51:11 52:8,9 66:3 75:25 76:2 80:2 85:12 94:11 107:15,18 108:7, 16 110:17 111:24 118:17 131:14 142:25 145:13,23 147:17 151:13 152:21 155:23 158:16,17 160:5,7 175:11 215:4

thinking 64:22 133:2,4 169:3,9 195:23,25 210:25 275:3

thought 20:20 28:13 30:7 39:22 47:21 58:14,25 59:3 69:23 70:22 71:2 89:19 96:15 98:20 146:4 157:4 160:23 166:13 168:24 170:3,6 193:15 198:18 205:9,12 207:3 277:20 278:12 283:19 300:20 301:14

thoughts 49:22 188:6 189:3 195:13, 17 247:14 260:6,25 271:17 278:4,10

thread 240:8,20 245:9 248:22 249:3 251:8 275:1,4 276:8,19

Thursday 164:8 306:7,9

time 16:21 19:15,25 20:5,11,21 21:20,24 23:12,13 24:19,20 30:21 31:7,10,14,16,18 32:11 37:23 45:8 51:23 55:8 58:4,13 62:15 65:10,18 67:19 71:5,10 73:12,15,19 75:20 76:10 79:24 80:16,22 81:3,11,19,21 82:14 84:12,19 86:23 87:15,20,23 88:1 90:6 95:2,7 97:8,24 98:3,7,9,24 99:15,25 100:15,21 101:1,16,17



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 348Index: timeframe..unfortunate

103:2 104:11 105:9 107:22 108:3 110:10 111:3 112:5.8 113:17 114:24 116:12,15 117:4 118:9 119:1,11,20 120:25 121:8 125:17 126:21,25 127:21 128:17 131:17,19 134:10 135:13 139:2,25 141:15,24 142:1,21 143:3 144:4,20 146:1,3 147:5 149:22 150:2,8 152:24 153:11,12 157:10,17 159:2 160:15,24 163:5 164:17 167:20 169:15 170:8,11 171:1 172:14 174:13,21 175:2 176:9,16 178:17 179:24 180:4 181:22 182:5,24,25 186:18,20 191:10,15 198:15,19 200:2 209:4 211:16 213:12,15,18,19 219:22 221:5 223:2 224:13 225:22,23 227:3,21 230:24 234:17,18,21,24 242:5,7,16,20 257:14 258:13,24 259:1 260:2,3,12,13,16 261:14 262:3 269:2 270:7,14 275:8,11 278:13 279:6 281:14 282:6 283:15, 25 284:18,22 285:14,17,25 293:3 295:1 296:4 297:7,25 300:13 301:14 303:14 309:19 310:1,3

timeframe 67:1 78:20 102:18 223:12

timeline 16:19 51:25 52:1 54:14,15 227:6

times 19:19 64:3 86:25 131:20 193:16 198:22 221:3

timestamp 80:25 81:2,4 98:6 116:18 124:15 220:17 262:5,9

timing 25:8 53:10 110:25 111:6 135:2 225:17 290:9

timing-wise 20:20 159:18

Tina 221:18,25

tired 58:20 112:14 116:2 139:25 142:21 203:12 304:15

today 21:13 34:14 52:3 68:21 96:21, 23 149:17 204:1

told 15:25 16:1 27:21 52:12,16 54:17 69:25 72:12 88:23 131:7,11 170:3,4,5 192:23 256:3 260:13 277:13

tomorrow 309:25

tonight 100:4 101:8

top 71:22 118:13 215:18 220:24 290:1,3 297:13

topic 238:12

topics 142:16

touch 185:1,5

town 37:20 176:18

track 145:23 146:24 147:4,17 148:12 151:13,21 152:21 160:7 286:17 289:24

tracking 74:9 123:17 157:24 158:17 270:1 290:4

training 66:21 67:4,6,7

transcript 17:9 20:24 23:2,13,21,25 39:4,6 47:4,7 61:6 69:23 72:11 78:17 81:5 89:3 95:9 98:1 99:16 102:2,6 105:8 109:16,17,23 117:12, 24 118:16 119:3 124:6 126:19 131:25

