













2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425, Seattle, WA 98121 • 206.389.9321 • Toll Free: 855.329.0919

2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Fee: 800.649.2034

ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Depositions • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

In the Matter of:

WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT

VS

STATE OF WASHINGTON

APRIL SIMS

January 14, 2022

Thank you for choosing BA Litigation Services for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR Vice President



The Premier Advantage[™] PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

STRATEGY • TECHNOLOGY • DESIGN • DEPOSITIONS

WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT vs STATE OF WASHINGTON Sims, April - January 14, 2022

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE IN AND FOR THURSTO	
WASHINGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT, a non-profit, nonpartisan Washington organization,))))) No. 21-2-02069-34
Plaintiff,)
v.)
THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, a state government, acting through THE WASHINGTON STATE REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, a Washington State Agency, et al.,))))))
Defendants.)

VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF APRIL SIMS

January 14, 2022

Taken Remotely via Zoom

Reporter: John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR



1	APPEARANCES
2	(All parties appearing remotely.)
3	For Plaintiff Washington Coalition for Open Government:
4	
5	Joan K. Mell III Branches Law
6	1019 Regents Boulevard Suite 204
7	Fircrest, Washington 98466-6037 253.566.2510
8	253.664.4643 Fax joan@3brancheslaw.com
9	Plaintiff Arthur West, Appearing Pro Se:
10	
11	Arthur West Pro Se 120 State Avenue Northeast
12	#1497
13	Olympia, Washington 98501-1131 360.593.4588
14	awestaa@gmail.com
15	For Defendant Washington Redistricting Commission:
16	
17	Gregory J. Wong Zachary J. Pekelis Pacifica Law Group
18	1191 Second Avenue Suite 2000
19	Seattle, Washington 98101-3404 206.245.1700
20	206.245.1700 206.245.1750 Fax greg.wong@pacificalawgroup.com
21	zach.pekelis@pacificalawgroup.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
                APPEARANCES (Continuing)
 2
     For Defendant State of Washington:
 3
               Andrew R. W. Hughes
               Office of the Attorney General
 4
               800 Fifth Avenue
               Suite 2000
               Seattle, Washington 98104-3188
 5
               206.464.7744
               206.464.6451 Fax
 6
               andrew.hughes@atg.wa.gov
 7
     Also Present: Francis Muniz-Nava
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1		EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION BY:		PAGE NO.
3	Ms. Mell		7
4	Mr. West		203
5			
6		EXHIBIT INDEX	
7	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
8 9	Exhibit No. 66	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000885.	223
10	Exhibit No. 67	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000884.	223
11	Exhibit No. 68	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled WRC000549.	223
12	Exhibit No. 69	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled WRC000548.	223
14	Exhibit No. 70	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000914.	223
15 16	Exhibit No. 70a	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000882.	223
17	Exhibit No. 71	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000908.	223
18 19	Exhibit No. 72	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000906.	223
20	Exhibit No. 73	1-page messaging screenshot.	223
21	Deleded No. 74	Labeled RC000905.	222
22	Exhibit No. 74	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000903.	223
23	Exhibit No. 74a	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000904.	223
25	Exhibit No. 75	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000901.	223

			. ago o
1		EXHIBIT INDEX (Continuing)	
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	Exhibit No. 76	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000898.	223
4	Exhibit No. 77	1-page messaging screenshot.	223
5		Labeled RC000897.	
6	Exhibit No. 78	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000896.	223
7 8	Exhibit No. 79	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000885.	223
9	Exhibit No. 79a	1-page messaging screenshot.	223
10		Labeled RC000884.	
11	Exhibit No. 80	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000895.	223
12	Exhibit No. 81	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000893.	223
13 14	Exhibit No. 82	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000892.	223
15	Exhibit No. 83	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000891.	223
16	Exhibit No. 84	1-page messaging screenshot.	223
17		Labeled RC000887.	
18	Exhibit No. 85	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000888.	223
19	Exhibit No. 85a	1-page messaging screenshot.	223
20		Labeled RC000889.	000
21	Exhibit No. 86	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000890.	223
22	Exhibit No. 87	1-page messaging screenshot.	223
23	Exhibit No 00	Labeled RC000881.	222
24 25	Exhibit No. 88	1-page messaging screenshot: Labeled RC000880.	223
۵۵			