transcripts 89:5

transferred 226:1

translate 24:19,20 31:11 112:21 122:13 140:6 291:24 303:16

translated 146:14

translating 14:5 288:10 293:1

translation 142:17

transmission 235:22 236:7,25

transmittal 124:14 135:14 230:25 231:2

transmitted 30:5 37:9 79:14 149:3 169:11 234:12,14 280:17 289:10 290:17 306:13

transportation 28:6

travel 229:6

Treasure's 38:14

Treasurer's 38:4

treat 12:6

Tribal 21:9 41:1 48:21 77:11,17 78:2,12 83:16,18,21,22,24 84:6,14, 23 85:2,9,13,14,16,19 86:1,8 90:5 94:19 215:2

Tribe 42:18,19 77:20 85:9 86:4,10

tribes 77:24 78:14 84:1,10 85:5,6 90:13 94:14 106:9 152:3

trio 245:8

trips 50:16

trouble 9:8 16:18 25:14 131:16

166:9

true 52:19 116:22 121:2 163:18 299:16

trusted 296:1

Tuesday 8:1 135:3,4 223:13

turned 60:19

type 284:21 293:4

typically 149:13

U

Uh-huh 266:9

ultimately 55:14 129:10 255:6

unanimity 169:19

unanimously 170:23

unaware 117:4

uncertain 26:4 35:5 127:5

uncertainty 207:11 224:2,5 232:5 233:6.7 234:19

unclear 125:22 188:1

understand 17:23 19:7 20:19 21:17 25:8 31:1 32:3 45:18 64:19 65:2 73:2,21 75:3,21,22 83:23 110:20 124:7 126:21 135:5 139:19 182:4 188:9 211:18,20 223:25 246:20 257:3 258:22 275:20

understanding 11:23 12:3 25:1 26:19,21 33:10 38:5 47:9 64:10,12, 15 65:8 66:12 71:1 72:9 73:6 83:11 88:16,21,25 89:9 99:15 123:4 127:2, 4,7,9 128:6,11 129:12 130:20 135:10 139:1 177:15 180:12 224:8 236:3,20,23 242:6,8 267:18 286:15 304:18.24

understood 25:24 27:5 32:7 39:9 66:17 73:5 88:10 100:2 128:12 145:10,14,15 177:20,24 231:8

undertaking 214:5

underway 136:19

unfolding 300:7

unfortunate 131:18

Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 349Index: uniformly..ways

uniformly 165:21

unify 77:18

unifying 28:3 34:15 42:19 48:21 77:11,17 78:2,7,12 91:15

Union 216:18

Unit 8:15

uniting 28:2

universe 252:12

University 216:21

unlocked 181:8

unprecedented 13:25

unproductive 209:6

unrelated 222:2

unsolicited 221:23

unsure 127:1

update 16:10 52:9 66:5 73:1 76:7 93:2,24,25 94:2,3,4,16 95:10 101:7 102:3 131:14 204:24 250:7 270:6 278:25 291:23