1		EXHIBIT INDEX (Continuing)	
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	Exhibit No. 89	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000879.	223
4	Exhibit No. 90	1-page messaging screenshot.	223
5		Labeled RC000878.	
6	Exhibit No. 91	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000875.	223
7	Exhibit No. 92		223
8	LAHERIC NO. 32	Labeled WRC000543.	225
9	Exhibit No. 92a	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled RC000894.	223
10	Exhibit No. 93	1-page messaging screenshot.	223
11	EAHIDIC NO. 93	Labeled RC000877.	223
12	Exhibit No. 94	1-page messaging screenshot. Labeled WRC000558.	223
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
1
                                 BE IT REMEMBERED that on Friday,
      2
             January 14, 2022, at 10:14 a.m. Pacific time, before
             JOHN M.S. BOTELHO, Certified Court Reporter, appeared
      4
             APRIL SIMS, via videoconference, the witness herein;
      5
                                 WHEREUPON, the following
      6
             proceedings were had, to wit:
      7
      8
                                   <<<<< >>>>>>
      9
     10
                                         (Mr. Pekelis not present.)
     11
                                          having been first duly sworn
     12
             APRIL SIMS,
     13
                                          by the Certified Court
     14
                                          Reporter, deposed and
     15
                                           testified as follows:
     16
     17
                                    EXAMINATION
10:14:46.8
             BY MS. MELL:
             State your name.
10:14:46.9
         Q
10:14:420
             April Sims.
         Α
10:14:421
             What is your address?
         0
10:14:522
             631 110th Street South, Tacoma, Washington 98444.
         Α
10:14:523
             What's your phone number?
         Q
10:15:024
         Α
             (253) 441-5113.
10:15:025
             Is that a personal phone?
         0
```

10:15:091	A	It is.
10:15:092	Q	What kind of phone?
10:15:113	A	An iPhone.
10:15:134	Q	Do you know if you back your iPhone up to the cloud?
10:15:185	A	I do not know.
10:15:206	Q	I'm assuming you have text capabilities from that
10:15:267		number?
10:15:278	A	I do.
10:15:279	Q	What have you done to preserve the text
10:15:330		communications related to redistricting work that is
10:15:361		on that device?
10:15:312	A	I haven't done anything.
10:15:43 3	Q	Are you preserving them and not deleting any?
10:15:4 7 4	A	Yes.
10:15:49 5	Q	Have you deleted any text messages from your personal
10:15:516		device that pertain to redistricting since you became
10:16:0107		a commissioner?
10:16:0108	A	Yes.
10:16:139	Q	Did you have any training on well, strike that.
10:16:220		What is the Redistricting Commission's policy on
10:16:221		use of personal technology for redistricting?
10:16:3 2 2		MR. WONG: Object to form.
10:16:323		THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I'm
10:16:324		not sure I'm familiar with the policy.
10:16:32 5		MS. MELL: I just didn't hear I

10:16:411		didn't hear what you said.
10:16:42 2		THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with
10:16:43 3		the policy.
10:16:444	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Do you know if there is a policy?
10:16:45 5	A	I don't.
10:16:486	Q	Were you ever instructed not to use personal
10:16:527		technology to conduct your work as a commissioner?
10:16:55 8	A	No. Not that I recall.
10:16:589	Q	Were you ever instructed on how to retain digital
10:17:0 1 0		communications for your work as a commissioner
10:17:111		created on private technology?
10:17:1 12	A	Not that I recall.
10:17:1 1 3	Q	When's the last time you deleted text communications
10:17:2124		related to your work as a commissioner?
10:17:2 15	A	I don't recall.
10:17:316	Q	Did you delete any text messages for any specified
10:17:4 1 7		time frame?
10:17:4 18	A	No.
10:17:459	Q	Did you delete any text messages that you recall that
10:17:520		were communications from any particular person?
10:17:5 21	A	No.
10:17:5 2 2	Q	What do you know about what you've what you have
10:18:023		versus what you've deleted?
10:18:0 24	A	I'm not sure I understand the question.
	I	

Q Do you have knowledge as to what you deleted versus

10:18:025

	Ollilo, A	prii - January 14, 2022
10:18:111		what you have still?
10:18:12 2	A	I deleted some communication between me and my
10:18:20 3		husband.
10:18:214	Q	Did you delete those communications to protect your
10:18:295		privacy?
10:18:356		MR. WONG: Object to form.
10:18:38 7		THE WITNESS: Yes.
10:18:578	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Were they communications that did
10:19:009		contain information related to your work as a
10:19:0 1 0		commissioner?
10:19:0 11	A	Yes.
10:19:21/2	Q	Did you delete those text message well, strike
10:19:39/3		that.
10:19:394		Who is your husband?
10:19:4 15	A	I'm not sure what you're what you're asking for.
10:19:4 16		You want his name?
10:19:4567	Q	Let's start with his name.
10:19:4 188	A	His name is Marcus Sims.
10:19:51/9	Q	Does he have an official role in any capacity?
10:19:5 20	A	No.
10:20:0 2 1	Q	Were you texting your husband, Marcus Sims, with
10:20:0 2 2		regard to your work as a commissioner for purposes of
10:20:123		your strike that.
10:20:224		How did you use your husband relative to your

work as a commissioner as expressed in those texts?

10:20:225

	UIII3, 7	prii - Sandary 14, 2022
10:20:301		MR. WONG: Object to form.
10:20:31 2		THE WITNESS: I I can you
10:20:34 3		repeat the question?
10:20:354	Q	(By Ms. Mell) How were you using in what
10:20:385		strike that.
10:20:386		What role was your husband serving in the context
10:20:437		of you texting him with respect to commission
10:20:468		business?
10:20:489		MR. WONG: Object to form.
10:20:4 ½0		THE WITNESS: I mean, what role was
10:20:5 11		he serving? As my confidant.
10:21:012		MS. MELL: Okay.
10:21:0 13		THE WITNESS: My support system.
10:21:094	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Does Marcus Sims have any particular
10:21:125		expertise applicable to your work as a commissioner?
10:21:1 16	A	No.
10:21:2107		MR. WONG: April, just let her
10:21:21/8		finish the question first before you start answering.
10:21:259		Otherwise, the court reporter can't write it down.
10:21:2 2 0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you delete text communications
10:21:3 2 1		with anyone else?
10:21:3 22	A	Not that I recall.
10:21:423	Q	I noticed well, can you explain to me what you
10:21:4 24		have done to make your text messages pertaining to
10:21:5 2 5		your commission work available for purposes of public

10:21:561		records request?
10:22:00 2	A	I reviewed the public records requests and took
10:22:08 3		screenshots of all of my text messages and sent them
10:22:12 4		in to commission staff.
10:22:145	Q	Have you made any effort to preserve the metadata
10:22:186		associated with those texts, or did you just take

I took screenshots. Α

screenshots?

And have you preserved all of the texts that you took screenshots of?

Yes. Α

10:22:227

10:22:228

10:22:259

10:22:3100

10:22:3**11**

10:22:37.2

10:22:3183

10:22:43:4

10:22:455

10:22:416

10:22:497

10:22:538

10:22:599

10:23:020

10:23:021

10:23:12/2

10:23:123

10:23:224

10:23:325

Have you spoken to anyone about translating those 0 texts in their entirety using a software for that purpose?

MR. WONG: Object to form.

I have not. THE WITNESS:

(By Ms. Mell) We have outstanding discovery requests Q for your text communications, so I would just ask that they be preserved. And I'm going to assume that your legal counsel will instruct you on the retention requirements of litigation.

In the text messages I received, from what's in a file folder represented to be April Sims' text from personal devices, there are Adobe documents with

10:23:371 Did you do that work? I did not. 10:23:382 Α 10:23:433 There are several texts in the chronology that are Q 10:23:524 missing, or there are numeric omissions. For 10:23:555 instance, there are numbers that go 32, 33, 34, 35, 10:23:596 and then there's no 36 and then it goes to 37. 10:24:037 Do you have any explanation for those omissions? 10:24:058 Object to form. MR. WONG: 10:24:099 I can't speak to THE WITNESS: 10:24:1**10** that. 10:24:111 (By Ms. Mell) Did you withhold any texts from public 0 disclosure other than obviously the ones you would 10:24:192 10:24:21/3 have deleted? 10:24:2**1.4** Α No. 10:24:245 Have you read the texts since making them available 10:24:326 for public disclosure? 10:24:3**47** Some of them. Α 10:24:388 Do you agree that the texts with your fellow voting 10:24:459 commissioners reflect that you and your fellow voting 10:24:420 commissioners were negotiating your redistricting 10:24:521 plan collectively? 10:24:522 MR. WONG: Object to form. 10:24:5**23** THE WITNESS: Can you ask the 10:25:0**24** question again? Do I agree with...?

(By Ms. Mell) Do you agree that your text messages

Q

10:25:025

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 14
10:25:071		reflect that you and your fellow voting commissioners
10:25:102		were deliberating, negotiating, preparing your
10:25:203		redistricting plan among the four of you?
10:25:244		MR. WONG: Object to form.
10:25:26 5		THE WITNESS: I do not agree with
10:25:29 6		that.
10:25:297	Q	(By Ms. Mell) What's your basis for disagreeing with
10:25:358		that?
10:25:36 9	A	The four of us weren't negotiating over text. We
10:25:5 ½ 0		weren't you asked me three spec negotiating
10:26:0 %1		your original question, you asked me three things:
10:26:1 12		Negotiating and?
10:26:153	Q	I don't remember all the words that I used.
10:26:11/4		Negotiations is
10:26:2 15	A	Well, we just weren't negotiating over text.
10:26:2 1 6	Q	Okay. Do you agree that your texts reflect status of
10:26:327		negotiations or communications about the strike
10:26:318		that. Let me start over.
10:26:3169		Do you agree that your text messages reflect you
10:26:420		communicating with one voting commissioner what
10:26:4 2 1		another voting commissioner thought, said, or
10:26:5 2 2		communicated with respect to redistricting
10:26:523		negotiations?
10:26:5 24		MR. WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I would not agree

10:27:1**25**

		, ,
10:27:141		with that statement.
10:27:16 2	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Why do you not agree with that
10:27:18 3		statement?
10:27:194	A	I don't recall ever communicating or even knowing how
10:27:525		another commissioner was going to vote.
10:28:006	Q	What do you mean by how a commissioner was going to
10:28:067		vote relative to the question asking about your
10:28:118		negotiations?
10:28:129	A	Maybe I need you to maybe I need you to repeat the
10:28:180		question.
10:28:191	Q	Do your text messages document the fact that you and
10:28:31 2		your fellow voting commissioners were communicating
10:28:39 3		among each other information specific to
10:28:494		congressional and legislative districts?
10:28:5125	A	I'm sorry. I'm really trying to understand so that I
10:29:016		can answer this question to the best of my ability.
10:29:1 %7		Do I agree
10:29:218		I think I'd have to see the text messages.
10:29:25 9	Q	What was the status of the commission's work with
10:29:32 0		regard to its redistricting plan as of November 12th?
10:29:3 21	A	We were in negotiations on November 12th.
10:29:422	Q	What do you mean you were in negotiations on November
10:29:52 3		12th?
10:29:524	A	Commissioner Graves and I were negotiating a

legislative proposal on November 12th.

10:30:025

10:30:061 How does a legislative proposal relate to your duties 0 10:30:202 to approve a redistricting plan? 10:30:233 Well, we were working to negotiate a proposal that we Α 10:31:004 could bring to the commission for approval of a plan. 10:31:095 What is the plan? 0 10:31:116 The -- the map. Α 10:31:197 0 What kind of map? 10:31:238 Well, Commissioner Graves and I were working on a Α 10:31:269 legislative map, or a proposal for a legislative map. 10:31:31:0 Did Commissioner Graves -- strike that. 0 10:31:4101 Is a legislative map the entirety of work 10:31:49 2 required for a plan? 10:31:5**13** No. Α 10:32:294 What else is contained within a redistricting plan? 10:32:315 Α The plan would include legal descriptions from all of 10:32:416 the boundaries for each district. 10:32:517 Is there anything else that's -- is there anything Q else in a plan, redistricting plan? 10:32:578 Not that I can think of. 10:33:049 Α 10:33:020 So is it your testimony that a redistricting plan is 0 10:33:121 complete with a map of legislative districts that includes legal descriptions of its boundaries? 10:33:182 10:33:223 I believe so. Α 10:33:224 Are you forgetting at this time that a redistricting 0

plan is comprised of a congressional district map in

10:33:325

	C	- Gandary 1.1, 2022
10:33:411		addition to a legislative district map?
10:33:432		MR. WONG: Object to form.
10:33:48 3		THE WITNESS: Yeah, and I'm sorry,
10:33:49 4		I thought we were talking about the legislative map
10:33:54 5		for the legislative plan. That's the entire work of
10:33:58 6		the commission.
10:34:007		MS. MELL: That's my question.
10:34:018	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Is a redistricting plan complete with
10:34:049		only a legislative map, or does it have more than one
10:34:090		component?
10:34:2 %1	A	I think our job was to approve two plans, one
10:34:3 12		legislative plan and one congressional plan.
10:34:313	Q	And what was required to approve a legislative
10:34:5104		district plan and a congressional district plan?
10:34:5 15	A	Three of the four commissioners had to vote in favor
10:35:0 16		for approve.
10:35:01/7	Q	Approve what?
10:35:0 18	A	The plan.
10:35:099	Q	And when you say "the plan" in that context, are you
10:35:120		speaking of both a legislative and a congressional
10:35:121		district map?
10:35:1 22	A	Yes.
10:35:223	Q	And what does a legislative and congressional
10:35:2 24		district map, what is it comprised of?
	1	

MR. WONG: Object to form.

10:35:325

10:35:361		THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I
10:35:422		understand the question. What is the?
10:35:46 3	Q	(By Ms. Mell) How do you know when you have a
10:35:484		legislative and congressional district map?
10:35:515	A	Well, you have the map. I mean, you you either
10:36:146		have a map on some platform, whether it's Dave's
10:36:237		Redistricting or in Edge.
10:36:258	Q	Are there necessary components of a map that make it
10:36:329		understandable or defined?
10:36:3 1 0	A	Other than the digital representation?
10:36:491	Q	Well, I don't I've never been part of the process.
10:36:5 1 2		So I'm just wanting to know: How did you gauge what
10:36:51 3		you were doing and how was it expressed?
10:37:004		How was a legislative or congressional district
10:37:025		map expressed such that you knew what you were
10:37:046		approving?
10:37:0 5 7	A	And are you asking about a specific time? A time
10:37:118		frame? How are you
10:37:139	Q	I just want to know what you understood you had to do
10:37:220		relative to your duties to approve a redistricting
10:37:221		plan.
10:37:222		And I'm at the point where I'm asking you to be
10:37:32 3		clear about what you thought you had to do
10:37:324		specifically as to a congressional and legislative
10:37:32 5		district map as you've used those terms.

10:38:241	A So we were tasked with dividing the 7.7 million
10:38:312	residents in our state into 49 compact, convenient,
10:38:403	and contiguous legislative districts and ten compact,
10:38:464	convenient, contiguous congressional districts that
10:38:515	were relatively equal in population, that did not
10:39:006	unnecessarily divide municipalities, and maintained
10:39:047	communities of interest.

I saw my job as negotiating the boundaries of those 49 legislative districts.

- Are you telling me you had no role in negotiating the 0 boundaries of the ten congressional districts?
- I had some conversations about the ten congressional Α districts but was not leading the negotiations on that map.
- Did you have some conversations about the congressional district boundaries in your texting?
- Did I -- say the question again. I'm sorry. Α

Did I have a role?

10:40:259 No. 0

10:39:078

10:39:149

10:39:160

10:39:371

10:39:412

10:39:47.3

10:39:5104

10:39:515

10:39:516

10:40:117

10:40:248

10:40:220

10:40:321

10:40:422

10:40:423

10:40:424

10:40:525

Did you text about the congressional district boundaries with your fellow voting commissioners?

- I would have to see the text messages. I don't Α recall off the top of my head.
- As you sit here today, do you have any recollection 0 as to whether or not your text messages reflect

10:40:551 communications about congressional district maps? I imagine there's some communication in my text 10:40:592 Α 10:41:083 messages regarding congressional district maps, but I 10:41:124 could not -- I can't recall to whom or when. 10:41:145 As you sit here today, do you have some recollection 0 10:41:196 that you expressed communications via text with other voting commissioners that reflected communications 10:41:307 10:41:338 between more than two of you? 10:41:369 Object to form. MR. WONG: 10:41:4**10** THE WITNESS: Relative to the 10:41:4**5.1** congressional maps? 10:41:462 MS. MELL: Sure. Mm-hmm. Yep. 10:41:432.3 THE WITNESS: Not that I recall. 10:41:514 (By Ms. Mell) How about as to the legislative map? 0 10:41:545 Were you texting among three voting commissioners --10:42:016 no, strike that. 10:42:047 Did you text with any other voting commissioner 10:42:098 about the legislative district maps, communications 10:42:199 that included the thoughts of a -- thoughts or 10:42:220 communications of a third voting commissioner? 10:42:221 Object to form. MR. WONG: 10:42:322 I'm not sure -- it THE WITNESS: 10:42:323 seemed like a long question. Could you ask me again? 10:42:324 MS. MELL: Yes. 10:42:425 (By Ms. Mell) As you sit here today, do you recall

Q

	your text communications to reflect that you were
	communicating with a fellow voting commissioner about
	the redistricting plans, and in the communications
	with the one other voting commissioner, you included
	communications that you'd had with a third voting
	commissioner?
	MR. WONG: Object to form.
	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
Q	(By Ms. Mell) Do you know what the significance of
	texting the content of deliberations among three
	voting commissioners has to open government?
	MR. WONG: Object to form.
	THE WITNESS: I I do not.
Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you say you do know?
A	I do not know the consequences.
Q	I don't think I asked you the consequence.
	I asked you: Is there some significance that you
	are aware of to texting discussion content about
	redistricting among two three voting
	rediscricting among two three voting
	commissioners?
	commissioners?
	commissioners? MR. WONG: Object to form.
Q	commissioners? MR. WONG: Object to form. THE WITNESS: You're asking if I know the significance?
	Q A

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 10:45:311 commissioner are texting about redistricting and include in those communications the thought processes 10:45:382 10:45:413 of a third commissioner? 10:45:444 Same objection. MR. WONG: 10:45:455 MS. MELL: Voting commissioner. 10:45:476 I suppose that would THE WITNESS: depend on the -- I don't. Actually, I don't know a 10:46:01**7**

significance.

(By Ms. Mell) Do you have any reason to believe it 0 might have something to do with open government standards applicable to your work as a commissioner? MR. WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I think I'm still not clear what you're asking me.

(By Ms. Mell) I'm asking whether you know anything about your duties and responsibilities as a commissioner to open government.

Α Yes.

Okay. And with that knowledge, does it include 0 knowledge that it is of significance if you and a voting commissioner are communicating, whether in text or in any other form, the thought processes of you, a second commissioner, and a third commissioner who can vote?

> Object to form. MR. WONG:

10:46:525

10:46:068

10:46:069

10:46:020

10:46:1111

10:46:142

10:46:1**1.3**

10:46:1**9.4**

10:46:2105

10:46:216

10:46:257

10:46:2**18**

10:46:289

		Fin Gallaci, 11, 2022
10:47:031		THE WITNESS: If you're asking me
10:47:052		if I know that I know that the members, the four
10:47:253		voting members of the commission are not allowed to
10:47:274		meet together to deliberate together or a quorum of
10:47:325		the voting members of the commission are not allowed
10:47:356		to meet together to deliberate together.
10:47:467	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Do you know whether or not the more
10:47:488		than two of you are able to have discussions,

implicating your duties to meet publicly?

MR. WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: It's my understanding that we are allowed to meet and have conversations as long as those conversations aren't related to actions that we would take as a commission.

digitally or otherwise, about redistricting without

- Q (By Ms. Mell) And when you say actions that you would take as a commission, what do you mean?
- A As long as we are not meeting or discussing an action, something that we are voting on, or a decision that is before the commission, we are allowed to meet.
- Q Okay. So at what point in a conversation about the redistricting plan does it become an action, from what you understand?

MR. WONG: Object to form.

10:48:520 10:48:521 10:48:522 10:49:023

10:49:12:4

10:49:125

10:47:559

10:48:020

10:48:081

10:48:102

10:48:163

10:48:210.4

10:48:235

10:48:276

10:48:297

10:48:318

10:48:479

THE WITNESS: Are you asking me to speculate around something specific?

MS. MELL: No. I'm just asking you to explain to me how you understand your duties as a commissioner to open government.

THE WITNESS: Can you ask me the question again?

MS. MELL: Yeah.

Q (By Ms. Mell) You were using the word "action." And you expressed to me that you can discuss your redistricting work among all of the four commissioners or more than just two commissioners so long as it's not an action.

And I'm wanting to know how you know when your conversation moves into the category of what you describe as an action.

MR. WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not sure that I said we could discuss our redistricting work. Just that we could meet and have conversations as long as it wasn't related to an action that we were taking as a commission or something that we had authority over.

- Q (By Ms. Mell) Something that you had a what with?
- A Authority over.

10:49:161

10:49:192

10:49:223

10:49:244

10:49:275

10:49:296

10:49:307

10:49:338

10:49:339

10:49:360

10:49:391

10:49:432

10:49:453

10:49:484

10:49:525

10:49:576

10:49:597

10:50:028

10:50:049

10:50:020

10:50:021

10:50:122

10:50:123

10:50:224

10:50:225

- Sims, April January 14, 2022 10:50:271 0 10:50:342 10:50:383 redistricting plan? 10:50:414 Α 10:50:475 10:50:486 0 10:50:547 10:50:598 10:51:029 10:51:010 10:51:111 today? 10:51:112 10:51:113 10:51:2**1.4** I'm really not trying to be difficult. 10:51:2**1.5** 10:51:3**16** really just trying to understand what it is you're 10:51:3**17** asking.
 - (By Ms. Mell) And I'm just trying to understand what 0 you felt your duties and responsibilities were relative to open government and when you felt you needed to be meeting publicly and when you didn't.

So I want a clear understanding of what your thought process was during the deliberations on the redistricting plan.

So with that background, you used the term

LITIGATION SERVICES

- We did have authority over discussions -- we did have authority over the redistricting plan.
 - All right. So if you and the two commissioners are discussing redistricting plan and communicating with each other what a third voting commissioner's thoughts were as to a redistricting plan, is that action as you understand the term and are using it

Object to form. MR. WONG:

THE WITNESS: I'm really not -- can

you -- can you ask me that again?

10:51:338 10:51:359 10:51:320

10:51:421

10:51:42/2

10:51:423

10:51:524

10:51:52:5

"action" as the triggering event to meet publicly.

And my question is:

If you're deliberating about elements of the redistricting plan by texting or speaking to one voting commissioner and you express the thoughts of a third voting commissioner, is that action or is that not action for purposes of meeting publicly from what you felt your duties were?

MR. WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Well, I would say that we were communicating, not deliberating.

- Q (By Ms. Mell) Okay. What's the difference?
- A Well, deliberation is discussions that lead to action. We were communicating our priorities. We were communicating, you know, the status of negotiations, but we were never deliberating outside of public view.
- Q Did you ever deliberate the legislative or congressional district maps in public view on the 15th?
- A We discussed them, yes.
- Q What did you say with regard to legislative or congressional district maps publicly on the 15th?
- A At what time?
- 10:53:5**2**5 Q At any time.

10:52:001

10:52:042

10:52:073

10:52:154

10:52:215

10:52:256

10:52:317

10:52:358

10:52:389

10:52:3**120**

10:52:4**1.1**

10:52:46 2

10:52:4**1**.3

10:53:0**14**

10:53:1**45**

10:53:1**16**

10:53:2**1.7**

10:53:288

10:53:319

10:53:320

10:53:4**21**

10:53:42.2

10:53:423

10:53:524

10:53:551 Well, it was a long meeting. There were a number of Α 10:54:062 times I came on and gave a status update and 10:54:103 discussed the legislative plans. 10:54:134 Was your only knowledge about the congressional 0 10:54:185 district plan what you learned in the public portion 10:54:246 of the meeting on the 15th? 10:54:267 Α That was a lot of it, yes. 10:54:328 What other information did you have about the 10:54:359 congressional district plan that wasn't learned in 10:54:400 the public portion of the meeting on the 15th? 10:54:471 Well, I had seen a number of drafts, draft maps. Α Ι 10:54:542 had -- I'd seen a number of draft maps and had some 10:55:013 communication with Walkinshaw. 10:55:084 Did you have communication with Commissioner 0 10:55:115 Walkinshaw about the congressional maps that included 10:55:146 the thought processes of any other voting 10:55:177 commissioner? 10:55:198 Α No. 10:55:219 Are you sure about that? 0 10:55:220 Not to my knowledge. Α 10:55:321 You understand you're under oath today? 0 I do. 10:55:322 Α 10:55:323 Do you recognize that the public portion of your 0 10:55:424 meeting on the 15th was approximately 30 minutes

long?

10:55:425

10:55:501 MR. WONG: Object to form. I don't think I've 10:55:542 THE WITNESS: 10:55:55**3** actually looked at the -- the actual length. I think 10:55:554 I've heard that. 10:55:555 THE REPORTER: Sorry. "The 10:55:556 actual, " what? I don't think I've 10:56:06**7** THE WITNESS: looked at the actual length, but I think I've heard 10:56:068 I think I read that in an article or it's been 10:56:099 that. 10:56:1**10** expressed to me. 10:56:11/1 MS. MELL: Hey, John, can you make 10:56:162 sure that you're doing time markers on the O and A? 10:56:193 I do need that for all the deps, and I keep 10:56:224 forgetting to tell you that. It's going to be 10:56:225 particularly important with this one. 10:56:226 (Clarification by reporter.) 10:56:327 10:56:478 (By Ms. Mell) Did you at any time on the 15th in 10:56:499 public purview discuss a specific legislative or 10:56:520 congressional district map? 10:57:0**21** Did I discuss? Α 10:57:02.2 Yes. 0 10:57:023 Α Yes. 10:57:024 What did you say? 0

I think I talked about -- we talked about

Α

10:57:125

10:57:291	consolidating	Lummi and Nooksack	in the 42nd.
10:57:292		THE REPORTER:	"Consolidating," I'm
10:57:29 3	sorry?		

THE WITNESS: Lummi and Nooksack tribes in the 42nd. Taking Lake Stevens out of the 44th. Consolidating Tulalip and Marysville in the 38th.

We talked about taking Tacoma out of Lakewood and consolidating -- oh, no. I'm sorry. Taking Tacoma out of the 28th and consolidating Lakewood.

I don't recall what else I said during that meeting.

- Q (By Ms. Mell) And was your description an expression of what you recall today communicating publicly?
- 10:58:245 A Was my expression...
 - Q Did you just describe what you said publicly, or are you talking about private conversations?
- 10:58:318 A I believe that's what I said publicly.
- 10:58:409 Q Did you ever present the public with a redistricting plan prior to voting?
- 10:58:521 A We did not have maps, no.
- 10:59:022 Q Did you ever publicize a redistricting plan prior to voting?
- 10:59:124 A We did not.

10:57:374

10:57:375

10:57:436

10:57:517

10:57:578

10:58:019

10:58:040

10:58:111

10:58:142

10:58:143

10:58:204

10:58:326

10:58:357

10:59:125 Q Why were you negotiating a legislative district map

10:59:301		with one other commissioner?	
10:59:32 2	A	We were negotiating in dyads.	
10:59:393	Q	Why?	
10:59:39 4	A	That's what previous commissions had done.	
10:59:435	Q	Did you publicly decide to negotiate in dyads?	
10:59:49 6	A	I don't recall if we had a conversation about it	
10:59:55 7		during a public meeting or not.	
10:59:578	Q	Did you take action as a commission to bifurcate the	
11:00:059		negotiations into dyads?	
11:00:0% O		MR. WONG: Object to form.	
11:00:0 11		THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.	
11:00:112	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you ever tell the public the	
11:00:153		commission was negotiating in dyads?	
11:00:1 144	A	I don't recall.	
11:00:325	Q	What were the dyads?	
11:00:3 16	A	The two House appointees in one dyad and the two	
11:00:4 17		Senate appointees in another.	
11:00:458	Q	So who were the two House appointees?	
11:00:5 19	A	Commissioner Graves and I were the House appointees.	
11:01:0 20		Commissioner Fain and Commissioner Walkinshaw were	
11:01:0 21		the Senate appointees.	
11:01:022	Q	Who made the decision for that breakdown or	
11:01:1 2 3		assignment?	
11:01:1 24	A	I believe it was suggested by Sarah Augustine.	
11:01:2 2 5	Q	Was it suggested publicly?	

	Sims, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 3
11:01:291	A	I don't recall.
11:01:302	Q	Did you agree to negotiate in dyads split between the
11:01:37 3		House and Senate appointees?
11:01:404	A	I did.
11:01:405	Q	And whom did you communicate your agreement to?
11:01:466	A	I believe to Commissioner Graves.
11:01:517	Q	Anyone else?
11:01:558	A	I likely communicated it to Chair Augustine and
11:02:049		Commissioner Walkinshaw in some form or another.
11:02:10 0	Q	Did you do so publicly?
11:02:121	A	I don't recall.
11:02:14 2	Q	Was there a consensus among the commissioners to
11:02:20 3		negotiate in bipartisan dyads split between the House
11:02:254		and the Senate?
11:02:27 5		MR. WONG: Object to form.
11:02:286		THE WITNESS: I don't know what you
11:02:317		mean by "consensus."
11:02:318	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you all agree to negotiate in that
11:02:39 9		form?
11:02:420	A	I mean, we all ended up negotiating in that form, but
11:02:421		I don't recall a conversation where we all came to
11:02:522		some sort of agreement.
11:02:52 3	Q	Did anyone have any objections to negotiating in that
11:02:524		form?

Α

Not that I recall.

11:02:525

11:02:581 Were there any discussions at any time about 0 negotiating in that form? 11:03:022 11:03:033 There were -- I'm sure there were. Α 11:03:354 What do you recall in terms of conversations about 0 11:03:395 negotiating in that form? 11:03:416 Recall conversations with my team about what a Α negotiation plan or schedule would look like. 11:03:50**7** I recall conversations with Commissioner Graves 11:04:018 about what a schedule would look like and how we 11:04:059 11:04:0**10** would approach the negotiations. 11:04:131 Did you communicate that back to any of the other 0 commissioners? 11:04:152 11:04:11.3 I believe I let Commissioner Walkinshaw know. Α 11:04:234 How did you let Commissioner Walkinshaw know? 0 I don't recall if -- if I sent him a draft of the 11:04:3**15** Α 11:04:316 negotiation schedule or if we just had a 11:04:3**9.7** conversation. 11:04:4728 Did you write the negotiation schedule? 0 11:04:4169 I did not. Α 11:04:420 Who did? 0 11:04:4**21** Dominique Meyers. Α 11:04:522 Earlier, you said your team, or you used the word "my 0 11:05:023 team." Whom am I to understand "my team" means when you 11:05:024

LITIGATION SERVICES

use that term?

11:05:025

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022	Page 33
11:05:071	A	Osta Davis and Dominique Meyers.	
11:05:112	Q	Who's Osta Davis?	
11:05:123	A	She was the redistricting analyst.	
11:05:164	Q	And how about Dominique Meyers?	
11:05:305	A	Dominique Meyers is deputy assistant or deputy	
11:05:346		deputy chief of staff to the speaker.	
11:05:387	Q	And the speaker is who?	
11:05:408	A	Laurie Jinkins.	
11:05:549	Q	Is Osta Davis a partisan staffer?	
11:05:5 1 0	A	Yes.	
11:06:01	Q	What party?	
11:06:012	A	Democratic party.	
11:06:01 3	Q	And how about Dominique?	
11:06:014	A	Yes.	
11:06:0 5	Q	Democrat?	
11:06:116	A	Yes.	
11:06:117	Q	Are you Democrat?	
11:06:118	A	I was appointed by the Democratic speaker of the	
11:06:2109		House.	
11:06:22 0	Q	And who is that?	
11:06:221	A	Laurie Jinkins.	
11:06:23 2	Q	Did you take direction and input from Laurie Jinkin	າຣ
11:06:32 3		in conducting your redistricting work?	
11:06:324		MR. WONG: Object to form.	
	1		

THE WITNESS: I took input from

11:06:325

11:06:411		Laurie Jinkins and a number of other stakeholders.
11:06:432	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you feel responsible for meeting
11:06:53 3		Laurie Jenkins' objectives in redistricting during
11:06:544		the course of your work as a redistricting
11:06:59 5		commissioner?
11:06:596	A	Can you ask me the question again?
11:07:037		MS. MELL: Can you read back the
11:07:038		question?
11:07:039		(Pertinent question read by
11:07:03 0		the reporter.)
11:07:031		
11:08:182		THE WITNESS: Yes, in that I was
11:08:183		appointed to negotiate a redistricting plan. I felt
11:08:244		responsible to get my job done.
11:08:26 5	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And gauging what your job was, in
11:08:316		part, came from the direction Laurie Jinkins gave
11:08:357		you; is that correct?
11:08:368		MR. WONG: Object to form.
11:08:3189		THE WITNESS: No, I would not say
11:08:420		that's correct.
11:08:421	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Okay. So what kind of input did
11:08:5222		Laurie Jinkins give you?
11:08:523	A	Speaker Jinkins wanted me to negotiate plans before
11:09:224		our deadline.

She wanted me to negotiate plans that protected

11:09:325

Democratic majorities.

She wanted me to negotiate plans that maintain communities of interest.

- Q All right. Is that answer complete now?
- A To the best of my ability, yes.
- Q Do you remember negotiating any particular element of either the congressional or legislative district maps to fulfill a request made by Speaker Jinkins, request or input from Speaker Jinkins?
- A Did you say any specific district?
- Q I think I said specific input.
- A Specific input.

I wouldn't say -- well, no, my entire -- my priorities throughout this were to negotiate maps that reflected the political reality in our state.

We are a Democratic majority state, so I wanted maps that secure those. Our priorities were in alignment there.

I wanted -- I wanted maps that reflected input from communities that had historically been left out of the process, so maps that had more majority-minority districts that were mindful of how we kept communities of interest together, maps that reflected the consultation that we had with the sovereign tribes in our state.

11:09:401

11:09:482

11:09:523

- 11:12:141 | Q Anything else?
- 11:12:162 A Did I already mention -- I think I already mentioned
 11:12:313 communities of interest.

I'm thinking of my big priorities. I had a number of priorities going into negotiations and a number of priorities reflected in the draft maps that I released publicly.

- Q Did you talk to Adam Smith about the content of redistricting negotiations?
- 11:12:510 A I talked to Adam Smith. I'm not sure it was 11:13:011 regarding the content of the negotiations.
 - Q Did you talk to him about your redistricting work?
- 11:13:0**13** A I did.

11:12:324

11:12:35**5**

11:12:396

11:12:41**7**

11:12:448

11:12:519

11:13:062

11:13:116

11:13:2**17**

11:13:2**1.8**

11:13:319

11:13:320

11:13:5**25**

- 11:13:114 Q What did you talk to Adam Smith about relative to 11:13:115 your redistricting work?
 - A We talked about my draft congressional map.

We talked about the public input that we received -- "we" being the commission -- regarding the way that I drew the 9th congressional district.

- O Is that his district?
- 11:13:3**21** A It is.
- 11:13:322 Q What did he say to you about that?
- 11:13:423 A I think he really liked the way that I drew -- let me
 11:13:524 back up and not...
 - I got positive feedback from him on the way that

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 3
11:14:011		I drew the maps and some concern that community or
11:14:042		that public feedback might have been a little harsh
11:14:233		on me.
11:14:244	Q	Did you share the content of any of your
11:14:26 5		communications with Adam Smith with any of your other
11:14:316		commissioners?
11:14:317	A	Did I share or show? I didn't hear.
11:14:408	Q	Share. Whether you showed or shared orally.
11:14:439	A	I'm sure I talked to Commissioner Walkinshaw about
11:14:470		it.
11:14:47 1	Q	Do you know whether or not Commissioner Walkinshaw
11:14:51 2		was taking the content of the communications you had
11:14:54 3		with him to any other commissioner?
11:14:514	A	I don't know.
11:14:59 5	Q	Who were the staff assigned to the dyad you were
11:15:08 6		negotiating in for Graves?
11:15:117	A	Commissioner Graves' staffer, Anton. I can't
11:15:118		remember Anton's last name.
11:15:119		And I had Osta Davis and Dominique Meyers.
11:15:23 0	Q	So Graves had one staff person, and you had two?
11:15:2 21	A	That's correct.
11:15:22 2	Q	Why did you have two?
11:15:323	A	Osta Davis was out sick for a while, so Dominique
11:15:324		Meyers was backfilling for Osta. And when Osta came

back, we wanted to maintain the continuity of our

11:15:425

11:15:481 negotiations, so we wanted to -- Commissioner Graves 11:15:522 agreed to allow Osta to come into the negotiations. 11:15:563 Who does Osta Davis work -- or report to in her work Q 11:16:014 as a Democratic caucus staff person? 11:16:045 Α I believe she reports directly to the chief of staff 11:16:116 for the speaker. 11:16:137 Q And who does Dominique Meyers report to in her 11:16:198 position with the House? I believe to the chief of staff. 11:16:229 Α 11:16:250 And who is the chief of staff? 0 11:16:3101 It's going to be on the record that I can't remember Α 11:16:402 his name. (Videoconference technical difficulties.) 11:16:403 What was that, THE REPORTER: 11:16:404 April? 11:16:495 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm thinking. I can't recall his name. But I'm happy to -- I'm 11:16:546 11:16:527 happy to look it up. 11:17:008 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. So tell me about what you knew 0 11:17:029 about the other dyad. 11:17:020 Who was a participant in the congressional map dyad? 11:17:021 I mean, Commissioner Walkinshaw, Commissioner Fain, 11:17:122 Α 11:17:223 and their staffers, I believe. Do you remember who were their staffers? 11:17:224 0

Ali O'Neil and -- Ali O'Neil for Commissioner

Α

11:17:225

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 39
11:17:321		Walkinshaw, and Paul Campos for Commissioner Fain.
11:17:35 2	Q	Was Matt Davis a participant?
11:17:413	A	Matt Davis?
11:17:474	Q	Yes.
11:17:485	A	I don't know a Matt Davis. So I don't know.
11:17:536	Q	Okay. Were there other negotiating units other than
11:18:037		the dyads, such as caucus negotiations?
11:18:078	A	Could you be more specific?
11:18:129	Q	Did you limit your negotiations strictly to the
11:18:17 0		dyads, or were you also negotiating by partisan
11:18:2 4 1		caucus designations?
11:18:212	A	I was negotiating with Commissioner Graves, and I was
11:18:323		communicating with Commissioner Walkinshaw.
11:18:354	Q	And so would you characterize your communications
11:18:41 5		with Walkinshaw as partisan communications or caucus
11:18:49 6		communications?
11:18:4 9 7	A	Sure.
11:18:5 38	Q	Okay. And do you know what Graves and Fain were
11:19:00 9		doing?
11:19:02 0		Did they also have established a caucus
11:19:031		communication?
11:19:022	A	I don't know.
11:19:02 3	Q	What was the physical setup of the negotiations
11:19:124		beginning on the 12th relative to your dyads and/or
	1	

11:19:225

caucuses?

253.627.6401

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 40
11:19:231		MR. WONG: Object to form.
11:19:25 2		THE WITNESS: So the 12th was
11:19:30 3		Friday?
11:19:344		MS. MELL: Yes.
11:19:35 5		THE WITNESS: Friday.
11:19:39 6		All of my communications were through Zoom or
11:19:43 7		over the phone. They were all virtual with regards
11:19:46 8		to my negotiations with Commissioner Graves and my
11:19:52 9		communication with Commissioner Walkinshaw.
11:19:550	Q	(By Ms. Mell) On the 12th?
11:19:5 %1	A	Correct.
11:19:592	Q	And was that planned?
11:20:0 1/3	A	I don't recall if it was planned. In terms of for it
11:20:1 144		to be virtual?
11:20:2105	Q	Well, I guess I need a big-picture plan.
11:20:216		I think my opening question was: What was the
11:20:217		status of the negotiations? And I really want to
11:20:298		know how it was set up.
11:20:319		So that's just kind of some background. That's
11:20:3 2 0		what I'm trying to get at. I'm starting with the
11:20:3 2 1		date of the 12th.
11:20:3 2 2		What was the plan for purposes of negotiating as
11:20:4 2 3		of the 12th?
11:20:4 24	A	I believe I had some meetings scheduled with

Commissioner Graves on the 12th. I believe we had a

11:20:5**25**

	Sims, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 47
11:20:591		morning meeting and an afternoon meeting
11:21:052		prescheduled.
11:21:06 3	Q	And how
11:21:074	A	I believe at that time we were meeting twice a day.
11:21:10 5	Q	When was the decision made to preschedule meetings
11:21:256		twice a day as of the 12th?
11:21:277	A	Probably I don't recall.
11:21:408	Q	Had there been a decision made to convene
11:21:46 9		negotiations on the 12th in advance of the
11:21:51 0		negotiations convening on the 12th?
11:21:511	A	Yes.
11:21:5 \$2	Q	How did that occur?
11:21:513	A	To the best of my recollection, it was I don't
11:22:284		recall.
11:22:30 5	Q	Was it part of the plan you laid out initially?
11:22:316	A	I don't recall.
11:22:417		And when I say the plan that we laid out
11:22:418		initially was not a plan that it was a plan that
11:22:519		my team and I were thinking about, not a formalized
11:22:520		plan.
11:22:52 1	Q	Was it ever in writing?
11:22:522	A	Yeah, I think there's probably a draft document
11:23:023		somewhere.
11:23:024	Q	Did you stick to the plan?
	1	

No.

Α

11:23:125

11:23:141 How did the -- strike that. 0 11:23:172 Were you working in conformance with your plan as 11:23:223 of the 12th? 11:23:22 4 Α No. 11:23:255 Why not? 0 11:23:276 I think we had an idea in September that negotiations Α would -- that we could script out how negotiations 11:23:41**7** 11:23:498 would go. 11:23:509 And once we started negotiations, it became clear 11:23:5**10** that the timelines that we thought would work and the 11:24:0**11** process that we thought would work was just not realistic for the work. 11:24:01.2 11:24:013 What was your redistricting experience when Speaker Q 11:24:114 Jinkins appointed you? 11:24:125 I had none. Α 11:24:156 What's your highest level of education? 11:24:1**97** Some college. Α 11:24:20 8 Where did you go to college? 0 Southern Illinois University. 11:24:2**19** Α 11:24:220 And do you know why Speaker Jinkins selected you 0 11:24:321 relative to redistricting work? You'd have to ask her. 11:24:3**22** Α 11:24:323 How would you characterize your skill set for 0

purposes of doing redistricting work?

11:24:424

11:24:425

		, ,
11:24:491		THE WITNESS: How would I
11:24:522		characterize my?
11:24:593		I'm a labor leader in the Washington State labor
11:25:214		movement and have experience bringing groups to
11:25:265		consensus and have negotiated a number of employer
11:25:346		contracts.
11:25:347	Q	(By Ms. Mell) So right now where do you work?
11:25:428	A	The Washington State Labor Council.
11:25:449	Q	Did you hold the same position when Speaker Jinkins
11:25:48 0		appointed you?
11:25:4%1	A	Yes.
11:25:51 2	Q	And you had that position throughout your
11:25:54 3		redistricting work?
11:25:514	A	Correct.
11:26:07 5		MR. WONG: Joan, we've been going
11:26:086		about an hour ten. If there's a good time soon we
11:26:11 7		can take a break, appreciate it.
11:26:128		MS. MELL: We can take a ten-minute
11:26:14 9		break.
11:26:12 0		MR. WONG: Okay. Thank you.
11:26:1 2 1		(Pause in proceedings from
11:26:12 2		11:26 a.m. to 11:37 a.m.)
11:37:12 3		
11:37:1 2 4	Q	(By Ms. Mell) On the 12th, what was the
11:37:22 5		configuration of the negotiations?

11:37:251 I was negotiating with Commissioner Graves. Α 11:37:302 What did you know to be the status of the 0 11:37:343 negotiations beyond your negotiations with Graves? 11:37:364 I think I understood that Commissioner Walkinshaw and Α 11:37:445 Commissioner Fain were in communication, but I was 11:37:476 not aware of the status of their negotiations. 11:37:507 Q What do you mean you were not aware of the status of 11:37:548 the negotiations? 11:37:549 I did not know where they were in their negotiations Α 11:37:5**1**0 on the congressional maps. 11:38:011 At what point in time did you not know the status of 0 11:38:032 their negotiations? 11:38:053 Object to form. MR. WONG: 11:38:094 You're asking me THE WITNESS: 11:38:110.5 about Friday the 12th? 11:38:126 MS. MELL: Correct. 11:38:127 THE WITNESS: I did not know the 11:38:148 status of their negotiations. Throughout the entire day of the 12th, 11:38:149 (By Ms. Mell) 0 11:38:120 you knew nothing about the status of the negotiations 11:38:121 with Walkinshaw and Fain? I knew they were in communication. 11:38:222 Α 11:38:223 Did you know where they were in their negotiations? 0 11:38:324 Α I did not.

Did you know what they were talking about?

0

11:38:325

11:38:351 I did not know the specifics. Α 11:38:412 Did you know generally? 0 11:38:433 That they were discussing the congressional map Α 11:38:474 generally. 11:38:475 What about the congressional map? 0 11:38:506 I didn't know the specifics. Α 11:38:537 Did you know anything about what the negotiations Q 11:38:578 were over relative to congressional maps on the 12th? 11:39:019 To the best of my recollection, they were discussing Α 11:39:210 who would draw which districts. 11:39:311 Did you know anything about the substance of Okay. 0 11:39:342 the negotiations relative to your priorities? 11:39:42.3 Not that I recall. Α 11:39:474 Had you communicated your priorities to Walkinshaw? 0 11:39:515 Α Yes. 11:39:526 Did you expect that he was incorporating your 11:39:557 priorities into the negotiations? 11:39:5188 I had hope that he was. Α 11:40:009 Did you delegate to him the duties and 0 11:40:020 responsibilities of incorporating your priorities 11:40:021 into the negotiations he was having with Graves? 11:40:09.2 MR. WONG: Object to form.

> I never delegated my THE WITNESS: duties and responsibilities. I always had real clarity -- and I think the other members of the

11:40:123

11:40:224

11:40:225

	OIIII3, 7	prii - January 14, 2022
11:40:291		commission did too that we were all an independent
11:40:342		vote. We were all aware of the power of our
11:40:363		independent vote.
11:40:374	Q	(By Ms. Mell) All right. So if you were negotiating
11:40:415		in dyads, how did you make sure that you could
11:40:436		exercise your independent vote in an informed way?
11:40:487	A	In terms of in terms of what specifically?
11:41:008	Q	The congressional district map.
11:41:099	A	Are we still talking about Friday the 12th?
11:41:12 0	Q	I don't think it matters. The 12th or at any time.
11:41:211	A	And so your question is?
11:41:29 2	Q	If you all believe that you had power in your
11:41:34 3		individual votes to accomplish your individual
11:41:384		objectives, how did you ensure that when you were
11:41:43 5		asked to vote, you could exercise your vote in a
11:41:486		meaningful way?
11:41:5107	A	So you're asking specifically about the vote on the
11:41:548		15th?
11:41:55 9	Q	No.
11:42:120	A	I'm really trying to understand what you're what
11:42:121		you're asking me for.
11:42:20 2	Q	Well, you keep trying to tell me that you were
11:42:22 3		negotiating the legislative district maps and that
11:42:224		you had nothing to do with the congressional district

map negotiations. And I simply don't believe you.

11:42:225

I don't know how you would exercise a vote on a
congressional district map with the priorities that
you've identified without knowing what you were
voting on.

So my question is: How did you communicate your priorities relative to the congressional district map, and how do you know whether or not those were ever incorporated?

MR. WONG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: So you're asking two questions, right? How did I communicate my priorities?

MS. MELL: Yes.

- Q (By Ms. Mell) How did you communicate your priorities to the negotiators of the congressional district map?
- A By conversations with Brady -- or sorry -Commissioner Walkinshaw, and I had conversations with
 Commissioner Fain. And I had conversations with
 Commissioner Graves.

They were all aware of my priorities.

- Q Did you ever have a conversation with Brady that included content about -- content communicated with any other commissioner?
- A I'm sure. I'm sure I did have communication with

11:42:311

11:42:33.2

11:42:363

11:42:384

11:42:395

11:42:436

11:42:467

11:42:488

11:42:509

11:42:5**1:0**

11:42:5**41**

11:43:0**12**

11:43:013

11:43:0184

11:43:095

11:43:116

11:43:1**47**

11:43:21.8

11:43:2**1.9**

11:43:320

11:43:3**21**

11:43:32.2

11:43:523

11:43:524

11:44:025

	Oiiiis, A	pm - Sandary 14, 2022
11:44:151		Commissioner Walkinshaw, informing him of my
11:44:242		conversations with other commissioners.
11:44:25 3	Q	And informing him of your conversations with other
11:44:314		commissioners specific to redistricting, correct?
11:44:345	A	Correct.
11:44:356	Q	So on the 12th, you were negotiating remotely; is
11:44:477		that correct?
11:44:478	A	That's correct.
11:44:499	Q	Do you know if the other commissioners were
11:44:5 30		negotiating remotely?
11:44:511	A	I do not.
11:44:5 62	Q	Did at some point you decide to negotiate in person?
11:45:0103	A	Yes.
11:45:034	Q	And where did you decide to negotiate in person?
11:45:095	A	Where did we physically meet?
11:45:12 6	Q	Correct.
11:45:127	A	Or where was I when I made the decision?
11:45:1 6 8	Q	Where did you decide to negotiate?
11:45:219	A	Where was I when I made the decision to negotiate, or
11:45:220		where did we nego where did we physically
11:45:321		negotiate?
11:45:322		I'm not sure I I want to be as clear as
11:45:323		possible.
11:45:324	Q	Where were you when you decided where to negotiate?
	1	

A

I imagine I was at home.

11:45:425

- 11:45:451 | Q Did you make a decision to negotiate in person?
- 11:45:53**2 A Yes.**

11:46:278

11:46:359

11:46:3**10**

11:46:391

11:46:44 2

11:46:5103

11:47:0**1.7**

- 11:45:543 Q Had that decision been made prior to the 12th?
- 11:45:594 A Commissioner Graves and I had in-person -- we had
 11:46:095 asked commission staff to find a meeting location for
 11:46:136 us so that we could have the in-person negotiations
 11:46:207 sometime in the middle of October.

And we would decide a day or two before whether or not we would meet virtually or whether or not we would meet in person.

- Q When you say you asked staff to find you and Graves a location, are you talking about your dyad staff, like Osta and Anton?
- 11:46:514 A No. Commission staff. Nonpartisan commission staff.
- 11:46:5% 5 Q What was the role of nonpartisan commission staff 11:47:016 versus partisan staff?
 - A In terms of our negotiations?
- 11:47:0**9**8 | Q Correct.
- 11:47:119 A Nonpartisan commission staff did not have a role in 11:47:120 our negotiations.
- 11:47:1**2**1 | Q Why not?
- 11:47:122 A To -- to my knowledge.
- 11:47:223 | Q Did you make that decision?
- 11:47:2**24** A I don't believe so.
- 11:47:325 Q Were you instructed not to use nonpartisan staff in

11:47:381 the negotiations? I don't believe so. 11:47:40 2 Α 11:47:413 Why did it occur that you used partisan staff for Q 11:47:464 purposes of negotiation but not nonpartisan staff? 11:47:51 5 Α I don't recall an explicit conversation around it. 11:48:006 Why did you do it? 11:48:02**7** Α I think I understood that to be the way that previous 11:48:068 commissions had negotiated. 11:48:159 Did you see any concern about using partisan staff to 0 11:48:240 negotiate as opposed to nonpartisan staff? 11:48:2**11** No. Α So were you and Graves making -- or having staff make 11:48:28 2 0 11:48:393 separate arrangements for you and Graves from the 11:48:42.4 other two? 11:48:425 I wouldn't characterize them as separate Α 11:48:5**16** Just that Commissioner Graves and I arrangements. 11:48:5**17** were motivated to negotiate and proactive in 11:49:0**18** scheduling time and identifying locations to meet in 11:49:1**19** person. 11:49:120 So what identified -- what location did you identify? 0 11:49:1**21** It was an office suite location in Federal Way. Α have to look at old e-mails or look at my calendar to 11:49:2**22** 11:49:223 remember the name.

A The name of the facility.

11:49:224

11:49:325

- 11:49:341 | Q Were you at a hotel?
- 11:49:362 A I'm not sure if it was a hotel or just one of the 11:49:423 standalone office buildings where there are various
- 11:49:464 offices.

11:50:1**91**

11:50:21.2

11:50:5**17**

- 11:49:485 | Q Did you negotiate from that location on the 12th?
- 11:49:536 A I'm sorry. Can we back up just a moment?

Just so I can clarify: Our meeting location in

11:50:028

Federal Way for mine and Commissioner Graves' earlier

11:50:119

negotiations was not the same meeting location -- was

11:50:110

not the same place we were negotiating on the 15th,

0 What about the 13th?

the 14th and 15th.

- The 13th was not the same location that we negot- -
 11:50:314 where we negotiated on the 14th and 15th. And I

 11:50:315 can't recall if it was the same location that -- in

 11:50:416 our previous negotiations or if it was a new
- 11:50:518 | Q So where do you recall negotiating on the 13th?
- 11:50:519 A At a location in Federal Way.

location.

- 11:50:520 | O How would you describe the location?
- 11:50:521 A It was -- I believe it was a hotel.
- 11:51:022 | O Do you know --
- 11:51:023 A That had a number of meeting rooms.
- 11:51:124 | O Do you remember the name?
- 11:51:125 A I can look through my calendar. I know I am

	Sims, A	April - January 14, 2022 Page 52
11:51:181		notorious for just clicking the address and allowing
11:51:222		Google Maps to blindly lead me.
11:51:31 3	Q	So where did you what time did your negotiations
11:51:394		begin on the 14th?
11:51:405	A	Saturday the no. Sunday the 14th?
11:51:49 6	Q	Oh. I meant the 13th.
11:51:527	A	Saturday the 13th?
11:51:548	Q	Correct.
11:51:559	A	It was sometime late morning, early afternoon. I'd
11:52:020		have to look at old communication to
11:52:08 1	Q	Who were you negotiating with at this location at the
11:52:11 2		hotel?
11:52:113	A	Commissioner Graves.
11:52:124	Q	And your caucus staffers?
11:52:185	A	They were in the room. They were not negotiating.
11:52:20 6	Q	Was Chair Augustine there?
11:52:227	A	She was.
11:52:238	Q	Were they in person?
11:52:219	A	Sorry. Just to clarify, Osta Davis was there.
11:52:320		Dominique Meyers was not.
11:52:32 1	Q	So Chair Augustine was there.
11:52:32 2		And did she have staff with her?
11:52:323	A	Not that I recall.
11:52:324	Q	Okay. So how long did you negotiate on the 13th?
11:52:425	A	I don't remember.

11:52:541 Do you have the sense that -- strike that. 0 11:52:592 Do you have any -- strike that again. 11:53:043 Did you know, when you were negotiating with 11:53:084 Graves, whether Fain and Walkinshaw were also 11:53:125 negotiating in Federal Way? 11:53:146 I did not, no. Α 11:53:187 Did you at some point learn that Fain and Walkinshaw Q 11:53:218 were similarly negotiating in Federal Way? I did not. 11:53:239 Α 11:53:240 Did you ever have any communications with the 0 11:53:3101 Fain-Walkinshaw dyad on the 13th? I don't believe so. I don't believe I ever had any 11:53:3**1.2** Α 11:53:4**1.3** communication with their dyad. 11:53:474 Did you ever have any communications so that you were 0 11:53:525 apprised of the status of the Fain-Walkinshaw dyad? 11:53:5**16** On the 13th? Α 11:53:597 Correct. Q 11:54:010.8 I don't recall. I'd have to look through text Α 11:54:11.9 messages. 11:54:220 Were you interested in the status of the 0 11:54:221 Fain-Walkinshaw negotiations and congressional maps on the 13th? 11:54:28.2 11:54:223 I remember being really focused on negotiating the Α 11:54:3**24** legislative maps.

What was the status of your priorities being

Q

11:54:325

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022	Page 5
11:54:431		incorporated in the Fain-Walkinshaw congressional	
11:54:462		maps as of the 13th?	
11:54:48 3	A	I don't know.	
11:54:494	Q	Did you have any communications with the	
11:55:025		Fain-Walkinshaw dyad with regard to the status of	
11:55:056		your negotiations in the Sims-Graves dyad on	
11:55:107		legislative maps on the 13th?	
11:55:13 8	A	So, again, I don't recall having any communication	
11:55:20 9		with the Fain-Walkinshaw dyad.	
11:55:210	Q	How did you know what was going on in that dyad?	
11:55:3 111	A	Commissioner Walkinshaw would update me.	
11:55:312	Q	Would Chair Augustine update you?	
11:55:4 1.3	A	No.	
11:55:42.4	Q	To the best of your knowledge, Chair Augustine never	er
11:55:455		told you what was happening in the congressional ma	aps
11:55:496		negotiations?	
11:55:5 1.7	A	To the best of my knowledge, no.	
11:55:518	Q	Did you ever ask Chair Augustine to share with any	
11:55:519		other commissioner the status of the negotiations	in
11:56:020		the legislative map negotiations?	
11:56:0 21	A	Not that I recall.	
11:56:122	Q	How long were you negotiating in Federal Way with	
11:56:2 2 3		Commissioner Graves?	
11:56:2 24	A	Are we still talking about the 13th?	
	1		

Q On the 13th, yes.

11:56:2**2**5

11:56:291 I don't recall. Α 11:56:292 Did you stay overnight on the 13th? 0 11:56:353 Α No. 11:56:354 Do you remember it being hours? 11:56:415 Α Yes. Do you remember what you did during that time? 11:56:436 11:56:467 Α We negot- -- yes, I do. 11:56:548 What did you do? 0 11:56:549 We negotiated around legislative maps. Α 11:57:010 O I ran the different mapping scenarios with Osta 11:57:01 Davis. I thought through various draft proposals. 11:57:092 11:57:143 We talked a lot. 11:57:174 Did you reach an impasse at any time? Q 11:57:25 Α No. 11:57:276 Did Chair Augustine act as mediator at any time? 11:57:347 Yes. Α 11:57:378 On the 13th. 0 11:57:419 On the 13th? 11:57:420 Yes. Α 11:57:421 I didn't hear. Was that a "yes" or a "no"? 0 11:57:422 That's a "yes." Α 11:57:423 Explain to me what happened with Chair Augustine. Q 11:57:424 Α We invited her into our negotiations to help us

mediate or to mediate. Most of the conversation was

11:57:525

	Sillis, P	Page 5
11:58:041		around re-establishing mine and Commissioner Graves'
11:58:072		working relationship so that we could continue to
11:58:113		move through negotiations.
11:58:164	Q	What was the impasse over?
11:58:17 5		MR. WONG: Object to form.
11:58:196		THE WITNESS: We were never at
11:58:217		impasse.
11:58:218	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Why did you need a mediator, then?
11:58:259	A	Because negotiations were getting sticky.
11:58:28 0	Q	I didn't hear what word you used.
11:58:311	A	"Sticky." Negotiations were getting sticky.
11:58:34 2	Q	And sticky is something different than an impasse?
11:58:313	A	We were never at impasse. We continued to negotiate
11:58:414		until we reached an agreed-to proposal.
11:58:44 5	Q	Okay. So what was the sticking point that
11:58:50 6		necessitated Augustine mediating?
11:58:5 1 .7	A	Commissioner Graves believed I had misled him
11:59:2118		regarding a specific district, and it impacted our
11:59:2189		relationship. And we invited Chair Augustine in to
11:59:320		help us resolve our personal relationship so that we
11:59:3 21		could continue to negotiate.
11:59:42 2	Q	What was the sticking point on the district?
11:59:423	A	He believed that I had advance knowledge that Senator
11:59:5 24		Hobbs, who represented the 44th district, was going

to be appointed to the secretary of state and

12:00:025

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 5
12:00:051		therefore that I knew that that was going to be an
12:00:122		open Senate seat.
12:00:13 3	Q	How did that pertain to redistricting work?
12:00:194	A	We had negotiated that the 44th district would be a
12:00:265		safe Democratic district, meaning I could draw it at
12:00:356		over 55 percent performance.
12:00:357		THE REPORTER: "Meaning I could,"
12:00:358		what?
12:00:359		THE WITNESS: Meaning I could draw
12:00:310		it at over 55 percent performance for Democrat.
12:00:391	Q	(By Ms. Mell) I need to understand more. I know
12:00:49 2		you I think you think I know more than I do.
12:00:52 3		So what? How does that pertain to the
12:01:024		appointment?
12:01:01/5	A	I'm not sure. Can you ask the question again so that
12:01:116		I understand what you exactly what you're
12:01:137	Q	I think there must have been there must be
12:01:15 8		something more you're not saying to complete that
12:01:189		explanation.
12:01:12 0		Because at least from my perspective, I don't
12:01:231		understand how your agreement that the 44th could be
12:01:29 2		mapped at over 55 percent Democrat preference related
12:01:32 3		to the appointment of Hobbs.
12:01:424		Did the appointment shift the demographics

somehow or the metrics?

12:01:425

	Ollilo, A	prii - dandary 14, 2022
12:01:471	A	Well, I'm thinking of the best way to say this so
12:01:582		it's not too far in the weeds.
12:02:003		The 44th district, by some metrics, is a
12:02:134		competitive district held the Senate seat was held
12:02:215		by a longtime Democrat. Incumbents politically are
12:02:326		difficult to beat, so an open seat in a competitive
12:02:357		district is easier to pick up. So
12:02:448	Q	So
12:02:459	A	Go ahead.
12:02:45 0	Q	No, go ahead. Finish your thought.
12:02:5101	A	So so negotiating a safe negotiating to make a
12:03:0162		district safe, negotiating to move a competitive
12:03:1103		district to a safe district that has a long-term
12:03:2104		incumbent is probably viewed as less risky than
12:03:215		negotiating a swing district into a safe district
12:03:3106		when there's an open seat.
12:03:347	Q	So was it Walkinshaw's desire, then, to renegotiate
12:03:45 8		the agreement that you could draw it at over 55
12:03:49 9		percent to account for that risk factor?
12:03:520		MR. WONG: Object to form.
12:03:5 21		THE WITNESS: I was negotiating
12:03:522		with Commissioner Graves.
12:03:52 3		MS. MELL: Oh, Graves. I'm sorry.
12:03:524		So

THE WITNESS: Can you ask the

12:03:525

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 5
12:04:001		question again?
12:04:012		MS. MELL: Yeah, let me ask that
12:04:023		again.
12:04:044	Q	(By Ms. Mell) So to complete this line of thinking,
12:04:07 5		then, at that point in time in the negotiations, if
12:04:106		there was a seat that shifted to an open seat, the
12:04:187		idea of drawing boundaries at 55 percent was no
12:04:248		longer acceptable in principle?
12:04:349	A	I think the issue on Saturday was more about
12:04:450		Commissioner Graves thinking that I had intentionally
12:04:491		deceived him.
12:04:5 52	Q	Okay. So what did you and Graves decide relative to
12:04:58 3		this 55 percent and the mediated process with
12:05:044		Augustine?
12:05:04 5		MR. WONG: Object to form.
12:05:016		THE WITNESS: We made no decisions
12:05:137		on Saturday the 13th. We simply talked about how to
12:05:218		repair our working relationship so that we could
12:05:219		continue to negotiate.
12:05:22 0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) So was there any resolution as to
12:05:321		whether or not the percentage for the 44th would be a
12:05:42 2		number other than 55 percent?
12:05:423	A	That remained in negotiations until we finally came
12:05:4 24		to an agreed-to proposal on the 15th.

Q And when you say "until we finally came to an

12:05:525

12:05:541 agreed, what on a proposal on the 15th? 12:05:572 An agreed-to proposal on the 15th. Α 12:05:593 Again, the "we" in that sentence is who? Q 12:06:054 Commissioner Graves and me. Α 12:06:065 What time did you come to an agreed-on proposal on 0 12:06:146 the legislative district -- districts? Sometime between 8:45 and 9:00 on Monday the 15th. 12:06:17**7** Α 12:06:228 Did you read Commissioner Graves' deposition? 0 I did. 12:06:309 Α 12:06:3100 Why did you do that? 0 12:06:3**2.1** To prepare for this deposition. Α 12:06:4702 When you read his deposition, did you take issue with 0 12:06:4563 any of his testimony? 12:06:4**14** Not that I recall. Α 12:06:555 Is it your recollection that what you read as to what 12:07:026 Commissioner Graves testified to was true and correct 12:07:057 from your perspective? 12:07:0168 I have no reason to cast doubt on anything that Α 12:07:2**19** Commissioner Graves said. 12:07:220 Is your recollection of events different from Graves' 0 12:07:321 in any manner? I didn't go through his deposition with a fine-tooth 12:07:322 Α 12:07:423 It was more -- I'd never been deposed before, 12:07:4**24** so it was more to prepare for -- so I could get a

So --

sense of the flow of things.

12:07:4**25**

12:07:501 Did you read anything that you disagreed with or you 0 thought occurred differently? 12:07:532 12:07:553 I don't recall anything standing out to me. Α 12:08:004 When you reached an agreement with Commissioner 12:08:095 Graves over a legislative district -- well, strike 12:08:126 that. 12:08:127 What did you reach an agreement with him on? 12:08:168 map or something other than a map? 12:08:189 Well, there were a number of maps we had exchanged in Α 12:08:2**1.0** the course of our negotiations. Our -- so we had 12:08:3**11** previously reconciled a number of things. Our final 12:08:416.2 agreement was around the performance in the 28th, 12:08:432.3 44th, and 47th legislative districts. 12:08:524 28, 44, and what? 0 12:08:5**1.5** Α 47. 12:08:5**16** 42 -- well, that had already been resolved. So 12:09:0**1.7** our final agreement, 28, 47, 44. 12:09:018 And your final agreement concerned what? 0 12:09:119 The Democratic performance of those three districts. Α 12:09:12:0 So what was the agreement? Okay. 0 12:09:1**21** We agreed to, I believe was 1.5 percent increased Α Democratic performance in the 44th with Lake Stevens 12:09:322

removed and moving the district further south, status

performance, and I believe three-quarters of a point

quo in the 47th district in terms of Democratic

12:09:323

12:09:4**24**

12:09:425

- or .8 percent increased Democratic performance in the

 28th legislative district with Tacoma removed and as

 much of Lakewood incorporated as possible.

 What about the 42nd?

 The 42nd -- we had already resolved that and
 - A The 42nd -- we had already resolved that and previously agreed to uniting Nooksack and Lummi in the 42nd, which I believe increased the Democratic performance slightly in that district.

We had previously agreed to status quo in all of the other competitive or swing districts that we had previously been negotiating around.

- Q And what does "status quo" mean?
- 12:10:413 A As close to the current Democratic performance as 12:10:514 possible. So no change in either direction.
- 12:10:515 Q When you say "no change," is that zero?
- 12:11:016 A As close as possible to zero. I mean, all the districts had to change because of population 12:11:118 increases. So...
- 12:11:209 Q So if it was a 1 percent change, that would not be 12:11:220 status quo?
- 12:11:221 A A full 1 percent?
- 12:11:32 2 Q Correct.

12:10:216

12:10:267

12:10:288

12:10:329

12:10:3**T**O

12:10:421

12:10:452

- 12:11:323 A In the swing districts we were negotiating? I would 12:11:324 say that would not be status quo.
- 12:11:325 Q And there were multiple different ways to achieve a

- 12:11:421 status quo district, correct?
- 12:11:442 A Correct.

12:12:005

12:12:097

12:12:188

12:12:209

12:12:220

12:12:241

12:12:216.2

12:12:2**12.3**

12:12:3**14**

12:12:3**15**

12:12:416

12:12:4**1.7**

12:12:5**118**

12:12:5**19**

12:12:520

12:13:021

12:13:0**22**

12:13:123

- 12:11:493 Q There are multiple different ways to achieve a .8 12:11:574 shift in the 28th, correct?
 - A Correct.
- 12:12:076 | Q Did you agree to -- well, strike that.

Did you vote on -- strike that.

MR. WONG: Joan, I'm not sure if she was done answering the question.

Were you starting to say something? I'm sorry.

I just heard you talking over each other.

THE WITNESS: Right. I mean, I think for the 28th district -- your question, yes, correct. There are multiple ways to draw it. But when you remove Tacoma and incorporate Lakewood, it limits the op- -- the options there in terms of both what cities you're consolidating and how much you are adjusting the Democratic performance. Still multiple ways, but probably fewer options.

- Q (By Ms. Mell) Did your final agreement with Graves incorporate the priorities of Walkinshaw?
- A Many of them, I think.
- Q And how did you know that?
- 12:13:124 A I knew what his priorities were. We had a number of 12:13:225 conversations about his priorities.

12:13:211 And were those conversations about his priorities 0 12:13:27.2 done privately? 12:13:293 Some -- some were private. Some were public. Α Some 12:13:364 were he released a few public statements, made some 12:13:42**5** statements during some public meetings. 12:13:456 Did any of the priorities incorporate -- strike that. 0 12:13:507 Did your agreement incorporate any of Fain's 12:13:548 priorities? 12:13:559 I had hoped so. Α 12:14:010 O Did you know what Fain's priorities were? 0 12:14:0**11** I thought I understood his priorities as more Α 12:14:0**12** competitive districts. 12:14:013 How did you understand his priorities? Q 12:14:0**1/24** He had some weird spreadsheet he sent around. Α 12:14:125 Did you --0 12:14:1**16** I shouldn't say "weird." Α 12:14:1**17** He sent around a spreadsheet communicated via 12:14:1**18** I -- I had a difficult time understanding it. 12:14:2**19** That's why I say "weird." I mean no disrespect to 12:14:220 Commissioner Fain. 12:14:221 "Weird" has been a word that has come up in this Q 12:14:29.2 previously. 12:14:323 I quess --12:14:3**24** Α As it relates to a spreadsheet? Then I wouldn't feel

so bad.

12:14:325

12:14:391 No, I think it was a description of Commissioner 0 Walkinshaw. 12:14:42.2 12:14:423 Oh. Α 12:14:474 It was "weirdo." 12:14:475 Α Oh. 12:14:486 There was an apology for that too. Then I won't feel so bad. Just make sure you capture 12:14:49**7** Α 12:14:538 I mean no disrespect (Videoconference that. 9 technical difficulties.) 10 THE REPORTER: "I mean no 11 disrespect..." What was the rest there? 12 THE WITNESS: What's that? 13 THE REPORTER: "I mean no 14 disrespect... What was the rest of that sentence? I mean no disrespect 12:15:0**15** THE WITNESS: 12:15:016 to Commissioner Fain. 12:15:0167 (By Ms. Mell) How did you get Commissioner Fain's Q 12:15:118 memo? I believe he sent an e-mail to the members of the 12:15:1**19** Α 12:15:120 commission sometime Saturday evening. Saturday the 12:15:2**21** 14th. 12:15:272 Do you know why he timed it at that time? 0 12:15:323 I do not. Α 12:15:324 Did you say Saturday the 14th or the 13th? 0 12:15:325 Α I'd have to look. I thought it was Saturday the

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 12:15:381 But maybe it would have been --14th. 12:15:432 Okay. Okay. 0 12:15:443 I don't know. Sometime that weekend, going into our Α 12:15:464 final negotiations, he sent something around. 12:15:465 THE REPORTER: "He sent 12:15:466 something..." What was that? 12:15:547 THE WITNESS: He sent -- he sent 12:15:568 something around. He sent an e-mail to the commission sometime that weekend. 12:15:579 12:15:580 (By Ms. Mell) And do you know why it was timed when 0 it occurred? 12:16:011 12:16:022 I do not. Α 12:16:083 Is it -- is it -- strike that. Q 12:16:094 When you left on Saturday, what was your intent 12:16:235 with regard to the negotiations? 12:16:216 To continue to negotiate. Α 12:16:297 How? Q 12:16:3108 To meet with Paul again and keep talking through the Α 12:16:319 differences that we had in our proposals. 12:16:420 And what did you think was happening with Fain and 0 12:16:431 Walkinshaw when you left on the 13th? 12:16:422 When I left on Saturday the 13th? Α **12:16:52**3 Correct. 0 Ask me the question again. 12:16:524 Α

What did you think was happening with your

0

12:17:025

12:17:031 counterparts on that day by the time you left? I had hoped that they were negotiating the 12:17:08**2** Α 12:17:10 **3** congressional maps. 12:17:114 And did you know the status of their negotiations 0 12:17:145 when you left on Saturday? 12:17:156 I did not. I don't think I did. Α So then what happened on Sunday the 14th? 12:17:207 Q Monday's the 15th. Can we agree on that? 12:17:328 Monday is the 15th. 12:17:349 Α 12:17:3**1.0** Can we go back to the 13th? 12:17:391 Yes. 0 Saturday. I believe on Saturday, Commissioner 12:17:4**1) 2** Α 12:17:5**1.3** Walkinshaw was planning to negotiate or send a 12:18:0**1.4** proposal to Commissioner Fain relative to the legislative districts. 12:18:01.5 12:18:116 Legislative districts or the congressional? 0 12:18:1**17** Legislative districts. Α Okay. And why was Walkinshaw going to give something 12:18:178 0 12:18:199 to Fain on the legislative districts? 12:18:220 I --Α 12:18:221 On Saturday the 13th. 0 12:18:2**22** I'm sorry. Go ahead. Α 12:18:223 On Saturday the 13th. 0 12:18:3**24** Α So I communicated to Commissioner Walkinshaw that

mine and Commissioner Graves' negotiations was at a

12:18:4**25**

12:18:521	sticking point and we were bringing Commissioner
12:18:552	Augustine in to help mediate.

I believe he told me that he was going to try to negotiate his legislative map proposal with Commissioner Fain.

- Q Did you know what his legislative map proposal was?
- 12:19:287 A I didn't know -- no, not exactly.
- 12:19:318 | Q Were you opposed to any of his metrics?
- 12:19:349 A I'm not sure I know what you mean by "his metrics."
- 12:19:460 Q Whatever the elements were of his proposal.
- 12:20:011 A Was I opposed to any elements of his proposal?

I'm not sure that I was fully aware at that time what his entire proposal was.

- Q Did you know some of what he wanted to propose to Fain?
- 12:20:216 A Yes.

12:18:593

12:19:094

12:19:125

12:19:206

12:20:0162

12:20:153

12:20:174

12:20:215

12:20:421

12:20:522

12:21:023

12:21:024

12:21:025

- 12:20:247 | Q What was it?
- 12:20:218 A I believe he was still proposing a CVAP majority
 12:20:319 Hispanic district in eastern Washington that leaned
 12:20:420 Democrat.

I believe he was still proposing the public map he had released sometime at the beginning of October, mid October, but there may have been some changes to it.

Q Were any of your priorities included in his proposal

	NGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT vs STATE OF WASHINGTON pril - January 14, 2022 Page 6
	to Fain?
A	I imagine they were. I don't know specifically.
Q	And do you imagine they were because you communicated
	your priorities to Walkinshaw to include in his
	proposal to Fain?
A	I imagine they were, because I had both communicated
	my priorities and because there were a number of
	similarities in the second round of draft maps that
	Commissioner Walkinshaw and I released sometime in
	October.
Q	So you and Commissioner Walkinshaw released both
	congressional and legislative map proposals in
	August?
	Is that what you said?
	MR. WONG: Object to form.
	THE WITNESS: Commissioner
	Walkinshaw and I released publicly released all
	members of the commission publicly released
	legislative maps on September 21st, draft
	congressional maps on September 28, and Commissioner
	Walkinshaw and I released a second round of draft
	legislative maps sometime in October.
Q	(By Ms. Mell) So were you and Walkinshaw negotiating
	legislative maps to achieve that public proposal?
	A Q

No.

12:22:3**25**

	Cirris, 74	Tage 70
12:22:361	Q	How did you guys come to agreement on what you wanted
12:22:422		to release?
12:22:453		MR. WONG: Object to form.
12:22:47 4		THE WITNESS: We had received
12:23:08 5		information and analysis regarding a VRA-compliant
12:23:22 6		district in eastern Washington, and both wanted to
12:23:30 7		demonstrate to the public that we had heard and
12:23:39 8		incorporated a lot of the feedback we'd received
12:23:44 9		during the public comment period following the
12:23:4 10		release of the maps.
12:24:0101	Q	(By Ms. Mell) So you met and created a map?
12:24:0 12	A	We never met. We never created a joint map.
12:24:0 1 3		I mean, do you mean did we meet in person?
12:24:1104	Q	Well, I don't know.
12:24:145		How did you I thought I understood that you
12:24:116		released maps, a legislative map, in October.
12:24:2 1.7	A	Yes.
12:24:218	Q	And that was you and Walkinshaw or you and Graves?
12:24:2 1.9	A	Commissioner Walkinshaw and I both released
12:24:3 20		separate separate draft legislative maps in
12:24:3 21		October.
12:24:3 2 2	Q	Okay. But you had collaborated as to what would be
12:24:4 2 3		in your respective legislative district proposed maps
10 04 40 4		

MR. WONG: Object to form.

12:24:4**24**

12:24:425

published in October?

12:24:491		THE WITNESS: We communicated what
12:24:542		would be in our draft maps that we released in
12:24:573		October.
12:25:004	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Okay. And did you communicate with
12:25:01 5		any other commissioner about what would be in your
12:25:06 6		maps published in October?
12:25:117	A	No.
12:25:24 8	Q	So Sunday the 14th, did you stay in Federal Way?
12:25:359	A	I was there all day, yes.
12:25:39 0	Q	Did you stay overnight on Sunday the 14th?
12:25:411	A	I did not.
12:25:45 2	Q	How long were you in Federal Way on Sunday the 14th?
12:25:5103	A	I think I left sometime between 12 and 1 a.m.
12:26:014	Q	And by Sunday the 14th, had you convened at the
12:26:11 5		Hampton?
12:26:116	A	Correct.
12:26:1 37	Q	What was the physical layout of the negotiations at
12:26:2 0 8		the Hampton on Sunday the 14th?
12:26:219	A	On Sunday the 14th
12:26:320		I believe we had three rooms: One room for Osta
12:26:4 2 1		Davis and me to work in, one room for the Republicans
12:26:5 2 2		to work in, and one larger suite with a round meeting
12:27:023		table for negotiations that Sarah Augustine was
12:27:024		primarily working out of.
12:27:12 5	Q	And in your room with Osta Davis, was Walkinshaw in

12:27:191		that room with you?
12:27:20 2	A	No.
12:27:253	Q	Where was Walkinshaw?
12:27:27 4	A	He was at the Marriott Courtyard, I believe it was,
12:27:31 5		across the parking lot from the Hampton.
12:27:336	Q	Why was he at the Marriott (videoconference technical
12:27:337		difficulties.)
12:27:338		THE REPORTER: "Why was he at the
12:27:339		Marriott" What was the rest there, Joan?
12:27:410	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Why was he at the Marriott rather than
12:27:4 1 1		the Hampton with you guys?
12:27:4 12	A	That's where he chose to be.
12:27:413	Q	Did he choose to be there for purposes of open
12:27:514		government compliance?
12:27:51/5		MR. WONG: Object to form.
12:27:5 16		THE WITNESS: I think he
12:27:5 27		communicated some concerns around that.
12:28:0108	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you talk to him about that?
12:28:0 1.9	A	I don't recall if we had a conversation about it.
12:28:120	Q	Did you have a conversation with anybody about it?
12:28:2 21	A	I think I communicated to Chair Augustine that
12:28:2 22		Brady I'm sorry Commissioner Walkinshaw would
12:28:3 23		not be meeting us at the location. And I think I
12:28:3 24		shared his concerns with Commissioner Augustine.
12:28:4 25		I'd have to look at the text messages to be sure.

12:28:481 So did Walkinshaw stay at the Marriott throughout 0 Sunday the 14th? 12:28:562 12:28:583 I believe so. Α 12:28:584 And did you shuttle over to the Marriott to negotiate with him at times? 12:29:045 12:29:056 Object to form. MR. WONG: 12:29:07**7** THE WITNESS: I never negot- -- I 12:29:138 walked over to the Marriott and communicated with 12:29:159 him. 12:29:150 (By Ms. Mell) When you walked over to the Marriott 0 12:29:181 to communicate with Walkinshaw, what were you 12:29:20 2 communicating with him about? 12:29:213 I believe I was giving him an update on where we were Α 12:29:2**14** in negotiations. 12:29:285 And when you say where you were in negotiations, do 12:29:316 you mean where you, Sims -- no, excuse me -- you, 12:29:31/7 Graves, and Fain were in negotiations at the Hampton? I mean where Commissioner Graves and I were in 12:29:41.8 Α 12:29:419 our negotiations over our proposed plan. 12:29:520 Are you sure you didn't have conversations with Fain 0 12:29:521 at the Hampton where you were located -- where you were all located -- Fain, you, and Graves -- that you 12:30:022 12:30:023 communicated back to Walkinshaw? 12:30:124 Α Not that I recall.

Is it correct that you did not limit your negot- --

LITIGATION SERVICES

0

12:30:225

	Ollilo, A	prii - January 14, 2022
12:30:331		strike that.
12:30:332		Is it correct that you communicated with Graves
12:30:353		on the 14th at the Hampton?
12:30:38 4	A	That's correct.
12:30:395	Q	Is it correct that you also communicated with Fain on
12:30:446		the 14th at the Hampton?
12:30:45 7	A	That is correct.
12:30:488	Q	And when you were communicating with Graves, were you
12:30:539		communicating with Graves about redistricting plan?
12:30:5 16.0	A	Yes.
12:30:5% 1	Q	And when you communicated with Fain, were you
12:31:0102		communicating with Fain about redistricting plan?
12:31:0 123	A	I don't believe so.
12:31:114	Q	Why?
12:31:1 15	A	I don't recall anything other than casual
12:31:1 16		conversation with Commissioner Fain on Sunday the
12:31:2 17		15th 14th.
12:31:218	Q	And when you say "casual conversation," are you
12:31:289		telling me that you had communications with Fain but
12:31:320		didn't say anything about the redistricting work you
12:31:321		were both doing?
12:31:3 22	A	To my recollection, yes.
12:31:323	Q	You didn't ask him any questions about his memo?
12:31:5 24	A	No.
Ī	I	

Q Did you ever tell Fain what you thought about his

12:31:52:5

12:31:571		memo?
12:31:57 2	A	I don't believe so.
12:32:103	Q	Did you ever talk to Graves about what you thought
12:32:134		about Fain's memo?
12:32:14 5	A	I don't think specific to the memo. I might have
12:32:39 6		mentioned yeah, I don't think specific to the
12:32:44 7		memo.
12:32:448	Q	But you might have mentioned what?
12:32:46 9	A	That I still didn't understand the spreadsheet.
12:32:4 % O	Q	Were you looking at the spreadsheet with Graves?
12:32:5 11	A	No.
12:32:5162	Q	Did Graves say anything to you about the spreadsheet?
12:33:0 13	A	Other than he might have agreed with me that neither
12:33:1 14		of us really got it.
12:33:125	Q	Okay.
12:33:1 16	A	Kind of dismissed it.
12:33:197	Q	So did you use it any further?
12:33:2 18	A	No. We didn't use it at all. I did not use it at
12:33:2 19		all.
12:33:2 20		Your question was did I use it any further. I
12:33:3 21		wanted to clarify that I did not use it at all.
12:33:3 2 2	Q	Well, you used it enough to dismiss its merits,
12:33:323		right?
12:33:3 24		MR. WONG: Object to form.
12:33:4 25		THE WITNESS: I never used it. I

	Sims, A	April - January 14, 2022	Page 7
12:33:421		never understood it to use it.	
12:33:43 2	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you read it?	
12:33:463	A	Did I read the memo?	
12:33:484	Q	Yes.	
12:33:495	A	Yes.	
12:33:516		I'm clarifying for you that I didn't understan	ıd
12:33:537		the spreadsheet, so I never used it.	
12:33:55 8	Q	Okay.	
12:33:569	A	You asked me if I used it further. And I want to	be
12:33:510		clear that I never used it at all.	
12:34:021	Q	You elected not to use it with and communicated	l
12:34:08 2		that to Graves, correct?	
12:34:1 1 3	A	Correct.	
12:34:174	Q	Did you have separate conversations with Fain outs	side
12:34:25 5		of his e-mail memo to incorporate Fain's prioritie	:s
12:34:34 6		in your legislative district proposal?	
12:34:31.7	A	On the 14th or the 15th? What day are we talking	
12:34:418		about?	
12:34:49 9	Q	On the 14th.	
12:34:520	A	I don't remember anything other than casual	
12:34:5 21		conversation with Commissioner Fain on the 14th.	
12:35:02 2	Q	What was the status of your negotiations when you	
12:35:02 3		left on the 14th?	
12:35:0 24	A	They were ongoing.	

Q How close were you in terms of reaching agreement at

12:35:125

- 12:35:181 | the time you left on the 14th?
- 12:35:192 A I think that would depend on how you define "close."
- Out of the 49 legislative districts we were 12:35:294 drawing, we were negotiating over just a handful.
- 12:35:325 Q And what were those in play when you left on the 12:35:356 14th?
 - A 10th, the 15th, the 17th, the 24th, the 26th, the 28th, the 40th, the 42nd, the 44th, the 47th. Let's see. Make sure.

24th, 26th, the 28th. The 5th.

Q 11 districts?

12:35:36**7**

12:35:568

12:36:099

12:36:1**10**

12:36:311

12:36:3**12**

12:36:3**13**

12:36:384

12:36:4105

12:36:4166

12:36:417

12:36:508

12:36:519

12:37:020

12:37:1**21**

12:37:1**22**

12:37:123

12:37:124

12:37:225

- A I didn't count those on my fingers, but that sounds right to me.
- Q With regard to the congressional districts at the time you left on the 14th, what was the status of any dis- -- strike that.

When you left on the 14th, what did you believe the districts were in play with respect to the congressional district maps on the 14th?

- A I understood that Commissioner Walkinshaw and Commissioner Fain were still negotiating and having -- and communicating regarding the congressional maps.
- Q Okay. So what was in play at the time that you left on the 14th?

	Sims, A	April - January 14, 2022	Page 7
12:37:231	A	Excuse me.	
12:37:232		To my knowledge, all of them.	
12:37:25 3	Q	What time did you get to the Hampton or strike	
12:37:404		that.	
12:37:40 5		What time did you start negotiating at the	
12:37:426		Hampton on the 14th?	
12:37:437	A	I think we originally planned for 8:30. I think	
12:37:498		maybe around I don't recall. Sometime in the	
12:37:549		morning.	
12:37:56 0	Q	Sometime before 9?	
12:37:5 % 1	A	It may have been after 9.	
12:38:04 2	Q	Was it after 10?	
12:38:013	A	Sometime between 9 and 10, I think.	
12:38:114		Wait. I'm sorry. Can you clarify the questic	n?
12:38:145		What time did I begin negotiating, or what time di	.d
12:38:116		negotiations begin?	
12:38:117	Q	What time did negotiations begin?	
12:38:218	A	It was probably after 10:00, then.	
12:38:32 9	Q	But you believe you got there before 10?	
12:38:320	A	Yes.	
12:38:3 2 1	Q	What did you do between the time you got there and	l
12:38:42 2		the time negotiations began?	
12:38:423	A	I met with my staff. I ran through different	
12:38:524		proposals and possibilities, strategized.	

I had a conversation with Commissioner Fain

12:39:025

	Sims, A	April - January 14, 2022 Page 79
12:39:061		regarding the congressional maps.
12:39:12 2	Q	And what was your conversation with Fain regarding
12:39:15 3		the congressional maps on the 14th?
12:39:174	A	On the 14th?
12:39:21 5	Q	I think that's the day we were on.
12:39:246	A	I thought we were on the 15th. Did I misunderstand?
12:39:277	Q	Yes.
12:39:288	A	Oh. Then I apologize. All the information that I
12:39:319		just gave you regarding when we started negotiating
12:39:310		was relative to the 14th. I mean, relative to the
12:39:311		15th, which is Sunday. No. Monday.
12:39:412		You're asking about the 14th, which was Sunday,
12:39:453		correct?
12:39:4 <u>6</u> 4	Q	I was asking about the 14th, Sunday.
12:39:495	A	Okay. So I did not so when I mentioned that I had
12:39:516		a conversation with Commissioner Fain to discuss
12:39:5 % 7		congressional districts, that was on the 15th, not
12:40:0108		the 14th. So let me go back to the 14th.
12:40:0189		What time did we start negotiating on the 14th?
12:40:020		It was sometime later in the afternoon. Maybe 1:00.
12:40:121	Q	And then you stayed until 1 in the morning-ish?
12:40:122	A	Yeah.
12:40:12 3	Q	Okay. And by the time you left, there were
12:40:224		approximately 11 districts in play as to the

legislative map; is that correct?

12:40:285

	JIII3, A	pill - January 14, 2022
12:40:281	A	Correct. Correct.
12:40:28 2	Q	And the congressional district map, all ten districts
12:40:31 3		were still in play?
12:40:334	A	To my understanding, there I did not understand
12:40:365		there to be any movement on that negotiation.
12:40:476	Q	Do you know what was in contention as to those ten
12:40:527		districts?
12:40:528	A	I think there were still discussions around who would
12:41:039		draw which districts and what the performance of some
12:41:0 L O		of the districts would be.
12:41:071	Q	Do you remember what districts?
12:41:152	A	Specific to who would draw which ones, or specific
12:41:193		to?
12:41:194	Q	The metrics.
12:41:225	A	I believe the 3rd, the 6th. I think all of them with
12:41:416		the exception of the four safe districts, super-safe
12:41:487		districts.
12:41:4 9 8	Q	And what were the super-safe districts?
12:41:510.9	A	The 4th and the 5th and the 7th and the 9th.
12:41:52 0	Q	When you say who would draw the maps, what were the

What was even the negotiating point?

options that were being discussed?

I don't know what the options were that were being

Α

Q

discussed.

12:42:021

12:42:022

12:42:123

12:42:124

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 8
12:42:211		districts and that the Democrats would draw the safe
12:42:242		Democratic districts.
12:42:25 3	Q	Did you have similar negotiating points as to the
12:42:394		legislative district maps on who would draw what?
12:42:425	A	Yes. Commissioner Graves and I had discussed that
12:42:516		they he would draw eastern Washington and that I
12:42:557		would draw King County, with the exception of the
12:42:588		districts that we were negotiating, which were the
12:43:019		5th and the 47th in King County.
12:43:01 0		THE REPORTER: "Which were the 5th
12:43:011		and the 47th" What was that?
12:43:0122		THE WITNESS: In King County. The
12:43:0123		5th and the 47th districts in King County.
12:43:164		MS. MELL: I think I missed the
12:43:17 5		content of that.
12:43:2106		THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.
12:43:2107		That I well, and so that he would draw most of
12:43:248		eastern Washington with the exception of the 3rd
12:43:2169		district and that I would draw most of King County
12:43:220		with the exception of the 5th and the 47th districts.
12:43:321	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did that ever change, or was that the
12:43:32 2		agreement throughout?
12:43:3 2 3	A	I don't remember when we actually came to I don't
12:43:5 24		know that it was the agreement throughout, but it was
12:43:525		once we reached the agreement, we didn't revisit it.

12:43:591 Who actually drew the maps? 0 12:44:032 Our staff. Α 12:44:083 And who drew what? Q 12:44:10 **4** Well, we accepted their eastern Washington, and they 12:44:15**5** accepted our King County. 12:44:206 Was that on the 6th, 16th? 0 12:44:25**7** Α The actual map drawing --12:44:288 Right. 0 12:44:289 -- was done -- the majority of the map drawing was Α 12:44:3**1.0** done on the 16th, yes. 12:44:311 And so your acceptance of the districts drawn Okay. 0 12:44:38 2 by the Republicans occurred on the 16th? 12:44:4**12.3** I'm not sure I understand the question or what you're Α 12:44:4**14** asking. 12:44:43.5 We agreed to it in advance, and the actual 12:44:5**1**6 mapping occurred on the 16th. 12:44:517 What did you agree to in advance with respect to --Q with respect to who drew what? 12:44:588 That Commissioner Graves would draw eastern 12:45:0**19** Α 12:45:020 Washington with the exception of the 3rd district and 12:45:0**21** that I would draw -- or, rather, our teams would draw King County with the exception of the 5th and the 12:45:1**22** 12:45:123 47th. 12:45:124 So on the 16th, is that what occurred with respect to 0

drawing?

12:45:225

	Sims, A	April - January 14, 2022	Page 8
12:45:271	A	Yes.	
12:45:302	Q	And then at what point in time	
12:45:343	A	To my knowledge.	
12:45:354	Q	And then who drew the exceptions?	
12:45:41 5		MR. WONG: Object to form.	
12:45:426		THE WITNESS: I don't know what	you
12:45:437		mean by "exceptions."	
12:45:44 8	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Well, you said he would draw easter:	n
12:45:47 9		Washington except for the 3rd and you would do Kin	g
12:45:51 0		County except the 5th and the 47th.	
12:45:53 1		So who did the 3rd, 5th, and 47th?	
12:45:512	A	They accepted our 3rd. And by the time we agreed	to
12:46:1103		our proposal, we had agreed to status quo on the 5	th
12:46:11.4		and the 47th, so we drew that.	
12:46:19 5	Q	Who drew it?	
12:46:216	A	My staff.	
12:46:247	Q	Okay. So your staff selected the district boundar	ies
12:46:35 8		using a status quo metric for the 5th and the 47th	
12:46:409		that was accepted on the 16th?	
12:46:420	A	I don't know that I would say it was accepted on the	he
12:46:5 21		16th. I'm trying to understand the question.	
12:46:5222		So we originally agreed through the course of	our
12:46:523		negotiations that I would accept his eastern	
10 15 00 1	1		-

Washington and he would accept my King County. 5 and

47 were still in play.

12:47:024

12:47:025

12:47:081	Sometime during the day on the 15th, we removed
12:47:142	the 5th from the negotiations. We removed the 47th
12:47:243	from the well, we accepted the 47th as status quo.
12:47:30 4	And since those were no longer in play, my team drew
12:47:365	King County.

- Okay. But when you say they're not in play, somebody still had to create the boundaries for the 5th and the 47th, correct?
- I had already created boundaries for the 5th and the Α 47th.
- 12:47:491 Okay. So --0

12:47:386

12:47:417

12:47:438

12:47:449

12:47:4**1**0

12:48:047

12:48:118

12:48:139

12:48:120

12:48:221

12:48:29.2

12:48:293

- 12:47:502 In my map proposals. Α
- 12:47:523 Pardon me? Q
- 12:47:544 Α I'm sorry.
- 12:47:575 Did somebody draw district boundaries for the 5th and 12:48:016 the 47th on the 16th?
 - The district boundaries for the 5th and the 47th were Α already drawn. The 16th was reconciling the differences between our two maps and making sure that our agreement was articulated into the final maps that were produced on the 16th.
 - Right. 0

But on the 16th, there had to be decisions made about where the district boundaries were for the 5th

- 12:48:341 Those decisions had already been made. No. Α
- 12:48:372 By whom? 0

12:49:038

12:49:069

- 12:48:373 I had already drawn King County. I already had a map Α
- 12:48:464 with the 5th and the 47th in it. And so our teams
- 12:48:535 were working to reconcile the two maps.
- 12:48:556 0 What two maps?

map.

- 12:48:587 Α I had a map, and Commissioner Graves' staff had a
- Commissioner Graves had a map.
- 12:49:100 In the time leading up to -- these were the maps

I should say my staff had a map, my map, and

- 12:49:141 that we were working so hard to finish to show the
- 12:49:172 public before midnight.
- 12:49:223 But you didn't show them to the public before Q
- 12:49:254 midnight, did you?
- 12:49:275 Α Correct.
- 12:49:286 There was work done to create district boundaries on 0