updated 26:14 52:6 59:5 65:7 137:20 172:1 261:25 285:11

updates 16:14 21:1 22:8 66:8 90:8, 9 93:9,16,21 94:20 101:5 197:5 279:4 282:3

updating 59:1 185:23 188:2 241:2 242:18,19 256:17 269:14 270:5,10

urban 115:7 **urgency** 290:7

urging 289:21 290:2

٧

vague 62:6

Vaguely 190:13

valid 207:9,16

validated 232:13 233:3

validity 207:12,14

Valley 40:3 41:4 56:5 230:10

values 48:24,25

Vancouver 116:5 215:5,6

varied 215:17

variety 31:11,12 33:3 86:11 284:24

venture 243:1 275:2

verbally 170:5

verbatim 151:5

verbiage 147:22

versa 55:16 59:16

version 169:2

versus 99:12

vice 55:16 59:16

vicinity 287:13,14 292:8

video 262:18

view 127:16

viewed 80:7,10,13,14

viewing 24:2

views 49:20 86:3

vis-a-vis 110:25

vis-à-vis 112:9 114:24 116:18

visit 84:3

visual 24:4

voice 289:17 307:11 308:10,14

voiced 27:11 160:20 204:11 307:4

308:5

voices 122:4

voicing 284:17,21

volume 60:19 174:20,23 175:8,23

vote 14:18,24 15:5 17:25 19:21 22:20 23:14 25:2 26:4,5,10,17 28:21 35:5 38:8 40:1 41:12 47:11,22 50:25 51:16 55:20 104:1 114:24 117:17 119:15 121:9 123:6 125:10,22,23 127:1,19,22 128:10 129:4 130:5 131:21,24 136:14 139:21,23 143:2 145:8 146:2 148:18 150:13 152:7,9 163:1 170:14,18,22,24 171:25 219:10 220:18 223:15,16 229:23 230:1,6,8 231:10 235:23 236:5,8,24 246:17,19 259:10,17 260:9,19 279:7 293:10,13,16 296:13 308:7

voted 12:25 14:5 19:17 21:23 22:5 25:16,22 26:22 27:22 28:15,24 30:7, 9,11,12,18 32:20 33:1,19 34:4,21

35:12.19 36:21 37:18.22 38:10 39:10,23 40:12,23 41:6 44:2,14,16 56:12 77:15 82:13 103:17 110:14 111:7 112:3,11,18 113:9 114:7,22 115:19 120:11 122:9,17,20 125:25 126:4,6,21,22,25 128:18 131:24 132:4,13,16,17,19 138:16,24 139:2, 15 140:2,4,7 143:7 145:15,19 146:5, 11,13,19 148:12,17,21 151:14 152:18 153:15 157:5,12 158:2,8 163:7 164:24 166:1 167:13 170:9,12 171:8 192:6 220:14 224:17 231:4,6 235:21 236:11,15 237:1,6 243:3,7, 17 246:16 247:5 255:6 259:11 288:11 290:25 292:21 294:6,11,17 306:3

votes 303:16

voting 11:9 27:6,15 28:12,13 34:15 39:22 40:6,16,20 41:6,18 49:3 54:22 70:6 90:3 100:11 125:19 127:3,5,7, 9,10 130:9 136:3 137:11,24 138:2,7, 8,11,21 139:3,12 142:1,3 162:16 163:20 171:20 225:6 226:22 230:3,4 231:24,25 232:11,24 233:1 236:25 271:23 272:11,14 285:1 308:14

VRA 231:21,25 233:9,24

W

wait 281:1

waiting 310:7

walk 278:25

walked 158:16

walking 192:11,12

Walkinshaw 8:5,13 60:17 134:25 147:19 239:23 283:14 296:12 308:3

waning 82:10 228:5 285:2

wanted 44:7 47:24 56:2,4 86:9,10 126:4 146:13 173:13,18,19 174:20 175:9 197:23 220:13 226:11 240:11, 23 258:15

wanting 205:8 270:2 275:18

Washington 8:16 28:8 29:22,25 41:23 48:2 89:16,17 90:22 97:21 114:1 116:4

watch 88:8 253:14

ways 32:4,5 33:11 39:2 42:22 78:5 89:17 138:1



Walkinshaw, Brady - January 18, 2022 Page 350Index: website..Zoom

website 101:8 160:19 Wednesday 306:7,8

week 173:8 174:14 229:4 307:7

weeks 23:20 229:7

weigh 83:10 weird 197:16

well-incorporated 48:19

West 113:2 173:1 282:17,22 283:8, 13,23 284:1,4 288:20 289:6 292:22 293:14,21 294:13 295:11 297:1,9,15 298:24 300:8,25 301:4,8 302:1,24 305:12,22 306:24 307:19 308:8,16 309:2,13 310:9