- 12:49:317 the 16th, correct?
- There was work done to articulate our framework into 12:49:318 Α
- 12:49:410.9 the final maps on the 16th.
- 12:49:420 And that work done to articulate your framework into 0
- 12:49:521 final maps on the 16th required you and the other
- commissioners to make decisions about where the 12:50:032
- 12:50:023 district boundaries would be located, correct?
- 12:50:124 Α That is not correct.
- 12:50:125 So how -- how were the decisions made to articulate 0

_	NGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT vs STATE OF WASHINGTON pril - January 14, 2022 Page 8
	the framework into an actual map without you making
	decisions about where to put the boundaries on the
	16th?
A	Well, our staff had been privy to all of our
	negotiations, privy to all of the decisions that we
	had made regarding the proposal, and knew what needed
	to be done to reconcile those differences and put
	that into a final map.
Q	But if there's differences requiring reconciliation,
	someone has to make the decision to reconcile the
	district boundaries in a particular way, correct?
A	I'm trying to think through the phrasing of your
	question so I can answer this correctly.
	Can you ask me again?
Q	How do you do this without making a decision about
	where if it's this or if it's this?
	A A

- ision about
- Α Because those things have already been agreed to.

Like, we had agreed in our proposal to consolidate Washougal and Camas in the 17th.

We had agreed through previous conversations that I -- I liked his drawing of the 26th district. Ι would accept that in the proposal.

That, you know, that he would accept my 3rd district. So when our staff were reconciling the two districts, like, oh, my southwest Washington looks

12:51:3**17**

12:51:348

- 12:51:420
- 12:51:5**21**
- 12:51:5**22**
- 12:52:0**23**
- 12:52:0**24**
- 12:52:1**25**

different, but that's right. We agreed that I would accept your southwest Washington. So we need to put that there. Or you had agreed -- and I just tried to remember off the top of my head some of the things that he had agreed -- to bring the 12th district across the mountains and into western Washington.

Or, you know, there were a number of things. We had been negotiating for weeks. We had spent hours going over proposals and reviewing draft maps. So in the final hours, once we came to an agreement on a proposal relative to the 44th and the 28th, a lot of the proposal decisions had already been decided.

- Q All right. So we know you got to the hotel on the 15th around -- between 9 and 10; is that correct?
- A That's correct.
- 12:53:466 O And you --

12:52:171

12:52:242

12:52:273

12:52:304

12:52:345

12:52:386

12:52:477

12:52:508

12:52:569

12:53:010

12:53:121

12:53:172

12:53:273

12:53:394

12:53:455

12:53:477

12:53:510.8

12:53:519

12:53:520

12:54:022

12:54:023

12:54:024

12:54:025

- A Maybe between -- I was -- I was shooting for 8:30, so it might have been even before 9. I just don't remember.
- Q Okay. And you didn't leave on the 15th, correct?
- 12:53:521 A Correct.

What --

- Q You didn't really sleep there, either, right? You were up overnight, negotiating?
- A Been a long time since I pulled an all-nighter.

12:54:101 So how were the negotiations structured on the 15th? 0 12:54:21 2 Can you be more specific? Α 12:54:253 Give me the space allocation, where the dyads were Q 12:54:304 communicating, where the caucuses were communicating. 12:54:335 Α So on the 15th, Commissioner Augustine secured 12:54:426 some additional working and meeting space. So we had 12:54:47**7** access to a large banquet room downstairs, which was where most of the negotiations for that day occurred. 12:54:548 12:54:59 9 She secured an additional large suite, I quess you would call it. So we had one suite and one room 12:55:0**10** 12:55:1**9.1** that the Republicans were using, one suite and one room for the Democrats' use for our caucuses, and I 12:55:2**1.2** 12:55:2**1** 3 believe Brady, or Commissioner Walkinshaw, still had 12:55:3**1.4** his room at the Courtyard. 12:55:345 Was Brady Walkinshaw ever in the one suite, one room Q 12:55:416 that you were negotiating from? 12:55:4**47** Was he ever in that room on the 15th? Α 12:55:578 Right. 0 12:55:519 The large banquet room we were negotiating in? Α 12:55:520 The -- I think you said you as the Democrat --No. 0 12:56:021 Democrats had one suite, one room. 12:56:03:2 Did Walkinshaw ever join you there as a Democrat? 12:56:023 Α Yes. 12:56:024 How many hours was he with you in that room? 0

I could not call it.

Α

12:56:125

12:56:141 Was it hours? 0 It was over the course of hours, he was in and out of 12:56:162 Α 12:56:213 the room. 12:56:224 What was he doing when he was in that room with you? 0 12:56:295 Α He was -- we were talking. We were eating. 12:56:456 Some time spent probably just thinking. phone calls. 12:56:557 Q Were there communications going on within that room such that you each could hear one another that 12:57:058 12:57:089 pertain to redistricting business? 12:57:120 MR. WONG: Object to form. 12:57:1**1.1** THE WITNESS: I'm not -- yeah, I'm 12:57:1**12** not sure what you're -- what you're asking here. 12:57:203 (By Ms. Mell) When you and Walkinshaw were in the Q 12:57:214 one suite, one room for the Dems in the Hampton on 12:57:255 the 15th, could you hear each other? 12:57:216 For some of it. Α Yes. 12:57:317 Did you hear that he was talking about commission Q business? 12:57:378 12:57:31.9 I'm not sure I understand what the -- what you mean Α 12:57:420 by "commission business." 12:57:421 Redistricting. Q Were we discussing redistricting? 12:57:5**22** Α 12:57:52:3 Could you hear him talking about redistricting when 0 12:57:524 you were together in the same room?

Could I hear him talking...?

Α

12:57:525

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022	Page 9
12:58:061		You mean other than talking to me?	
12:58:16 2	Q	Yes.	
12:58:173	A	Yes.	
12:58:214	Q	What do you recall hearing him say when he wasn't	
12:58:28 5		talking to you about redistricting?	
12:58:296	A	I don't recall the specifics. Just know if he was	
12:58:387		the way the room was set up, I'm sure that he	
12:58:428		yeah, I don't recall specifics.	
12:58:439	Q	How was the room set up?	
12:58:410	A	It was a larger hotel room with two beds, a couch,	a
12:58:5 1 1		large desk that kind of divided the space, a restr	oom
12:59:012		or a bathroom, a number of chairs so you could wor	k
12:59:1133		various spots.	
12:59:164	Q	Did you	
12:59:2165	A	To call it a suite is being generous. It was just	ı
12:59:316		bigger than a normal-sized hotel room.	
12:59:3 5 7	Q	So how were you did you each move around within	
12:59:41 8		that room, or did you kind of set up somebody at t	he
12:59:4 59		desk, somebody on the bed, somebody on the couch?	
12:59:420	A	We moved around the room.	
12:59:521	Q	Okay. And did you have your staff in there?	
12:59:522	A	They were in and out, yes.	
12:59:52 3	Q	Okay. So what content of any communications of	
13:00:024		Walkinshaw's did you hear when he wasn't directing	

the conversation to you that pertain to

13:00:025

13:00:091		redistricting?
13:00:09 2	A	I don't recall.
13:00:103	Q	Do you have any recollection whatsoever?
13:00:22 4	A	I think he might have updated the Senate majority
13:00:49 5		leader on where we were in our negotiations at one
13:00:52 6		point in time.
13:00:59 7		The day is a little bit of a blur in terms of
13:01:05 8		specific timelines.
13:01:089	Q	Do you remember him talking to Fain?
13:01:1 10	A	Do I remember him talking to Fain?
13:01:1111	Q	Yes.
13:01:1 122	A	I remember him reporting to me that he had
13:01:2 13		conversations with Commissioner Fain.
13:01:254	Q	About redistricting?
13:01:2 15	A	Yes.
13:01:286	Q	What do you remember him reporting about
13:01:347		redistricting conversations with Fain to you?
13:01:3 18	A	That they were planning to finish negotiations on the
13:01:3 19		congressional map when Commissioner Graves and I
13:01:4 20		finish negotiating our proposal.
13:01:421	Q	Was that a strategic maneuver?
13:01:5 22	A	I don't recall it being part of a strategic
13:01:5 23		conversation.
13:01:524	Q	What did you think the purpose of Walkinshaw telling
13:02:025		you what Fain told him about that to be?

	Sillis, A	April - January 14, 2022 Pages
13:02:091	A	You're asking me what I thought the purpose of
13:02:272		Commissioner Walkinshaw communicating that to me?
13:02:29 3	Q	Yeah.
13:02:314	A	I thought that to mean he was updating me on the
13:02:355		status of his negotiations.
13:02:376	Q	Okay. Was it indicative to you of whether or not
13:02:427		there was an impasse or an agreement?
13:02:578	A	No.
13:02:579	Q	What else did you hear strike that.
13:03:070		What else did Walkinshaw talk to you about that
13:03:111		was specific to what another commissioner was doing
13:03:1 4 2		or saying when you were in that room on the 15th?
13:03:183	A	I don't recall him saying anything to me that was
13:03:2 54		specific to what another commissioner was doing or
13:03:225		saying other than updating me on the status of his
13:03:3106		negotiations.
13:03:317	Q	Would that include specifics about the negotiations?
13:03:318	A	What specifics do you mean?
13:03:41 9	Q	Metrics, negotiating points, negotiating strategies,
13:03:42 0		timing.
13:03:5 21	A	So I remember him communicating that they had
13:04:022		exchanged proposals. They weren't negotiating until
13:04:123		we finished the legislative proposal.
13:04:234	Q	Is that the end of your answer?

13:04:225

A I'm still thinking.

13:04:271 Okay. Q I'm sure I reiterated my priorities to make sure that 13:04:28 2 Α 13:04:40 3 he knew what they were. 13:04:444 Your priorities as to what? 0 13:04:465 Α As to the congressional map. 13:04:486 0 Okay. 13:04:507 MR. WONG: Joan, we're a little 13:04:538 after 1. If we could break for lunch soon, that 13:04:569 would be appreciated. 13:04:570 MS. MELL: Okay. Yes. The answer 13:05:01:1 is, yes, we can break for lunch. I'm looking to see what time it is. 13:05:062 13:05:513 (Pause in proceedings from 13:05:514 1:05 p.m. to 1:41 p.m.) 13:05:515 (Mr. Wong not present.) 13:05:516 (Mr. Pekelis present.) 13:41:01/7 (By Ms. Mell) So, Commissioner Sims, where was the 13:41:01/8 13:41:099 one-room suite -- one-suite, one-room room in the 13:41:120 Hampton compared to where the Republicans were in 13:41:221 their one-suite, one-room location? 13:41:2**22** Well, to clarify, we each had one suite and we each Α 13:41:323 had one room. So --13:41:324 What does that mean? 0

There were two rooms for the Democrats to use and two

Α

13:41:325

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 9
13:41:401		rooms for the Republicans to use.
13:41:432	Q	That were adjoining?
13:41:463	A	They were not adjoining, to my knowledge.
13:41:494	Q	But the one suite, one room was, correct?
13:41:545	A	No.
13:41:546	Q	Configuration?
13:41:557	A	No. There was one suite, and then there was one
13:41:598		room. They were two separate rooms, and they were
13:42:049		not adjoining, to my knowledge.
13:42:060	Q	So the Rs had a configuration of one suite, one room,
13:42:091		and the Dems had a configuration of one suite, one
13:42:11 2		room at the Hampton?
13:42:133	A	The total of four rooms. Correct.
13:42:164	Q	But none of you kept to yourselves in those four
13:42:26 5		rooms, correct?
13:42:356		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
13:42:31.7		THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what
13:42:318		everyone else was doing.
13:42:409	Q	(By Ms. Mell) You were in any one of those four
13:42:42 0		locations with other voting commissioners during the
13:42:421		course of the negotiations, correct?
13:42:522	A	I was never in the room, the Republican room. I was
13:43:0 2 3		in the Republican suite at one point on the 15th.
13:43:12:4	Q	What'd you say? On the 15th?

Correct.

Α

13:43:225

	Siris, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 93
13:43:211	Q	When you were in the Republican suite, what other
13:43:342		commissioners were in there?
13:43:35 3	A	Commissioner Fain.
13:43:404	Q	Any other commissioners in there when you were in the
13:43:445		Republican suite?
13:43:46 6	A	No.
13:43:467	Q	With regard to the one room for the Democrats, were
13:43:538		you ever in the one room with the Democrats with any
13:43:569		other commissioner?
13:43:5 10	A	Yes.
13:43:5121	Q	Who?
13:43:5 122	A	Commissioner Walkinshaw.
13:44:0133	Q	Anyone else?
13:44:0 14	A	No other commissioners.
13:44:105	Q	And with regard to the one suite for the Democrats,
13:44:116		were you ever in that room with any other
13:44:1177		commissioners?
13:44:1 18	A	Yes.
13:44:189	Q	Who?
13:44:1 20	A	Commissioner Walkinshaw.
13:44:2 2 1	Q	Any other commissioners?
13:44:2 22	A	No.
13:44:2 2 3	Q	Did you meet with other commissioners in the hallway?
13:44:4 24	A	Yes.
	I	

Q Did you ever meet with two commissioners in the

13:44:42:5

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Pagi	
13:44:501		hallway?	
13:44:50 2	A	No. Not that I can recall.	
13:44:583	Q	How far away was the Republican one room and one	
13:45:024		suite from the Democrats' one room, one suite?	
13:45:07 5	A	The suites were on opposite ends of the hallway. So	
13:45:13 6		a fair distance in terms of the hotel space. The	
13:45:25 7		two	
13:45:258	Q	Where were go ahead.	
13:45:28 9	A	The two single rooms, I believe, were relatively	
13:45:3 10		close to each other.	
13:45:4111	Q	What was the difference in terms of how you chose	
13:45:41/2		whether you were going to occupy the suite or the	
13:45:453		room?	
13:45:4 1.4	A	Once the suite became available, we moved to the	
13:45:5 1.5		suite.	
13:45:516	Q	When did the suite become available?	
13:45:5 1.7	A	Sometime that day.	
13:45:5188	Q	On the 15th?	
13:46:0 119	A	Correct.	
13:46:020	Q	But all four were located on the same floor, along	
13:46:1 2 1		the same hallway?	
13:46:1 22	A	I don't know if I would call it the same hallway, in	
13:46:1 23		that one was straight off of the elevator and the	
13:46:2 24		other was around the corner from the elevator. So	

they could be defined as two separate hallways since

13:46:2**25**

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page
13:46:311		they didn't technically connect. So
13:46:352	Q	Were the rooms on the same hallway and the suites on
13:46:38 3		a separate hallway?
13:46:394	A	The rooms were in the same hallway. The suites were
13:46:465		on separate holiday hallways as I define them.
13:46:516	Q	And then the banquet room was on well, the suites
13:47:027		and the rooms were on the second floor, correct?
13:47:048	A	Correct.
13:47:059	Q	And the banquet room was on the first floor?
13:47:0 % O	A	Correct.
13:47:081	Q	And you moved between or among those rooms via a
13:47:15 2		stairway or elevator?
13:47:1163	A	Both.
13:47:204	Q	Did you make a concerted effort not to come into
13:47:25 5		communication with more than one commissioner at a
13:47:2 9 6		time as you moved about those rooms?
13:47:317	A	Yes, I did.
13:47:358	Q	Did you do that for purposes of complying with open
13:47:40 9		government rules?
13:47:420	A	Yes.
13:47:421	Q	So while you were moving among those rooms, talking
13:47:52 2		with one commissioner at a time, were you ever
13:47:52 3		texting communications with a third commissioner?
13:48:124	A	I'd have to look at the text messages.

13:48:125

Why?

253.627.6401

Do you not have any recollection as to what you

	C	
13:48:181		were doing?
13:48:18 2	A	Well, the day is a little blurry. And so there may
13:48:33 3		have been times when I sent a text on my way back to
13:48:38 4		the room or sent some other communication as I'm
13:48:47 5		leaving one space and transitioning to another.
13:48:506	Q	Was there ever a point in time when you were talking
13:48:537		with a commissioner and texting a third?
13:48:55 8	A	Not that I can recall.
13:49:13 9		Let me back up to that. There may have been a
13:49:1 1.0		I may have sent a communication to Commissioner
13:49:2 1.1		Walkinshaw, advising him where I was.
13:49:2162	Q	For what purpose?
13:49:2 % 3	A	Just as an update.
13:49:314	Q	At some point in time on the 15th, did you take a
13:49:465		vote?
13:49:4 16	A	Yes.
13:49:467	Q	How did you know to vote?
13:49:5 18	A	There was a motion on the floor that had been
13:50:0 119		seconded that called for a vote.
13:50:020	Q	Did you make any motion?
13:50:0 21	A	I don't recall if I made the motion or not.
13:50:1222	Q	Did you return to the public portion of the meeting
13:50:1 2 3		for purposes of taking a vote?
13:50:2 24		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I returned to the

13:50:2**25**

	Ollilo, A	phil - danuary 14, 2022
13:50:321		meeting for the purposes of getting an update and to
13:50:382		discuss the agreed-to proposal.
13:50:40 3	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you at some point in time return
13:50:444		to the public meeting for purposes of taking a vote?
13:50:485	A	I would say I returned to the meeting to get a status
13:51:116		update on our proposal work.
13:51:187	Q	Was there a status update portion of your meeting
13:51:238		scheduled on the agenda?
13:51:269	A	I'm not sure that I I don't recall what was
13:51:310		written on the agenda.
13:51:3 1 1	Q	Did you read the agenda or have the agenda before you
13:51:3 2 2		on the 15th?
13:51:39 3		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
13:51:414		THE WITNESS: I think I reviewed
13:51:415		the agenda in advance of the meeting but did not have
13:51:416		it in front of me on the 15th.
13:51:4 9 7	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you expect or anticipate there
13:52:188		would be a vote taken on the 15th?
13:52:219	A	We were all certainly working towards that. I had
13:52:220		hoped.
13:52:2 2 1	Q	Did you reach a point in your negotiations on the
13:52:32 2		15th where you returned to the public meeting for
13:52:32 3		purposes of voting?
13:52:324		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
44 -4 -4-		

THE WITNESS: We reached agreement

13:52:525

	OIIIIS, A	prii - January 14, 2022
13:52:521		on a proposal and came back to the meeting to discuss
13:52:552		that proposal.
13:52:56 3		MS. MELL: I didn't hear what the
13:52:584		word was you used before "proposal."
13:53:015		THE WITNESS: We reached agreement
13:53:046		on a proposal. Commissioner Graves and I reached
13:53:087		agreement on a proposal and came back to the meeting
13:53:098		to discuss that proposal with other members of the
13:53:129		commission.
13:53:13 0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Was it for purposes of making a motion
13:53:191		and voting?
13:53:1122	A	It was for the purpose
13:53:21 3		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
13:53:214		THE WITNESS: of discussion.
13:53:315		It was for discussion.
13:53:316		THE REPORTER: Repeat that. Sorry.
13:53:317		THE WITNESS: It's okay.
13:53:318		It was to discuss the proposal.
13:53:319	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you ever discuss the proposal in
13:53:32 0		the public meeting after you reached agreement?
13:53:321	A	After Commissioner Graves and I reached agreement?
13:53:4 2 2	Q	Well, whatever you were talking about.
13:53:42 3		You said, We reached an agreement and came back
13:53:4 2 4		to the meeting for purposes of discussing the
		_

proposal.

13:53:525

	• • •
	Did you ever do that?
	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form;
	misstates the testimony.
	THE WITNESS: Can you ask me the
	question again so I make sure I understand what
	you're?
Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did I understand your prior testimony
	correct in that you said you reached an agreement on
	a proposal and returned to the public meeting for
	purposes of discussing the proposal?
A	Correct.
Q	Did you return to the public meeting and discuss the
	agreed proposal?
A	I shared some details regarding the proposal during
	that meeting.
Q	That's not my question.
	After you reached an agreement on a proposal, did
	you return to the meeting and discuss your agreement
	on the proposal?
A	I'd have to look at the transcripts from the meeting
	to see how we worded it. I recall coming back into
	the meeting and discussing some specifics around the
	proposal.
Q	Did you communicate publicly that you had reached an
	А Q А

agreement on a proposal?

13:55:42:5

- 13:55:481 A I don't believe so.
- 13:55:522 | Q Why not?
- 13:55:543 A I believe at the time, we were still hoping we could
- 13:56:004 have maps that we could show the public regarding the
- 13:56:055 proposal.
- 13:56:056 O So am I to understand that you didn't --
- 13:56:117 A I believe -- I'm sorry.
- 13:56:118 | O Is it my understanding that you didn't tell the
- 13:56:149 public about having reached an agreement on a
- 13:56:160 proposal because you didn't have maps to show the
- 13:56:191 public?
- 13:56:212 A Can you ask me that question again? Is it your
- 13:56:213 understanding...?
- 13:56:284 O Yeah.
- 13:56:215 Am I understanding your testimony correctly when
- 13:56:316 I heard you to say that you did not share with the
- 13:56:367 public that you had reached an agreement on a
- 13:56:398 proposal because you did not have maps drawn to share
- 13:56:429 with the public?
- 13:56:520 A I think I might want to revisit what I said. Because
- 13:56:521 in the confusion of the evening, I'm not sure if I
- 13:57:022 expressly said that we had reached agreement or if I
- 13:57:023 just talked about the specifics of the agreement, of
- 13:57:024 the proposed agreement or the agreed-to proposal.
- 13:57:125 Q Do you think as you sit here today that you told the

13:57:161 public about the specifics of the agreement you 13:57:222 reached on a proposal privately? 13:57:283 As I sit here today... (Sotto voce speech.) Α 13:57:284 THE REPORTER: Sorry. I'm not 13:57:285 getting, April, your muttering. 13:57:40**6** I'm thinking out THE WITNESS: 13:57:41**7** loud. And I think, looking back, I wish I would have 13:57:488 13:57:509 said a lot more. Really regret that in the confusion 13:58:0**10** of the moment, we didn't provide as much clarity for 13:58:0**%1** the public. 13:58:3**1) 2** So can you ask me the specific question one more 13:58:3**13** time? 13:58:314 (By Ms. Mell) Did you choose not to disclose to the 0 13:58:4125 public that you had privately negotiated and agreed 13:58:546 upon a proposal because you didn't have maps to share 13:59:017 and disclose with the public at that time? 13:59:018 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 13:59:1**19** THE WITNESS: I would say that I 13:59:120 didn't make the conscious decision not to share, in 13:59:1**21** that the update that I provided to the public during that meeting was based on my desire to finish the 13:59:2**22** 13:59:323 maps and have final maps that we could share with the 13:59:4**24** public.

(By Ms. Mell) And your agreement was reached

Q

13:59:425

13:59:531		sometime around 8:45; is that correct?
13:59:56 2	A	My agreement with Commissioner Graves? Correct.
14:00:003	Q	Your agreement on well, did your agreement on a
14:00:034		proposal include the input of the other two
14:00:075		commissioners?
14:00:08 6	A	I'm not sure what you mean by "input."
14:00:137	Q	Did it have elements of what they wanted in it?
14:00:16 8	A	I had hoped so. I had hoped
9	Q	Had you included
10		(Simultaneous speakers.)
11		
12		THE REPORTER: Sorry. "I had
13		hoped" Finish that, April.
14:00:2 1.4		THE WITNESS: I had hoped that
14:00:2 18.5		there was enough in our proposed agreement.
14:00:3106	Q	
	<u>\</u>	(By Ms. Mell) Had you intentionally included
14:00:3 4 7	2	(By Ms. Mell) Had you intentionally included elements of what they wanted in your proposal?
14:00:3 1 7 14:00:3 18	A	
		elements of what they wanted in your proposal?
14:00:3 18		elements of what they wanted in your proposal? I worked to negotiate agreement that had some of the
14:00:3 1.8 14:00:4 1.9		elements of what they wanted in your proposal? I worked to negotiate agreement that had some of the priorities that Commissioner Walkinshaw had
14:00:3 1.8 14:00:4 1.9 14:00:4 2.0	A	elements of what they wanted in your proposal? I worked to negotiate agreement that had some of the priorities that Commissioner Walkinshaw had communicated to me.
14:00:3 1.8 14:00:4 1.9 14:00:4 2.0 14:00:4 2.1	A	elements of what they wanted in your proposal? I worked to negotiate agreement that had some of the priorities that Commissioner Walkinshaw had communicated to me. And how about Fain?
14:00:3 18 14:00:4 19 14:00:4 20 14:00:4 2 1 14:00:5 22	A	elements of what they wanted in your proposal? I worked to negotiate agreement that had some of the priorities that Commissioner Walkinshaw had communicated to me. And how about Fain? I had hoped that it had enough of his priorities

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 14:01:061 proposal to put forward? 14:01:102 I'd say that's correct. Α So explain to me, at 8:45, what were the four corners 14:01:213 Q 14:01:294 of the proposal? What did the proposal contain? 14:01:325 Α I'm not -- I don't know what you mean by "four 14:01:376 corners." What was inside the box that would define the 14:01:387 Q 14:01:428 proposal? 14:01:439 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 14:01:4**40** THE WITNESS: This is to the best 14:01:4**9.1** of my recollection. 14:01:5**42** Our final proposal or agreed-to proposal included 14:02:013 a majority CVAP Hispanic district in the 15th; 14:02:1**14** southwest Washington that had consolidated Camas and 14:02:21.5 Washougal; status quo Democratic performance in all 14:02:216 of our swing districts with the exception of the 28th 14:02:3**1.7** and the 44th. Both of those districts had increased 14:02:3**18** Democratic performance. 14:02:4**119** We incorporated all of the requests from our 14:02:4**20** tribal consultation process. 14:02:5**21** Our agreement included seven majority-minority districts, bringing the 12th district across the 14:02:5**22** 14:03:023 Cascades along Highway 2. 14:03:024 (Sotto voce speech.)

THE REPORTER:

LITIGATION SERVICES

14:03:025

Once again, I'm not

14:03:051 getting that, April.

14:03:28 2

14:03:293

14:03:324

14:03:345

14:03:53**6**

14:04:06**7**

14:04:118

14:04:249

14:04:2**160**

14:04:2**9.1**

14:04:332

14:04:353

14:04:3**1.4**

14:04:31/5

14:04:316

14:04:387

14:04:498

14:04:4329

14:04:52:0

14:04:521

14:04:52.2

14:05:02:3

14:05:024

14:05:125

THE WITNESS: I'm thinking. I want to make sure that I'm capturing as much as I can remember.

Probably -- my King County, his eastern

Washington with the exception of the 3rd. Thurston

County, Mason County wholly in the 35th. The

Evergreen State College into the 22nd.

Those are some of the key things that I remember.

I -- I -- there were a number of different components in that proposal that we had negotiated over weeks.

- Q (By Ms. Mell) How did you know what the final terms were that you'd agreed upon?
- A Because we had been negotiating them for weeks --
- Q How did you know --

THE REPORTER: Sorry. Sorry. "For weeks..." Continue that.

THE WITNESS: We had been negotiating them for weeks.

MS. MELL: Right.

Q (By Ms. Mell) So by the time you've been negotiating it for weeks, did you consider the significance of articulating the substance of what you were agreeing to in writing so that you knew that you'd formulated an agreement on like terms?

14:05:141 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: You know, it's kind 14:05:15**2** THE WITNESS: 14:05:243 of hard to explain. The negotiator in me is used to 14:05:27**4** swapping proposals across the table back and forth. 14:05:34**5** But in this particular negotiation, because we 14:05:35**6** had spent so much time together, so much time 14:05:39**7** negotiating proposals throughout our negotiations, a number of things resolved themselves and we had 14:05:428 14:05:469 clarity around just that there were just a handful of 14:05:5**11.0** issues remaining when we went into our last day of 14:05:5**41** negotiations. 14:05:552 (By Ms. Mell) So where was the work product of your 0 14:06:0103 agreement moving into your negotiations on the 5th 14:06:044 expressed? 14:06:01.5 We had expressed them verbally. There were some -- I Α 14:06:1**16** think some e-mail communication where maybe I 14:06:1**3.7** expressed that I -- you know, that I was accepting or 14:06:2**18** adopting something in one of his proposals or maybe 14:06:2**19** he had expressed it back to me. 14:06:320 What were the swing districts? 0 14:06:3**21** In general? Α 14:06:322 The ones in your agreement. 0 14:06:423 What were the swing districts in our -- in which --Α 14:06:4**24** I'm sorry.

Q

The agreement --

14:06:425

	Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 108
14:06:501	A Which districts were
14:06:502	(Interruption by reporter due
14:06:50 3	to simultaneous speakers.)
14:06:504	
14:06:575	THE WITNESS: I just want to make
14:06:586	sure I understand the question.
14:07:007	What were the swing districts when?
14:07:028	MS. MELL: That you described were
14:07:039	in your 8:45 agreement.
14:07:0 9 0	THE WITNESS: Well, in our 8:45
14:07:111	agreement, we had agreed that the swing districts
14:07:112	would remain status quo.
14:07:11 3	MS. MELL: Yeah. And I want to
14:07:114	know what districts those are.
14:07:195	THE WITNESS: The 10th, the 26th,
14:07:216	the 42nd, the 17th, the 24th, the 47th.
14:07:5 27	You're asking which districts were swing or which
14:07:518	districts were status quo? I'm sorry.
14:07:59 9	Q (By Ms. Mell) I'm asking you which swing districts
14:08:020	were were part of what you articulated as your
14:08:121	8:45 agreement.
14:08:12 2	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
14:08:123	THE WITNESS: So the 42nd, the
14:08:224	10th, the 44th, the 47th, the 28th, the 26th, the

24th, the 17th.

14:08:325

14:08:501 I think I captured them all. Am I forgetting --14:08:542 (By Ms. Mell) How many --0 14:08:553 The 5th remained a swing district, competitive Α 14:08:594 district. 14:09:005 Okav. How many conditions were there to your 8:45 0 14:09:086 agreement? Conditions or elements. 14:09:127 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 14:09:138 THE WITNESS: There were -- how 14:09:229 many elements were included in our agreement? 14:09:240 MS. MELL: Yeah. 14:09:241 (By Ms. Mell) Can you count all the agreements that 0 14:09:262 comprised your 8:45 agreement? 14:09:293 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 14:09:314 THE WITNESS: Not off the top of my 14:09:355 head today. 14:09:386 Is there more than five? 0 (By Ms. Mell) 14:09:457 MR. PEKELIS: Same objection. 14:09:418 I would say more than THE WITNESS: 14:09:519 five. 14:09:520 (By Ms. Mell) More than ten? 0 14:09:521 MR. PEKELIS: Same objection. 14:09:522 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 14:10:123 (By Ms. Mell) But it's correct that whatever you 0 14:10:124 agreed to, you never expressed it in any formal way, 14:10:125 correct?

14:10:211	A	Correct.
14:10:23 2	Q	And the only place where anyone would be able to
14:10:27 3		ascertain the contents of the agreement would be by
14:10:324		asking you or Commissioner Graves?
14:10:405	A	Or either of our staff who were engaged in the
14:10:446		negotiations. They would likely
14:10:527	Q	Were the agreements within your 8:45 agreement
14:10:578		communicated to Fain?
14:11:049	A	I don't know.
14:11:04 0	Q	Were your agreements within your 8:45 agreement
14:11:071		communicated to Walkinshaw?
14:11:092	A	Some of them.
14:11:11 3	Q	Which ones did you communicate with Walkinshaw?
14:11:144	A	The final partisan numbers in the 44th and the 28th.
14:11:24 5	Q	And what were those final partisan numbers in the
14:11:28 6		44th and the 28th?
14:11:297	A	Increased performance a point and a half in the 44th.
14:11:318		Increased performance of .8, I believe, in the 28th.
14:11:41 9	Q	What time was an agreement reached on the
14:11:520		congressional district maps?
14:11:5 2:1	A	I don't know.
14:12:02 2	Q	What time did you understand there was no further
14:12:02 3		negotiating on the congressional district maps?
14:12:024	A	When I believe it was Senator Fain made the
14:12:125		commission.

Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022 rage 11
Q	When Senator Fain made the motion on the
	congressional district map, did you have an
	understanding of the status of Senator
	Commissioner Fain's negotiations with Commissioner
	Walkinshaw prior to Commissioner Fain making a
	motion?
	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I
	understand the question.
Q	(By Ms. Mell) Well, did you strike that.
	Are you telling me that the first time you knew
	that the negotiations between Fain and Walkinshaw and
	a congressional district map had concluded was when
	Fain made a motion?
A	Yes.
Q	So at the time Fain made a motion on the
	congressional district maps, what did you know about
	his motion?
A	I knew that he was moving adoption of the maps or
	adoption of the plan.
Q	Okay. So what were the elements of what he was
	Q Q A

14:13:323 A There was -- I'm sorry. What are you asking me?

moving to adopt?

14:13:524 Q I'm asking you what the elements were of the motion 14:14:025 specific to the congressional district plan.

14:13:322

14:14:051 A The elements as I understood them was that they were status quo maps in terms of Democratic performance.

14:14:153 I should -- let me say that a different way.

That they were status quo maps in terms of the makeup of congressional seats in our state. It would be six Democratic, three Republican, and one true swing.

That the 8th would remain a status quo district.

That the 6th would pick up population in Tacoma and Thurston County.

The 3rd would remain largely unchanged.

The 10th district would expand.

The 4th and the 5th districts would remain vertical and continue to divide Cowlitz -- not Cowlitz -- Colville nation.

That the 7th and 9th district -- that the 9th district would continue to be a majority-minority district and would pick up some south Seattle but not all of it.

- Q Are those the elements you can remember voting on with respect to the congressional district map?
- A That's what I recall, yes.

And a slightly compact 2nd congressional district. I think that congressional district was going be smaller, and the 1st district would pick up

14:14:174

14:14:235

14:14:296

14:14:297

14:14:338

14:14:379

14:16:011		more territory going north.
14:16:032	Q	So did you glean all those elements from Fain's
14:16:06 3		motion?
14:16:074	A	Some of them.
14:16:09 5	Q	Okay. Which
14:16:106	A	Sorry. To answer your question, no.
14:16:147	Q	Okay. So how did you know what you were voting on
14:16:198		when you voted?
14:16:209	A	Some of it was based on the discussion that we had
14:16:210		prior to the motion.
14:16:291	Q	But some of it wasn't, correct?
14:16:312	A	Correct.
14:16:32 3	Q	As a matter of fact, much of it wasn't, correct?
14:16:344		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
14:16:3 1 5		THE WITNESS: Are you asking me if
14:16:416		I agree with you?
14:16:4 1 7	Q	(By Ms. Mell) I'm asking well, there are many
14:16:498		of the elements that you thought you were voting on
14:16:52 9		were never expressed in the public discussion portion
14:16:52 0		of your meeting on the 15th.
14:17:021	A	I'd have to look at
14:17:02 2		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
14:17:023		THE WITNESS: the tran
14:17:024		THE REPORTER: Sorry. Start that
14:17:02 5		over, April.

14:17:071		THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at
14:17:082		the transcripts.
14:17:113	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Are the transcripts your best
14:17:154		recollection of what occurred at this time because
14:17:19 5		you don't know what happened?
14:17:266	A	I would say that my memory of that evening is fuzzy.
14:17:317		And at this point in time, I was exhausted. And
14:17:398		there was a lot of confusion and chaos in the
14:17:429		evening.
14:17:44 0	Q	Would you agree that in the course of negotiations on
14:17:491		the 15th, that you lost sight of the fact that the
14:17:56 2		public was there to observe your negotiations?
14:18:013	A	You're asking if I agree with you in that statement?
14:18:084	Q	Yes.
14:18:1105	A	I do not.
14:18:116	Q	Why not?
14:18:127	A	I just wouldn't characterize it that way.
14:18:158	Q	Would you agree that well, can you as you sit here
14:18:25 9		today think of any content expressed publicly that
14:18:320		comprise an element of the proposal you voted on for
14:18:321		congressional districts prior to your vote in the
14:18:3 2 2		public session?
14:18:42 3		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
14:18:424		THE WITNESS: Can you ask me again?
14:18:42 5	Q	(By Ms. Mell) As you sit here today, what elements

- of what you voted on with regard to a congressional district map were expressed publicly before your vote?
 - A I would have to look at the transcripts to be sure.

I remember, I believe it was Commissioner
Walkinshaw and I believe Commissioner Fain also added
to the discussion.

- Q (By Ms. Mell) What content -- what content was shared publicly about the congressional district map before you voted on it?
- A To my recollection, there was some discussion as to the boundaries of some of the districts. I think that the 8th district would continue to cross the Cascades.

I'm sure there was more that I don't recall off the top of my head.

- Q Do you agree that the majority of the elements necessary to define congressional district boundaries were not expressed to the public prior to your vote?
- A Yeah, I would agree with that.
- Q Would you agree --
- 14:20:322 A But in the chaos of the evening, we did not express or share as many of the details in the plans as we would have liked.
 - Q And did you -- did you not express or share as many

14:19:10 4

14:19:135

14:19:256

14:19:30**7**

14:19:408

14:19:429

14:19:450

14:19:4**9.1**

14:19:5**1/2**

14:19:5**1.3**

14:20:0**1).4**

14:20:0325

14:20:116

14:20:137

14:20:168

14:20:2109

14:20:220

14:20:321

14:21:02/5

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 11
14:21:121		of the details in the plan as you would have liked
14:21:162		due to the chaos meaning you prioritized negotiations
14:21:243		over public communication?
14:21:28 4	A	I thought that we would have an opportunity to share
14:21:45 5		our maps with the public and then take a vote. And I
14:21:54 6		was always working toward that goal.
14:21:567	Q	Was there a point in time when you recognize you
14:22:058		wouldn't achieve that goal?
14:22:07 9	A	Yes.
14:22:0 % O	Q	And was it at that point in time that you decided to
14:22:1721		vote on a nonexistent map?
14:22:1 12	A	It was at that point in time that I decided to vote
14:22:2 11.3		on our agreed-to framework.
14:22:2724	Q	Without a map, correct?
14:22:2 165	A	Correct. There were no maps when we took the vote.
14:22:286	Q	Okay. And your agreed-upon framework was generalized
14:22:357		enough that multiple district boundaries could have
14:22:4108		been mapped from those elements that you agreed to;
14:22:449		is that correct?
14:22:4 2 0		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
14:22:5 21		THE WITNESS: I would say our
14:22:5 22		agreed-to framework was specific enough that we could
14:22:5 23		draw our maps from it, which is what we did.
14:22:5 2 4	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And what you're saying in terms of

drawing your maps from it, do you mean that you could

14:23:025

14:23:091 ultimately agree on a specific location for district
14:23:142 boundaries using the framework?

14:23:193 A Can you ask me that again?

Q It's correct that the framework was not -- strike that.

It is correct that in the framework agreed upon, there were multiple iterations of district maps that could be articulated and expressed through a shape file, correct?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I think that there are multiple ways to draw any map. But as you start to make -- as you agree to different points, the variations become limited. So the agreement to come across Highway 2 limits the other variables in the map. So once you make a decision here, it impacts or limits the number of decisions or variables in other districts.

For example, if you pull the 60,000 population from western Washington or, rather, bring the 12th district over to pick up 60,000 in population, and you have agreement around the performance of specific districts, you're limited in how you can draw a number of other districts.

Q (By Ms. Mell) But you're not limited to one option,

14:23:224

14:23:295

14:23:296

14:23:337

14:23:368

14:23:419

14:23:410

14:23:4**1.1**

14:24:2**12**

14:24:3**13**

14:24:4**1.4**

14:24:4325

14:24:516

14:25:0**17**

14:25:1**48**

14:25:1**3.9**

14:25:320

14:25:3**21**

14:25:422

14:25:423

14:25:5**24**

14:25:525

4:26:011 are you?

- A Well, it depends on in -- depends on the decision points that came before. In some cases, there's really only one way that you can draw a district if you have already agreed to all of these other things, which is what makes the negotiations so complicated.
- Q How many different maps were drawn after the vote for reconciliation on the 16th?
- A There was -- to my knowledge, there was one map drawn on the 16th. I'm sorry. Let me -- there was one congressional map drawn and one legislative map drawn that I believe my staff and Paul's staff were working to reconcile those two maps into one.
- Q So that would be four each, correct? Two -- a

 Republican and a cauc- -- Democrat version on each

 map?
- A Well, the Republican and the Democratic version -- I can only speak to the legislative map drawing for this specific question.

For the legislative maps, my map was done on the 15th. I believe that Commissioner Graves' map was also done on the 15th. And, to my knowledge, the one map reconciling or consolidating those two maps is what was drawn on the 16th. So when I say one map, that's the one map I'm referring to.

14:27:5**23**

14:27:5**24**

14:28:025

14:28:031 How many different iterations of district boundaries 0 14:28:082 were reconciled on the 16th? 14:28:123 I don't know. Α 14:28:144 More than one? 14:28:155 Α I'm not sure I understand the question. 14:28:286 Did you have to make decisions that involved more 14:28:337 than one expression of district boundaries on the 14:28:438 Did you have choices? 16th? I don't recall making any decisions on the 16th. 14:28:48 9 Α 14:29:020 Did your staff? 0 14:29:0**11** I don't actually do the mapping, so to say that I did Α anything or much on the 16th is certainly generous. 14:29:0**3.2** 14:29:1**43** I -- I don't know that there were -- ask me the 14:29:4**1.4** question again. I'm sorry. In terms of what the 14:29:4**15** staff were doing. 14:29:486 How long did it take to create an expression on the 0 14:30:127 16th of your agreed-upon proposal? I don't know what you mean by "an expression." 14:30:1**18** Α 14:30:219 Something that would enable the public to know what 0 14:30:320 you decided. 14:30:3**21** I believe the maps were completed in the Dave's Α Redistricting tool sometime around -- the legislative 14:30:422 14:30:423 maps, just to be clear -- sometime around 7 a.m. 14:30:424 And when the meeting ended a little after midnight, Q

14:30:525

14:31:081 on the voted-upon proposal? My map and Commissioner Graves' maps, or map, were 14:31:10**2** Α 14:31:29 3 the two maps being used or being reconciled. 14:31:344 And when you say "being reconciled," what did that 0 14:31:375 require? 14:31:386 Again, this is my understanding and will speak to my Α ineptness in terms of actually drafting the maps and 14:31:50**7** 14:31:538 doing the work. But I believe staff did an overlay 14:31:579 of one map on top of the other so we could see where 14:32:0**1:0** the differences were and reconcile from there. 14:32:121 How many different overlays did you look at? 0 14:32:11.2 I never looked at an overlay. I never saw a digital Α 14:32:213 image of the two maps overlaid. That's just how I 14:32:2**1.4** believe it was described to me. 14:32:285 Were there differences in any overlay? 14:32:316 I imagine there were. Α 14:32:357 How many differences were there? Q 14:32:3**18** I couldn't guess. Α 14:32:4109 How many decisions were made in the reconciliation 0 14:32:420 process? 14:32:4**21** Again, I didn't make any decisions in that process. Α 14:32:48.2 Were decisions made in the reconciliation process? 0 14:32:5**23** Not that I'm aware of. Α 14:32:524 Is there something --0

Well, let me...

Α

14:33:025

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 12
14:33:221		Not that I'm aware of.
14:33:26 2	Q	So in the reconciliation process, did your map and
14:33:31 3		the other map of Commissioner Graves overlay with
14:33:394		precisely the same boundaries?
14:33:465	A	Were our maps exact? No.
14:33:496	Q	Okay. So how how did a decision get made on a
14:33:557		final map?
14:34:158	A	I confirmed with my staff that the final map included
14:34:219		all of our agreed-to metrics and decisions around
14:34:320		communities of interest and consolidating cities.
14:34:311		And we loaded it into the Edge program.
14:34:41 2	Q	Did you have a checklist at the time?
14:34:413	A	No.
14:34:484	Q	Did they?
14:34:515	A	Not that I'm aware of.
14:34:54 6	Q	So when your map did your map that was utilized
14:35:027		moments after midnight include all of the elements of
14:35:09 8		the motion you believed you'd agreed upon?
14:35:119	A	I believe I believe so.
14:35:22 0	Q	And, similarly, did Graves' map that was utilized for
14:35:22 1		purposes of reconciliation to create a final map on
14:35:32 2		the 16th incorporate and include all the elements of
14:35:32 3		your agreed-upon proposal?
14:35:424	A	I do not know.

Q Did you know anything about Graves' map?

14:35:425

- A I knew a number of things about Graves' map.
- 14:35:572 | Q So at the time that you were in -- I'll strike that.

4:36:033 What did you know about the map that you attribute to Graves that was used to reconcile with your map?

A I knew that his 15th legislative district was a CVAP majority Hispanic district that was very similar to the one that I had previously proposed; that his map also came across Highway 2.

I knew that his staff -- I knew that his 28th district was really similar to mine, that his 26th district was similar to mine, or rather, his 28th district was similar to what we were negotiating.

You know, when you're -- when you're -- when you are working on proposals, you run these mapping scenarios to make sure that what you're proposing will actually work in that form and work with some of the other decisions that you've made in the map.

And so as we were negotiating, there were just parts of our map that became more and more in alignment.

Q At the time the meeting concluded, what was different about -- well, strike that.

At the time the public meeting concluded a little after midnight on the 16th, what was different about

14:38:025

14:35:501

14:38:151 Graves' map from your map relative to the elements of 14:38:172 your agreement?

> I'm not sure. Α

14:38:193

14:38:244

14:38:275

14:38:306

14:39:00**7**

14:39:038

14:39:089

14:39:1**10**

14:39:1**9.1**

14:39:3**16.2**

14:39:3**12.3**

14:39:4**1.4**

14:39:4**15**

14:39:416

14:39:5**1.7**

14:39:5**18**

14:39:5189

14:40:020

14:40:0**21**

14:40:022

14:40:123

14:40:1**24**

14:40:22/5

- Were there differences?
- I imagine that that's what staff were working on. Α
- And how were those differences resolved?
- Α Some of them, I imagine, were resolved by way of geographical boundaries. Some, I imagine, were resolved because the more decisions you make, the fewer options you have, and so the more narrow those options become.

Some of them were, you know -- there was agreement that we would draw King County. So if you accept our King County, then that impacts the number of other areas in the district and therefore the map and therefore limits what you can do with other districts.

So if we have our King County and right below that is Pierce County and in that county is the 28th district, there's only so many things that you can do with that district once you remove Tacoma, incorporate Lakewood, and agree to keep the Democratic performance at a certain place. So some of those things just work themselves out.

What district is Lakewood in?

14:40:261 A The 28th district.

14:40:365

14:41:220

14:41:251

14:41:292

14:41:343

14:41:384

14:41:415

14:41:416

14:41:507

14:41:518

14:41:569

14:42:020

14:42:021

14:42:022

14:42:123

14:42:124

14:42:225

- 14:40:272 Q Is all of Lakewood in the 28th in the final map 14:40:333 transmitted to the legislature?
- 14:40:344 A Nearly all of it, I believe.
 - Q Who decided what part to leave out?
- 14:40:566 A I don't recall. So we made an agreement that we
 14:41:147 wouldn't increase the Democratic performance by more
 14:41:168 than a certain amount and so that we would try to
 14:41:199 incorporate as much of Lakewood as possible.

I wanted a 28th district that would trend majority-minority sometime in the next decade.

Commissioner Graves knew that that was my intent and why I was negotiating to include Lakewood.

But because we had negotiated around specific Democratic performance, that limited some of our options in the way the district needed to be drawn. And our staff, in honoring our agreement, drew the districts accordingly.

- Q Was there enough left unsaid in -- or unexpressed in your agreement that you had to make decisions on the 16th as to where to finally place the boundaries?
- A I don't recall making any decisions on the 16th as to where the boundaries on the maps would be.
- Q Did you leave the decision to locate boundaries in a specified location to your staff?

14:42:261	A	I empowered my staff to do the mapping. I mean,
14:42:302		there was
14:42:31 3	Q	Without your input?
14:42:324	A	There was so little
14:42:35 5	Q	With or without your input?
14:42:386	A	I think I had input.
14:42:417	Q	Okay. So why do you say that you weren't making
14:42:438		decisions, then?
14:42:449		What do you call your input?
14:42:410	A	Clarifying our agreement.
14:42:491	Q	Oh, okay. All right.
14:42:52 2		So let's look at what's going to be marked as
14:42:51 3		Exhibit 60
14:43:064		MS. MELL: My page numbers are so
14:43:075		far back now that we've been going so long. I don't
14:43:166		remember what you told me, John.
14:43:187		THE REPORTER: We'll start with 66.
14:43:208		MS. MELL: I was going to say that.
14:43:219		Okay.
14:43:320	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Do you see my Screen 2, this one?
14:43:321	A	I do.
14:43:322		It's a list of documents?
14:43:42 3	Q	Yep.
14:43:424		Says "Sims Exhibits" right here. Is that what
14:43:42 5		you're looking at?

14:43:451 Mm-hmm. Α Yes. 14:43:462 I'll move you over here. 0 14:43:483 All right. We'll make this Exhibit 66. 14:44:034 Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 66, do 14:44:075 you recognize that document? 14:44:086 Α Yes. 14:44:117 What is it? 0 14:44:128 Text messages between me and Laurie Jinkins, Speaker Α 14:44:209 Jinkins. 14:44:200 Do you know what time this communication occurred? 0 14:44:241 I don't know exactly. It looks like sometime --Α 14:44:312 sometime in the morning of the 16th. 14:44:333 What's your training in the Open Public Meetings Act? Q 14:44:374 I had the training provided to us by the attorney Α 14:44:435 general or the presentation provided to us by the 14:44:416 attorney general when I was appointed. 14:44:477 Did you have any further training? Q 14:44:498 Not that I recall, no. Α 14:44:539 Did you understand, when you listened to the attorney 0 14:44:520 general's presentation, that that was not compliant 14:44:521 with the statutory requirements of OPMA or was insufficient training to comply with statutory 14:45:022 14:45:023 requirements of OPMA? 14:45:024 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

No, at the time, I

THE WITNESS:

LITIGATION SERVICES

14:45:125

14:45:161	did not.
14:45:18 2	Q (By Ms. Mell) Did you remember the attorney
14:45:20 3	general's discussion about serial meetings or serial
14:45:254	communications?
14:45:275	A Yes.
14:45:286	Q What do you recall? Or strike that.
14:45:317	What do you think a serial communication is?
14:45:358	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form; calls
9	for a legal conclusion.
10	(Simultaneous speakers.)
11	
12	THE REPORTER: Sorry. Repeat the
13	objection there.
14	MR. PEKELIS: Well, I actually cut
15	off her question. So maybe just let her re-ask the
14:45:516	question, and then I'll reconsider.
14:45:51 7	Q (By Ms. Mell) What do you think a serial
14:45:51 8	communication means?
14:45:51 9	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form; calls
14:45:52 0	for a legal conclusion.
14:45:5 21	THE WITNESS: A serial meeting
14:46:022	would be if I had a Zoom meeting open with one voting
14:46:123	member of the commission and then they left and I
14:46:124	invited another voting member of the commission in to
14:46:225	continue that same deliberation and then invited

	C	
14:46:281		another another member in and continued that same
14:46:332		deliberation.
14:46:34 3	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And is your entire knowledge about a
14:46:414		serial meeting contained within what the assistant
14:46:45 5		attorney general told you when you were listening to
14:46:476		his presentation as a commissioner?
14:46:507	A	Yes.
14:46:548		Well, I would say the base of my knowledge.
14:47:039	Q	I didn't hear the modifier. The what?
14:47:0 % O	A	The base of my knowledge.
14:47:091	Q	Are there any other elements, to your knowledge,
14:47:12 2		other than what the AG told you?
14:47:14 3		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
14:47:1 5 4		THE WITNESS: I've heard other
14:47:215		people mention serial meetings in the course of this
14:47:2 1 6		work.
14:47:317	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Who has told you what?
14:47:318	A	I don't recall any anything specific. Just that I
14:47:319		have heard "serial meetings" and that we're not
14:47:320		allowed to have serial meetings come up.
14:47:42 1	Q	Did that include any explanation of what a serial
14:47:49 2		meeting would be?
14:47:423	A	No.
14:47:484	Q	Do you know what a secret vote is?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

14:47:525

14:47:551		THE WITNESS: A vote taken in
14:47:562		secret.
14:47:57 3	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And what does a secret vote look like
14:47:594		to you?
14:48:015		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
14:48:026		THE WITNESS: A vote taken
14:48:057		excuse me. Excuse me.
14:48:148		A vote taken out of public view.
14:48:189	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you ever take a vote out of public
14:48:200		view?
14:48:211	A	I did not.
14:48:21 2	Q	What is a vote?
14:48:213	A	Sorry. What is a vote?
14:48:324	Q	Yes.
14:48:315	A	When I think the question might be so simple that
14:48:416		I don't understand what you're looking for.
14:48:517	Q	We do a lot of that in the practice of law. Sorry
14:48:598		for putting you through the torture process of it,
14:49:019		but that's where it's at.
14:49:020		Can you articulate what a vote means to you?
14:49:021		MR. PEKELIS: And I'll just object
14:49:02 2		to the extent it's calling for a legal conclusion.
14:49:123		THE WITNESS: What does a vote mean
14:49:124		to me? I might be overthinking the question.
14:49:32 5	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Let's try it this way. When I when

14:49:411 I say the word "vote," what does that look like to you in the context of your redistricting work? 14:49:442 14:49:583 I believe it's my -- me using my institutionally Α 14:50:044 recognized power to express my explicit support or 14:50:125 nonsupport on an action item. 14:50:236 And, Joan, can I just MR. PEKELIS: 14:50:257 interject here? I know we've been going about an hour and 15 minutes since the lunch break, and it 14:50:278 14:50:299 appears the witness has something in her throat. 14:50:310 She's had a couple of coughing fits. 14:50:341 No offense, April. So it might be a good time for a short break. 14:50:352 14:50:403 MS. MELL: Okay. We'll come back 14:50:414 at 3? Sounds good. 14:50:425 MR. PEKELIS: 14:50:416 (Pause in proceedings from 14:50:417 2:50 p.m. to 3:02 p.m.) 15:02:518 (By Ms. Mell) Commissioner Sims, how did you know 15:02:519 0 15:02:520 that Paul and Joe did not want a court-drawn map? 15:03:021 MR. PEKELIS: Objection; foundation. 15:03:022 15:03:223 This is my reference. MS. MELL: 15:03:2**2**4 MR. PEKELIS: Same objection. 15:03:525 MS. MELL: Is she talking? I can't

hear her.

15:03:571

15:04:02**2**

15:04:05**3**

15:04:064

15:04:085

15:04:11**6**

15:04:14**7**

15:04:188

15:04:22**9**

15:04:3**40**

15:04:4**2.1**

15:04:4**16.2**

15:04:5**12.3**

15:04:5**1.4**

15:05:2**12.5**

15:05:2**16**

15:05:3**1) 7**

15:05:3**1.8**

15:05:3**19**

15:05:4**20**

15:05:4**21**

15:06:0**22**

15:06:123

15:06:224

15:06:225

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I didn't realize I was still on "mute."

MS. MELL: At the last minute, I could see your mouth moving. I didn't hear anything.

THE WITNESS: This text message, this screenshot of these text messages between Speaker Jinkins and me is sometime late the morning on the 16th. So after we had finished the mapping and probably, you know, after over 30 hours of being awake, so I still hadn't been to sleep yet at this point on Tuesday. So I had been up since early Monday morning and surviving on just a few hours' sleep from the night before.

So just to, you know, provide a little bit of context, I think I mentioned that I was at the hotel till about 1:00 on Sunday night and back at the hotel, you know, sometime around 9:00 the following day.

And so I think I just had a conversation with Paul and asked him. This was, I think, regarding a statement.

(By Ms. Mell) All I want to know right now is: How did you know what Paul and Joe wanted relative to a court-drawn map?

Q

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022	13
15:06:241	A	I had a conversation with Paul.	
15:06:27 2	Q	How did you know what Joe wanted from your	
15:06:31 3		conversation with Paul?	
15:06:324	A	I think I was making an assumption that they both	
15:06:375		felt the same way.	
15:06:38 6	Q	Are you sure that Paul didn't tell you whether or not	
15:06:417		Joe wanted a court-drawn map?	
15:06:438	A	I don't know if he expressly told me that.	
15:06:489	Q	Did you talk to Paul about what Joe wanted with	
15:06:51 0		regard to a court-drawn map?	
15:06:5 1 1	A	I think the conversation that I had with Paul is, Are	
15:06:512		we still together on this?	
15:06:5 83	Q	And when you say, "Are we still together on this?"	
15:07:0 4 4		who are you talking about?	
15:07:0 1 5	A	I meant him and me.	
15:07:01 6	Q	And so this reference to Joe was just to suggest to	
15:07:1 4 7		Laurie that you were in the know with what Joe wanted	
15:07:11 8		even though you didn't really know?	
15:07:1 19	A	I think I made an assumption that he was in.	
15:07:23 0	Q	Are you sure you didn't talk to him about it?	
15:07:2 21	A	Did I have a conversation with Joe?	
15:07:29 2	Q	Yeah.	
15:07:22 3		Did you have a conversation with Joe about	
15:07:324		whether or not he wanted a court-drawn map?	

He was in the same room with you, wasn't he?

15:07:325

15:07:351 I was -- this was Tuesday morning, the 16th. No. Ι Α 15:07:412 was at home. I had left the hotel by about 7 a.m. 15:07:453 that morning. 15:07:484 Before you left the hotel that morning, did you talk 0 15:07:515 to Joe about whether or not he wanted a court-drawn 15:07:546 map? I think at some point in time the morning of the 16th 15:08:187 Α or the evening of the 16th, early morning 16th, there 15:08:268 15:08:479 was a -- there was conversation about a press release 15:08:5**1**0 or a press statement where we would express our 15:08:511 desire for the courts to consider our maps. 15:09:082 Who was that conversation among? The commissioners? 0 15:09:143 Yes. Α 15:09:154 The voting commissioners? 0 15:09:185 Not all of us at one time, but I think there was some Α 15:09:216 conversation as to a press statement. Or maybe it was over text or e-mail. We looked at different 15:09:257 15:09:238 press statements. And I think one draft of the 15:09:319 statement was our expressing our unanimous support. 15:09:420 And the statement that ultimately came out was 15:09:421 different. 15:09:582 Did you collectively consider various press 0 statements on the 16th? **15:10:02**3 15:10:024 I think there were -- I don't know what you mean by Α

"collectively."

15:10:025

		- ugo 10
15:10:071	Q	Did all of you voting commissioners have an
15:10:102		opportunity to weigh in on what you wanted to express
15:10:143		to the public, in one form or another communicating
15:10:264		about that same subject?
15:10:295		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:10:30 6		THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that we
15:10:31 7		all did. I recall seeing one or two draft
15:10:38 8		statements.
15:10:399	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Do you recall what the position was of
15:10:410		your fellow commissioners on the statements?
15:11:311		Are you still thinking?
15:11:3 12	A	I am. I ask me the question again, please.
15:11:41/3	Q	Did you have knowledge of what any other commissioner
15:11:514		thought about communications to the press on the
15:11:5 1 5		16th?
15:12:0 16	A	Yes.
15:12:027	Q	How did you have knowledge of what the other
15:12:0 1 8		commissioners thought about communications to the
15:12:019		press on the 16th?
15:12:1 20	A	I talked to Commissioner Walkinshaw, and I talked to
15:12:2 21		Commissioner Graves.
15:12:2 2 2	Q	When you talked to Commissioner Walkinshaw, did you
15:12:2 2 3		share anything you knew about your conversation with
15:12:324		Commissioner Graves with regard to a statement?

Α

15:12:3**25**

I don't believe so.

- Did you talk with -- when you talked to Graves, did
 you share any of what you'd conversed with Walkinshaw
 about to Graves?
- 15:12:57**4 A Yes.**

15:14:01/5

15:14:096

15:14:117

15:14:1**18**

15:14:1**1.9**

15:14:2**20**

15:14:3**21**

15:14:3**22**

15:14:3**23**

15:14:4**24**

15:14:425

- 15:12:595 | Q Okay. What did you share?
- 15:13:016 A I believe I said, I'm not sure where Brady is on 15:13:087 this.
- 15:13:148 | Q And what did Graves say?
- 15:13:179 A I don't recall.
- 15:13:110 Q When Laurie Jinkins was saying, "Statement seems
 15:13:511 pretty settled," was she referring to the statement
 15:13:512 you discussed with Walkinshaw and Graves?
- 15:13:513 A I believe she was referring to the press statement 15:14:014 that the commission released.
 - Q How is the commission statement at the press meeting distinct from the press statement you talked to Walkinshaw and Graves about?
 - A I think the original press statement that we discussed expressed our unanimous support for the maps and talked about our -- expressed our regret over failing to meet the standards of transparency we had set at the beginning of this process. And I think the final statement was much shorter and did not express some of those things.
 - Q So what was Laurie Jinkins telling you was pretty

	J	
15:14:521		settled?
15:14:59 2	A	I read this as her questioning if I read this more
15:15:10 3		as a question of whether or not we were going to
15:15:15 4		express unanimous support for the maps.
15:15:225	Q	And did you know, when she asked you that question,
15:15:266		whether or not you were?
15:15:36 7	A	Did I know whether or not we were what?
15:15:398	Q	Whether or not the commission was expressing the
15:15:449		unanimous position of the commissioners on the maps.
15:15:5 10	A	I didn't know at this time, there were a lot of
15:15:5 %1		questions and ambiguity around the impact of our vote
15:16:0 12		the night before. Like, we were all
15:16:01/3	Q	Were you talking
15:16:01/4		THE REPORTER: What was that there,
15:16:01/5		April?
15:16:1 16		THE WITNESS: We were all tired.
15:16:1 1 7	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Were you all talking about the impact
15:16:118		when you were collectively together in the room on
15:16:2109		the 16th?
15:16:2 20	A	I wouldn't say that we were all collectively together
15:16:2 21		on the 16th.
15:16:2 2 2	Q	You were all in the same room, weren't you?
15:16:3 23	A	At certain points.
15:16:3 2 4	Q	Well, how many hours were you in the same room with
	1	

three other voting commissioners on the 16th?

15:16:425

	Sims, A	April - January 14, 2022 Page 13
15:16:491	A	Throughout the course of the night?
15:16:51 2	Q	On the 16th. Throughout the course of the entire
15:16:55 3		16th.
15:16:574	A	I mean, we were all in and out of the room at various
15:17:085		points in the night.
15:17:11 6	Q	Did you leave the public hearing and go to the
15:17:15 7		banquet room together?
15:17:218	A	No.
15:17:23 9	Q	So did each of you as commissioners arrive in the
15:17:26 0		banquet room at varying times after the public
15:17:29 1		meeting?
15:17:312	A	That's my recollection.
15:17:31 3	Q	How much time differential was there between each of
15:17:364		you?
15:17:4 1 5	A	I couldn't guess.
15:17:45 6	Q	Who got there first?
15:17:4 1 7	A	I don't know.
15:17:54 8	Q	Who was there when you got there?
15:17:519	A	Commissioner Augustine and Commissioner Graves, I
15:18:020		believe.
15:18:021	Q	How long did you stay in that room?
15:18:1 22	A	I was in and out of the room. I couldn't hazard a
15:18:2 2 3		guess how much time was spent in that room.
15:18:294	Q	When did you leave?

Probably a little after 7 in the morning.

15:18:225

Was the majori- -- well, did you arrive in the room 15:18:291 0 having left the public meeting? 15:18:352 15:18:37 **3** Shortly thereafter, yes. Α 15:18:394 So a little bit after midnight? 0 15:18:43**5** Α Correct. 15:18:446 And you stayed there until 7, was sometimes moving in 15:18:507 and out of the room? 15:18:51**8** I stayed at the hotel until 7. Correct. Α 15:18:599 7-ish, to be accurate. 15:19:020 Why were you in the banquet room? 0 15:19:0**11** Watching the mapping. On hand in case my team had Α 15:19:1**12** questions. 15:19:133 Did your team have questions? Q 15:19:2**1.4** Α Yes. 15:19:285 Did you answer their questions? 15:19:316 I did. Α 15:19:317 Did you answer questions posed with respect to the teams working on the congressional district maps? 15:19:368 15:19:4**19** I did not have input on the congressional maps. Α 15:19:420 Did you have any idea what was happening with the 0 15:19:421 congressional maps? Only that they were working on them. 15:19:5**22** Α 15:19:523 Could you see them working on them? 0 15:20:0**24** Could I see that they were working on them --Α

0

Correct.

15:20:025

15:20:031 -- or did I see -- I could see that they were working Α 15:20:052 on them. 15:20:063 Could you hear what they were saying at any time you Q 15:20:104 were in the banquet room? 15:20:175 I don't remember hearing what they were saying. Α 15:20:236 Were you in a position where you could hear what they 0 15:20:267 were saying? 15:20:278 I was so focused on the legislative maps that they Α probably could have been shouting my name and I might 15:20:349 15:20:310 not have heard them. 15:20:401 Is it correct that you were within earshot of the 0 individuals working on the congressional mapping at 15:20:452 15:20:483 various times when you were in the banquet room where other commissioners, voting commissioners were 15:20:514 15:20:555 present? 15:20:516 I don't know that that's correct, within earshot. Α 15:21:027 You don't know that it's incorrect either, do you? Q 15:21:018 I thought your question was is it correct, so I Α 15:21:019 wanted to answer your question. 15:21:120 Right. 0 15:21:121 And you said you don't know, right? 15:21:122 Right. Α 15:21:12/3 Okay. So if Ali O'Neil said she talked to you and 0 15:21:124 another commissioner as to the map she was working

on, do you have any reason to believe her statement

15:21:225

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 15:21:231 to be false? If that's her recollection. 15:21:26**2** Α 15:21:293 What? 0 15:21:304 If that's her recollection, you believe her to be 15:21:325 a truthful person? 15:21:386 Is that correct? 15:21:39**7** Α Well, I'm not going to speak to my opinions as to her 15:21:438 character. Well, that's what I'm asking you. 15:21:439 15:21:4**5** O Why won't you tell me whether or not you believe 15:21:471 she's a truthful person? I believe that Ali O'Neil and I experienced our work 15:21:5**%** 2 Α 15:22:0**1.3** and the activities on the 16th in a different way and 15:22:1**1.4** we have different recollections of the evening. 15:22:145 What's different about her recollection from your 15:22:196 recollection as it's been expressed in her statement 15:22:21/7 or anywhere else? 15:22:248 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 15:22:2**1.9** As it relates to the THE WITNESS: 15:22:3**20** question that you're asking me now about if Ali said 15:22:3**21** X, would I have reason to believe that, I think if 15:22:4**22** that's her memory, that is her memory. I think my

> (By Ms. Mell) Did you read Ali's deposition Q transcript?

memory of that evening is different.

15:22:4**23**

15:22:524

15:22:525

15:22:561 A I did. I believe I did.

15:23:185

15:23:256

15:23:307

15:23:338

15:23:339

15:23:310

15:23:31.1

15:23:41 2

15:23:46 3

15:24:026

15:24:097

15:24:118

15:24:129

15:24:120

15:24:121

15:24:202

15:24:23 3

15:24:224

- 15:23:103 Where do you have a difference of opinion as to observations Ali had as to you as expressed in her deposition?
 - A I did not go over her deposition with that in mind.

 I read her deposition to get a sense of how this
 deposition would go, because I've never been deposed
 before.

So I was not -- I don't -- I would have to -- we would have to go over her deposition and with any specific questions that you have as to it.

- Q What do you recall was different about her recollection from yours about the events of the 16th?
- 15:23:514 A I don't even -- I don't even recall what she said as 15:24:015 to her recollection on the 16th.
 - O How about as to the 15th?
 - A Yeah, I think if you have specific questions, I'm happy to answer them.
 - Q I just want to know what you do and don't remember.

You told me that you have a different recollection of what happened on -- during those negotiations. I want to know what was different about your recollection from what she expressed.

- A I guess --
- 15:24:285 MR. PEKELIS: Objection. There's

15:24:291		no there's no question pending.
15:24:312		MS. MELL: Yes, there is.
15:24:333		MR. PEKELIS: Mr. Court Reporter,
15:24:344		would you read back the transcript, please. The last
15:24:365		statement
15:24:376		MS. MELL: I don't need the court
15:24:397		reporter to read back the transcript to know that
15:24:408		there's a question pending.
15:24:419	Q	(By Ms. Mell) What was the difference between your
15:24:410		recollection of the events that occurred in the final
15:24:411		negotiations at the Hampton Inn from what Ali O'Neil
15:24:51/2		expressed?
15:24:5 1 3		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form; asked
15:24:514		and answered.
15:25:0 1.5		THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I think
15:25:0 16		I'm happy it is I'm happy to answer specific
15:25:0 17		questions.
15:25:018		MS. MELL: That's a specific
15:25:0189		question.
15:25:0 2 0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) I want to know you testified to me
15:25:1 2 1		that her recollection of events is different than
15:25:122		yours. How so?
15:25:1 23	A	I said my recollection of events and my experience of
15:25:2 24		events was different than hers. I was
	I	

Q How so?

15:25:2**2**5

15:25:241 A I was directly negotiating with Commissioner Graves
15:25:302 and engaged in a number of conversations that she
15:25:333 wasn't engaged in.

There were a number of times that either I left the room or she left the room and conversations that she had that I wasn't privy to and conversations that I had that she wasn't privy to.

She wasn't working directly for me or supporting me in my work on the 15th or the 16th.

So there were a number of points in time where our experiences diverged.

- Q How so?
- A I just explained that to you.
- Q Well, you explained why you questioned her credibility. You didn't explain what was different about your recollections.

What do you recollect --

MR. PEKELIS: Objection.

Objection; misstates the testimony. And object to form.

Q (By Ms. Mell) Are you saying that Ali O'Neil testified to you communicating with more than one commissioner when you claim you didn't?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I think I've been

15:26:221

15:25:354

15:25:385

15:25:426

15:25:467

15:25:478

15:25:509

15:25:5**1**0

15:25:511

15:26:012

15:26:013

15:26:064

15:26:105

15:26:126

15:26:147

15:26:158

15:26:169

15:26:220

15:26:292

15:26:323

15:26:324

15:26:325

clear that I have communicated with all of the commissioners. I negotiated with Commissioner Graves but communicated with Commissioner Walkinshaw and also had communications with Commissioner Fain.

I don't think I've ever -- unless I misunderstood the question in the way that you asked it, I don't think I've ever expressed that I did not communicate with other members of the commission.

- Q (By Ms. Mell) Do you understand that Ali O'Neil testified that you were engaged in conversations with respect to redistricting with more than one commissioner at a time?
- A I did not -- I understand that that's what you're telling me now.

Yes, I did not read her deposition with an eye to poking holes into everything that she said or looking to verify if what I thought what she said was accurate. Her testimony is her testimony.

- Q Do you dispute that you were communicating with one commissioner the content of your conversation with another commissioner with respect to redistricting?
- A I gave -- I -- I gave Commissioner Walkinshaw status updates on my negotiation. I updated him on the aspects of some proposals. I've never asserted that I did not communicate redistricting work to

15:26:451

15:26:472

15:28:341		Commissioner Walkinshaw. Unless you ask the
15:28:422		question unless I misunderstood the question when
15:28:443		you asked me originally.
15:28:454	Q	Can you think of one thing that Ali O'Neil expressed
15:28:47 5		in her deposition or in her written statement that
15:28:516		you disagree with?
15:28:577	A	Yes.
15:28:588	Q	What?
15:28:589	A	I believe in her memo, she mentioned that at some
15:29:0 L O		point in time we were at an impasse. And we were
15:29:1101		never at impasse.
15:29:20 2	Q	And that's because you describe the impasse as
15:29:23 3		"sticky" points?
15:29:2164	A	Well, we never expressly said that we were at
15:29:325		impasse. We were continuing to negotiate and
15:29:316		continuing to communicate.
15:29:387	Q	But you had reached a sticking point with Graves,
15:29:41 8		correct?
15:29:429	A	On Friday the 13th?
15:29:420	Q	I don't know. I believe that's
15:29:421	A	Friday the 12th?
15:29:522		What I mentioned earlier, on Friday the 12th,
15:29:523		that we reached a sticking point when we brought
15:29:5 24		Sarah in?

Q I remember your prior testimony. When I used the

15:29:525

15:29:591 word "impasse," you said it was "sticky" points with Graves; is that correct? 15:30:012 15:30:02**3** That is correct. Α 15:30:054 All right. I'm showing you what's been marked as 15:30:165 Exhibit 66 -- 67. Excuse me. 15:30:216 Do you recognize that document? 15:30:24**7** Α I do. 15:30:308 What is it? 0 15:30:30**9** Text communication between me and Speaker Jinkins. Α 15:30:320 And what are you communicating with Laurie Jinkins 0 15:30:451 about at this stage? 15:31:0**1.2** I can't see the entire -- you opened up another Α 15:31:0**1/3** screen, and so -- thank you. 15:31:2**14** I believe that I am updating her on the progress 15:31:3**1.5** of the map. 15:31:326 0 Was she urging you to vote on something at this 15:31:417 stage? 15:31:4**18** Α No. What does it mean, "And, if there's something you can 15:31:41/9 0 15:31:420 all produce and vote on before midnight, that should 15:31:421 also help"? MR. PEKELIS: Object to form; calls 15:31:49.2 15:31:523 for speculation. 15:32:2**24** THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think she's

just suggesting here that we should try to produce

15:32:2**25**

15:32:321 our maps so we can vote on them before midnight. 15:32:362 (By Ms. Mell) Well, if she was suggesting that you 0 15:32:393 should vote on maps, why did she not use the word 15:32:414 "maps" and use "something"? 15:32:425 Was it significant to you that she was saying 15:32:456 "something" as opposed to "maps"? 15:32:497 Α No. Was there a point in time when you got close 15:32:508 Okav. 15:32:549 enough to your jurisdictional deadline that you 15:32:580 thought you should vote on a proposal rather than a 15:33:011 map? 15:33:042 Yes. Α **15:33:05**3 What point in time was that? Q 15:33:094 When the chair called for the motion. Α 15:33:125 Had you had a conversation with the chair about 15:33:216 voting on proposals before she called for a motion? 15:33:287 I believe I texted her sometime before the deadline Α 15:33:318 and asked if we were supposed to be voting, because it wasn't clear to me that we were. 15:33:31.9 15:33:420 Did you have a conversation with her that Q 15:33:421 precipitated going into the action portion of the 15:33:522 meeting and voting on proposals rather than maps? 15:34:023 Α Yes. 15:34:024 When did that occur? 0

Sometime before 11:30.

Α

15:34:025

- 15:34:131 | Q Who was present for your conversation?
- 15:34:172 A I don't recall.

15:34:35**7**

15:34:398

15:34:429

15:34:470

15:34:5101

15:34:552

15:34:593

15:35:0**14**

15:35:025

15:35:016

15:35:117

15:35:1**18**

15:35:189

15:35:220

15:35:321

15:35:322

15:35:4**23**

15:35:424

15:35:425

- Did you know that you were going to vote on proposals
 for both maps, congressional and legislative? Or
 excuse me. That you were going to vote on both
 legislative and congressional proposals, not maps?
 - A Not until we -- she called for the vote.
 - Q Okay. But you had an understanding that you would bring up -- strike that.

You had an understanding before going into the action portion of the meeting that you were at a stage where you couldn't vote on maps, correct, because you didn't have any?

- A Correct.
 - Q And you'd had a conversation about taking a vote on proposals before you went into the action portion of the meeting, correct?
- A Correct.
- Q And you'd had that conversation in a way that you knew Augustine understood that was what would happen and the other three commissioners knew that's what was going to happen, correct?
- A I'm not sure I understand the question.
- Q You were not the only one with the knowledge that, when you moved back into the action portion of the

15:35:511	meeting right before midnight, that the thought was
15:35:582	you would have before you frameworks for
15:36:053	consideration?
15:36:074	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:36:11 5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm I'm
15:36:13 6	still not clear what you mean or what you're looking
15:36:15 7	for.
15:36:158	MS. MELL: I'm not looking for
15:36:169	anything. I just want you to tell the truth and
15:36:180	answer my questions here.
15:36:191	So don't try to guess at what I want. Tell me
15:36:21/2	the truth in response to the question as you
15:36:2 1 3	understand it. If you don't understand the question,
15:36:214	let me know you don't understand the question.
15:36:2 ½ 5	MR. PEKELIS: I think that's
15:36:326	Q (By Ms. Mell) With regard to the action portion of
15:36:31/7	the meeting, prior to going into the action portion
15:36:318	of the meeting, what did you know about the
15:36:399	congressional district proposal going into the
15:36:4 2 0	meeting?
15:36:4 2 1	Did you know that you would be considering a
15:36:5 2 2	proposal?
15:36:5 2 3	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:37:4 24	THE WITNESS: So if you're
15:37:4 25	referring to after we took our last caucus and came

15:37:531		back, yes.
15:38:00 2	Q	(By Ms. Mell) What did you understand Laurie Jinkins
15:38:04 3		to mean, "We have an agreement"?
15:38:164	A	I had informed Speaker Jinkins that Commissioner
15:38:285		Graves and I had reached a proposed agreement.
15:38:336	Q	So who did you take her to mean the Rs were?
15:38:467	A	I assumed he was referring to whoever had been
15:38:548		tweeting out, and I don't know who that was. I
15:38:589		wasn't on Twitter that night.
15:39:00 0	Q	And an agreement as to what map? Agreement as to
15:39:0 % 1		what?
15:39:08 2		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:39:113		THE WITNESS: That Commissioner
15:39:194		Graves and I had come to an agreement on a proposal.
15:39:30 5	Q	(By Ms. Mell) So only as to the legislative
15:39:37 6		proposal?
15:39:407		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:39:4108		THE WITNESS: Well, this is
15:39:419		speculation based on
15:39:42 0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) It's not speculation. I'm asking you
15:39:421		what you took it to mean. So just tell me what you
15:39:42 2		thought when you received a text from Laurie Jinkins
15:39:52 3		saying, "I can't imagine the court saying they're
15:39:524		going to draw the maps. It might be good to have the
15:39:52 5		R's tweet out that since" "we have an agreement

		, ,
15:39:591		since they've already been tweeting a bit."
15:40:012		Who's the "we" in that sentence as you understood
15:40:063		it at the time you received the text?
15:40:10 4	A	I understood the "we" to mean Paul and I had
15:40:16 5		agreement on the proposal.
15:40:186	Q	As to the legislative districts?
15:40:21 7	A	Correct.
15:40:228	Q	Did you ever figure out how you would extract
15:40:329		consequences from the Senate?
15:40:3 1 0		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:40:3 %1		THE WITNESS: No.
15:40:4102	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Why did you want to extract
15:40:42/3		consequences from the Senate?
15:40:4 1 4		What had the Senate done to offend her?
15:40:5115		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:40:5 16		THE WITNESS: I don't know.
15:40:5 1.7		There's lots of dynamics between the House and Senate
15:40:5 188		that I'm not familiar with.
15:41:019	Q	(By Ms. Mell) What had you what conflict existed
15:41:0 2 0		in the negotiations between the House and the Senate
15:41:0 2 1		that you were aware of at the time she texted you?
15:41:1 22	A	There was no conflict that I was aware of at the time
15:41:2 23		that she texted me.
15:41:2 2 4	Q	What were the negotiations in any way affected by a
15:41:2 2 5		distinction between the House and the Senate?

15:41:321 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: THE WITNESS: Can you ask the 15:41:36**2** 15:41:37**3** question again? 15:41:374 (By Ms. Mell) Were there any differences between 0 what the House commissioners wanted and the Senate 15:41:395 15:41:436 commissioners wanted? I would imagine so. 15:41:55**7** Α Well, don't imagine. Tell me what it was. 15:41:578 Q Well, I mean, I did my best to incorporate Brady's 15:42:00**9** Α 15:42:0**1.0** priorities when I was negotiating with Commissioner 15:42:1**111** Fain -- I'm sorry -- with Commissioner Graves. I -- you know, there were still differences in my 15:42:2**1** 2 15:42:3**1**.3 draft maps and Commissioner Walkinshaw's draft maps 15:42:3**1.4** that we independently released in October. 15:42:4**1.5** difference of opinion around the VRA-compliant 15:42:4**16** district in eastern Washington. 15:42:5**47** I mean, I did my best to negotiate those things 15:42:5**18** in the final proposal and hoped that that would be sufficient to earn Commissioner Walkinshaw's vote. 15:43:0**19** 15:43:020 Do you think that was a Senate-versus-House issue? 0 15:43:1**21** I can only speak for the Democratic side of the Α Senate and the House issue. But those were some of 15:43:2**22** 15:43:2**23** the biggest differences in mine and Commissioner Walkinshaw's map proposals. 15:43:2**24**

Why were they a Senate/House distinction?

Why was

Q

15:43:325

15:43:381 one uniquely Senate versus the House?

- A All four voting members of the commission were uniquely empowered with one vote, and we all had unique priorities and differences in how we wanted those priorities articulated in the maps.
- Q But what was it that -- what were the House/Senate distinctions that were linked to those two chambers?
- A Brady -- sorry -- Commissioner Walkinshaw was the Senate appointee to the commission. I was the House appointee to the commission. And so our two maps reflected the difference in the Senate commissioner's articulation of his priorities and the House commissioner's articulation of her priorities.
- Q Were there differences in whether or not the supreme court should do the work that were distinct along Senate versus House lines?
- A To my knowledge, yes.
- Q What did the Senate side want?
- A From my understanding, the Senate was less concerned with the political implications of a court-drawn map.
- Q When you say less concerned by the political implication of the court-drawn map, what do you mean?
- A I mean that the court-drawn map was largely an unknown and that the commission had never -- had never failed -- I don't know; that's a double

15:43:41**2**

15:43:47 **3**

15:43:53**4**

15:43:58**5**

15:44:086

15:44:137

15:44:258

15:44:29**9**

15:44:3**1:0**

15:44:4**11**

15:44:5**1).2**

15:44:5**16.3**

15:45:0104

15:45:01/5

15:45:116

15:45:1**17**

15:45:2108

15:45:3**1.9**

15:45:320

15:45:42:1

15:45:42.2

15:45:5**23**

15:46:0**24**

15:46:1**25**

		NGTON COALITION FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT vs STATE OF WASHINGTON pril - January 14, 2022 Page
15:46:171		negative that the commission had never failed in
15:46:212		its work had never failed in completing its work
15:46:263		on time. And the consequences of our failure was
15:46:424		unknown to all of us and not without some risk.
15:46:52 5	Q	Okay. I understand that.
15:46:54 6		But what were the political implications? What
15:46:577		was the Senate side's thinking the supreme court was
15:47:01 8		going to do that had
15:47:039		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:47:0 1 0		THE WITNESS: I don't know what the
15:47:0 1		Senate side was thinking. Only that they were less
15:47:212		opposed to the risk.
15:47:21 3		MS. MELL: Yeah.
15:47:2 1 4	Q	(By Ms. Mell) But what exactly was the risk? That
15:47:21 5		it was going to become more Democrat, or the
15:47:30 6		political metrics would be more R or more D? I don't

political metrics would be more R or more D? I don't understand.

What did you mean when you say "political implications"?

What were the contemplated political implications, in your view, of the supreme court drawing the maps?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Well, for me, in my view, there were personal and political consequences.

15:47:357

15:47:368

15:47:399

15:47:420

15:47:421

15:47:422

15:47:423

15:47:524

15:47:525

15:48:081 15:48:142 15:48:193 15:48:234 15:48:285 15:48:296 15:48:377 15:48:448 15:48:519 15:49:0**1**0 15:49:091 15:49:142 15:49:163 15:49:214 15:49:245 15:49:286 15:49:347 15:49:378 15:49:40.9 15:49:420 15:49:421

15:49:522

15:50:023

15:50:024

15:50:125

The -- our commission was the most diverse commission in the history of our commission. I'm the first black woman appointed to do this work. We are the youngest commission in the history of our commission in the Washington State.

And the personal consequences of not being able to accomplish a task I had been appointed to do was significant for me and what it means to my community.

The political consequences included not knowing what the district boundaries would be until April 30th of 2022, which is the supreme court's deadline, which is three weeks before the filing week in Washington State, which would mean that candidates and incumbents wouldn't know what district they could run and serve in until just weeks before the filing deadline.

There was some uncertainty as to what criteria the supreme court would use and whether or not the supreme court would be required to use the same criteria that the commission is required to use as described in the WAC.

I think those were some of the risks and some of the -- and some of the considerations that I had certainly.

Q (By Ms. Mell) Showing you what's been marked as

	C	
15:50:171		Exhibit 68, does this text communication reflect your
15:50:262		contact with Brady Walkinshaw on the 15th?
15:50:29 3	A	It does.
15:50:334	Q	Did you share with Brady Walkinshaw on the 15th
15:50:375		negotiation information on redistricting that you
15:50:416		obtained from Joe Fain?
15:50:44 7	A	I'm sorry. This is communication on the 14th.
15:50:488	Q	Okay.
15:50:48 9	A	Is what this looks like to me. Saturday the
15:50:5 10		Sunday the 14th.
15:50:511	Q	Okay. So this is you telling Commissioner Walkinshaw
15:51:01/2		what Joe Fain had told you with regards to the
15:51:0163		legislative maps, correct?
15:51:1 14	A	No.
15:51:105		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:51:1 16		THE WITNESS: My communication to
15:51:1 17		Brady is represented in blue. Brady's communication
15:51:2 18		to me is reflected in gray.
15:51:219	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you say in the gray?
15:51:2 20	A	In the gray.
15:51:2 2 1	Q	So this is Brady sharing what Joe told Brady with
15:51:32:2		you?
15:51:3 23	A	Mm-hmm.
15:51:324	Q	Okay. And so would you agree that this is a serial
	1	

communication or serial meeting at this point?

15:51:425

15:51:441 Object to form; calls MR. PEKELIS: for a legal conclusion. 15:51:462 15:51:52**3** I mean, I'm not --THE WITNESS: 15:51:53**4** I'm not the attorney here, so I did not at the time 15:51:57**5** see this as a serial meeting. 15:51:596 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. But you would agree that in 15:52:027 this communication, you are made aware of what the 15:52:078 thoughts are of three voting commissioners? 15:52:129 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 15:52:1**10** THE WITNESS: In this 15:52:1**1.1** communication, Commissioner Walkinshaw is relating to me what he heard from Commissioner Fain in terms of 15:52:2**12** 15:52:2**13** their communication. It was not specific -- I did 15:52:3**1.4** not read this as specific to anyone's particular 15:52:3**45** position. 15:52:376 (By Ms. Mell) Well, you said he's "no" on the leg 0 15:52:417 maps, no matter what, right? 15:52:438 That's a position, isn't it? Commissioner --15:52:4**19** Α 15:52:420 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 15:52:5**21** Commissioner THE WITNESS: Walkinshaw is sharing with me what Commissioner Fain 15:52:5**22** 15:52:5**23** said he heard about Commissioner Walkinshaw. 15:53:0**24** this is what Joe is telling me he's heard about me.

I see.

MS. MELL:

15:53:125

15:53:151	Q	(By Ms. Mell) So that's you would agree that it's
15:53:192		a thought process among three voting commissioners,
15:53:223		correct?
15:53:234		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:53:30 5		THE WITNESS: I would agree no,
15:53:31 6		I would not agree.
15:53:317		MS. MELL: Okay.
15:53:32 8		THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say it
15:53:32 9		that way, so I would not agree with the way that you
15:53:3 10		said it.
15:53:3161	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Would you agree that this text
15:53:312		communication reflects knowledge of communications
15:53:4 1 3		among three voting commissioners?
15:53:4 <u>6</u>4		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:54:1 15		THE WITNESS: I think I'm just
15:54:1 16		having a hard time really understanding the question.
15:54:2 1 7	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Okay. So from this text
15:54:2188		communication, you know what you're thinking, right,
15:54:3109		because you're the recipient?
15:54:3 2 0		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:54:321		MS. MELL: What's the objection to
15:54:3 2 2		form on that?
15:54:323		MR. PEKELIS: Leading.
15:54:3 2 4		MS. MELL: Okay.
15:54:4 25		THE WITNESS: With what

15:54:501 communication? 15:54:532 (By Ms. Mell) You're the recipient of this text 0 15:54:553 communication in gray, correct? 15:54:574 Correct. Α 15:54:585 So you know where you stand on the issues relative to 0 15:55:056 the negotiation at that stage, correct? 15:55:077 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 15:55:108 THE WITNESS: Yes, I know my 15:55:119 position. 15:55:120 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. And this is another elected 0 15:55:161 commission- -- or another voting commissioner 15:55:192 expressing the position of what another voting **15:55:26**3 commissioner thought your position was at that stage 15:55:324 of the negotiations; is that correct? MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. **15:55:33**5 15:55:316 THE WITNESS: That is not correct. 15:55:317 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. How would you describe what Q 15:55:438 Brady Walkinshaw is communicating to you? The -- the "you" in this is Commissioner Walkinshaw. 15:55:419 Α 15:55:520 So Commissioner Walkinshaw is telling me that 15:55:5**21** Commissioner Fain texted him and said that Commissioner -- that he heard that Commissioner 15:56:022 15:56:023 Walkinshaw was a "no." 15:56:024 So that is just Commissioner Fain sharing with

me -- I'm sorry -- Commissioner Walkinshaw sharing

15:56:125

15:56:161 with me that Commissioner Fain was telling him that he had heard that he was a "no" vote. 15:56:202 15:56:223

Q Okay.

15:56:234

15:56:295

15:56:326

15:56:367

15:56:418

15:56:469

15:56:4**9**0

15:56:5101

15:56:522

15:56:516.3

15:56:5184

15:57:005

15:57:416

15:57:457

15:57:538

15:57:569

15:58:020

15:58:021

15:58:07.2

Q

There was nothing relevant from my position. Α There was nothing in this text message that was relevant in terms of what I was negotiating that evening.

Like, I candidly didn't really care what Joe thought about -- what Commissioner Fain thought about Commissioner Walkinshaw or what Commissioner Walkinshaw thought about Commissioner Fain and either of their positions.

I was working to negotiate a proposal that Paul and I could bring before the commission, and I was solely focused on that.

Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 69, does this reflect Brady Walkinshaw communicating to you what his position is on the communications that were being made public on the 16th?

> Object to form. MR. PEKELIS:

THE WITNESS: No.

- What does this text communication (By Ms. Mell) Q exchange mean to you?
- I believe that this was Sunday morning, Sunday the Α 14th. And Commissioner Walkinshaw was inviting me to

15:58:331		district and the requirements around that.
15:58:37 2	Q	So what is the date of this exchange?
15:58:453	A	I believe it is Sunday the 14th.
15:58:534	Q	And what is this that you're hopping onto?
15:58:585	A	A meeting to discuss a strategy for a VRA district
15:59:046		a VRA-compliant district in eastern Washington.
15:59:087	Q	And what does the, "Want to hop back on our Zoom for
15:59:11 8		a sec?" mean?
15:59:13 9		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
15:59:13 0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Is it this Zoom or a different
15:59:151		negotiating Zoom?
15:59:18 2		MR. PEKELIS: Same objection.
15:59:23 3		THE WITNESS: I'm not entirely
15:59:324		sure. It looks like two separate Zooms, just looking
15:59:3165		at the text message.
15:59:42 6	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Were you negotiating in Zoom?
15:59:4 5 7	A	On this day regarding these text messages?
15:59:54 8	Q	Well, no.
15:59:54 9		At any time, were you negotiating redistricting
15:59:52 0		in Zoom?
15:59:521	A	Yes.
16:00:022	Q	Among commissioners?
16:00:02 3		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:00:024		THE WITNESS: Commissioner Graves
16:00:025		and I negotiated a number of times over Zoom.

16:00:191	Q	(By Ms. Mell) This segment, "K. Just some (very
16:00:252		real) concerns about three commissioners being in the