What'd 175:6

Whatcom 96:21

When's 23:13

white 240:21

who'd 226:7

Whoa 197:16

whoever's 309:20

wholly 18:5

Why'd 227:16

wide 33:3 215:16

wild 230:12

Windermere 216:21

window 296:4

windows 20:5 269:21

wishes 49:9 woke 289:23

wondering 124:8 305:24

WONG 17:15,18 18:25 19:3 20:10 21:16,22 24:11,24 25:13 30:13 32:2, 12 33:6 47:23 48:10 53:8,20,24 59:19 60:9,12,14,18,23 63:10,21 64:18 67:16,21 68:23 70:15 71:25 72:3 74:8 79:8 93:15 95:16 99:19 104:12 105:22 106:22 108:23 109:1, 5,8,14,18 117:8,16 120:1 125:12 126:14 130:13 132:14 133:2 134:14, 18,22 135:17,22 136:9 140:11 148:3 149:19 154:16 161:3 162:5 164:22 165:12,16 167:14 169:21 170:10 171:2,11,21 174:4,18 175:17 180:8

181:16 182:7,22 183:2,5,24 184:7 186:14,24 187:7 189:21 195:8,20 197:17,23 198:1,9 199:4 200:12 201:16,18 206:13,22 218:2 220:15 229:16,18 232:19 233:4,17 234:7 235:15,24 236:9 237:7,11,18 239:9 240:5 241:13 247:16 250:5 251:12 252:13 253:8,12 254:6 255:8,17 267:1 270:16 273:2,7,12 274:25 277:9,14 278:17 279:5,9 281:15 282:1,15,23 283:2,17 288:15 289:1 292:14 293:7,18 294:8 295:3 296:23 297:5,12 298:21 300:5,23 301:1,21 302:19 305:10,19 306:22 307:16,24 308:1,12,23 309:10,15,17 310:8,12 311:16

word 55:10 61:6,8 87:11 205:2,4

words 150:25 151:7 152:1 166:9 177:3

work 8:21 11:14 24:18 59:15 62:24 66:24 69:2 71:17 76:7 88:4,5 98:12 100:8 101:16 116:8 118:17 126:3 134:16 136:19 140:20 143:4,6 144:18 145:3,5 179:15 182:12 188:21 196:9 197:6 214:4 238:16 265:16 291:23 294:3 296:17 305:8, 15,23,25 306:9

worked 9:21 26:2 106:15 167:22 178:24 180:6 226:25 227:3 288:6 302:20

working 9:17 14:15 18:16 28:5,9 31:21 45:15 46:19 48:24 59:9 75:2, 4,12 81:17,22 91:5,8,16 94:5,17 98:14,15,18 99:1 105:13 106:1 107:19,23 108:15,17 119:13 136:25 140:14,15,23,24 145:8 151:24 155:4 157:17 159:6,8,9 172:17 176:4 181:6 188:12 191:3 225:12 226:7 241:19 246:8 251:3 253:1 255:21 265:20 266:22 288:5,10,12 289:14 291:7,21 292:4 295:15 299:24 303:15

works 72:5 75:13 109:10 183:2 250:16

worth 40:15

worthy 14:19

wow 126:8

wrap 28:20

wrapped 108:8 245:3

wrapping 134:18

write 252:17

writes 304:11

writing 81:13 128:19

written 23:24 170:6 171:13 219:9

303:4

wrong 77:21 123:3 200:5 229:2

wrote 193:17 296:19,20 300:10

Υ

Yakama 78:7 138:1

Yakima 40:3 41:4 56:5 230:10 268:7

year 63:23 64:3

years 67:23 107:3

Yu 11:1

Ζ

ZIP 8:16

Zoom 8:1 50:17 62:25 63:13,15 262:23,24 263:1