16:00:283		same building at the same time, even if you're not in
16:00:324		the same room, " meant what to you?
16:00:375		How did you take that?

A I think this is the question that you asked me earlier about whether or not Commissioner Walkinshaw ever expressed any concern with all of us meeting.

This was going into the in-person negotiations on Sunday.

Q And this was what we were talking about earlier.

It was his expression about getting out of open government compliance?

- A Correct.
- Q Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 70, do you recognize that communication?
- A Yes.

16:00:396

16:00:41**7**

16:00:468

16:00:509

16:00:5**16.0**

16:00:511

16:01:0702

16:01:01/3

16:01:0**14**

16:01:01/5

16:01:416

16:01:5**1.7**

16:01:528

16:01:5**21**

16:02:0**22**

16:02:023

16:02:1**24**

16:02:125

- 0 What is it?
- 16:01:519 A It appears to be a text message from Commissioner 16:01:520 Graves.

I'm -- okay. Yeah. The -- the view on this is really large. So I can only see two of the communication bubbles, and I don't know if that's your intent.

Q That help?

16:02:161	A	Yeah,	Ι	still	can'	t	see	the	entire	thing	unless	you
16:02:192		scroll	. 1	Eorward	i.							

That's better. It gives me a sense of the conversation.

- Q Can you see it now?
- 16:02:276 A I can.

16:02:203

16:02:234

16:02:255

16:03:416.3

16:03:484

16:03:515

16:03:516

16:04:017

16:04:018

16:04:119

16:04:220

16:04:221

16:04:322

16:04:323

16:04:324

16:04:525

- **16:02:47**7 | Q So is the blue you?
- 16:02:498 A It is.
- 16:02:539 Q Okay. And so were you working to get the voting commissioners to a unanimous statement?
- 16:03:41 Are you still thinking?

This was -- this was Tuesday at about 6. I think I'd taken, like, a two-hour nap at this point in time, so I'm just trying to remember the conversation track.

Yeah, I was working to try to understand the impacts of the vote we had taken the night of the 15th and was really interested in whether or not the statement that we had drafted earlier was a statement that all the members of the commission would support with regards to unanimous support for the maps.

- Q How did you ascertain whether or not there was unanimous support?
- A I think I called Commissioner Walkinshaw --

16:04:531 And what did he tell you? 0 -- and asked him -- and asked him if he was in 16:04:54**2** Α 16:05:00**3** support. 16:05:054 What did he tell you? 0 That he needed to think about it. 16:05:06**5** Α 16:05:086 Did you tell him what your position was? 16:05:12**7** Α I told him my position was still the same. What was your position at that point? 16:05:168 Q 16:05:23**9** That I supported our maps. Α 16:05:250 Okay. Did you tell him what Commissioner Fain's 0 16:05:311 position was? I'm not sure if I knew Commissioner Fain's position 16:05:3**12** Α 16:05:3**1.3** at that time. I was still -- so I did not. 16:05:394 Did you tell him what Commissioner Graves' position 0 16:05:435 was? 16:05:5**16** I don't recall. Α 16:05:51/7 Did you talk to Commissioner Graves and share what Q 16:06:018 Walkinshaw told you? 16:06:1**19** I probably communicated that Walkinshaw was Α 16:06:120 undecided. 16:06:221 So at that point in time, there were three voting 0 commissioners with information on what those three 16:06:23/2 16:06:223 commissioners' position was, correct? 16:06:324 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS:

16:06:325

Well, I mean,

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 16
16:06:411		Commissioner Walkinshaw's position was unknown.
16:06:432		MS. MELL: Right.
16:06:443		THE WITNESS: I had not confirmed
16:06:464		Commissioner Fain's position.
16:06:505		So I knew what Commissioner Graves' position was.
16:06:546	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And Graves knew what yours was,
16:06:567		correct?
16:06:578		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:07:029		THE WITNESS: Yes.
16:07:02 0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) So you know what Graves thought.
16:07:05 1		Graves knew what you thought. And both of you knew
16:07:0 9 2		what Walkinshaw thought, that he was undecided; is
16:07:12 3		that correct?
16:07:124		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:07:195		THE WITNESS: Right. So Brady was
16:07:2106		an unknown. Commissioner Walkinshaw's position at
16:07:2 47		this point in time was unknown. He had not
16:07:218		articulated his position.
16:07:21 9	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And you shared that with Graves?
16:07:320	A	Correct.
16:07:32 1	Q	And you shared with Graves what you thought?
16:07:3 22	A	Correct.
16:07:32 3	Q	So three voting commissioners knew at this point in
16:07:324		time, which is sometime around, well, November 16th,
	I	

around 6:22 p.m., about a press statement, correct?

16:07:425

	Sims, A	onii - January 14, 2022 Page 166
16:07:501		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:07:54 2		THE WITNESS: Correct.
16:07:573	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And at some point in time did you
16:08:014		bring into the loop Fain?
16:08:04 5	A	Not that I recall.
16:08:066	Q	Do you know if either Walkinshaw or Graves brought
16:08:137		Fain into the loop?
16:08:18 8	A	I do not.
16:08:209	Q	Did you issue a unanimous statement?
16:08:210		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:08:3 11		THE WITNESS: I don't know if I
16:08:3 12		recall a statement. I do recall all four voting
16:08:4 11.3		members of the commission expressing their support
16:08:4 14		during our press conference on Thursday the 18th.
16:08:495	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you know prior to the press
16:08:516		conference the position of the other commissioners?
16:09:2 17	A	Yes.
16:09:218	Q	Okay. How did you know?
16:09:2 19	A	I knew Brady and Paul's position. I still had not
16:09:2 20		had direct communication with Commissioner Fain. I
16:09:3 21		knew Commissioner Graves' and Commissioner
16:09:3 22		Walkinshaw's position.
16:09:3 2 3	Q	Okay. Did you know that Fain was going to align with
16:09:4 24		the three of you even though you hadn't communicated
	1	

16:09:425

with him?

- 16:09:491 | A I made -- I assumed. I hoped.
- 16:09:522 Q Did you know from either Walkinshaw or Graves what
- 16:09:573 Fain's position was?
- 16:10:074 A I believe Commissioner Fain released a public
- 16:10:135 statement, now that I think about it. Based on that
- 16:10:226 statement, I made the assumption that he was
- 16:10:267 supporting the -- that he was going to make a
- 16:10:298 statement in support of the maps.
- 16:10:309 | O Did you communicate -- did you learn any information
- 16:10:340 from Chair Augustine prior to the press conference as
- 16:10:391 to the position of the other commissioners?
- 16:10:512 A Not that I recall.
- 16:10:513 Q Had Commissioner Walkinshaw communicated to you that
- 16:10:594 he decided to support the maps by the time of the
- 16:11:015 press conference?
- 16:11:016 A Say the -- I'm sorry. Ask me again.
- 16:11:017 | Q By the time of the press conference, had Commissioner
- 16:11:118 Walkinshaw finally taken a position on the maps and
- 16:11:149 expressed that to you?
- 16:11:120 A Yes.
- 16:11:121 Q Okay. And had you shared that with Graves?
- 16:11:222 | A I may have.
- 16:11:223 | Q Okay. So going into the press conference, there were
- 16:11:324 three voting commissioners who knew the position of
- 16:11:325 the others was to approve the maps, correct?

	OII113, 7	prii - Sandary 14, 2022
16:11:401		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:11:56 2		THE WITNESS: Yes.
16:12:093	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Okay. And by the time the press
16:12:114		conference occurred well, strike that.
16:12:135		Where were you for the press conference?
16:12:16 6	A	I was at home.
16:12:197	Q	Where were the other commissioners?
16:12:21 8	A	We were all virtual, so I don't know where they were.
16:13:199	Q	On this Exhibit 70 where you're talking to Graves, do
16:13:250		you know what you communicated to him so he could get
16:13:2181		it into the news stories with the maps?
16:13:5 12	A	I don't recall communicating anything with him or to
16:13:5 16.3		him regarding something to go with the ma could
16:14:0 14		you ask me the question again so I can make sure I
16:14:0 15		understand?
16:14:016	Q	I'm just trying to understand what this was that he
16:14:097		was asking you to get to him.
16:14:1 18	A	I if you look at the previous window, I was asking
16:14:2 11.9		him when does the window close, asking him his
16:14:2 20		opinion in terms of when we would need to communicate
16:14:2 21		our unanimous support.
16:14:3 22		And he is saying, "I suppose until April 30th,"
16:14:3 23		which is the deadline for the court to draw maps, but
16:14:4 24		if we could I took this to mean, if we could

release them with the maps, that would be good.

16:14:4**25**

Q Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 70a.

MR. PEKELIS: Counsel, before we move on to another exhibit -- seems like you're moving on -- we've been going about an hour and ten minutes since the last break. Seems like a logical breaking point perhaps for another short break.

MS. MELL: I don't mind taking one, but I really want to keep moving. I'm not one to take breaks every hour. I think it just slows down the momentum.

So unless people really need a break, I'd like to just keep going. We've got a lot of text to get through. And I don't really want to stay until 9. But the witness has been very, very delayed in her responses, so this is a dep that might need to continue over into another day.

MR. PEKELIS: Well, we will not go into another day. And I think the witness has been as responsive as possible given the ambiguity and convolutedness of many of the questions.

I do need to use the restroom, so I would appreciate a five-minute break.

MS. MELL: I move to strike your condescending representation of the nature of the questions, and I take offense at your

16:17:025

16:16:051

	OIIII3, A	pril - Sandary 14, 2022
16:17:071		characterization of them. And I think the record
16:17:112		will reflect the delayed responses.
16:17:133		And whether or not you agree to another day of
16:17:154		this deposition is not an issue that I'm willing to
16:17:225		concede at this point because I think that this
16:17:246		deposition will need to have a second day.
16:17:257		But we can take a break.
16:17:288		MR. PEKELIS: Okay.
16:17:309		(Pause in proceedings from
16:17:3100		4:17 p.m. to 4:25 p.m.)
16:25:31/1		
16:25:3122	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Showing you what's been marked as
16:25:4103		Exhibit 70a, do you recognize that document?
16:25:4 1.4	A	I don't see anything on my screen.
16:25:5% 5	Q	See it?
16:25:5 16	A	70a?
16:26:0107	Q	70a, yes.
16:26:0 18	A	Yes.
16:26:019	Q	What was the clear agreement that Paul backed out on?
16:26:1 20	A	Paul and I had agreed that the 44th would be a safe
16:26:1 21		district, a safe Democratic district. And I think I
16:26:2 22		explained this earlier regarding the open seat in the
16:26:2 23		44th. Because Senator Hobbs had been appointed. And
16:26:3 24		we had agreed to a negotiation framework that made

16:26:4**25**

the 44th a safe district.

16:26:481 And when you say "safe district," remind me what that 0 16:26:522 meant. 16:26:53**3** Safe for Democrats performing at 55 percent or higher Α 16:26:584 using the 2020 Pellicciotti election results. 16:27:105 All right. So this communication, "That's a 0 16:27:126 mischaracterization- there was a clear agreement that 16:27:167 paul backed out on, " is coming from you -- from Brady 16:27:198 to you? 16:27:20**9** Yes. Α 16:27:250 At what time? 0 16:27:2**11** I don't know. Α 16:27:272 What day? 0 16:27:4**11.3** Sunday the 15th. Α 16:27:45.4 15th's Monday. 0 16:27:4**1.5** Α Sunday the 14th. 16:27:496 So you think that this agreement that was backed out 0 16:27:517 on occurred on the 14th, Sunday? 16:27:5768 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 16:28:0**19** THE WITNESS: No. The agreement 16:28:0**20** that Paul backed out on happened on Friday the 12th. 16:28:121 (By Ms. Mell) And so Brady knew about the clear Q 16:28:222 agreement? 16:28:223 Α Yes. 16:28:224 Okay. So was there clear agreement that existed 0

between Paul Graves and you that Brady Walkinshaw

16:28:225

16:28:381 learned of prior to this text message to you? 16:28:40.2 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 16:28:42**3** Well, and the THE WITNESS: 16:28:46 **4** agreement here was the negotiation framework, what 16:28:51**5** districts we would negotiate. And I apprised 16:28:54**6** Commissioner Walkinshaw of that negotiation framework 16:29:01**7** as part of my ongoing communication to keep him in the loop in terms of my negotiations. 16:29:148 16:29:159 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. So if I heard you correctly, 0 16:29:180 the clear agreement involved more than the 44th; is 16:29:21/1 that correct? 16:29:2**1.2** Correct. Α 16:29:213 So the clear agreement, what were the parameters of Q 16:29:214 the clear agreement? That we would use the 2020 Pellicciotti election 16:29:3**15** Α 16:29:3**16** results as our main metric. And using those metrics, 16:29:4**9.7** we identified 23 districts as safe Democratic 16:29:5**48** districts, two districts as lean Democratic 16:30:0**19** districts, seven districts as swing, two districts as 16:30:120 lean Republican, and the remaining districts were 16:30:2**21** safe Republican districts based on the Pellicciotti 16:30:2**22** performance. 16:30:2**23** We agreed that the 44th would be one of those 16:30:3**24**

16:30:325

	Sims, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 17.
16:30:571		and 42nd.
16:30:592		Did I forget one? 10th, 17th
16:31:01 3	Q	Which ones am I counting? The swings?
16:31:054	A	Those are the swing districts that we would start our
16:31:085		negotiations. And the 35th.
16:31:096	Q	Okay. Yeah, I was one shy there.
16:31:127		And the two lean R ones were what?
16:31:158	A	The 6th and the 25th.
16:31:179	Q	And the two lean district two lean Ds?
16:31:210	A	The 5th and the 47th.
16:31:3 0 1	Q	Okay. And so was the backout the negotiations on
16:31:49 2		the I want to say the 12th, but when what day
16:31:54 3		do you describe the negotiations beginning that were
16:31:564		subject to this agreement?
16:31:5 1 5	A	We started negotiating around that agreement on the
16:32:016		27th of October.
16:32:0 5 7	Q	27th of October?
16:32:018	A	Mm-hmm.
16:32:08 9	Q	So what was the status of those negotiations as of
16:32:13 0		the 12th?
16:32:1 21	A	That's when they got sticky.
16:32:12 2	Q	Okay. And that's because of the 44th?
16:32:223	A	Correct.
16:32:224	Q	Okay. And so at the time that this text occurs,
16:32:32 5		that's the 14th?

16:32:331 It appears to be, yes. Α 16:32:352 Okay. And the agreement to negotiate the seven swing 0 16:32:413 districts was among all four of you, right? 16:32:464 That was the agreement that Paul and I Α No. 16:32:535 established so that we could start our negotiations. 16:32:576 Okay. And then this -- then sometime between the 14th and the 27th in October, Brady understood that 16:33:017 as of the 14th, Paul had backed out on that 16:33:168 16:33:229 agreement? 16:33:210 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 16:33:310.1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16:33:312 (By Ms. Mell) And he learned that from you, correct? 0 16:33:323 Correct. Α 16:33:314 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 16:33:345 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. And so at the time you 16:33:386 communicated to Brady that Paul had backed out on the 16:33:477 agreement, Brady knew where you were on negotiating 16:33:598 on the agreement and Brady knew where Paul was on 16:34:019 negotiating the agreement, correct? 16:34:020 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 16:34:121 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand the question. 16:34:122 16:34:123 (By Ms. Mell) So at the time of this text Q 16:34:12:4 communication between you and Brady, you were

communicating about the position of three of you,

16:34:225

16:34:281 you, Brady, and Paul, correct? 16:34:302 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 16:34:39 **3** THE WITNESS: At the time of this 16:34:40 **4** communication, I wasn't communicating anything. 16:34:43**5** I'm sorry. I'm not sure what I was communicating 16:34:47**6** at the time of this communication. 16:34:487 Q (By Ms. Mell) Okay. At the time of this 16:34:508 communication, Brady is telling you what his 16:34:559 perceptions are of another voting commissioner; that 16:34:590 is, that Paul Graves backed out on a clear agreement; 16:35:01/1 is that correct? 16:35:042 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 16:35:0**1.3** THE WITNESS: You're asking me if 16:35:1**14** that's what Brady texted in this string? 16:35:155 MS. MELL: No. 16:35:116 (By Ms. Mell) I'm asking if that's what he 0 16:35:117 communicated to you. 16:35:188 Same objection. MR. PEKELIS: 16:35:2**19** You know, I'm not THE WITNESS: 16:35:2**20** It's so out of context here. I'm not sure sure. 16:35:2**21** what this -- I'm not sure why it shows up the way that it does here. 16:35:3**22** 16:35:323 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. Did you know on the 14th that Q 16:35:424 Paul had backed out of a clear agreement?

Α

Did I know?

16:35:425

16:35:441 Yeah. 0 16:35:45**2** Yes, I knew on the 12th. Α 16:35:473 And did you know that Brady knew that Paul had Q 16:35:504 backed out on a clear agreement on the 12th? 16:35:53**5** Α Yes. 16:35:556 Did Graves know that you two knew he had backed out 16:36:007 on a clear agreement? 16:36:018 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 16:36:269 THE WITNESS: I informed 16:36:2**10** Commissioner Graves that Commissioner Walkinshaw 16:36:3**41** planned to negotiate his draft legislative map 16:36:4**1.2** directly with Commissioner Fain as a result of our negotiations breaking down. 16:36:4**1** 3 16:36:514 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. And did -- so when you 0 16:37:025 communicated that to Graves, Graves knew that 16:37:0166 Walkinshaw was taking action in the negotiations 16:37:097 based on the status of your negotiations with Graves 16:37:158 breaking down? 16:37:169 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 16:37:120 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you 16:37:2**21** mean by "taking action." 16:37:22/2 (By Ms. Mell) That he was going to do his 0 16:37:223 negotiations separately, right? 16:37:224 MR. PEKELIS: Same objection. I told Commissioner 16:37:425 THE WITNESS:

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 17
16:37:461		Graves that Commissioner Walkinshaw was sending a
16:37:492		legislative map proposal to Commissioner Fain.
16:37:55 3		MS. MELL: Oh. Maybe I was
16:37:574		misunderstanding. Okay.
16:37:58 5	Q	(By Ms. Mell) So are you telling that there at
16:38:026		this point strike that.
16:38:027		At that stage in the communication is, when
16:38:06 8		you're talking to Graves, you're telling Graves that
16:38:109		Walkinshaw intends to convene the legislative map
16:38:14 0		negotiations using Walkinshaw's map by communicating
16:38:1 8 1		with Fain and leaving Graves out of it.
16:38:2 D 2		Is that my understanding?
16:38:21 3		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:38:214		THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you
16:38:215		mean by "convene."
16:38:29 6	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Well, what do you think it means?
16:38:327	A	That he was sending him a proposal and hoping that he
16:38:3188		could negotiate around his legis his draft
16:38:419		legislative map.
16:38:420	Q	To break the impasse with Graves, right?
16:38:4 2 1	A	We were never at impasse.
16:38:4 2 2	Q	Okay. To break the sticking point with Graves?

I mean, I was continuing to negotiate with Commissioner Graves around the legislative map.

A Or to try a new tactic.

16:38:423

16:38:524

16:38:525

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 16:39:001 0 16:39:032 16:39:07**3** Α Correct. 16:39:074 Okay. All right. 16:39:185 70a. 71. 16:39:326 16:39:397 16:39:428 16:39:519 16:39:5**10** 16:39:5**1.1** bubbles from me on this. 16:39:5**1/2** 16:40:0**11.3** 16:40:0**1.4** phone? 16:40:075 MS. MELL: 16:40:016 stipulation in this matter that the public records that were produced have been broken into file folders 16:40:107

your cell phone.

and represented to be of certain commissioners' cell phones. These come from the file folders produced in the

public records request as text communications from

Okay. And your THE WITNESS: question again, then? I'm sorry.

(By Ms. Mell) Is this Brady Walkinshaw texting what Q

Do you recognize Exhibit 71 as proposed press statements from Brady Walkinshaw to you?

> MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I am -- are you telling me that this is -- I don't see any text

Yes.

So are you telling me that these are screenshots from my phone and not screenshots from someone else's These are screenshots from my phone?

253.627.6401

16:40:138

16:40:2109

16:40:220

16:40:221

16:40:282

16:40:323

16:40:4**24**

16:40:425

We have an ongoing

	Sillis, A	Page 17
16:40:441		he is willing to agree to in terms of a press
16:40:492		statement?
16:40:55 3	A	I don't know if this is him texting what he's willing
16:40:57 4		to agree to. This is him texting me thoughts that he
16:41:01 5		has around a press statement.
16:41:036	Q	Okay. Do you know if you shared this text chain with
16:41:087		anyone else who was a commissioner?
16:41:14 8	A	If I did?
16:41:149	Q	Yeah.
16:41:1 10	A	I don't believe so.
16:41:181	Q	Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 72, is this
16:41:2162		Brady Walkinshaw telling you what he wants to tell
16:41:353		the press? "We could also say we reached consensus
16:41:4104		on the maps- however you want to frame it"?
16:41:4 1.5	A	This is more text messages from Brady, or
16:41:4 16		Commissioner Walkinshaw. There were
16:41:5 4 7	Q	To you about what to say to the press?
16:42:0 118	A	I think he's communicating to me his thoughts.
16:42:01/9	Q	And what does it mean when he says, "We could also
16:42:020		say we reached consensus on the maps"?
16:42:121		Was there lack of an agreement as to whether or
16:42:1 2 2		not you reached consensus on the maps as of the time
16:42:123		this text communication was exchanged?
16:42:1 24	A	No.

Q How did you reach consensus on the maps?

16:42:225

	Sims, Ap	oril - January 14, 2022 Page 18
16:42:261		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:42:33 2		THE WITNESS: Well, we voted on a
16:42:40 3		plan that included the framework. And we articulated
16:42:44 4		it into the maps.
16:42:515	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And then did you all look at those
16:42:546		maps and agree upon them?
16:42:577		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:42:58 8		THE WITNESS: I don't recall us all
16:43:00 9		looking at the maps and agreeing to them.
16:43:0 10		I checked in with my staff before we sent the
16:43:0 11		file to Edge to make sure that we had everything that
16:43:1 12		we had in our framework. They loaded it into Edge,
16:43:1 23		and everyone left. Or I'm assuming everyone left.
16:43:214	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And then you communicated amongst one
16:43:2185		another to reach consensus on representing to the
16:43:316		media that you agreed upon the maps as transmitted?
16:43:327		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:43:4 118		THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I
16:43:4 19		understand the question.
16:43:42:0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) After the maps were transmitted, you
16:43:5 2 1		worked to reach a consensus on communicating to the
16:43:5 2 2		media that all the commissioners agreed to the maps
16:44:0 2 3		as transmitted, correct?
16:44:02:4		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: After the maps were

16:44:2**25**

16:44:291		submitted on the website? Submitted to after
16:44:372		the maps were submitted where?
16:44:403		MS. MELL: Transmitted, I said.
16:44:414		THE WITNESS: Transmitted from
16:44:465		where to where?
16:44:496		MS. MELL: To the legislature.
16:44:527		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:45:008		THE WITNESS: I don't know if I
16:45:019		know exactly when the maps were transmitted to the
16:45:040		legislature.
16:45:061	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Does it matter?
16:45:102		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:45:113		THE WITNESS: It matters it
16:45:144		matters if you're asking me what I did when.
16:45:17 5	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Well, I'm just asking you: At some
16:45:206		point before you guys publicly met with the press,
16:45:267		you coordinated with your fellow commissioners to
16:45:328		take a position uniformly on whether or not you
16:45:349		approve the maps; is that correct?
16:45:320		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:45:321		THE WITNESS: I communicated with
16:45:422		other members of the commission to determine their
16:45:423		position.
16:45:524	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And you knew that you'd reached a
16:46:025		consensus on approving the maps prior to speaking to

16:46:021 the media, correct? 16:46:042 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 16:46:06**3** I wouldn't say we THE WITNESS: reached consensus. 16:46:084 16:46:125 (By Ms. Mell) You all agreed to represent to the 16:46:146 media that you approved the maps? 16:46:177 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 16:46:478 (Continuing by Ms. Mell) Is that correct? 0 16:46:489 Same objection. MR. PEKELIS: 16:46:4**9**0 THE WITNESS: I'm just thinking 16:46:5**1).1** through the question, and I want to be thoughtful in 16:46:5**1.2** my response because I think words matter. 16:46:5**13** There was at no time a joint call where we checked in to see if we had agreement. 16:47:0**1.4** What I do remember is having individual 16:47:1**45** 16:47:1**16** conversations with Commissioner Graves and individual 16:47:2**17** conversations with Commissioner Walkinshaw, trying to 16:47:2**168** ascertain where they were in terms of the press 16:47:2**19** conference and in terms of a public statement. 16:47:320 (By Ms. Mell) Did you all get on a meeting call with 0 16:47:421 legal counsel on the 16th? I don't think so. 16:48:0**22** Α 16:48:023 Showing you what's --0 16:48:0**24** Α If -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

I think I interrupted you. I'm sorry.

0

16:48:025

A All of our conversations with legal counsel have been in executive session, which was all part of a public meeting, so I don't remember the timeline of events.

At some point in time, I think that we -- we had a public meeting and went into executive session so that we could talk with legal counsel. I don't recall that being on the 16th.

Q Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 73, what was it that Joe was trying to do with the statement in terms of teeing it up as you understood?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Do you have a screenshot that has the statement on it?

MS. MELL: Not right here. I mean, I have -- I have what's over -- Fain's? It'd take me a minute to get it, and I really don't have time to go divert on that right at this moment.

THE WITNESS: I'd have to see

Joe's -- what statement he's referring to. There

were a number of text messages from Commissioner

Walkinshaw that morning.

Q (By Ms. Mell) So as you sit here today, you do not have a recollection as to what Brady was wanting to communicate with you about relative to how Joe Fain was teeing up the statement?

16:48:121

16:48:232

16:48:293

16:48:324

16:48:385

16:48:416

16:48:437

16:49:008

16:49:059

16:49:070

16:49:111

16:49:212

16:49:213

16:49:274

16:49:295

16:49:346

16:49:377

16:49:518

16:49:529

16:49:520

16:50:021

16:50:132

16:50:123

16:50:124

16:50:225

		Tage 10
16:50:291		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:50:37 2		THE WITNESS: I don't want to
16:50:39 3		speculate without seeing
16:50:414	Q	(By Ms. Mell) I'm not asking you to speculate. I'm
16:50:435		asking what your recollection is.
16:50:446		What do you recall, if anything, about this
16:50:477		communication from Brady Walkinshaw to you about Joe?
16:50:54 8	A	I don't recall. But if I could see the statement
16:50:58 9		that he's referring to, that might help.
16:51:0 1 0	Q	With regard to Exhibit 74, who's Jamie?
16:51:1 11	A	Senator Jamie Pedersen.
16:51:112	Q	What is Brady Walkinshaw wanting to what has Brady
16:51:223		Walkinshaw effectively communicated to you at this
16:51:2 14		point in time, if you recall?
16:51:315		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
16:51:4 16		THE WITNESS: I think we're sharing
16:51:5 17		our frustration. We were on a call with leadership,
16:52:1 18		and there was some feedback as to their frustration
16:52:2 119		that they hadn't seen the maps and assumptions they
16:52:2 20		may have made about what we had agreed to.
16:52:2 2 1	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Okay. And so were you and Brady
16:52:422		negotiating about what to do about that?
16:52:4 23	A	No.
16:52:4 24	Q	Did this exchange between you and Brady get did
16:52:525		any portion of the exchange between you and Brady get

16:52:541 communicated by you to either Walkinshaw or Fain? Walkinshaw is on the text message. 16:53:02**2** Α 16:53:043 Or excuse me. Graves? Q 16:53:06**4** Not on my end. Α No. 16:53:375 Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 74a, is 0 16:53:416 this Brady Walkinshaw communicating with you that he approves of Paul's text -- texted statement to the 16:53:457 media? 16:53:528 16:53:549 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 16:54:0**1.0** THE WITNESS: Yes. 16:54:121 So from the communications in this (By Ms. Mell) 0 16:54:14.2 text, you knew what Paul's recommendation was because 16:54:11/3 you were reviewing what Paul wrote and then you were 16:54:244 receiving Brady's approval of what Paul wrote? 16:54:275 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form; 16:54:296 foundation. 16:54:3**1.7** THE WITNESS: If I remember 16:54:3**18** correctly, there was an e-mail from Lisa McLean 16:54:4**11.9** asking the members of the commission if they approved 16:54:4**20** this statement. 16:54:4**21** I read Brady's texts as letting me know that he 16:54:5**22** had expressed his approval to Lisa. (By Ms. Mell) And then it was Paul's statement that 16:54:523 Q 16:55:024 he was approving?

MR. PEKELIS:

16:55:025

Object to form.

16:55:031		THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if I
16:55:042		knew at that time who had drafted the statement.
16:55:07 3	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Well, what did you think, "Paul's text
16:55:124		is great, mean?
16:55:12 5		MR. PEKELIS: I didn't hear the
16:55:13 6		question. Could you ask it again or read it back?
16:55:17 7	Q	(By Ms. Mell) What did you think "Paul's text"
16:55:208		meant, was referring to?
16:55:239	A	The e-mail from Lisa McLean.
16:55:40 0	Q	Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 75, does
16:55:4 61		this text communication indicate to you that at 11:38
16:55:51 2		on November 15th, Commissioner Augustine indicated to
16:55:54 3		you that you were being asked to vote?
16:55:5 14	A	Yes.
16:56:01 5	Q	Is that prior to taking action publicly?
16:56:0 1 6	A	I believe so.
16:56:017	Q	And who do you think let's see.
16:56:21 8		What did you do upon receipt of this text? Did
16:56:23 9		you communicate to any of your other voting
16:56:23 0		commissioners that Chair Augustine was asking you to
16:56:3 2 1		vote?
16:56:322	A	I think I sent a text to Commissioner Graves, asking
16:56:3 2 3		if he was aware that we were supposed to vote.
16:56:4 24		The especially the day, itself, is a little
44 -4 -6 -		

hazy, but especially that last hour of the meeting

16:56:525

was so hectic.

And I thought that we would just continue to work and that we would continue to work on our maps, and when our maps were done, we would show them to the public and then we would vote. Because I had understood that the previous commissions all finish their work past their deadline.

So I didn't know when we got on the call that we were going to vote before the maps were ready. And I was trying to wrap my mind around that in the final hectic minutes of that meeting.

- Q And did you indicate to Commissioner Augustine that you needed to confer with Brady about the congressional district maps that he was negotiating and you were not negotiating before you voted?
- A Yeah, I wanted to check in with Commissioner
 Walkinshaw. I -- I had -- I was not aware exactly
 where we were, where he and Commissioner Fain were in
 their negotiations around the congressional maps for
 the congressional plan.

And I saw our work as encompassing both the legislative plan and the congressional plan and did not want to take action on one plan without taking action on the other.

So I wanted to make sure that he had concluded

16:58:525

16:57:011

16:57:082

16:57:143

16:57:184

16:57:215

16:57:276

16:57:30**7**

16:57:328

16:57:379

16:57:440

16:57:411

16:57:522

16:57:563

16:57:594

16:58:025

	Sims, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 18
16:58:591		his negotiations and that we would have a plan a
16:59:042		congressional map plan to vote on.
16:59:05 3	Q	So did you leave this text communication and go
16:59:094		confer with Brady?
16:59:245	A	Yes, we took a caucus at some point after this, and I
16:59:316		think I checked in with Commissioner Walkinshaw and
16:59:347		asked if he concluded his negotiations with
16:59:368		Commissioner Fain.
16:59:419		He indicated that they had.
16:59:44 0	Q	Did he tell you what the elements were of their
16:59:501		negotiations?
16:59:512	A	He communicated to me that it was a status quo map in
17:00:013		terms of a 6-3-1 congressional delegation split. Or
17:00:014		maybe I asked. I believe I checked in on the 9th
17:00:1165		district, but it would include parts of south
17:00:226		Seattle.
17:00:3107	Q	And did you, in exchange, tell him about the status
17:00:3 4 8		of the legislative negotiations and what the elements
17:00:409		were at that time?
17:00:420	A	I had advised him or communicated with him, after
17:00:4 21		Commissioner Graves and I reached agreement on the
17:00:522		proposal, some of the pieces of the proposal.
17:00:52 3	Q	Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 76, is this
17:01:024		Paul Graves indicating to you that the absence of

legislative maps are problematic?

17:01:135

	C	1 ago 15
17:01:191		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form;
17:01:212		foundation.
17:01:29 3		THE WITNESS: I read this text to
17:01:31 4		mean that the legislative maps weren't ready to show,
17:01:35 5		which is why I asked if we stayed in recess until we
17:01:39 6		worked it out. I thought we were really close to
17:01:42 7		having maps that we could share with the public at
17:01:45 8		this point in time.
17:01:459	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Do you know what time this exchange
17:01:4 % O		occurred?
17:01:4 321	A	Sometime between 11:30 and midnight.
17:01:5122	Q	Do you know why Brady didn't want to vote yet?
17:02:0 1.3	A	I think he was still negotiating the congressional
17:02:1 1.4		map.
17:02:1365	Q	Do you remember giving Brady Walkinshaw an update on
17:02:2166		the legislative map negotiations on November 15th at
17:02:317		11:26 p.m. at the steps?
17:02:3 18	A	This is me communicating to Commissioner Walkinshaw
17:02:5 19		that we have to hop on the Zoom and give an update at
17:02:5 20		11:30.
17:03:021	Q	To who?
17:03:0 22	A	To the general public. That we needed to join the
17:03:0 23		meeting, the commission meeting, and give an update.
17:03:124	Q	Did you talk about what you would tell the public
17:03:125		before hopping on?

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 190
17:03:161	A	No.
17:03:23 2	Q	Do you know for sure that you didn't?
17:03:25 3		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
17:03:264		THE WITNESS: To be as accurate as
17:03:315		possible, I should say: Not that I recall.
17:03:376	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Showing you what's been marked as
17:03:39 7		Exhibit 78, is this you communicating to Joe Fain
17:03:428		that you would work on the congressional district
17:03:489		proposal?
17:03:5 1 0	A	Well, this is just me being flip.
17:03:51 1	Q	When you're saying
17:04:0102	A	Mm-hmm.
17:04:02 3	Q	So this is you in blue?
17:04:0 14	A	That is correct.
17:04:05 5	Q	"I'm working an ld proposal," meaning legislative
17:04:12 6		district proposal, "right now"; is that correct?
17:04:1 37	A	Correct.
17:04:14 8	Q	And, "Will work on a cd proposal when this is wrapped
17:04:11 9		up or you can call Brady?"
17:04:12 0		So is that you telling Paul to go finish up the
17:04:29 1		work on the congressional district map?
17:04:32 2		Actually, I don't understand this. You tell me.
17:04:32 3		What does this mean?
17:04:3 24	A	That was me communicating to Commissioner Fain that I

was busy negotiating legislative maps with

17:04:325

	OII113, A	phil - Sandary 14, 2022
17:04:431		Commissioner Graves, and that if he wanted to
17:04:462		negotiate congressional maps, he could wait until I
17:04:503		was done or he could negotiate with Brady, or
17:04:524		Commissioner Walkinshaw.
17:04:53 5	Q	Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 79. I
17:05:076		think we've already gone over this one, actually.
17:05:127		Yeah. I think these are just back in my sequence
17:05:158		that I thought I had gone through.
17:05:29 9		Okay. Showing you what's been marked as
17:05:32 0		Exhibit 80. This is November 15th, at 11:45 a.m.
17:05:43 1		During this time frame, is it correct that you
17:05:47 2		were back and forth meeting with Joe and Brady?
17:05:513	A	That's not correct.
17:06:044	Q	Were you meeting with Joe, or is that Sarah?
17:06:015	A	I had a meeting with Joe. I was not back and forth
17:06:116		with Joe.
17:06:1 2 7	Q	Okay. So on the 15th, you only had one meeting with
17:06:1 5 8		Joe?
17:06:119	A	That's correct. To my recollection, that's correct.
17:06:22 0	Q	What was your meeting with Joe on the 15th?
17:06:2 21	A	We were talking about congressional districts.
17:06:3 2 2	Q	And what did you say to Joe, and what did he say to
17:06:32 3		you?
17:06:3 24	A	I wanted to understand his priorities. I was feeling
	I	

the pressure of our impending deadline. We were 12

17:06:325

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 19
17:06:461		hours away and still had a lot of negotiations ahead
17:06:522		of us. I wanted to make sure that I understood his
17:06:553		priorities and just felt like I should be doing
17:07:034		something.
17:07:05 5	Q	Did you take the communications you had with Joe back
17:07:096		to Brady or to Paul?
17:07:127	A	Yes. I shared with Commissioner Walkinshaw that
17:07:378		Commissioner Fain told me he wanted to draw the 9th
17:07:439		because he lived in the 9th and that my flip response
17:07:4 1 0		was I wanted to draw the 10th since I lived in the
17:07:511		10th.
17:07:51 2	Q	Who were you communicating with on this one?
17:08:11 3		Exhibit 81.
17:08:124		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form;
17:08:13 5		foundation.
17:08:216		THE WITNESS: Looks like a pop-up
17:08:2 27		must have occurred when I was doing my screenshot.
17:08:218		That looks like communication between me and
17:08:329		Commissioner Graves.
17:08:42 0	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Do you remember meeting with him in
17:08:49 1		the hallway and discussing redistricting?
17:08:4 2 2	A	Yes.
17:08:42 3	Q	Do you remember what information you exchanged in
17:08:5 2 4		this time frame?

Α

I don't.

17:08:525

17:09:001	Q	Do you know whether or not, in the exchanges you had
17:09:022		with Paul Graves in the hallway, you took the
17:09:043		information communicated with Paul Graves about
17:09:104		redistricting back to Brady Walkinshaw?
17:09:125		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. She
17:09:136		just testified she didn't remember.
17:09:24 7		THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
17:09:378	Q	(By Ms. Mell) More of the same? Time frames of you
17:09:419		meeting with Paul Graves in the hallway is that
17:09:4 3 0		correct? to discuss redistricting?
17:09:4% 1		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
17:10:0 12		THE WITNESS: Correct.
17:10:0 1 3	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Showing you what's been marked as
17:10:014		Exhibit 83, was Paul Graves making a counteroffer to
17:10:115		you, two points in the 44th, that you took back to
17:10:186		Brady Walkinshaw?
17:10:197		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
17:10:2 18		THE WITNESS: Paul Graves was
17:10:2 169		restating the offer he had made earlier.
17:10:320	Q	(By Ms. Mell) And was that communicated to by you
17:10:321		to Paul Walk to Brady Walkinshaw?
17:10:3 22	A	I'm not sure.
17:10:42:3	Q	Did it happen?
17:10:424		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
4= 40 4==		

THE WITNESS: Did what happen?

17:10:4**25**

17:10:491		MS. MELL: Two points in the 44th.
17:10:52 2		THE WITNESS: No.
17:10:523	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Did you reject that?
17:11:07 4	A	I think I countered.
17:11:085	Q	What did you counter?
17:11:09 6	A	Another I did another counterproposal.
17:11:127	Q	Did you confer with Brady before countering?
17:11:26 8	A	Without seeing the time stamps on this, I'm not sure.
17:11:309	Q	Did you inform Brady Walkinshaw of your counteroffers
17:11:350		in your negotiations with Paul Graves as to the 44th?
17:11:3 9.1	A	I did.
17:11:462	Q	Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 84, is this
17:11:563		Brady Walkinshaw informing you as to the position he
17:12:014		will take relative to Joe Fain?
17:12:0155		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
17:12:0 16		THE WITNESS: As the position who
17:12:1 17		will take?
17:12:128		MS. MELL: Brady.
17:12:189		MR. PEKELIS: Same objection.
17:12:2 20		THE WITNESS: Can you ask me the
17:12:2 21		question again?
17:12:2 2 2	Q	(By Ms. Mell) What does this mean? "Can follow your
17:12:223		lead- thoughts on my reply to Joe?"
17:12:3 24	A	It looks like he sent me a screenshot of his reply to
17:12:4 25		Commissioner Fain, telling him that he stayed at the

	Sims, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 198
17:12:521		hotel last night and was willing to meet in the
17:12:562		morning to negotiate the CD maps.
17:13:00 3	Q	Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 85, is this
17:13:174		Brady Walkinshaw conveying to you what his
17:13:21 5		conversation was with Joe in the hall?
17:13:236		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
17:13:277		THE WITNESS: Yes.
17:13:288	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Okay. So from this text
17:13:369		communication, you knew that Brady had a conversation
17:13:39 0		with Joe about Paul; is that correct?
17:13:4 9 1	A	No.
17:13:52 2	Q	Tell me what this means: "Fwiw, I just had a convo
17:13:59 3		with Joe in the hall. My impression is that he's
17:14:034		lightly playing Paul. And my sense was that he's
17:14:0 55		going to accept the deal as you laid out."
17:14:10 6		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form; calls
17:14:1 2 7		for speculation.
17:14:188		THE WITNESS: This is Commissioner
17:14:219		Walkinshaw letting me know what his impression is of
17:14:2 2 0		a conversation that he had with Commissioner Fain.
17:14:32 1	Q	(By Ms. Mell) About the deal you laid out?
17:14:32 2		MR. PEKELIS: Same objection.
17:14:323		THE WITNESS: Yeah, I take that to
17:14:4 24		mean one of the many proposals.
	_	

Q (By Ms. Mell) And one of the many proposals as to

17:14:525

17:14:581	the legislative district plan?
17:15:002	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
17:15:04 3	THE WITNESS: Those were the only
17:15:05 4	proposals I was working on.
17:15:065	Q (By Ms. Mell) So is that a "yes"?
17:15:086	MR. PEKELIS: Same objection.
17:15:18 7	THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe so.
17:15:228	MR. PEKELIS: Ms. Mell, I don't
17:15:239	want to tell you how to ask questions in your
17:15:250	deposition, but I am getting tired. It's been a long
17:15:291	day. And I'd rather not have to make individual
17:15:312	objections to the form of the question.
17:15:3 1 3	I'm hoping you'd offer me a standing objection as
17:15:3164	to form for the rest of the deposition in the hopes
17:15:395	that it might move things along faster.
17:15:416	MS. MELL: Well, I'm not going to
17:15:4% 7	agree that you can just have a standing objection to
17:15:5108	form on any question that's asked.
17:15:519	MR. PEKELIS: How about a standing
17:15:520	objection to leading questions?
17:15:5 2 1	MS. MELL: Okay. Yes. We can
17:15:5 2 2	agree to that.
17:15:5 2 3	MR. PEKELIS: Thank you.
17:16:024	Q (By Ms. Mell) All right. So showing you what's been
17:16:0 2 5	marked as Exhibit 86. That looks like forget it.

17:16:131 Strike that. This looks like a repeat to me. Okay. So showing you what's been marked as 17:16:212 17:16:243 Exhibit 87. On November 15th at 10:38 a.m., did you 17:16:314 invite Joe Fain to discuss congressional district 17:16:365 maps since -- let's see -- Brady and Paul were 17:16:426 talking the legislative district maps? 17:16:45**7** Α Yes. So would this text communication reflect the 17:16:468 17:16:509 approximate time when you then met with Joe Fain and talked about the metrics of CD maps? 17:16:5**4**0 17:16:5**11** Yes. Α 17:16:592 And you did that in -- what does "the upstairs 0 conference room" mean? 17:17:093 17:17:114 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form; calls 17:17:125 for speculation. 17:17:1**16** THE WITNESS: I took that to mean 17:17:1**1.7** the Republican suite. So did you go to the Republican suite 17:17:20 8 (By Ms. Mell) 0 17:17:229 at that time? 17:17:2**20** I did. Α Was there any other commissioner in there other than 17:17:2**2**1 0 Fain? 17:17:2**2**.2 17:17:2**23** Α No. 17:17:324 Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 88, what 0

does that mean? "One problem solved."

17:17:325

17:17:431 Object to form; calls MR. PEKELIS: for speculation. 17:17:442 17:17:49 **3** I didn't respond to THE WITNESS: 17:17:51**4** this text message. (By Ms. Mell) Is this all Tina Podlodowski 17:17:525 0 17:17:576 communicating to you? 17:18:01**7** Α It appears that there are two people on this text 17:18:05**8** So she's communicating to me and Commissioner Walkinshaw. 17:18:08**9** 17:18:090 Okay. Did you not do a press conference at her 0 17:18:151 request? Cancellation of the press conference had nothing to 17:18:1**12** Α do with this request. 17:18:2**1.3** 17:18:23:4 When she's talking about the press conference, is 0 17:18:215 this the press conference that was slated to occur at 17:18:316 10 in the morning but did not? 17:18:3**1.7** Correct. Α Did you agree to cancel the press conference at 10 in 17:18:318 0 17:18:359 the morning? 17:18:4**20** I don't recall being asked if I agree or not. Α Did you in any way give your affirmation to Sarah 17:18:521 0 17:18:522 Augustine that canceling the press conference would 17:19:02:3 be appropriate? 17:19:1**24** Α I don't recall the details around the cancellation of

the press conference.

17:19:1**25**

17:19:201 Did the cancellation of the press conference occur in 0 the meeting on the 16th in the banquet room? 17:19:252 17:19:33 **3** Well, I wouldn't characterize the work we were doing Α 17:19:40 **4** in the banquet room as a meeting. 17:19:465 My recollection is the press conference was 17:19:50**6** canceled sometime after I got home. I was notified of the cancellation sometime after I got home. 17:19:57**7** 17:20:248 (Clarification by reporter.) 17:20:279 17:20:2**T**O (By Ms. Mell) What was the suggestion given to you 0 17:20:391 by Adam Smith? Object to form; 17:20:47/2 MR. PEKELIS: 17:20:443 foundation. 17:20:5**1.4** THE WITNESS: I believe he 17:20:5**15** suggested that I read a recent Seattle Times article 17:20:5**16** about redistricting as it related to the 9th and 7th 17:21:0**17** congressional districts. 17:21:378 (By Ms. Mell) Showing you what's been marked as 0 17:21:359 Exhibit 91, do you recognize that document? 17:21:3**20** I do. Α 17:21:421 Is this Chair Jinkins communicating with you about 0 17:21:422 the negotiations? 17:21:5**23** Speaker Jinkins, just out of respect for her title. Α 17:21:524 I'm sorry. I didn't mean to make that mistake. 0

Speaker Jinkins.

17:22:025

17:22:011 I just don't want it on -- yeah. I just don't want Α it on the record that I am calling her "chair" and 17:22:042 17:22:073 not "speaker" --17:22:084 No. 0 -- since I live and work here. 17:22:095 Α 17:22:126 The question was...? 17:22:157 Q Is this you communicating with Speaker Jinkins about 17:22:198 the negotiations? 17:22:259 Yes. Α 17:22:300 And did you have Speaker Jinkins talking to Andy 0 17:22:381 Billig to help facilitate the negotiations? 17:22:522 No. Α 17:22:543 Did she offer to do that? Q 17:23:314 I don't believe she offered to do that. Α 17:23:415 Do you know if it happened? 17:23:516 I don't. Α 17:25:257 Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 92a, does 17:25:308 this text communication between Sarah Augustine and you provide us information on the time when you were 17:25:339 17:25:420 at a stalemate with Paul Graves? 17:25:421 Object to form. MR. PEKELIS: 17:25:422 THE WITNESS: It does. 17:25:523 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. These are your words, 0 17:25:524 "stalemate," right there?

Correct.

Α

17:25:525

17:25:551 And can I equate that to your prior testimony where 0 you're referring to "sticking point" as that same 17:25:592 17:26:053 point, or was that a different point in time? So earlier, if I remember correctly, I mentioned a 17:26:18**4** Α 17:26:22**5** sticking point on the 12th and another sticking point 17:26:28**6** on the 15th. 17:26:32**7** At this point in time -- I guess you could call 17:26:448 it a sticking point. Were there various sticking points throughout the 17:26:469 0 17:26:5**1**0 negotiations with you and Paul? 17:27:0**9.1** I would -- I would say so. Like any negotiation. Α Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 93, do you 17:27:46 2 0 17:27:563 recall texting with Joe Fain and then meeting up with 17:28:01:4 him on the 5th to discuss redistricting? 17:28:0125 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form; 17:28:1106 foundation. 17:28:1**17** THE WITNESS: These text messages 17:28:1**18** are communication between Representative Joe 17:28:2**1.9** Fitzgibbon and me. I understand that "JF" and two 17:28:2**20** Joes are confusing, but this is communication with 17:28:2**21** Representative Joe Fitzgibbon. 17:28:32.2 (By Ms. Mell) Okay. So he's helping you with 0 17:28:323 negotiations? 17:28:324 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS:

17:28:4**25**

Was he helping me

17:28:421		with negotiations?
17:28:442		MS. MELL: Yes.
17:28:44 3	Q	(By Ms. Mell) Providing you information?
17:28:52 4	A	Joe Fitzgibbon is a stakeholder and provided me with
17:28:595		input, but I would not characterize our conversations
17:29:046		as his helping me with negotiations.
17:29:127	Q	Okay.
17:29:208		MS. MELL: I don't have anything
17:29:219		more at this time.
17:29:29 0		MR. PEKELIS: Arthur, do you have
17:29:311		questions?
17:29:32 2		MR. WEST: I have just a few, if
17:29:31 3		that'd be okay.
17:29:344		MR. PEKELIS: Could we take maybe a
17:29:31 5		short break before you begin?
17:29:406		MR. WEST: We certainly can.
17:29:41 7		And then when we come back, Joan, could we get
17:29:44 8		Page 7 of Exhibit 2 up on the screen?
17:29:489		MS. MELL: Yeah.
17:29:42 0		Can we make it pretty short? I am hoping to get
17:29:52 1		out of here by 7, I guess 6 your time.
17:29:52 2		MR. WEST: A three-minute break and
17:29:52 3		then ten minutes of questions?
17:30:024		MR. PEKELIS: Oh. Sounds good.
17:30:02 5		////

17:30:031		(Pause in proceedings from
17:30:032		5:30 p.m. to 5:36 p.m.)
17:36:133		
17:36:13 4		
17:36:135		EXAMINATION
17:36:146		BY MR. WEST:
17:36:147	Q	Good afternoon.
17:36:178		I draw your attention to Page 7 of Exhibit 2, the
17:36:249		statement of Ali O'Neil.
17:36:2 % O		My first question is: After the meeting
17:36:31/1		concluded shortly after 12:00, is it your testimony
17:36:312		that you and the other commissioners and the staff
17:36:39/3		made their way to the meeting room at the Hampton
17:36:4 74		Inn?
17:36:4 15	A	It's my testimony that sometime after the meeting
17:36:5 16		concluded on midnight, that I moved to the banquet
17:37:0 17		room.
17:37:0168	Q	Were there other people who also went to the banquet
17:37:099		room?
17:37:0 20	A	Throughout the night, yes. Periods throughout the
17:37:1 21		night, there were all or most of us in the room and
17:37:1 22		there were periods of time where there were only a
17:37:2 23		few people in the room.
17:37:2 24	Q	Okay. So after the official public meeting
17:37:2 2 5		concluded, there was a period when you and the rest

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022
17:37:301		of the commissioners and staff moved to the banquet
17:37:352		room at the Hampton Inn; is that correct?
17:37:42 3	A	I would say there was a period of time where we were
17:37:46 4		likely all in the same room.
17:37:465	Q	Okay.
17:37:48 6	A	I would not characterize what we did as concluding
17:37:51 7		the meeting and all moving together at one time into
17:37:55 8		the room. Just want to be clear about, paint a clear
17:38:00 9		picture.
17:38:010	Q	Very good.
17:38:0161		Now, is this common practice for the commission,
17:38:012		after it closes out a public meeting, to have a post
17:38:12/3		meeting?
17:38:1 <u>6</u>4		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
17:38:1 25		THE WITNESS: Well, I wouldn't
17:38:1 16		characterize what we had as a meeting so much as we
17:38:2 17		happen to all be in the same large banquet room,
17:38:3 118		attempting to finish the maps.
17:38:329	Q	(By Mr. West) How did you all happen to wind up at
17:38:3 2 0		the same place? Is this by random chance?
17:38:4 21	A	In what place?
17:38:422	Q	The meeting room.
17:38:5 2 3		MS. MELL: Arthur, I might suggest,
17:38:5 24		if you call it the banquet room, we'll get over the

delays of calling it a meeting room.

17:39:025

- 17:39:061 (By Mr. West) My question is: How is it that after 0 the public meeting ended, within some period of time, 17:39:102 17:39:153 all of the commissioners and the staff made their way 17:39:194 to the banquet room?
 - Was this random chance, or was there some form of agreement?
 - Α There was no form of agreement that I was aware of. It was the largest space we had available to us.
 - Okay. 0

17:39:225

17:39:256

17:39:29**7**

17:39:378

17:39:439

17:39:4**10**

17:39:5**12**

17:39:5**13**

17:39:514

17:40:025

17:40:116

17:40:187

17:40:2**18**

17:40:2**1.9**

17:40:3**20**

17:40:3**21**

17:40:322

17:40:423

17:40:524

17:40:5**25**

- The lobby was dark because it was after midnight. Ι Α 17:39:4**11** made my way to the banquet room because my staffer Osta Davis was in the banquet room, working on the maps, on the legislative maps.
 - And do you have any idea why the other 0 commissioners made their way to the banquet room?

Were their staff similarly in the banquet room, working on the maps?

- I know that Anton and Osta were in the banquet room, Α working on the legislative maps. I am not sure what brought Ali O'Neil and Paul Campos into the banquet room.
- Okav. And what is the earliest time after, say, 0 12:30 that you were aware that all the commissioners were in the banquet room?
- Α I don't know. I don't recall looking at my watch or

17:41:061 looking around the room to assess who was there at 17:41:082 what time. 17:41:093 Can I -- can I go back to something that you 17:41:114 asked me about the banquet room --17:41:145 Sure. 0 17:41:146 -- and why I went there? Α 17:41:177 Q Yes. I believe that Commissioner Graves texted me and 17:41:178 Α 17:41:239 asked me to meet him in the large room, the banquet 17:41:25O room. 17:41:261 Okay. 0 And that's -- that's what brought me to the large 17:41:272 Α 17:41:313 banquet room. Was that his text saying "big room"? 17:41:354 0 17:41:395 It may have been. Α 17:41:416 Thank you. 0 17:41:457 During this period from 12:30 to approximately 7 17:41:508 in the morning, what period of time would you estimate that all of the commissioners were in the 17:41:559 17:41:520 big banquet room? I could not hazard a guess. 17:41:521 Α Less than half? More than half? You don't remember? 17:42:022 0 17:42:12:3 Yeah, I -- I don't remember. Α 17:42:224 Okay. Thank you. 0

Let's look at the second bullet point on Page 7

17:42:225

	Sims, A	April - January 14, 2022	Page 2
17:42:261		of Exhibit 2.	
17:42:292		This is a statement by Ali O'Neil saying the	
17:42:313		commissioners agreed to send the congressional map	ρ
17:42:354		file to commission staff.	
17:42:385		And then it says 4:37.	
17:42:416		Do you recall agreeing to send the congression	nal
17:42:477		map file to commission staff?	
17:43:07 8	A	I don't recall giving express approval on the	
17:43:11 9		congressional map.	
17:43:120	Q	Do you recall giving implicit approval to the	
17:43:1111		congressional map?	
17:43:1 122	A	Will you restate the last question?	
17:43:2 183		Because I did vote for the plan, which was my	
17:43:3 14		expressed approval.	
17:43:3 15		So just to clarify. Sorry. It's getting late	e
17:43:3 16		here.	
17:43:4 1 7	Q	Did you discuss in the in the big room, did you	u
17:43:4188		engage in any discussions concerning the	
17:43:519		congressional map?	
17:43:5 20	A	I knew that Commissioner Walkinshaw and Commission	ner
17:44:0 21		Fain were working with their team to finalize the	
17:44:0 22		congressional map and get it transmitted into Edge	э.
17:44:0 23		I remember being aware at some point in time	that
17:44:1 24		they had finished that work and that it had been	

transmitted.

17:44:125

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 208
17:44:181		I do not remember if I expressed or implied any
17:44:232		approval.
17:44:25 3	Q	How did you become aware of this? Do you not
17:44:464		remember at this time?
17:44:565	A	I'm doing my best to to remember. Someone may
17:45:076		have said the congressional maps are done or loaded.
17:45:117	Q	Okay. Do you recall having any conversations with
17:45:18 8		two or more commissioners concerning the
17:45:229		congressional maps?
17:45:3 % O	A	I recall a conversation regarding the timeline to
17:45:4 1		release the maps and what I interpreted as shared
17:46:012		desire to meet the timeline that Chair Augustine had
17:46:123		described during the meeting.
17:46:134		Chair Augustine told the members of the public
17:46:1165		that the maps would be available sometime in the
17:46:186		morning. I remember really wanting to honor that on
17:46:2 %7		the heels of what I felt like was a bit of a debacle
17:46:328		the night before.
17:46:329	Q	And is it your testimony that you engaged in
17:46:32 0		conversation with two or more of the other
17:46:32 1		commissioners concerning that issue?
17:46:522	A	I would say that I expressed my interest and desire
17:46:523		in getting the maps posted as soon as possible, yes.
17:47:024	Q	To two or more of the other commissioners?

Yes.

Α

17:47:025

17:47:051 Q Did they also express their desires in response or in conjunction with your expression of your desires?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I believe we all felt like we wanted to get the maps done as soon as possible.

Q (By Mr. West) So looking at Bullet Point No. 4, if Ms. McBeal -- Ms. O'Neil testified under oath that she observed three or more commissioners discussing the issue of hurrying up and finishing the maps so they could be posted as quickly as possible in the morning, and you're included in that, would you have any reason to dispute that statement?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

I mean, I think

that's what I spoke to. I -- are you asking me about what I'm -- this bullet point, or are you asking me about what you just said?

THE WITNESS:

MR. WEST: I'm asking about this bullet point.

- Q (By Mr. West) First, you believe this bullet point is accurate?
- 17:48:4**23** | **A** No.

17:47:30 **4**

17:47:32**5**

17:47:35**6**

17:47:377

17:47:458

17:47:509

17:47:5**1**0

17:48:011

17:48:03/2

17:48:013

17:48:104

17:48:1**45**

17:48:1**16**

17:48:2**47**

17:48:2**18**

17:48:299

17:48:320

17:48:321

17:48:322

- 17:48:424 Q Why isn't it accurate?
- 17:48:425 A My interest in asking my staff member to hurry and

finish the maps and get them done as quickly as possible was as it related to the commitment we had made to the general public.

We had just finished 11 months of robust public engagement and public feedback, and I knew that the public was hungry to see our maps. And I wanted to honor that.

Q Thank you.

17:48:521

17:48:562

17:49:013

17:49:024

17:49:075

17:49:106

17:49:147

17:49:168

17:49:189

17:49:220

17:49:251

17:49:512

17:50:013

17:50:014

17:50:095

17:50:126

17:50:1**17**

17:50:248

17:50:289

17:50:320

17:50:321

17:50:525

Aside from the basis for finishing them quickly, is there any other portion of this paragraph that you consider to be inaccurate?

A I don't recall commissioners saying that we hope the maps were posted beforehand so the questions from the reporters would focus more on the maps rather than the process of the public meeting and vote.

So if that is what Ali O'Neil heard, I may not have been present or I may not have heard that. I may not have been in the room at that time.

- Q But to the extent that there was a conversation between you and two or more other commissioners about finishing up the maps quickly, do you dispute that?
- A I mean, I think I've answered that we wanted to get the maps done as soon as possible.
- Q And you had a discussion about that with two or more of the other commissioners?

LITIGATION SERVICES

- 17:51:011 I don't recall there being a formal huddle or -- but, Α yeah, I mean, I'm sure that I communicated my desire 17:51:072 17:51:153 to more than one member of the commission.
 - And they communicated their position on that back to 0 you?
 - There was a shared desire to get our maps done and Α made available to the public as soon as possible.
 - And that shared desire came from a series of 0 conversations between you and two or more of the other commissioners, correct?

Object to form. MR. PEKELIS:

THE WITNESS: I just want to make sure I understand the question.

Can you ask me one more time?

(By Mr. West) You're talking about you -- at some point you realize there was a shared desire amongst the commissioners to get the maps done as quickly as possible.

And my question is: Is this something you learned in that period where you discussed this matter with the other -- with two or more of the other commissioners?

Α Yes.

17:51:184

17:51:235

17:51:276

17:51:317

17:51:338

17:51:389

17:51:400

17:51:421

17:51:562

17:51:513

17:52:014

17:52:085

17:52:116

17:52:147

17:52:178

17:52:189

17:52:220

17:52:221

17:52:282

17:52:323

17:52:324

17:52:425

Do you recall which two or more of the other 0 commissioners you spoke with?

- 17:52:511 I don't. Α Could it have been all three? 17:52:512 0 17:53:053 I don't recall. Α 17:53:054 Thank you. 0 Okay. 17:53:075 At around 5:30 or 6 a.m., do you recall 17:53:136 Commissioner Fain leaving the Hampton Inn? Α I don't recall what time he left, but I do recall him 17:53:17**7** 17:53:208 leaving, yes. 17:53:229 Okay. And pretty much before that time, were the 17:53:270 commissioners moving in and out of the room, and the 17:53:311 staff pretty much the same? The staff working on the legislative map were stoic 17:53:36.2 Α 17:53:43.3 in that they were focused on the map and rarely left But there were other commissioners and 17:53:4**5**4 the room. 17:53:515 staff moving in and out of the room throughout the 17:53:546 night. 17:53:547 And do you recall, is it -- the commissioners and Q
- 17:53:517 Q And do you recall, is it -- the commissioners and yourself interacting with the staff while they were finishing the maps?
- 17:54:020 A I do.
- 17:54:021 Q Okay. And was that a common event during the seven-hour period?
- 17:54:123 A I wouldn't describe it as "common."
- 17:54:124 Q Okay. Roughly, how many times do you think you interacted with them or went over to look at their

- 17:54:211 computer during that seven-hour period?
- 17:54:252 A I would say "not often."
- 17:54:273 | Q A half a dozen? Once an hour? Twice an hour?
- 17:54:334 A I'm not sure that I could quantify it other than to say, at one point in the evening, I thought to myself, I should probably just leave; I'm not doing anything here, and would have gone home had it not been bad form.
 - Q Okay.
 - A Had it not been tacky to leave my staff behind to work through the night while I was at home sleeping, I would have left. I don't think that I was much help to anyone.
 - Q Thank you.

Shortly after Commissioner Fain left, do you recall Commissioner Graves receiving a phone call?

- A I don't recall him receiving a phone call. If he did, I didn't see it.
- Q Do you recall -- reading Bullet Point 6, is it correct that at some point after 5:30 or 6,
 Commissioner Graves indicated to Commissioners Sims and Walkinshaw that there were potentially some legal questions about the previous night's vote?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: And Bullet 6, just so

17:55:524

17:55:5**25**

17:54:569

17:54:5**10**

17:55:0**1.1**

17:55:0**12**

17:55:0**13**

17:55:0164

17:55:015

17:55:116

	Sims, A	pril - January 14, 2022 Page 214
17:55:561		I'm clear, since they're not numbered, is the one
17:55:582		that starts with, "At around 5:30"?
17:56:03 3		MR. WEST: Yes.
17:56:124		THE WITNESS: I remember a
17:56:135		conversation with Commissioner Graves that there were
17:56:206		some outstanding questions as to the impact of our
17:56:227		vote the night before.
17:56:25 8	Q	(By Mr. West) Do you recall if Commissioner
17:56:309		Walkinshaw shared in this discussion?
17:56:3 1 0	A	I don't recall if Commissioner Walkinshaw shared in
17:56:3 1 1		the discussion. I had
17:56:42 2	Q	Where was it when this took place?
17:56:4 1 3	A	I'm sorry. Ask me the question again.
17:56:474	Q	Do you recall where he was located when Commissioner
17:56:51 5		Graves spoke to you? By "he," I mean Commissioner
17:56:51 6		Walkinshaw.
17:56:51 7		How close were you to Commissioner Walkinshaw
17:57:01 8		when Commissioner Graves raised this concern?
17:57:0 19	A	I think Commissioner Graves and I were in the hallway
17:57:120		and Commissioner Walkinshaw was in the room.
17:57:121	Q	Okay. Where was Ali O'Neil at this point?
17:57:2 2 2	A	I don't know.
17:57:22 3	Q	Okay. Do you believe it's a correct statement to say
17:57:324		that Commissioner Graves indicated to both you and

Commissioner Walkinshaw that there were potentially

17:57:325

	Sims, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 21
17:57:391		some legal questions about the previous night's vote?
17:57:442		MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
17:58:23 3		THE WITNESS: So I'm sequencing the
17:58:25 4		conversation, so
17:58:32 5		Yes.
17:58:346	Q	(By Mr. West) So did you have any discussions
17:58:417		following or around the period when Commissioner
17:58:468		Graves indicated this to you and Commissioner
17:58:499		Walkinshaw, did you have any further after being
17:58:5 1 0		notified of this, was there a discussion with
17:58:5161		Commissioner Sims or Walkinshaw and you about this
17:59:012		issue?
17:59:1 1.3	A	Yes, I think there was a conversation
17:59:214	Q	And what?
17:59:2 15	A	Go ahead.
17:59:216	Q	And who was involved in this conversation?
17:59:2 177	A	I don't recall if it was all three of us or just
17:59:3 18		Commissioner Graves and me, but a conversation about
17:59:4 1.9		contacting the attorney general.
17:59:4 2 0	Q	Okay. And is it your testimony that Commissioner
17:59:5 2 1		Walkinshaw didn't take place in any of these
17:59:5 2 2		conversations?
17:59:5 23	A	It is my testimony that I don't recall
17:59:5 24	Q	Okay.
	1	

A -- if he was a part of those conversations or not.

17:59:5**25**

18:00:031 To the extent that Ms. O'Neil testified under oath 0 that he did, would you have any basis to refute that? 18:00:072 18:00:123 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. 18:00:17**4** I can only speak to THE WITNESS: 18:00:195 what I remember. 18:00:206 So that would be "no"? (By Mr. West) Okay. MR. PEKELIS: Same objection. 18:00:247 18:00:348 THE WITNESS: Other than there are 18:00:39**9** other things in the memo that I likely don't agree 18:00:4**10** with, I think as I've mentioned before, that our 18:00:5**11** memory and our experience of the events are different. 18:00:5**42** 18:00:5183 (By Mr. West) Okay. But with that particular point, Q 18:01:014 do you have any memories that would contradict the 18:01:01/5 sworn testimony of the staff member that all three of 18:01:096 you discussed that? 18:01:11/7 MR. PEKELIS: Object to form. I do not. 18:01:1**18** THE WITNESS: 18:01:199 MR. WEST: Thank you. 18:01:220 Bullet Point 8. Ms. O'Neil states (By Mr. West) 0 18:01:221 that, "I heard the commissioners decide that they did not want to post the maps publicly at that time, and 18:01:29.2 18:01:323 I believe there was a request made to the commission 18:01:324 staff to take down the congressional map that was 18:01:425 posted shortly beforehand."

Is this a correct statement?

- A You're asking me if Ali O'Neil's statement as to what she believed is correct?
- Q No. I'm asking if the statement that the commissioners decided that they did not want to post the maps publicly at that time and that there was a request made to the commission staff to take down the congressional maps posted shortly beforehand was correct.

Are those two statements factual and correct?

MR. PEKELIS: Object to form;

compound.

- Q (By Mr. West) Let's go with the first statement.

 Is the first statement correct?
- A Yes.

18:01:441

18:01:53**2**

18:01:583

18:01:594

18:02:025

18:02:066

18:02:117

18:02:158

18:02:209

18:02:2100

18:02:21/1

18:02:282

18:02:3103

18:02:324

18:02:31.5

18:02:316

18:02:4107

18:02:458

18:02:419

18:02:420

18:03:021

18:03:022

18:03:2**23**

18:03:2**24**

18:03:3**25**

Q Let's go with the second statement.

Was there a request made to the commission staff to take down the maps?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Did you, in coordination with the other two commissioners in the room, come to a decision that the maps shouldn't be posted publicly at that time?
- A I'm not sure if all three of us in the room made the decision or if someone just said we should take the congressional maps down and if there was -- no one

objected.

I don't recall a decision being made by all three commissioners.

- Q Okay. So you just test- -- you just stated that the statement that she heard the commissioners decide they did not want to post the maps publicly at that time was correct, correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And were you one of the commissioners who made that decision?
- A So what I'm -- let me try to be more clear, because I think I'm confused to the question.

It was decided that we did not want the congressional map to be the only map posted, and we made the request to take down the congressional map. There was not a vote or an official decision made by the commission.

And so when you say the commissioners decided, when Ali says the commissioners decided, a decision had been made, but it was not an official decision or a vote. It was, like, Well, who agrees and who doesn't agree?

It was in the chaos and the tiredness of the morning, like, running through the various advantages and disadvantages to having only one map available on

18:03:411

18:03:432

18:03:513

18:03:554

18:03:595

18:04:036

18:04:067

- 18:04:119
- 18:04:160
- 18:04:1**%**1
- 18:04:232
- 18:04:293
- 18:04:354
- 18:04:410.5
- 18:04:416
- 18:04:497
- 18:04:510.8
- 18:04:519
- 18:04:5**2**0
- 18:04:5**21**
- 18:05:022
- 18:05:023
- 18:05:0**24**
- 18:05:125

18:05:141		the website.				
18:05:15 2	Q	When you speak of "we," who does "we" encompass?				
18:05:263	A	Commissioner Graves, Commissioner Walkinshaw.				
18:05:304		Probably some of our staff might have weighed in.				
18:05:435		It was a discussion.				
18:05:446	Q	Okay. And you participated in that discussion as				
18:05:497		well?				
18:05:518	A	I did.				
18:05:539	Q	And the upshoot of that discussion was that we, the				
18:05:5 80		commissioners, wanted to take down the maps?				
18:06:011	A	Correct.				
18:06:02 2	Q	Okay. And that was expressed through the commission				
18:06:01 3		staff?				
18:06:014	A	Yes.				
18:06:0 \$5	Q	Thank you.				
18:06:23 6		At around 7 or 7:30 a.m., were the maps finally				
18:06:2 1 7		reconciled by the staff members?				
18:06:318	A	That's my recollection.				
18:06:489	Q	What happened then?				
18:06:420	A	Can you be more specific?				
18:06:521	Q	Following the reconciliation of the things, did you				
18:06:52 2		come to an agreement not to post the maps before the				
18:07:02 3		10 a.m. press conference?				
18:07:024		And that would be				
18:07:0 2 5	A	I don't recall it.				

- 18:07:091 -- 8, first complete bullet item. 0
- I don't recall that agreement. 18:07:16**2** Α
- 18:07:183 Is it possible that agreement was reached? Q
- 18:07:47**4** I mean, you're asking me in the realm of possibility.
- 18:07:51**5** I -- Commissioner Graves and I were lead on the 18:07:56**6** legislative maps.
- 18:08:077 Q Was there an agreement -- do you believe that this 18:08:128 bullet point is correct in the two statements that it 18:08:159 makes?
- 18:08:1**10** I do not. Α
- 18:08:181 Which portions of it do you believe are incorrect? 0
- 18:08:2**12** Well, I don't believe there was ever an agreement not Α 18:08:2**1.3** to post the maps before 10.
- 18:08:2184 0 Okay.

18:08:3168

18:08:429

18:08:420

18:08:421

18:08:5**22**

18:08:5**23**

18:08:5**24**

18:09:025

- 18:08:23.5 And I don't recall agreeing to have further Α 18:08:316 discussion before the maps would be approved and 18:08:3**47** posted.
 - Okay. So as far as you were concerned at that point, 0 the maps were final. The commissioners had agreed to And it was okay for them to be approved -them. they were approved and could be posted?
 - Well, my understanding of the process is that the Α maps needed to be transferred and loaded into the Edge, and from there, we would need to double-check the partisan performance.

Because the map drawing in Edge did not include the 2020 treasurer's metric -- or I'm sorry. The map drawing in Dave's did not include the 2020 treasurer's race as a metric. So we had to draw it to the best of our ability, load it into Edge, double-check.

Staff was going to double-check the performance, that it met our agreement, then transmit to nonpartisan staff so nonpartisan staff could then tweak whatever needed to be tweaked so that it would be -- I mean, just to make sure that the margins were right in the various software.

- Q So your position is that there was further work to be done on the maps before they could be approved and posted?
- A Just verification that needed to happen before they could be approved, before they could be posted.

 Before they could be sent to the nonpartisan staff.
- Q Did that further work and approval take place?
- A It's my understanding that Osta Davis and Anton -- I wish I could remember his last name; I feel so disrespectful -- continued, double-checked the map once it was loaded in Edge to make sure that it met our agreement.
- Q Did you have any further conversations or text or

18:09:091

18:09:122

18:09:173

18:09:194

18:09:235

18:09:266

18:09:277

18:09:298

18:09:349

18:09:310

18:09:411

18:09:472

18:09:503

18:09:564

18:09:585

18:09:516

18:10:027

18:10:018

18:10:089

18:10:120

18:10:221

18:10:222

18:10:323

18:10:324

18:10:425

	Sillis, A	prii - January 14, 2022 Page 22						
18:10:501		e-mails with the other commissioners between that						
18:10:532		point in the morning and the time when the maps were						
18:10:593		sent to the supreme court?						
18:11:02 4	A	I did.						
18:11:035	Q	Who did you have conversations with?						
18:11:07 6	A	I think we talked about this earlier. I spoke with						
18:11:10 7		Commissioner Walkinshaw. I spoke with Commissioner						
18:11:14 8		Graves. I don't recall if I spoke with Commissioner						
18:11:21 9		Fain or not.						
18:11:23:0	Q	And the subject matter of the conversations you had						
18:11:211		was?						
18:11:2 122	A	Like I testified to earlier regarding the press						
18:11:3 1.3		conference, our press statement.						
18:11:464		MR. WEST: Very good. Thank you						
18:11:53:5		very much for your time. I think I'm done.						
18:11:5186		Oh. I do have one more question.						
18:12:0107	Q	(By Mr. West) After the maps were transmitted to the						
18:12:018		supreme court, did you, in combination with any of						
18:12:099		the other commissioners, appear on TVW or make any						
18:12:1 2 0		public statements concerning this process?						
18:12:3 21	A	After the maps were transmitted?						
18:12:3 2 2	Q	After the maps were finalized, did you and the other						
18:12:3 2 3		commissioners appear in public, go on TVW, make any						
18:12:4 2 4		public appearance where the redistricting effort was						

discussed?

18:12:4**2**5

18:12:491	A	Yes.					
18:12:51 2	Q	When did these events occur?					
18:12:543	A	We had a press conference on Thursday, November 18th.					
18:12:594	Q	Okay. Was there any other event where this happened?					
18:13:105	A	There's not another event that I can recall.					
18:13:146		I think I released a statement on Twitter					
18:13:177		sometime on the 17th or the 18th.					
18:13:208	Q	Was that a unilateral statement, or was that a					
18:13:239		statement the other commissioners had seen and					
18:13:260		approved?					
18:13:211	A	It was my statement.					
18:13:31 2		MR. WEST: Very good. Thank you					
18:13:32 3		very much for your time.					
18:13:354		MR. PEKELIS: I don't have any					
18:13:35 5		questions.					
18:13:436		MR. HUGHES: None from me.					
17		(Signature reserved.)					
18		(Deposition concluded at					
19		6:13 p.m.)					
20		(Exhibit Nos. 66 through 70,					
21		70a, 71 through 74, 74a, 75					
22		through 79, 79a, 80 through					
23		85, 85a, 86 through 92,					
24		92a, 93, and 94 marked for					
25		identification.)					

1	AFFIDAVIT				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	I, April Sims, hereby declare under penalty of perjury				
8	that I have read the foregoing deposition and that the				
9	testimony contained herein is a true and correct transcript				
10	of my testimony, noting the attached corrections.				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15	April Sims				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20	Date:				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	STATE OF WASHINGTON) I, John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR,) ss a certified court reporter					
2	County of Pierce) in the State of Washington, do hereby certify:					
3 4	1					
5	That the foregoing deposition of APRIL SIMS was taken before me and completed on January 14, 2022, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a					
6	full, true and complete transcript of the testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections,					
7	motions and exceptions;					
8	That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but					
9	the truth, and that the witness reserved the right of signature;					
10						
_ •	That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel					
11	of any party to this action or relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially					
12	interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;					
13	That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposition and promptly delivering the same to Zachary J. Pekelis.					
14	and promperly derivering the bame to Eachary of renerro.					
ТТ	IN WITHERS WHEREOF I have becaunto get my hand					
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 27th day of January, 2022.					
16	chis zich day of Gandary, zozz.					
17						
18	Ida Me etalla					
19	John M.S. Botelho					
	John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR					
20	Certified Court Reporter No. 2976					
_ •	(Certification expires 05/26/22.)					
21	(= == === === === === === === === == ==					
22						
23						
24						
25						

B&A Litigation Services 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 Tacoma, WA 98403 253.627.6401

Date: January 27, 2022

To: Zachary J. Pekelis
Pacifica Law Group

1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98101-3404

Case: Washington Coalition for Open Government v. State of Washington

Cause No.: 21-2-02069-34
Deposition of: April Sims
Date Taken: January 14, 2022

The above transcript must be read and the Correction Sheet signed within 30 days of this notice or before the trial date. If the Correction Sheet is not signed within that time period, signature will be deemed waived for all purposes.

Please contact the witness and arrange a convenient time and place for reading and signing.

After the Correction Sheet is signed, please retain the signed original Correction Sheet.

Reporter: John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR

License No.: 2976

cc: joan@3brancheslaw.com, awestaa@gmail.com, andrew.hughes@atg.wa.gov

B&A Litigation Services 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 Tacoma, WA 98403 253.627.6401				
CORRECTION SHEET				
Instructions: Please carefully read your deposition and on this correction sheet make any changes or corrections in form or substance that you feel should be made. You may add additional sheets, if necessary. After completing this form, please sign your name in the space provided. Please do not mark the transcript. Thank you.				
PAGE # LINE # CORRECTION	REASON FOR CORRECTION			
				
- 				
				
				
- 				
				
	<u></u>			
SIGNATURE OF WITNESS:				

Page 228Index: 1..2nd

	ı_	ı_	:4-
Εx			

Sims Exhibit 66 4:8 126:3,4 146:5

Sims Exhibit 67 4:9

Sims Exhibit 68 4:11 156:1

Sims Exhibit 69 4:12 160:15

Sims Exhibit 70 4:14 162:15 168:9

Sims Exhibit 70a 4:15 169:1

170:13

Sims Exhibit 71 4:17 178:6

Sims Exhibit 72 4:18 179:11

Sims Exhibit 73 4:20 183:8

Sims Exhibit 74 4:21 184:10

Sims Exhibit 74a 4:23 185:5

Sims Exhibit 75 4:24 186:10

Sims Exhibit 76 5:3 188:23

Sims Exhibit 77 5:4

Sims Exhibit 78 5:6 190:7

Sims Exhibit 79 5:7 191:5

Sims Exhibit 79a 5:9

Sims Exhibit 80 5:10 191:10

Sims Exhibit 81 5:12 192:13

Sims Exhibit 82 5:13

Sims Exhibit 83 5:15 193:14

Sims Exhibit 84 5:16 194:12

Sims Exhibit 85 5:18 195:3

Sims Exhibit 85a 5:19

Sims Exhibit 86 5:21 196:25

Sims Exhibit 87 5:22 197:3

Sims Exhibit 88 5:24 197:24

Sims Exhibit 89 6:3

Sims Exhibit 90 6:4

Sims Exhibit 91 6:6 199:19

Sims Exhibit 92 6:7

Sims Exhibit 92a 6:9 200:17

Sims Exhibit 93 6:10 201:12

Sims Exhibit 94 6:12

1

1 62:19,21 71:13 79:21 93:8

1.5 61:21

10 78:12,13,19 87:14 198:16,18

219:23 220:13

10:00 78:18

10:14 7:2

10:38 197:3

10th 77:7 108:15,24 112:12 172:25

173:2 192:10,11

11 77:11 79:24 210:4

110th 7:22

11:26 43:22 189:17

11:30 147:25 189:11,20

11:37 43:22

11:38 186:11

11:45 191:10

12 71:13 191:25

12:00 203:11

12:30 205:23 206:17

12th 15:20,21,23,25 39:24 40:2,10, 21,23,25 41:6,9,10 42:3 43:24 44:15,19 45:8 46:9,10 48:6 49:3

51:5 87:5 105:22 117:20 145:21,22 171:20 173:12,20 176:2,4 201:5

13th 51:12,13,18 52:6,7,24 53:11,16, 22 54:2,7,24,25 55:2,18,19 59:17 65:24 66:21,22 67:10,21,23 145:19

14 7:2

14th 51:11,14 52:4,5 65:21,24 66:1 67:7 71:8,10,12,14,18,19 73:2 74:3,

6,17 76:17,19,21,23 77:1,6,15,17, 19,25 78:6 79:3,4,10,12,14,18,19 156:7,10 160:24 161:3 171:15,17

173:25 174:7,8 175:23

15 130:8

15th 26:20,23 27:6,10,24 28:18

46:18 51:10,11,14 59:24 60:1,2,7

67:8,9 74:17 76:17 77:7 79:6,11,17 84:1 87:14,20 88:1,5,17 89:15 92:12

94:23,24 96:18 98:14 99:12,16,18, 22 105:13 113:20 114:11 118:21.22 122:6 141:16 143:9 156:2,4 163:19 171:13 186:12 189:16 191:10,17,20 197:3 201:6

15th's 171:14

16th 82:6,10,12,16,24 83:19,21 84:16,18,21,23 85:17,19,21 86:3 118:8,10,24 119:2,8,9,12,17 121:22

118.6,10,24 119.2,6,9,12,17 121.22 122:25 124:21,22 126:12 131:9 133:1,7,8,23 134:15,19 136:19,21, 25 137:2,3 140:13 141:13,15 143:9 160:18 165:24 182:21 183:7 199:2

17th 77:7 86:19 108:16,25 172:25 173:2 223:7

18th 166:14 223:3.7

1:00 79:20 131:17

1:05 93:14

1:41 93:14

1st 112:25

2

2 105:23 117:15 122:9 125:20 202:18 203:8 207:1

2020 171:4 172:15 221:2,3

2022 7:2 155:11

21st 69:19

22nd 106:8

23 172:17

24th 77:7,10 108:16,25 172:25

253 441-5113 7:24

25th 173:8

26th 77:7,10 86:21 108:15,24 122:11

172:25

27th 173:16,17 174:7

28 61:14,17 69:20

28th 29:10 61:12 62:2 63:4,13 77:8, 10 87:11 105:16 108:24 110:14,16, 18 122:10,12 123:19 124:1,2,10

172:25

2:50 130:17

2nd 112:23

	3		

30 27:24 131:10

30th 155:11 168:22

32 13:5

33 13:5

34 13:5

35 13:5

35th 106:7 173:5

36 13:6

37 13:6

38th 29:7

3:02 130:17

3rd 80:15 81:18 82:20 83:9,11,12 86:23 106:6 112:11

4

4 209:7

40th 77:8

42 61:16

42nd 29:1,5 62:4,5,7 77:8 108:16,23 173:1

44 61:14,17

44th 29:6 56:24 57:4,21 58:3 59:21 61:13,22 77:8 87:11 105:17 108:24 110:14,16,17 170:20,23,25 172:10, 23 173:22 193:15 194:1,10

47 61:15.17 83:25

47th 61:13,24 77:8 81:9,11,13,20 82:23 83:10,11,14,18 84:2,3,8,10, 16,17,25 85:4 108:16,24 173:10

49 19:2,9 77:3

4:17 170:10

4:25 170:10

4:37 207:5

4th 80:19 112:13

5

5 83:24

55 57:6,10,22 58:18 59:7,13,22 171:3

5:30 203:2 212:5 213:20 214:2

5:36 203:2

5th 77:10 80:19 81:9,10,13,20 82:22 83:10,11,13,18 84:2,7,9,15,17,24 85:4 107:13 109:3 112:13 173:10 201:14

6

6 163:13 202:21 212:5 213:19,20,25

6-3-1 188:13

60 125:13

60,000 117:19,21

631 7:22

66 125:17 126:3,4 146:5 223:20

67 146:5

68 156:1

69 160:15

6:13 223:19

6:22 165:25

6th 80:15 82:6 112:9 173:8

7

7 119:23 133:2 137:25 138:6,8 202:18,21 203:8 206:17,25 219:16

7-ish 138:9

7.7 19:1

70 162:15 168:9 223:20

70a 169:1 170:13,16,17 178:5 223:21

71 178:5,6 223:21

72 179:11

73 183:8

74 184:10 223:21

74a 185:5 223:21

75 186:10 223:21

76 188:23

78 190:7

79 191:5 223:22

79a 223:22

7:30 219:16

7th 80:19 112:16 199:16

8

8 62:1 63:3 110:18 216:20 220:1

80 191:10 223:22

81 192:13

83 193:14

84 194:12

85 195:3 223:23

85a 223:23

86 196:25 223:23

87 197:3

88 197:24

8:30 78:7 87:17

8:45 60:7 104:1 105:3 108:9,10,21 109:5,12 110:7,10

8th 112:8 115:13

9

9 78:10,11,13 87:14,18 169:13

91 199:19

92 223:23

92a 200:17 223:24

93 201:12 223:24

94 223:24

98444 7:22

9:00 60:7 131:18

9th 36:19 80:19 112:16 188:14 192:8,9 199:16

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 230Index: a.m...approved

Α

a.m. 7:2 43:22 71:13 119:23 133:2 191:10 197:3 212:5 219:16,23

ability 15:16 35:5 221:5

absence 188:24

accept 83:23,24 86:22,23 87:2 123:14 195:15

acceptable 59:8

acceptance 82:11

accepted 82:4,5 83:12,19,20 84:3

accepting 107:17

access 88:7

accomplish 46:13 155:7

account 58:19

accurate 138:9 144:18 190:4

209:22,24

achieve 62:25 63:3 69:24 116:8

act 55:16 126:13

action 23:19,23 24:9,13,16,21 25:10 26:1,6,7,14 30:8 130:5 147:21 148:11,16,25 149:16,17 176:16,21

186:15 187:23,24

actions 23:14,16

activities 140:13

actual 28:3,6,8 82:7,15 86:1

Adam 36:8,10,14 37:5 199:11

added 115:6 addition 17:1

additional 88:6,9

address 7:21 52:1

adjoining 94:2,3,9

adjusting 63:18

Adobe 12:24

adopt 111:22

adopting 107:18

adoption 111:19,20

advance 41:9 56:23 82:15,17 99:15

advantages 218:24

advised 188:20

advising 98:11

affected 151:24

affirmation 198:21

afternoon 41:1 52:9 79:20 203:7

AG 128:12

agenda 99:8,10,11,15

agree 13:18,24,25 14:5,16,19,25 15:2,17 31:2,18 63:6 67:8 82:17 113:16 114:10,13,18 115:17,20,21 117:1,13 123:22 156:24 157:6 158:1,5,6,9,11 170:3 179:1,4 180:6 196:17,22 198:18,20 216:9 218:22

agreed 38:2 60:1 61:21 62:6,9 75:13 82:15 83:12,13,22 86:17,18,20 87:1, 3,5 101:13 103:15 104:25 106:13 108:11 109:24 116:18 117:6 118:5 121:18 170:20,24 172:23 180:16,22 182:5 184:20 207:3 220:19

agreed-on 60:5

agreed-to 56:14 59:24 60:2 99:2 102:24 105:12 116:13,22 121:9

agreed-upon 116:16 119:17 121:23

agreeing 106:23 180:9 207:6 220:15

agreement 31:5,22 57:21 58:18 61:4,7,12,17,18,20 63:20 64:7 70:1 76:25 81:22,24,25 84:20 87:10 92:7 99:25 100:5,7,20,21,23 101:8,17,18, 25 102:9,17,22,23,24 103:1,25 104:2,3,15,18 105:21 106:25 107:13,22,25 108:9,11,21 109:6,9, 12 110:3,7,10,19 117:14,22 123:2, 13 124:6,17,20 125:10 150:3,5,10, 14,25 151:5 170:19 171:6,16,19,22, 24 172:4,10,13,14 173:14,15 174:2, 4,9,17,18,19 175:10,24 176:4,7 179:21 182:14 188:21 205:6,7 219:22 220:2,3,7,12 221:8,24

agreements 109:11 110:7,10

agrees 218:21

ahead 58:9,10 67:22 96:8 182:24 192:1 215:15

Ali 38:25 139:23 140:12,20 141:3 142:11 143:21 144:9 145:4 203:9

218:19

Ali's 140:24

align 166:23

alignment 35:18 122:21

all-nighter 87:25

allocation 88:3

allowed 23:3,5,13,21 128:20

allowing 52:1

ambiguity 136:11 169:19

amount 124:8 analysis 70:5 analyst 33:3

and/or 39:24 Andy 200:10

answering 11:18 63:9

anticipate 99:17

Anton 37:17 49:13 205:18 221:20

Anton's 37:18 anyone's 157:14 apologize 79:8

apology 65:6

appearance 222:24

appeared 7:3

appears 130:9 162:19 174:1 198:7

applicable 11:15 22:11

appointed 33:18 34:13 42:14 43:10 56:25 126:16 155:3,7 170:23

appointee 153:9,10

appointees 30:16,17,18,19,21 31:3

appointment 57:14,23,24

appreciated 93:9

apprised 53:15 172:5

approach 32:10

approval 16:4 185:14,22 207:8,10, 14 208:2 221:19

approve 16:2 17:11,13,16,17 18:20 167:25 181:19

approved 182:6 185:19 220:16,20, 21 221:14,17 223:10

205:20 207:2 210:16 214:21 217:2

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 231Index: approves..buildings

approves 185:7

approving 18:16 181:25 185:24

approximate 197:9

approximately 27:24 79:24 206:17

April 7:4,12,20 11:17 12:23 38:14 103:5 104:13 106:1 113:25 130:11 136:15 155:10 168:22

areas 123:15

arrangements 50:13,16

arrive 137:9 138:1 **Arthur** 202:10 204:23

article 28:9 199:15

articulate 85:18,20,25 129:20

articulated 84:20 108:20 117:8 153:5 165:18 180:3

articulating 106:23

articulation 153:12,13

ascertain 110:3 163:23 182:18

aspects 144:24 asserted 144:24

assess 206:1

assigned 37:15

assignment 30:23

assistant 33:5 128:4

assume 12:19

assumed 150:7 167:1

assuming 8:6 180:13

assumption 132:4,19 167:6

assumptions 184:19

attempting 204:18

attention 203:8

attorney 126:14,16,19 127:2 128:5

157:4 215:19

attribute 122:4

August 69:13

Augustine 30:24 31:8 52:16,21 54:12,14,18 55:16,23 56:16,19 59:14 68:2 71:23 72:21,24 88:5 137:19 148:20 167:10 186:12,20 187:12 198:22 200:18 208:12,14

authority 24:23,25 25:2,4,5

awake 131:11

aware 21:18 44:6,7 46:2 47:21 68:12 120:23 121:1,15 151:21,22 157:7 186:23 187:17 205:7,23 207:23 208:3

В

back 8:4 32:11 34:7 36:24 37:25 51:6 67:10 73:23 79:18 98:3,9 100:1,7,23 101:21 103:8 107:4,19 125:15 130:13 131:17 142:4,7 148:25 150:1 161:7 186:6 191:7,12, 15 192:5 193:4,15 202:17 206:3 211:4

backed 170:19 171:7,16,20 174:8, 16 175:10,24 176:4,6

backfilling 37:24

background 25:25 40:19

backout 173:11

bad 64:25 65:7 213:8

banquet 88:7,19 97:6,9 137:7,10 138:10 139:4,13 199:2,4 203:16,18 204:1,17,24 205:4,11,12,15,16,18, 20,24 206:4,9,13,20

base 128:8,10

based 103:22 113:9 150:19 167:5 172:21 176:17

basis 14:7 210:9 216:2

bathroom 90:12

beat 58:6

bed 90:19

beds 90:10

began 78:22

begin 52:4 78:15,16,17 119:25 202:15

beginning 39:24 68:22 135:22 173:13

believed 56:17,23 121:18 217:3

bifurcate 30:8

big 36:4 206:14,20 207:17

big-picture 40:15

bigger 90:16

biggest 152:23

Billig 200:11

bipartisan 31:13

bit 91:7 131:15 138:4 151:1 208:17

black 155:3

blindly 52:2

blue 156:17 163:7 190:13

blur 91:7

blurry 98:2

BOTELHO 7:3

boundaries 16:16,22 19:8,11,16,21 59:7 83:17 84:7,9,15,17,24 85:16,23 86:2,11 115:12,18 116:17 117:2 119:1,7 121:4 123:8 124:21,23,24 155:10

box 105:7

Brady 47:17,22 72:22 88:13,15 135:6 153:8 156:2,4,17,21 159:18 160:16 165:15 166:19 171:7,21,25 174:7,16,17,18,24 175:1,8,14 176:3 178:7,25 179:12,15 183:23 184:7, 12,21,24,25 185:6 187:13 188:4 189:12,15 190:19 191:3,12 192:6 193:4,16,21 194:7,9,13,18 195:4,9 197:5

Brady's 152:9 156:17 185:14,21

break 43:17,19 93:8,11 130:8,12 169:5,6,11,22 170:7 177:20,22 202:15,22

breakdown 30:22

breaking 169:6 176:13,18

breaks 169:9

bring 16:4 87:5 117:20 148:9 160:13 166:4

bringing 43:4 68:1 105:22

broken 178:17

brought 145:23 166:6 205:20

206:12

bubbles 162:23 178:11

building 162:3 buildings 51:3

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 232Index: bullet..commissioner

bullet 206:25 209:7,17,20,21 213:19,25 216:20 220:1,8

business 11:8 89:9,18,20

busy 190:25

C

calendar 50:22 51:25

call 88:10,25 90:15 96:22 125:9 182:13,20 184:17 187:8 190:19 201:7 204:24 213:16,17

called 98:19 147:14,16 148:7 163:25

calling 129:22 200:2 204:25

calls 89:6 127:8,19 146:22 157:1 195:16 197:14 198:1

Camas 86:19 105:14

Campos 39:1 205:20

cancel 198:18

canceled 199:6

canceling 198:22

cancellation 198:12,24 199:1,7

candidates 155:13

candidly 160:7

capabilities 8:6

capacity 10:19

capture 65:7

captured 109:1

capturing 106:3

care 160:7

Cascades 105:23 115:14

case 138:11

cases 118:3

cast 60:18

casual 74:15,18 76:20

category 24:15

cauc- 118:15

caucus 38:4 39:7,11,15,20 52:14

149:25 188:5

caucuses 39:25 88:4,12

cd 190:18 195:2 197:10

cell 178:18,22

Certified 7:3,13

chain 179:6

chair 31:8 52:16,21 54:12,14,18 55:16,23 56:19 72:21 147:14,15 167:10 186:20 199:21 200:2 208:12,

14

chairs 90:12

chambers 153:7

chance 204:20 205:5

change 62:14,15,17,19 81:21

chaos 114:8 115:22 116:2 218:23

character 140:8

characterization 170:1

characterize 39:14 42:23 43:2 50:15 114:17 199:3 202:5 204:6,16

check 187:16

checked 180:10 182:14 188:6,14

checklist 121:12

chief 33:6 38:5,9,10

choices 119:8

choose 72:13 103:14

chose 72:12 96:11

chronology 13:3

cities 63:17 121:10

claim 143:23

clarification 28:16 199:8

clarify 51:7 52:19 75:21 78:14 93:22

207:15

clarifying 76:6 125:10

clarity 45:25 103:10 107:9

clear 18:23 22:14 25:22 42:9 48:22 76:10 119:23 144:1 147:19 149:6 170:19 171:6,21,24 172:10,13,14 175:10,24 176:4,7 204:8 214:1

218:11

clicking 52:1

close 62:13,16 76:25 77:2 96:10 147:8 168:19 189:6 214:17

closes 204:12

cloud 8:4

collaborated 70:22

collectively 13:21 133:22,25

136:18,20

college 42:17,18 106:8

Colville 112:15

comb 60:23

combination 222:18

comment 70:9

commission 11:7,25 12:4 16:4 17:6 23:3,5,15,17,20 24:22 30:8,13 36:18 46:1 49:5,14,15,19 65:20 66:9 69:18 89:17,20 100:9 110:25 127:23,24 135:14,15 136:8 144:8 153:2,9,10,24 154:1 155:1,2,4,5,20 160:13 163:21 166:13 181:22 185:19 189:23 204:11 207:4,7 211:3 216:23 217:7,17 218:17 219:12

commission's 8:20 15:19

commission- 159:11

commissioner 8:17 9:7,10,14 10:10,22,25 11:15 14:20,21 15:5,6, 24 16:8,10 20:17,20 21:2,4,6 22:1,3, 5,11,17,21,23 24:5 26:5,6 27:14,17 30:1,19,20 31:6,9 32:8,13,14 34:5 37:9,11,13,17 38:1,22,25 39:1,12,13 40:8,9,25 44:1,4,5 47:18,19,20,24 48:1 49:4 50:16 51:8 52:13 54:11, 19,23 56:1,17 58:22 59:10 60:4,8, 16,19 61:4 64:20 65:1,16,17 67:12, 14,24,25 68:1,5 69:9,11,16,20 70:19 71:5 72:22,24 73:18 74:16 76:21 77:20,21 78:25 79:16 81:5 82:19 85:7,9 88:5,13 91:13,19 92:2,11,14 93:18 95:3,9,12,20 97:15,22,23 98:7,10 100:6,21 104:2,19 110:4 111:4,5 115:5,6 118:21 120:2 121:3 124:12 128:6 130:19 134:13,20,21, 22,24 137:19 139:24 143:1,23 144:2,3,4,12,20,21,22 145:1 150:4, 13 152:10,11,13,19,23 153:8 156:11 157:11,12,19,21,22,23 159:11,13, 19,20,21,22,24,25 160:1,8,9,10,24 161:24 162:7,19 163:25 164:10,12, 14,17 165:1,4,5,16 166:20,21 167:4, 13,17 172:6 175:9 176:10,12,25 177:1,2,25 179:7,16 182:16,17 183:20 186:12,22 187:12,16,18 188:6,8,21 189:18 190:24 191:1,4

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 233Index: commissioner's..consolidated

192:7,8,19 194:25 195:18,20 197:21 198:9 206:8 207:20 212:6 213:15, 16,21 214:5,8,10,14,15,17,18,19,20, 24,25 215:7,8,11,18,20 219:3 220:5 222:7,8

commissioner's 25:8 153:11,13

commissioners 13:19,20 14:1 15:12 17:15 19:21 20:7,15 21:11,20 24:12 25:6 31:12 32:12 37:6 48:2,4, 9 85:22 94:20 95:2,4,14,17,21,23,25 104:5 133:12,14 134:1,10,18 136:9, 25 137:9 139:14 144:2 148:21 152:5,6 157:8 158:2,13 161:22 162:2 163:10 164:22 165:23 166:16 167:11,24 168:7 180:22 181:17 186:20 203:12 204:1 205:3,15,23 206:19 207:3 208:8,21,24 209:9 210:12,20,25 211:10,17,22,25 212:10,14,17 213:21 216:21 217:5, 21 218:3,5,9,18,19 219:10 220:19 222:1,19,23 223:9

commissioners' 164:23 178:18

commissions 30:4 50:8 187:6

commitment 210:2

common 204:11 212:21,23

communicate 31:5 32:11 47:5,11, 14 71:4 73:11 101:24 110:13 144:7, 25 145:16 167:9 168:20 183:24 186:19

communicated 14:22 31:8 45:14 47:23 64:17 67:24 69:3,6 71:1 72:17,21 73:8,23 74:2,5,11 76:11 104:20 110:8,11 144:1,3 164:19 166:24 167:13 168:10 174:16 175:17 176:15 180:14 181:21 184:13 185:1 188:12,20 193:3,20 211:2,4

communicating 14:20 15:4,12 21:2 22:21 25:7 26:11,14,15 29:14 39:13 73:12 74:8,9,12 77:22 88:4 92:2,21 134:3 143:22 144:19 146:10 159:18 160:16 168:12 174:25 175:4, 5 177:10 179:18 180:21 185:6 189:18 190:7,24 192:12 198:6,8 199:21 200:7

communication 10:2 20:2 27:13, 14 39:21 40:9 44:5,22 47:25 52:10 53:13 54:8 97:15 98:4,10 107:16 116:3 126:10 127:7,18 146:9 156:1, 7,16,17,25 157:7,11,13 158:12,18 159:1,3 160:21 162:16,23 166:20

171:5 172:7 174:24 175:4,6,8 177:7 179:23 184:7 186:11 188:3 192:18 195:9 197:8 200:18 201:18,20

communications 8:10 9:10,13,20 10:4,8 11:20 12:18 14:17 20:1,6,7, 18,20 21:1,3,5 22:2 37:5,12 39:14, 15,16 40:6 53:10,14 54:4 74:19 89:7 90:23 97:23 127:4 134:14,18 144:4 158:12 160:17 178:21 185:11 192:5

communities 19:7 35:3,20,23 36:3 121:10

community 37:1 155:8

compact 19:2,3 112:23

compared 93:20

competitive 58:4,6,12 62:10 64:12 109:3

complete 16:21 17:8 35:4 57:18 59:4 220:1

completed 119:21

completing 154:2

compliance 72:14 162:13

compliant 126:20

complicated 118:6

comply 126:22

complying 97:18

component 17:10

components 18:8 106:10

compound 217:12

comprise 114:20

comprised 16:25 17:24 109:12

computer 213:1

concede 170:5

concern 37:1 50:9 162:8 214:18

concerned 61:18 153:19,21 220:18

concerns 72:17,24 162:2

concerted 97:14

concluded 111:13 122:22,24 187:25 188:7 203:11,16,25 223:18

concluding 204:6

conclusion 127:9,20 129:22 157:2

condescending 169:24

conditions 109:5.6

conduct 9:7

conducting 33:23

confer 187:13 188:4 194:7

conference 166:14,16 167:10,15, 17,23 168:4,5 182:19 197:13 198:10,12,14,15,18,22,25 199:1,5 219:23 222:13 223:3

confidant 11:11

configuration 43:25 94:6,10,11

confirmed 121:8 165:3

conflict 151:19.22

conformance 42:2

confused 218:12

confusing 201:20

confusion 102:21 103:9 114:8

congressional 15:14 16:25 17:12, 14,20,23 18:4,14,24 19:4,11,12,16, 20 20:1,3,11 26:19,23 27:4,9,15 28:20 35:7 36:16,19 38:20 44:10 45:3,5,8 46:8,24 47:2,6,15 53:21 54:1,15 67:3,16 69:12,20 77:14,19, 23 79:1,3,17 80:2 91:19 93:5 110:20,23 111:2,13,17,25 112:5,21, 23,24 114:21 115:1,9,18 118:11 138:18,19,21 139:12 148:4,6 149:19 187:14,19,20,22 188:2,13 189:13 190:8,21 191:2,21 197:4 199:17 207:3,6,9,11,19,22 208:6,9 216:24 217:8,25 218:14,15

conjunction 209:2

connect 97:1

conscious 103:20

consensus 31:12,17 43:5 179:13, 20,22,25 180:15,21 181:25 182:4

consequence 21:16

consequences 21:15 151:9,13 154:3,25 155:6,9

consideration 149:3

considerations 155:23

consolidate 86:19

consolidated 105:14

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 234Index: consolidating..decided

consolidating 29:1,2,6,9,10 63:17 118:23 121:10

consultation 35:24 105:20

contact 156:2

contacting 215:19

contained 16:14 128:4 contemplated 154:20

content 21:10,18 36:8,11 37:4,12 47:23 81:15 90:23 114:19 115:8 144:20

contention 80:6

contents 110:3

context 11:6 17:19 130:2 131:16

175:20

contiguous 19:3,4

continue 56:2,21 59:19 66:16 106:17 112:14,17 115:13 127:25 169:16 187:2,3

continued 56:13 128:1 221:22

continuing 145:15,16 177:24 182:8

continuity 37:25 contracts 43:6

contradict 216:14

convene 41:8 177:9,15

convened 71:14

convenient 19:2,4

convening 41:10

conversation 23:22 24:15 30:6 31:21 32:17 47:22 50:5 55:25 72:19, 20 74:16,18 76:21 78:25 79:2,16 90:25 91:23 131:20 132:1,3,11,21, 23 133:9,12,16 134:23 144:20 147:15,20 148:1,15,19 163:4,15 195:5,9,20 208:10,20 210:19 214:5 215:4,13,16,18

conversations 19:12,15 23:13,14 24:20 29:17 32:4,6,8 47:17,18,19 48:2,3 63:25 64:1 73:20 76:14 86:20 91:13,17 143:2,5,6 144:10 182:16, 17 183:1 202:5 208:7 211:9 215:22, 25 221:25 222:5,10

conversed 135:2 conveying 195:4

convo 195:12

convolutedness 169:20

coordinated 181:17

coordination 217:20

corner 96:24

corners 105:3,6

correct 34:17,20 37:21 40:11 43:14 44:16 48:4,5,7,8,16 49:18 52:8 53:17 60:16 62:22 63:1,2,4,5,14 66:23 71:16 73:25 74:2,4,5,7 76:12, 13 79:13,25 80:1 84:8,25 85:15,17, 23,24 86:11 87:14,15,20,21 94:4,13, 15,21,25 96:19 97:7,8,10 101:8,11 104:1,2,24 105:2 109:23,25 110:1 113:11,12,13 116:14,15,19 117:4,6, 9 118:14 138:5,8,25 139:11,16,18 140:6 145:18 146:2,3 148:12,14,17, 18,22 151:7 156:13 158:3 159:3,4,6, 14,16 162:14 164:23 165:7,13,20, 22,25 166:2 167:25 172:11,12 173:23 174:12,13,19 175:1,11 178:3 180:23 181:19 182:1,8 190:14,16,17 191:11,13,19 193:10,12 195:10 198:17 200:25 204:2 211:10 213:20 214:23 217:1,3,9,10,14 218:7 219:11 220:8

correctly 86:13 102:15 172:9 185:18 201:4

couch 90:10,19

coughing 130:10

Council 43:8

counsel 12:20 169:2 182:21 183:1,6

count 77:12 109:11

counter 194:5

countered 194:4

countering 194:7

counteroffer 193:14

counteroffers 194:9

counterparts 67:1

counterproposal 194:6

counting 173:3

county 81:7,9,12,13,19 82:5,22 83:10,24 84:5 85:3 106:5,7 112:10 123:13,14,18,19

couple 130:10

court 7:3,13 11:19 142:3,6 150:23 153:15 154:7,21 155:18,19 168:23 222:3,18

court's 155:11

court-drawn 130:20 131:25 132:7, 10,24 133:5 153:20,22,23

courts 133:11

Courtyard 72:4 88:14

Cowlitz 112:14,15

create 84:7 85:16 119:16 121:21

created 9:11 70:11,12 84:9

credibility 143:15

criteria 155:17,20

cross 115:13

current 62:13

cut 127:14

CVAP 68:18 105:13 122:6

D

dark 205:10

date 40:21 161:2

Dave's 18:6 119:21 221:3

Davis 33:1,2,9 37:19,23 38:3 39:2,3, 5 52:19 55:11 71:21,25 205:12 221:20

day 41:4,6 44:19 49:8 67:1 71:9 76:17 79:5 84:1 88:8 91:7 96:17 98:2 107:10 131:19 161:17 169:16, 18 170:3,6 171:12 173:12 186:24 196:11

deadline 34:24 147:9,17 155:11,16 168:23 187:7 191:25

deal 195:15,21

debacle 208:17

decade 124:11

deceived 59:11

decide 30:5 48:12,14,18 49:8 59:12 216:21 218:5

decided 48:24 87:12 116:10,12 119:20 124:5 167:14 217:5 218:13,



Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 235Index: decision..districts

18,19

decision 23:20 30:22 41:5,8 48:17, 19 49:1,3,23 86:10,15 103:20 117:16 118:2 121:6 124:24 217:21, 24 218:2,10,16,19,20

decisions 59:16 84:23 85:1,22,25 86:2,5 87:12 117:17 119:6,9 120:19, 21,22 121:9 122:18 123:9 124:20,22 125:8

define 77:2 97:5 105:7 115:18

defined 18:9 96:25 **delayed** 169:14 170:2

delays 204:25 delegate 45:19 delegated 45:23

delegation 188:13

delete 9:16,19 10:4,12 11:20

deleted 8:15 9:13,23,25 10:2 13:13

deleting 8:13

deliberate 23:4,6 26:18

deliberating 14:2 26:3,11,16

deliberation 26:13 127:25 128:2

deliberations 21:10 25:23

Democrat 33:15,17 57:10,22 58:5 68:20 88:20,22 118:15 154:15

Democratic 33:12,18 35:1,16 38:4 57:5 61:19,22,24 62:1,7,13 63:18 81:2 105:15,18 112:2,6 118:17 123:23 124:7,15 152:21 170:21 172:17,18

Democrats 81:1 88:21 93:25 95:7, 8.15 171:3

Democrats' 88:12 96:4 demographics 57:24

demonstrate 70:7

Dems 89:14 94:11

dep 169:15

depend 22:7 77:2 **depends** 118:2

deposed 7:14 60:23 141:7

deposition 60:8,11,12,22 140:24

141:4,5,6,7,10 144:15 145:5 170:4,6 196:10.14 223:18

deps 28:13

deputy 33:5,6

describe 24:16 29:16 51:20 145:12 159:17 173:13 212:23

description 29:13 65:1

descriptions 16:15,22

designations 39:11

desire 58:17 103:22 133:11 208:12, 22 211:2,6,8,16

desires 209:1,2

desk 90:11,19

details 101:14 115:23 116:1 198:24

determine 181:22

device 8:11,16

devices 12:24

difference 26:12 96:11 141:2 142:9

152:15 153:11

differences 66:19 84:19 86:7,9 120:10,15,17 123:4,6 152:4,12,23

153:4,14

differential 137:13

differently 61:2

difficult 25:15 58:6 64:18

difficulties 38:12 65:9 72:7

digital 9:9 18:10 120:12

digitally 23:9

direct 166:20

directing 90:24

direction 33:22 34:16 62:14

directly 38:5 143:1,8 176:12

dis- 77:16

disadvantages 218:25

disagree 145:6 disagreed 61:1

disagreeing 14:7

disclose 103:14,17

disclosure 13:12,16

discovery 12:17

discuss 24:10,19 28:19,21 79:16 99:2 100:1,8,18,19 101:12,18 160:25 161:5 193:10 197:4 201:14 207:17

discussed 26:21 27:3 80:21,23 81:5 135:12,19 211:20 216:16 222:25

discussing 23:18 25:7 45:3,9 89:22 100:24 101:10,22 192:21 209:9

discussion 21:18 100:14,15 113:9, 19 115:7,11 127:3 210:24 214:9,11 215:10 219:5,6,9 220:16

discussions 23:8 25:2,4 26:13 32:1 80:8 207:18 215:6

dismiss 75:22

dismissed 75:16

dispute 144:19 209:13 210:21

disrespect 64:19 65:8,11,14,15

disrespectful 221:22

distance 96:6

distinct 12:25 135:16 153:15

distinction 151:25 152:25

distinctions 153:7

district 16:16,25 17:1,14,21,24 18:4,14,25 19:16,20 20:1,3,18 26:19,23 27:5,9 28:20 29:25 35:7,10 36:19,20 46:8,23,24 47:2,6,16 56:18,22,24 57:4,5 58:3,4,7,12,13, 15 60:6 61:5,23,24 62:2,8 63:1,13 68:19 70:6,23 76:16 77:19 80:2 81:4,19 82:20 83:17 84:15,17,24 85:16,23 86:11,21,24 87:5 105:13, 22 109:3,4 110:20,23 111:2,13,17, 25 112:8,12,16,17,18,21,24,25 115:2,9,13,18 116:17 117:1,7,21 118:4 119:1,7 122:6,7,11,12,13 123:15,20,21,25 124:1,10,16 138:18 149:19 152:16 155:10,14 161:1,5,6 170:21,25 171:1 173:9 187:14 188:15 190:8,16,21 196:1 197:4,6

districts 15:14 16:21 19:3,4,9,11,13 35:22 45:10 60:6 61:13,19 62:10,17, 23 64:12 67:15,16,17,19 77:3,11,14, 18 79:17,24 80:2,7,9,10,11,16,17,18 81:1,2,8,13,20 82:11 86:25 105:16, 17,22 107:20,23 108:1,7,11,14,17, 18,19 112:13 114:21 115:12 117:18,



Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 236Index: diverged..exhibit

23,24 123:17 124:18 151:6 172:5, 17,18,19,20,21,24 173:4 174:3 191:21 199:17

diverged 143:11

diverse 155:1

divert 183:17

divide 19:6 112:14

divided 90:11

dividing 19:1

document 15:11 41:22 126:5 146:6

170:13 199:19

documents 12:24 125:22

Dominique 32:21 33:1,4,5,13

37:19,23 38:7 52:20

double 153:25

double-check 220:24 221:6,7

double-checked 221:22

doubt 60:18

downstairs 88:7

dozen 213:3

draft 27:11,12 32:15 36:6,16 41:22 55:12 69:8,19,21 70:20 71:2 87:9 133:18 134:7 152:13 176:11 177:18

drafted 163:20 186:2

drafting 120:7

drafts 27:11

draw 45:10 57:5,9 58:18 63:14 80:9, 12,20,25 81:1,4,6,7,17,19 82:19,21 83:8 84:15 116:23 117:12,23 118:4 123:13 150:24 168:23 192:8,10 203:8 221:4

drawing 59:7 77:4 82:7,9,25 86:21 116:25 118:18 154:22 221:1,3

drawn 82:11 84:18 85:3 102:18 118:7,9,11,24 124:16

drew 36:19,23 37:1 82:1,3,18 83:4, 14,15 84:4 124:17

Ds 173:9

due 108:2 116:2

duly 7:12

duties 16:1 18:20 22:16 23:10 24:4

25:19 26:8 45:19,24

dyad 30:16 37:15 38:19,21 49:12 53:11,13,15 54:5,6,9,10

dyads 30:2,5,9,13,15 31:2,13 39:7, 10,24 46:5 88:3

dynamics 151:17

Ε

e-mail 65:19 66:8 76:15 107:16 133:17 185:18 186:9

e-mails 50:22 222:1

earlier 32:22 51:8 145:22 162:7,11 163:20 170:22 193:19 201:4 222:6, 12

earliest 205:22

early 52:9 131:12 133:8

earn 152:19

earshot 139:11,16

easier 58:7

eastern 68:19 70:6 81:6,18 82:4,19 83:8.23 106:5 152:16 161:6

eating 89:5

Edge 18:7 121:11 180:11,12 207:22 220:24 221:1,5,23

education 42:16

effectively 184:13

effort 12:5 97:14 222:24

elected 76:11 159:10

election 171:4 172:15

element 35:6 114:20

elements 26:3 68:10,11 104:7,17 109:6,9 111:21,24 112:1,20 113:2, 18 114:25 115:17 116:18 121:17,22 123:1 128:11 188:10,18

elevator 96:23,24 97:12

else's 178:13

employer 43:5

empowered 125:1 153:3

enable 119:19

encompass 219:2

encompassing 187:21

end 92:24 185:4

ended 31:20 119:24 205:2

ends 96:5

engage 207:18

engaged 110:5 143:2,3 144:10

208:19

engagement 210:5

ensure 46:14

entire 17:5 35:13 44:19 68:13 128:3

137:2 146:12 163:1

entirety 12:13 16:11

enumerated 12:25

equal 19:5

equate 201:1

established 39:20 174:5

estimate 206:19

evening 65:20 102:21 114:6,9 115:22 133:8 140:14,23 160:6 213:5

event 26:1 212:21 223:4,5

events 60:20 141:13 142:10,21,23,

24 183:3 216:11 223:2

Evergreen 106:8

exact 121:5

EXAMINATION 7:17 203:5

exception 80:16 81:7,18,20 82:20, 22 105:16 106:6

exceptions 83:4,7

exchange 160:22 161:2 184:24,25 188:17 189:9

exchanged 61:9 92:22 179:23 192:23

exchanges 193:1

excuse 73:16 78:1 129:7 146:5 148:5 185:3

executive 183:2,5

exercise 46:6,15 47:1

exhausted 114:7

exhibit 125:13 126:3,4 146:5 156:1 160:15 162:15 168:9 169:1,3 170:13 178:6 179:11 183:8 184:10 185:5 186:10 188:23 190:7 191:5,10



Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 237Index: Exhibits..form

192:13 193:14 194:12 195:3 196:25 197:3,24 199:19 200:17 201:12 202:18 203:8 207:1 223:20

Exhibits 125:24

existed 151:19 171:24

expand 112:12

expect 45:16 99:17

experience 42:13 43:4 142:23

216:11

experienced 140:12

experiences 143:11

expertise 11:15

explain 11:23 24:4 55:23 105:3

107:3 143:15

explained 143:13,14 170:22

explanation 13:7 57:19 128:21

explicit 50:5 130:4

express 26:5 115:22,25 130:4 133:10 134:2 135:24 136:4 207:8

209:1

expressed 10:25 18:13,15 20:6 24:10 28:10 107:14,15,17,19 109:24 113:19 114:19 115:2,19 117:8 135:19,20 140:16 141:3,23 142:12 144:7 145:4 162:8 167:19 185:22 207:14 208:1,22 219:12

expressing 133:19 136:8 159:12 166:13

expression 29:13,15 119:7,16,18 162:12 209:2

expressly 102:22 132:8 145:14

extent 129:22 210:19 216:1

extract 151:8,12

eye 144:15

F

facilitate 200:11

facility 50:25

fact 15:11 113:13 114:11

factor 58:19 **factual** 217:10

failed 153:25 154:1.2

failing 135:21

failure 154:3

Fain 30:20 38:22 39:1,18 44:5,21 47:19 53:4,7 64:20 65:16 66:20 67:14,19 68:5,15 69:1,5 73:17,20,22 74:5,11,12,16,19,25 76:14,21 77:21 78:25 79:2,16 91:9,10,13,17,25 95:3 104:21,24 110:8,24 111:1,5,12,14, 16 115:6 144:4 152:11 156:6,12 157:12,22 159:21,24 160:1,8,10 166:4,7,20,23 167:4 176:12 177:2, 11 183:24 185:1 187:18 188:8 190:7,24 192:8 194:14,25 195:20 197:4,9,22 201:13 207:21 212:6 213:15 222:9

Fain's 64:7,10 65:17 75:4 76:15 111:4 113:2 164:10,12 165:4 167:3 183:15

Fain-walkinshaw 53:11,15,21 54:1.5.9

fair 96:6

false 140:1

familiar 8:24 9:2 151:18

faster 196:15

favor 17:15

Federal 50:21 51:8,19 53:5,8 54:22 71:8.12

feedback 36:25 37:2 70:8 184:18 210:5

feel 34:2 64:24 65:7 221:21

feeling 191:24

fellow 13:18,19 14:1 15:12 19:21 21:2 134:10 181:17

felt 25:19,20 26:8 34:13 132:5 192:3 208:17 209:4

fewer 63:19 123:10

figure 151:8

file 12:23,25 117:9 178:17,20 180:11 207:4,7

filing 155:12,15

final 61:11,17,18 63:20 66:4 84:20 85:19,21 86:8 87:10 103:23 105:12 106:12 110:14,15 121:7,8,21 124:2 135:23 142:10 152:18 187:10

220:19

finalize 207:21

finalized 222:22

finally 59:23,25 104:25 124:21

167:18 219:16

find 49:5,11

fine-tooth 60:22

fingers 77:12

finish 11:18 58:10 85:11 91:18,20 103:22 104:13 187:6 190:20 204:18

210:1

finished 92:23 131:9 207:24 210:4

finishing 209:10 210:9,21 212:19

fits 130:10

Fitzgibbon 201:19,21 202:4

five-minute 169:22

flip 190:10 192:9

floor 96:20 97:7,9 98:18

flow 60:25

focus 210:14

focused 53:23 139:8 160:14 212:13

folder 12:23

folders 178:17,20

follow 194:22

forget 173:2 196:25

forgetting 16:24 28:14 109:1

form 8:22 10:6 11:1,9 12:15 13:8,22 14:4,24 17:2,25 20:9,21 21:7,12,21 22:12,22,25 23:11,25 24:17 25:12 26:9 28:1 30:10 31:9,15,19,20,24 32:2.5 33:24 34:18 40:1 42:25 44:13 45:22 47:9 56:5 58:20 59:15 69:15 70:3,25 72:15 73:6 75:24 83:5 89:10 94:16 98:24 99:13,24 100:13 101:2 103:18 105:9 107:1 108:22 109:7,13 111:7 113:14,22 114:23 116:20 117:10 122:17 126:24 127:8,19 128:13,25 129:5 134:3,5 140:18 142:13 143:20,24 146:22 149:4,23 150:12,17 151:10,15 152:1 154:9,23 156:15 157:1,9,20 158:4,14,20,22 159:7,15 160:19 161:9,23 164:24 165:8,14 166:1,10 168:1 171:18 172:2 174:10,14,20 175:2,12 176:8,



Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 238Index: formal..hold

19 177:13 178:8 180:1,7,17,24 181:7,12,20 182:2,7 183:11 184:1, 15 185:9,15,25 189:1 190:3 192:14 193:5,11,17,24 194:15 195:6,16 196:2,12,14,18 197:14 198:1 199:12 200:21 201:15,24 204:14 205:5,7 209:3,14 211:11 213:8,24 215:2 216:3,17 217:11

formal 109:24 211:1

formalized 41:19

formulated 106:24

forward 105:1 163:2

foundation 130:22 185:16 189:2 192:15 199:13 201:16

frame 9:17 18:18 179:14 191:11 192:24

frames 193:8

framework 85:18,20 86:1 116:13, 16,22 117:2,4,6 170:24 172:4,6 180:3.12

frameworks 149:2

Friday 7:1 40:3,5 44:15 46:9 145:19, 21,22 171:20

front 99:16

frustration 184:17,18

fulfill 35:8

full 62:21

fully 68:12

fuzzy 114:6

Fwiw 195:12

G

gauge 18:12

gauging 34:15

gave 27:2 34:16 79:9 144:22

general 107:21 126:15,16 128:5 189:22 210:3 215:19

general's 126:20 127:3

generalized 116:16

generally 45:2,4

generous 90:15 119:12

geographical 123:8

give 34:22 67:18 88:3 189:19,23 198:21

giving 73:13 189:15 207:8,10

glean 113:2

goal 116:6,8

good 43:16 130:12,15 150:24 168:25 202:24 203:7 204:10 222:14 223:12

Google 52:2

government 21:11 22:10,17 24:5 25:20 72:14 97:19 162:13

Graves 15:24 16:8,10 30:19 31:6 32:8 37:16,20 38:1 39:12,18 40:8,25 44:1,3 45:21 47:20 49:4,11 50:12, 13,16 52:13 53:4 54:23 56:17 58:22, 23 59:10,12 60:4,16,19 61:5 63:20 70:18 73:17,18,22 74:2,8,9 75:3,10, 12 76:12 81:5 82:19 85:9 91:19 100:6,21 104:2 110:4 121:3 122:4 124:12 134:21,24 135:1,3,8,12,17 137:19 143:1 144:2 145:17 146:2 150:5,14 152:11 161:24 162:20 164:17 165:6,10,11,19,21 166:6 167:2,21 168:9 171:25 175:10 176:6,10,15,17 177:1,8,11,20,22,25 182:16 185:3 186:22 188:21,24 191:1 192:19 193:2,3,9,14,18 194:10 200:20 206:8 213:16,21 214:5,15,18,19,24 215:8,18 219:3 220:5 222:8

Graves' 37:17 51:8 56:1 60:8,20 67:25 85:7 118:21 120:2 121:20,25 122:1 123:1 164:14 165:5 166:21

gray 156:18,19,20 159:3

great 186:4

groups 43:4

guess 40:15 64:23 88:9 120:18 137:15,23 141:24 149:11 201:7 202:21 206:21

guys 70:1 72:11 181:16

Н

half 110:17 206:22 213:3

hall 195:5,13

hallway 95:23 96:1,5,21,22 97:2,3,4 192:21 193:2.9 214:19

hallways 96:25 97:5

Hampton 71:15,18 72:5,11 73:17, 21 74:3,6 78:3,6 89:14 93:20 94:12 142:11 203:13 204:2 212:6

hand 138:11

handful 77:4 107:9

happen 148:20,22 193:23,25 204:17,19 221:16

happened 55:23 67:7 114:5 141:21

171:20 200:15 219:19 223:4

happening 54:15 66:20,25 138:20

happy 38:16,17 141:18 142:16

hard 85:11 107:3 158:16

harsh 37:2

hazard 137:22 206:21

hazy 186:25

head 19:23 87:4 109:15 115:16

hear 8:25 9:1 37:7 55:21 56:10 89:8, 15,17,23,25 90:24 92:9 100:3 128:9 131:1,5 139:3,6 186:5

heard 28:4,8 63:11 70:7 102:16 128:14,19 139:10 157:12,23,24 159:22 160:2 172:9 210:16,17 216:21 218:5

hearing 90:4 137:6 139:5

hectic 187:1,11 heels 208:17

held 58:4

helping 201:22,25 202:6

Hey 28:11 higher 171:3 highest 42:16

Highway 105:23 117:15 122:9

Hispanic 68:19 105:13 122:7

historically 35:20

history 155:2,4

Hobbs 56:24 57:23 170:23

hold 43:9

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 239Index: holes..iphone

holes 144:16

holiday 97:5

home 48:25 133:2 168:6 199:6,7

213:7,11

honor 208:16 210:7

honoring 124:17

hop 161:7 189:19

hope 45:18 210:12

 $\textbf{hoped} \ \ 64:9 \ 67:2 \ 99:20 \ 104:8,13,14,$

22 152:18 167:1

hopes 196:14

hoping 102:3 177:17 196:13 202:20

hopping 161:4 189:25

hotel 50:24 51:1,2,21 52:12 87:13 90:10,16 96:6 131:16,18 133:2,4

138:8 195:1

hour 43:16 130:8 169:4,9 186:25

213:3

hours 55:4 87:8,10 88:24 89:1,2

131:10 136:24 192:1

hours' 131:13

House 30:16,18,19 31:3,13 33:19 38:8 151:17,20,25 152:5,22 153:1,9,

12,16

House/senate 153:6

huddle 211:1

HUGHES 223:16

hungry 210:6

hurry 209:25

hurrying 209:10

husband 10:3,14,21,24 11:6

ı

idea 42:6 59:7 138:20 205:14

identification 223:25

identified 47:3 50:20 172:17

identify 50:20

identifying 50:18

Illinois 42:19

image 120:13

imagine 20:2 48:25 69:2,3,6 120:16

123:5,7,8 150:23 152:7,8

impact 136:11,17 214:6

impacted 56:18

impacts 117:16 123:14 163:18

impasse 55:14 56:4,7,12,13 92:7 145:10,11,12,15 146:1 177:20,21

impending 191:25

implicating 23:10

implication 153:22

implications 153:20 154:6,19,21

implicit 207:10

implied 208:1

important 28:15

impression 195:13,19

in-person 49:4,6 162:9

inaccurate 210:11

include 16:15 22:2,19 69:4 92:17 104:4 121:17.22 124:13 128:21

188:15 221:1,3

included 20:19 21:4 27:15 47:23 68:25 104:9,16,23,24 105:12,21

109:9 121:8 155:9 180:3 209:12

includes 16:22

incorporate 63:15,21 64:6,7 76:15 121:22 123:22 124:9 152:9

incorporated 47:8 54:1 62:3 70:8

105:19

incorporating 45:16,20

incorrect 139:17 220:11

increase 124:7

increased 61:21 62:1,7 105:17

110:17,18

increases 62:18

incumbent 58:14

incumbents 58:5 155:14

independent 46:1,3,6

independently 152:14

indicating 188:24

indicative 92:6

individual 46:13 182:15,16 196:11

individuals 139:12

ineptness 120:7

inform 194:9

information 10:9 15:13 27:8 70:5 79:8 156:5 164:22 167:9 192:23

193:3 200:19 202:3

informed 46:6 150:4 176:9

informing 48:1,3 194:13

initially 41:15,18

Inn 142:11 203:14 204:2 212:6

input 33:22,25 34:21 35:9,11,12,19 36:17 104:4,6 125:3,5,6,9 138:19

202:5

inside 105:7

instance 13:5

institutionally 130:3

instruct 12:20

instructed 9:6,9 49:25

insufficient 126:22

intends 177:9

intent 66:14 124:12 162:24

intentionally 59:10 104:16

interacted 212:25

interacting 212:18

interest 19:7 35:3,23 36:3 121:10

208:22 209:25

interested 53:20 163:19

interject 130:7

interpreted 208:11

interrupted 182:25

interruption 108:2

invite 197:4

invited 55:24 56:19 127:24.25

inviting 160:24

involved 119:6 172:10 215:16

iphone 8:3,4

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 240Index: issue..located

issue 59:9 60:12 152:20,22 166:9 170:4 208:21 209:10 215:12

issues 107:10 159:5 item 130:5 220:1

iterations 117:7 119:1

J

Jamie 184:10,11

January 7:2 Jenkins' 34:3

JF 201:19

Jinkins 33:8,21,22 34:1,16,22,23 35:8,9 42:14,20 43:9 126:8,9 131:8 135:10,25 146:9,10 150:2,4,22 199:21,23,25 200:7,10

Joan 43:15 63:8 72:9 93:7 130:6 202:17

job 17:11 19:8 34:14,15

Joe 130:20 131:24 132:2,7,9,16,17, 21,23 133:5 156:6,12,21 157:24 160:7 183:9,24 184:7 190:7 191:12, 14,15,16,18,20,22 192:5 194:14,23 195:5,10,13 197:4,9 201:13,18,21 202:4

Joe's 183:19

Joes 201:20

John 7:3 28:11 125:16

join 88:22 189:22 joint 70:12 182:13

jurisdictional 147:9

Κ

key 106:9

kind 8:2 16:7 34:21 40:19 75:16 90:11,18 107:2

King 81:7,9,12,13,19 82:5,22 83:9, 24 84:5 85:3 106:5 123:13,14,18

knew 18:15 38:18 44:20,22 57:1 63:24 86:6 93:3 106:24 111:11,19 122:1,6,10 124:12 134:23 148:20,21 164:12 165:5,6,11,23 166:19,21 167:24 171:21 174:17,18 176:2,3,6, 15 181:24 185:12 186:2 195:9

207:20 210:5

knowing 15:4 47:3 155:9

knowledge 9:25 22:19,20 27:4,20 49:22 54:14,17 56:23 78:2 83:3 94:3,9 118:9,22 128:3,8,10,11 134:13,17 148:24 153:17 158:12

L

labor 43:3,8

lack 179:21

laid 41:15,17 195:15,21

Lake 29:5 61:22

Lakewood 29:8,10 62:3 63:15 123:22,25 124:2,9,13

large 88:7,9,19 90:11 162:22 204:17 206:9,12

largely 112:11 153:23

larger 71:22 90:10

largest 205:8

late 52:9 131:8 207:15

Laurie 33:8,21,22 34:1,3,16,22 126:8 132:17 135:10,25 146:10 150:2,22

law 129:17

layout 71:17

Id 190:15

lead 26:13 52:2 220:5

lead- 194:23

leader 43:3 91:5

leadership 184:17

leading 19:13 85:10 158:23 196:20

lean 172:18,20 173:7,9

leaned 68:19

learn 53:7 167:9

learned 27:5,9 172:1 174:12 211:20

leave 87:20 124:5,24 137:6,24 188:3 213:6,10

leaving 98:5 177:11 212:6,8

left 35:20 66:14,21,22 67:1,5 71:13 76:23 77:1,5,15,17,24 79:23 124:19

127:23 133:2,4 138:2 143:4,5 180:13 212:7,13 213:12,15

leg 157:16

legal 12:20 16:15,22 127:9,20 129:22 157:2 182:21 183:1,6 213:22 215:1

legis- 177:18

legislative 15:14,25 16:1,9,11,21 17:1,4,5,9,12,13,20,23 18:4,14,24 19:3,9 20:14,18 26:18,22 27:3 28:19 29:25 35:7 46:23 53:24 54:7,20 55:9 60:6 61:5,13 62:2 67:15,16,17,19 68:4,6 69:12,19,22,24 70:16,20,23 76:16 77:3 79:25 81:4 92:23 118:11, 18,20 119:22 122:6 139:8 148:4,6 150:15 151:6 156:13 160:25 176:11 177:2,9,19,25 187:22 188:18,25 189:4,16 190:15,25 196:1 197:6 205:13,19 212:12 220:6

legislature 124:3 181:6,10

length 28:3,8

letting 185:21 195:19

level 42:16

lightly 195:14

limit 39:9 73:25

limited 117:14,23,25 124:15

limits 63:16 117:15,17 123:16

lines 153:16

linked 153:7

Lisa 185:18,22 186:9

list 125:22

listened 126:19

listening 128:5

litigation 12:21

live 200:5

lived 192:9,10

load 221:5

loaded 121:11 180:12 208:6 220:23 221:23

lobby 205:10

locate 124:24

located 73:21,22 85:23 96:20

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 241Index: location..meet

214:14

location 49:5,12 50:20,21 51:5,7,9, 13,15,17,19,20 52:11 72:23 93:21 117:1 124:25

locations 50:18 94:20

logical 169:5

long 20:23 23:14,18 24:13,21 27:1, 25 52:24 54:22 71:12 87:25 119:16 125:15 137:21 196:10

long-term 58:13

longer 59:8 84:4

longtime 58:5

looked 28:3,8 120:12 133:17

loop 166:4,7 172:8

loss 25:1

lost 114:11

lot 27:7 55:13 70:8 72:5 87:11 103:9 114:8 129:17 136:10 169:12 192:1

lots 151:17 loud 103:7

Lummi 29:1,4 62:6

lunch 93:8,11 130:8

М

M.S. 7:3

ma- 168:13

made 12:5 30:22 35:8 41:5,8 48:17, 19 49:3 59:16 64:4 84:23 85:1,25 86:6 98:21 110:24 111:1,14,16 120:19,22 121:6 122:18 124:6 132:19 157:7 160:18 167:1,6 170:24 184:20 193:19 203:13 205:3,11,15 210:3 211:7 216:23 217:7,17,23 218:2,9,15,16,20

main 172:16

maintain 35:2 37:25

maintained 19:6

majori- 138:1

majorities 35:1

majority 35:16 68:18 82:9 91:4 105:13 115:17 122:7

majority- 35:21

majority-minority 105:21 112:17 124:11

make 11:24 18:8 28:11 46:5 49:1,23 50:12 58:11 65:7 77:9 85:22 86:10 93:2 97:14 98:20 101:5 103:20 106:3 108:5 117:13,16 119:6 120:21 122:16 123:9 124:20 126:3 167:7 168:14 180:11 187:25 192:2 196:11 199:24 202:20 211:12 221:11,23 222:19.23

makes 118:6 220:9

makeup 112:5

making 13:15 50:12 84:19 86:1,15 100:10 111:5 119:9 124:22 125:7 132:4 193:14

maneuver 91:21

manner 60:21

map 16:6,7,9,11,21,25 17:1,4,9,21, 24 18:4,5,6,8,15,25 19:14 20:14 28:20 29:25 36:16 38:20 45:3,5 46:8,25 47:2,7,16 54:20 61:8 68:4,6, 21 69:12 70:11,12,16 79:25 80:2 82:7,9 84:12 85:3,7,8,9 86:1,8 91:19 93:5 111:2,13 112:21 115:2,9 116:11,14 117:12,16 118:9,11,16, 18,20,21,23,24,25 120:2,9 121:2,3, 7,8,16,20,21,25 122:1,3,5,8,18,20 123:1,15 124:2 130:20 131:25 132:7,10,24 133:6 139:24 146:15 147:11 150:10 152:24 153:20.22.23 176:11 177:2,9,10,19,25 188:2,12 189:14,16 190:21 207:3,7,9,11,19, 22 212:12,13 216:24 218:14,15,25 221:1,2,22

mapped 57:22 116:18

mapping 55:10 82:16 119:11 122:15 125:1 131:9 138:11 139:12

maps 20:1,3,11,18 26:19,23 27:11, 12,15 29:21 35:7,14,17,19,21,23 36:6 37:1 44:10 45:8 46:23 52:2 53:21,24 54:2,7,15 55:9 61:9 67:3 69:8,19,20,22,24 70:10,16,20,23 71:2,6 77:19,23 79:1,3 80:20 81:4 82:1 84:19,20 85:5,6,10,19,21 87:9 102:4,10,18 103:16,23 110:20,23 111:17,19 112:2,4 116:5,15,23,25 117:7 118:7,13,20,23 119:21,23,25 120:2,3,7,13 121:5 124:23 133:11 135:20 136:4,9 138:18,19,21 139:8

147:1,3,4,6,22 148:4,6,12 150:24 152:13 153:5,10 154:22 156:13 157:17 163:22 164:9 167:8,14,18,25 168:11,23,25 179:20,22,25 180:4,6, 9,16,20,22,25 181:2,9,19,25 182:6 184:19 187:3,4,9,14,19 188:25 189:4,7 190:25 191:2 195:2 197:5,6, 10 204:18 205:13,17,19 208:6,9,11, 15,23 209:5,10 210:1,6,13,14,21,23 211:6,17 212:19 216:22 217:6,8,18, 22,25 218:6 219:10,16,22 220:6,13, 16,19,23 221:14 222:2,17,21,22

maps- 179:14

Marcus 10:18,21 11:14

margins 221:11

marked 125:12 126:4 146:4 155:25 160:15 162:15 169:1 170:12 179:11 183:8 185:5 186:10 188:23 190:6 191:5,9 193:13 194:12 195:3 196:25 197:2,24 199:18 200:17 201:12 223:24

markers 28:12

Marriott 72:4,6,9,10 73:1,4,8,10

Marysville 29:6

Mason 106:7

Matt 39:2,3,5

matter 113:13 157:17 178:16 181:11 182:12 211:21 222:10

matters 46:10 181:13.14

Mcbeal 209:8

Mclean 185:18 186:9

meaning 57:5,7,9 116:2 190:15

meaningful 46:16

means 32:24 127:18 129:20 155:8 177:16 195:12

meant 52:6 132:15 162:4 171:2 186:8

media 180:16,22 182:1,6 185:8

mediate 55:25 68:2

mediated 59:13 mediating 56:16

J

mediator 55:16 56:8

meet 23:4,6,10,13,21 24:20 26:1 48:15 49:9,10 50:18 66:18 70:13

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 242Index: meeting..muttering

95:23,25 135:21 195:1 206:9 208:12

meeting 23:18 25:21 26:7 27:1,6, 10,24 29:12 30:7 34:2 41:1,4 49:5 51:7,9,23 71:22 72:23 88:6 98:22 99:1,4,5,7,15,22 100:1,7,20,24 101:9,12,15,18,20,22 103:22 113:20 119:24 122:22,24 127:21,22 128:4, 22 135:15 137:11 138:2 147:22 148:11,17 149:1,17,18,20 156:25 157:5 160:25 161:5 162:8 182:20 183:3,5 186:25 187:11 189:23 191:12,14,15,17,20 192:20 193:9 199:2,4 201:13 203:10,13,15,24 204:7,12,13,16,22,25 205:2 208:13 210:15

meetings 40:24 41:5 64:5 126:13 127:3 128:15,19,20

Mell 7:18 8:25 9:4 10:8 11:4,12,14, 20 12:17 13:11,25 14:7 15:2 17:7,8 18:3 20:12,14,24,25 21:9,14,24 22:5,9,15 23:7,16 24:3,8,9,24 25:18 26:12 28:11,18 29:13 30:12 31:18 34:2,7,15,21 38:18 40:4,10 43:7,18, 24 44:16,19 46:4 47:13,14 56:8 57:11 58:23 59:2,4,20 63:20 65:17 66:10 69:23 70:11 71:4 72:10,18 73:10 76:2 81:14,21 83:8 89:13 93:10,18 94:19 99:3,17 100:3,10,19 101:7 103:14,25 104:16 106:12,20, 21 107:12 108:8,13,19 109:2,10,11, 16,20,23 111:10 113:17 114:3,25 115:8 116:24 117:25 125:14,18,20 127:2,17 128:3,17 129:3,9,25 130:13,19,23,25 131:4,23 134:9 136:17 140:24 142:2.6.9.18.20 143:21 144:9 147:2 149:8,16 150:2, 15,20 151:12,19 152:4 154:13,14 155:25 156:19 157:6,16,25 158:1,7, 11,17,21,24 159:2,10,17 160:21 161:10,16 162:1 165:2,6,10,19 166:3,15 168:3 169:7,23 170:12 171:21 172:9 174:12,15,23 175:7, 15,16,23 176:14,22 177:3,5,16 178:15,25 180:5,14,20 181:3,6,11, 15,24 182:5,8,20 183:14,22 184:4, 21 185:11,23 186:3,7 189:9 190:6 192:20 193:8,13,20 194:1,3,18,22 195:8,21,25 196:5,8,16,21,24 197:18 198:5 199:10,18 200:23 201:22 202:2,3,8,19 204:23

member 127:23,24 128:1 209:25 211:3 216:15

members 23:2,3,5 45:25 65:19

69:18 100:8 144:8 153:2 163:21 166:13 181:22 185:19 208:14 219:17

memo 64:18 65:18 74:23 75:1,4,5,7 76:3,15 145:9 216:9

memories 216:14

memory 114:6 140:22,23 216:11

mention 36:2 128:15

mentioned 36:2 75:6,8 79:15 131:16 145:9,22 201:4 216:10

merits 75:22

message 10:12 131:6 160:5 161:15 162:19 172:1 185:2 198:4

messages 8:15 9:16,19 11:24 12:3, 22 13:25 14:19 15:11,18 19:22,25 20:3 53:19 72:25 97:24 126:8 131:7 161:17 179:15 183:20 201:17

met 70:11,12 78:23 181:16 197:9 221:8,23

metadata 12:5

metric 83:18 172:16 221:2,4

metrics 57:25 58:3 68:8,9 80:14 92:19 121:9 154:16 172:16 197:10

Meyers 32:21 33:1,4,5 37:19,24 38:7 52:20

mid 68:23

middle 49:7

midnight 85:12,14 119:24 121:17 122:25 138:4 146:20 147:1 149:1 189:11 203:16 205:10

million 19:1

mind 141:5 169:7 187:10

mindful 35:22

mine 51:8 56:1 67:25 122:11,12 152:23

minority 35:22

minute 131:4 183:16

minutes 27:24 130:8 169:5 187:11 202:23

mischaracterization- 171:6

misled 56:17 **missed** 81:14

missing 13:4

misstates 101:3 143:19

mistake 199:24

misunderstand 79:6

misunderstanding 177:4

misunderstood 144:5 145:2

Mm-hmm 20:12 81:16 126:1 156:23 173:18 190:12

modifier 128:9

moment 51:6 103:10 183:17

moments 121:17

momentum 169:10

Monday 60:7 67:9 79:11 131:13 171:14

Monday's 67:8

months 210:4

morning 41:1 52:9 78:9 126:12 131:8,13 133:1,3,4,7,8 137:25 160:23 183:21 195:2 198:16,19 206:18 208:16 209:12 218:24 222:2

morning-ish 79:21

motion 98:18,20,21 100:10 111:1,6, 14,16,18,24 113:3,10 121:18 147:14.16

motivated 50:17

mountains 87:6

mouth 131:5

move 56:3 58:12 90:17 126:2 169:3, 23 196:15

moved 90:20 96:14 97:11,16 148:25 203:16 204:1

movement 43:4 80:5

moves 24:15

moving 61:23 97:21 107:13 111:19, 22 131:5 138:6 169:4,8 204:7 212:10.15

multiple 62:25 63:3,14,18 116:17 117:7,12

municipalities 19:6

mute 131:3

muttering 103:5

Ν

names 12:25

nap 163:14

narrow 123:10

nation 112:15

nature 169:24

necessitated 56:16

needed 25:21 86:6 124:16 164:5 187:13 189:22 220:23 221:10,16

negative 154:1

nego- 48:20

negot- 51:13 55:7 73:7,25

negotiate 16:3 30:5 31:2,13,18 34:13,23,25 35:2,14 48:12,14,18,19, 21,24 49:1 50:10,17 51:5 52:24 56:13,21 59:19 66:16 67:13 68:4 73:4 104:18 145:15 152:17 160:12 172:5 174:2 176:11 177:18,24 191:2.3 195:2

negotiated 43:5 50:8 51:14 55:9 57:4 103:15 106:11 124:14 144:2 161:25

negotiating 13:20 14:2,9,10,12,15 15:24 19:8,10 29:25 30:2,13 31:20, 23 32:2,5 35:6 37:16 39:6,10,12 40:22 44:1 46:4,23 48:6,10 51:10,18 52:11,15 53:3,5,8,23 54:22 58:11, 12,15,21 62:11,23 67:2 69:23 77:4, 21 78:5,15 79:9,19 80:24 81:3,8 87:8,24 88:16,19 91:20 92:19,22 106:14,19,21 107:7 110:23 122:13, 19 124:13 143:1 152:10 160:6 161:11,16,19 173:15 174:17,19 184:22 187:14,15 189:13 190:25

negotiation 32:7,16,18 50:4 80:5 107:5 144:23 156:5 159:6 170:24 172:4.6 201:11

negotiations 14:14,17,23 15:8,21, 22 19:13 26:16 30:9 32:10 36:5,9,11 38:1,2 39:7,9,23 40:8,17 41:9,10 42:6,7,9 43:25 44:3,6,8,9,12,18,20, 23 45:7,12,17,21 46:25 49:6,17,20 50:1 51:9,16 52:3 53:21 54:6,16,19, 20 55:24 56:3,9,11 59:5,23 61:10 66:4,15 67:4,25 71:17,23 73:14,15, 17,19 76:22 78:16,17,22 83:23 84:2

86:5 88:1,8 91:5,18 92:5,16,17 94:21 99:21 107:7,11,13 110:6 111:4,12 114:10,12 116:2 118:6 141:22 142:11 151:20,24 159:14 162:9 172:8,25 173:5,11,13,19 174:5 176:13,16,17,23 177:10 178:1 187:19 188:1,7,11,18 189:16 192:1 194:10 199:22 200:8,11 201:10,23 202:1,6

negotiator 107:3

negotiators 47:15

news 168:11

night 131:14,17 136:12 137:1,5 150:9 163:18 195:1 203:20,21 208:18 212:16 213:11 214:7

night's 213:23 215:1

nonexistent 116:11

nonpartisan 49:14,15,19,25 50:4, 10 221:9,18

nonsupport 130:5

Nooksack 29:1,4 62:6

normal-sized 90:16

north 113:1

Nos 223:20

noticed 11:23

notified 199:6 215:10

notorious 52:1

November 15:20,21,22,25 165:24 186:12 189:16 191:10 197:3 223:3

number 7:23 8:7 27:1,11,12 34:1 36:5,6 43:5 51:23 59:22 61:9,11 63:24 69:7 87:7 90:12 106:10 107:8 117:17,24 122:1 123:14 143:2,4,10 161:25 183:20

numbered 214:1

numbers 13:5 110:14,15 125:14

numeric 13:4

0

O'NEIL 38:25 139:23 140:12 142:11 143:21 144:9 145:4 203:9 205:20 207:2 209:8 210:16 214:21 216:1,20

O'Neil's 217:2

oath 27:21 209:8 216:1

object 8:22 10:6 11:1,9 12:15 13:8, 22 14:4,24 17:2,25 20:9,21 21:7,12, 21 22:12,25 23:11,25 24:17 25:12 26:9 28:1 30:10 31:15 33:24 34:18 40:1 42:25 44:13 45:22 47:9 56:5 58:20 59:15 69:15 70:3,25 72:15 73:6 75:24 83:5 89:10 94:16 98:24 99:13,24 100:13 101:2 103:18 105:9 107:1 108:22 109:7,13 111:7 113:14,22 114:23 116:20 117:10 126:24 127:8,19 128:13,25 129:5,21 134:5 140:18 142:13 143:19.24 146:22 149:4,23 150:12,17 151:10, 15 152:1 154:9,23 156:15 157:1,9, 20 158:4,14,20 159:7,15 160:19 161:9,23 164:24 165:8,14 166:1,10 168:1 171:18 172:2 174:10,14,20 175:2,12 176:8,19 177:13 178:8 180:1,7,17,24 181:7,12,20 182:2,7 183:11 184:1,15 185:9,15,25 189:1 190:3 192:14 193:5,11,17,24 194:15 195:6,16 196:2 197:14 198:1 199:12 200:21 201:15,24 204:14 209:3,14 211:11 213:24 215:2 216:3,17 217:11

objected 218:1

objection 22:4 109:17,21 127:13 130:21,24 141:25 143:18,19 158:21 161:12 175:18 176:24 182:9 194:19 195:22 196:6,13,17,20 216:7

objections 31:23 196:12

objectives 34:3 46:14

observations 141:3

observe 114:12

observed 209:9

obtained 156:6

occupy 96:12

occur 41:12 50:3 147:24 198:15 199:1 223:2

occurred 61:2 66:11 82:12,16,24 88:8 114:4 126:10 142:10 168:4 171:17 189:10 192:17

occurs 173:24

October 49:7 68:22,23 69:10,22 70:16,21,24 71:3,6 152:14 173:16, 17 174:7

offend 151:14



Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 244Index: offense..pieces

offense 130:11 169:25

offer 193:19 196:13 200:13

offered 200:14

office 50:21 51:3

offices 51:4

official 10:19 203:24 218:16,20

omissions 13:4,7one-room 93:19,21one-suite 93:19.21

ongoing 76:24 172:7 178:15

op- 63:16

open 21:11 22:10,17 24:5 25:20 57:2 58:6,16 59:6 72:13 97:18 126:13 127:22 162:12 170:22

opened 146:12opening 40:16operating 178:2

opinion 141:2 152:15 168:20

opinions 140:7 OPMA 126:21,23

opportunity 116:4 134:2

opposed 50:10 68:8,11 147:6 154:12

opposite 96:5

option 117:25

options 63:16,19 80:21,22 123:10, 11 124:16

orally 37:8

original 14:11 135:18

originally 78:7 83:22 145:3

Osta 33:1,2,9 37:19,23,24 38:2,3 49:13 52:19 55:10 71:20,25 205:12, 18 221:20

outstanding 12:17 214:6

overlaid 120:13

overlay 120:8,12,15 121:3

overlays 120:11

overnight 55:2 71:10 87:24

overthinking 129:24

Ρ

p.m. 93:14 130:17 165:25 170:10 189:17 203:2 223:19

Pacific 7:2 paint 204:8

paragraph 210:10 parameters 172:13

Pardon 84:13 parking 72:5

part 18:11 34:16 41:15 91:22 108:20 124:5 172:7 183:2 215:25

participant 38:20 39:2 participated 219:6

partisan 33:9 39:10,15 49:16 50:3,9 110:14,15 220:25

parts 122:20 188:15

party 33:11,12 past 187:7

path 12:25

paul 39:1 66:18 130:20 131:21,24 132:1,3,6,9,11 151:4 160:12 170:19, 20 171:7,20,25 174:4,8,16,18 175:1, 10,24 176:3 185:13,14 188:24 190:20 192:6 193:2,3,9,14,18,21 194:10 195:10,14 197:5 200:20 201:10 205:20

Paul's 118:12 166:19 185:7,12,23 186:3,7

pause 43:21 93:13 130:16 170:9 203:1

Pedersen 184:11

Pekelis 7:10 93:16 94:16 98:24 99:13,24 100:13 101:2 103:18 105:9 107:1 108:22 109:7,13,17,21 111:7 113:14,22 114:23 116:20 117:10 126:24 127:8,14,19 128:13,25 129:5,21 130:6,15,21,24 134:5 140:18 141:25 142:3,13 143:18,24 146:22 149:4,15,23 150:12,17 151:10,15 152:1 154:9,23 156:15 157:1,9,20 158:4,14,20,23 159:7,15 160:19 161:9,12,23 164:24 165:8,14 166:1,10 168:1 169:2,17 170:8 171:18 172:2 174:10,14,20 175:2,

12,18 176:8,19,24 177:13 178:8 180:1,7,17,24 181:7,12,20 182:2,7,9 183:11 184:1,15 185:9,15,25 186:5 189:1 190:3 192:14 193:5,11,17,24 194:15,19 195:6,16,22 196:2,6,8,19, 23 197:14 198:1 199:12 200:21 201:15,24 202:10,14,24 204:14 209:3,14 211:11 213:24 215:2 216:3,7,17 217:11 223:14

Pellicciotti 171:4 172:15,21

pending 142:1,8

people 128:15 169:11 198:7 203:18,

percent 57:6,10,22 58:19 59:7,13, 22 61:21 62:1,19,21 171:3

percentage 59:21 perceptions 175:9

performance 57:6,10 61:12,19,22, 25 62:1,8,13 63:18 80:9 105:15,18 110:17,18 112:2 117:22 123:23 124:7,15 172:22 220:25 221:7

performing 171:3

period 70:9 203:25 204:3 205:2 206:17,18 211:20 212:22 213:1 215:7

periods 203:20,22

person 9:20 37:20 38:4 48:12,14 49:1,10 50:19 52:18 70:13 140:5,11

personal 7:25 8:15,21 9:6 12:24 56:20 154:25 155:6

perspective 57:20 60:17

pertain 8:16 57:3,13 89:9 90:25

pertaining 11:24 pertinent 34:9

phone 7:23,25 8:2 40:7 89:6 178:13, 14,22 213:16,17

phones 178:19 **phrasing** 86:12

physical 39:23 71:17

physically 48:15,20

pick 58:7 112:9,18,25 117:21

picture 204:9 **pieces** 188:22

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 245Index: Pierce..proposal

Pierce 123:19

place 51:10 110:2 123:23 124:21 204:20,21 214:12 215:21 221:19

plan 13:21 14:3 15:20 16:2,4,5,12, 14,15,18,20,25 17:5,8,12,14,18,19 18:21 23:23 25:3,5,7,9,24 26:4 27:5, 9 29:20,22 32:7 34:13 40:15,22 41:15,17,18,20,24 42:2 73:19 74:9, 12 111:20,25 116:1 180:3 187:20, 22,23 188:1,2 196:1 207:13

planned 40:12,13 78:7 176:11

planning 67:13 91:18

plans 17:11 21:3 27:3 34:23,25 35:2 115:23

platform 18:6

play 77:5,18,24 79:24 80:3 83:25 84:4,6

playing 195:14

Podlodowski 198:5

point 18:22 23:22 44:11 48:12 53:7 56:15,22 59:5 61:25 68:1 80:24 83:2 91:6 94:23 98:6,14 99:3,21 110:17 114:7 116:7,10,12 131:12 133:7 145:10,17,23 147:8,13 156:25 163:14 164:8,21 165:17,23 166:3 169:6 170:5 177:6,22 181:16 183:4 184:14 188:5 189:8 201:2,3,5,7,8 206:25 207:23 209:7,17,20,21 211:16 213:5,19,20 214:21 216:13, 20 220:8,18 222:2

points 81:3 92:19 117:13 118:3 136:23 137:5 143:10 145:13 146:1 193:15 194:1 201:9

poking 144:16

policy 8:20,24 9:3,4

political 35:15 153:20,21 154:6,16, 18,20,25 155:9

politically 58:5

pop-up 192:16

population 19:5 62:17 112:9 117:19,21

portion 27:5,10,23 98:22 99:7 113:19 147:21 148:11,16,25 149:16, 17 184:25 210:10

portions 220:11

posed 138:17

position 38:8 43:9,12 134:9 136:9 139:6 157:15,18 159:9,12,13 160:4, 17 164:6,7,8,11,12,14,23 165:1,4,5, 16,18 166:16,19,22 167:3,11,18,24 174:25 181:18,23 194:13,16 211:4 221:13

positions 160:11

positive 36:25

possibilities 78:24

possibility 220:4

post 204:12 216:22 217:5 218:6 219:22 220:13

posted 208:23 209:11 210:13 216:25 217:8,22 218:14 220:17,21 221:15,17

potentially 213:22 214:25

power 46:2,12 130:4

practice 129:17 204:11

precipitated 147:21

precisely 121:4

preference 57:22

prepare 60:11,24

preparing 14:2

preschedule 41:5

prescheduled 41:2

present 7:10 29:19 93:15,16 139:15 148:1 210:17

presentation 126:15,20 128:6

preserve 8:9 12:5

preserved 12:9,19

preserving 8:13

press 133:9,10,16,18,22 134:14,19 135:13,15,16,18 165:25 166:14,15 167:10,15,17,23 168:3,5 178:6 179:1,5,13,17 181:16 182:18 198:10,12,14,15,18,22,25 199:1,5 219:23 222:12,13 223:3

pressure 191:25

pretty 135:11,25 202:20 212:9,11

previous 30:4 50:7 51:16 86:20 168:18 187:6 213:23 215:1

previously 61:11 62:6,9,11 64:22

primarily 71:24

principle 59:8

prior 29:20,22 49:3 101:7 111:5 113:10 114:21 115:19 145:25 149:17 166:15 167:10 172:1 181:25 186:15 201:1

priorities 26:14 35:14,17 36:4,5,6 45:12,14,17,20 47:2,6,12,15,21 53:25 63:21,24,25 64:1,6,8,10,11,13 68:25 69:4,7 76:15 93:2,4 104:19, 22,24 152:10 153:4,5,12,13 191:24 192:3

prioritized 116:2

privacy 10:5

private 9:11 29:17 64:3

privately 64:2 103:2,15

privy 86:4,5 143:6,7

proactive 50:17

problem 197:25

problematic 188:25

proceedings 7:6 43:21 93:13 130:16 170:9 203:1

process 18:11 25:23 35:21 42:11 59:13 105:20 120:20,21,22 121:2 129:18 135:22 158:2 210:15 220:22 222:20

processes 22:2,22 27:16

produce 146:20,25

produced 84:21 178:17,20

product 107:12 **program** 121:11

progress 146:14

proposal 15:25 16:1,3,9 56:14 59:24 60:1,2,5 67:14 68:4,6,10,11, 13,25 69:5,24 76:16 83:13 86:6,18, 22 87:11,12 91:20 92:23 99:2,6 100:1,2,4,6,7,8,18,19,25 101:9,10, 13,14,17,19,23,25 102:5,10,18,24 103:2,16 104:4,17 105:1,4,8,12 106:11 114:20 119:17 120:1 121:23 147:10 149:19,22 150:14,16 151:5 152:18 160:12 177:2,17 188:22 190:9,15,16,18



Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 246Index: proposals..recollection

proposals 55:12 66:19 69:12 78:24 84:12 87:9 92:22 107:4,7,18 122:15 144:24 147:16,22 148:3,6,16 152:24 195:24.25 196:4

propose 68:14

proposed 70:23 73:19 102:24 104:15 122:8 150:5 178:6

proposing 68:18,21 122:16

protect 10:4

protected 34:25

provide 103:10 131:15 200:19

provided 103:21 126:14,15 202:4

Providing 202:3

public 11:25 12:2 13:11,16 26:17,19 27:5,10,23 28:19 29:19 30:7,12 36:17 37:2 64:3,4,5 68:21 69:24 70:7,9 85:12,13 98:22 99:4,22 100:20 101:9,12 102:4,9,11,17,19 103:1,11,15,17,21,24 113:19 114:12,22 115:19 116:3,5 119:19 122:24 126:13 129:8,9 134:3 137:6, 10 138:2 160:18 167:4 178:16,21 182:19 183:2,5 187:5 189:7,22,24 203:24 204:12 205:2 208:14 210:3, 4,5,6,15 211:7 222:20,23,24

publicize 29:22

publicly 23:10 25:21 26:1,7,23 29:14,16,18 30:5,25 31:10 36:7 69:17,18 101:24 114:19 115:2,9 181:16 186:15 216:22 217:6,22 218:6

published 70:24 71:6

pull 117:19

pulled 87:25

purpose 12:14 91:24 92:1 98:12 100:12

purposes 10:22 11:25 26:7 40:22 42:24 50:4 72:13 97:18 98:23 99:1, 4,23 100:10,24 101:10 121:21

purview 28:19

put 86:2,7 87:2 105:1

putting 129:18

Q

quantify 213:4

question 9:24 11:3,18 13:24 14:11 15:7,10,16 17:7 18:2 19:17 20:23 24:7 26:2 34:6,8,9 40:16 46:11 47:5 57:15 59:1 63:9,13 66:24 75:20 78:14 82:13 83:21 86:13 101:5,16 102:12 103:12 108:6 111:9 113:6 118:19 119:5,14 127:15,16 129:15, 24 134:12 136:3,5 139:18,19 140:20 142:1,8,19 144:6 145:2 148:23 149:12,13,14 152:3 158:16 162:6 168:14 174:22 178:24 180:19 182:11 186:6 194:21 196:12,18 200:6 203:10 205:1 207:12 211:13, 19 214:13 218:12 222:16

questioned 143:14

questioning 136:2

questions 47:11 74:23 136:11 138:12,13,15,17 141:11,17 142:17 149:10 169:20,25 196:9,20 202:11, 23 210:13 213:23 214:6 215:1 223:15

quickly 209:11 210:1,9,21 211:17

quo 61:24 62:9,12,20,24 63:1 83:13, 18 84:3,25 105:15 108:12,18 112:2, 4,8 188:12

quorum 23:4

R

R's 150:25

race 221:4

raised 214:18

ran 55:10 78:23

random 204:20 205:5

rarely 212:13

re-ask 127:15

re-establishing 56:1

reach 55:14 61:7 99:21 179:25

180:15,21

reached 56:14 61:4 81:25 99:25 100:5,6,20,21,23 101:8,17,24 102:9, 17,22 103:2,25 110:19 145:17,23 150:5 179:13,20,22 181:24 182:4

188:21 220:3

reaching 76:25

read 13:15 28:9 34:7,9 60:8,12,15 61:1 76:2,3 99:11 136:2 140:24 141:6 142:4,7 144:15 157:14 185:21 186:6 189:3 199:15

reading 213:19

ready 187:9 189:4

real 45:24 162:2

realistic 42:12

reality 35:15

realize 131:3 211:16

realm 220:4

reason 22:9 60:18 139:25 140:21

209:13

recall 9:8,12,15,19 11:22 15:4 19:23 20:4,13,25 21:8 29:11,14 30:6,11,14 31:1,11,21,25 32:4,6,8,15 38:16 40:13 41:7,14,16 45:13 50:5 51:15, 18 52:23 53:18 54:8,21 55:1 60:14 61:3 72:19 73:24 74:15 78:8 90:4,6, 8 91:2,22 92:13 96:2 98:8,21 99:9 101:21 112:22 115:15 119:9 124:6, 22 126:18 127:6 128:18 134:7,9 135:9 141:12,14 148:2 164:16 166:5,12 167:12 168:12 180:8 183:7 184:6,8,14 190:5 198:20,24 201:13 205:25 207:6,8,10 208:7,10 210:12 211:1,24 212:3,5,7,17 213:16,17,19 214:8,10,14 215:17,23 218:2 219:25 220:2,15 222:8 223:5

receipt 186:18

received 12:22 36:18 70:4,8 150:22 151:3

receiving 185:14 213:16,17

recent 199:15

recess 189:5

recipient 158:19 159:2

recognize 27:23 116:7 126:5 146:6 162:16 170:13 178:6 199:19

recognized 130:4

recollect 143:17

recollection 19:24 20:5 41:13 45:9 60:15,20 74:22 91:3 97:25 105:11 114:4 115:11 137:12 140:2,4,15,16



Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 247Index: recollections..restroom

141:13,15,21,23 142:10,21,23 183:23 184:5 191:19 199:5 219:18

recollections 140:14 143:16

recommendation 185:12

reconcile 85:5 86:7,10 118:13 120:10 122:4

reconciled 61:11 119:2 120:3,4 219:17

reconciliation 86:9 118:8 120:19, 22 121:2,21 219:21

reconciling 84:18 86:24 118:23

reconsider 127:16

record 38:11 170:1 200:2

records 12:1,2 178:16,21

redistricting 8:10,16,20,21 13:20 14:3,22 15:20 16:2,14,18,20,24 17:8 18:7,20 21:3,19 22:1 23:9,23 24:11, 19 25:3,5,7,9,24 26:4 29:19,22 33:3, 23 34:3,4,13 36:9,12,15 42:13,21,24 43:13 48:4 57:3 74:9,12,20 89:9,21, 22,23 90:5 91:1,14,17 119:22 130:2 144:11,21,25 156:5 161:19 192:21 193:4,10 199:16 201:14 222:24

reference 130:23 132:16

referring 118:25 135:11,13 149:25 150:7 183:19 184:9 186:8 201:2

reflect 13:19 14:1,16,19 19:25 21:1 156:1 160:16 170:2 197:8

reflected 20:7 35:15,19,23 36:6 153:11 156:18

reflects 158:12

refute 216:2

regard 10:22 15:20 26:22 54:5 66:15 77:14 95:7,15 115:1 132:10 134:24 149:16 184:10

regret 103:9 135:20

reiterated 93:2

reject 194:3

relate 16:1

related 8:10 9:14 10:9 23:14 24:21

57:22 199:16 210:2

relates 64:24 140:19

relating 157:11

relationship 56:2,19,20 59:18

relative 10:24 15:7 18:20 20:10 25:20 36:14 39:24 42:21 45:8,12 47:6 59:12 67:14 79:10 87:11 123:1 131:24 159:5 183:24 194:14

release 70:2,10 133:9 168:25 208:11

released 36:7 64:4 68:22 69:9,11, 17,18,21 70:16,19 71:2 135:14 152:14 167:4 223:6

relevant 160:4,5

remain 108:12 112:8,11,13

remained 59:23 109:3

remaining 107:10 172:20

remember 14:13 35:6 37:18 38:11, 24 50:23 51:24 52:25 53:23 55:4,6 76:20 80:11 81:23 87:4,19 91:9,10, 12,16 92:21 106:4,9 112:20 115:5 125:16 127:2 139:5 141:19 145:25 163:15 182:15 183:3 185:17 189:15 192:20,23 193:6,7 201:4 206:22,23 207:23 208:1,4,5,16 214:4 216:5 221:21

REMEMBERED 7:1

remind 171:1

remotely 48:6,10

remove 63:15 123:21

removed 61:23 62:2 84:1,2

renegotiate 58:17

repair 59:18

repeat 11:3 15:9 100:16 127:12 197:1

reply 194:23,24

report 38:3,7

reporter 7:3,14 11:19 28:5,16 29:2 34:10 38:13 57:7 65:10,13 66:5 72:8 81:10 100:16 103:4 104:12 105:25 106:16 108:2 113:24 125:17 127:12

136:14 142:3,7 199:8

reporters 210:14 reporting 91:12,16

reports 38:5

represent 182:5

representation 18:10 169:24

Representative 201:18,21

represented 12:23 56:24 156:17

178:18

representing 180:15

Republican 80:25 94:22,23 95:1,5 96:3 112:6 118:15,17 172:20,21 197:17.18

Republicans 71:21 80:25 82:12 88:11 93:20 94:1

request 12:1 35:8 178:21 198:11,13 216:23 217:7.17 218:15

requests 12:2,17 105:19

require 120:5

required 16:12 17:13 85:21 155:19, 20

requirements 12:21 126:21,23 161:1

requiring 86:9

reserved 223:17

residents 19:2

resolution 59:20

resolve 56:20

resolved 61:16 62:5 107:8 123:6,7, 9

respect 11:7 14:22 77:18 82:17,18, 24 112:21 138:17 144:11,21 199:23

respective 70:23

respond 198:3

response 149:12 182:12 192:9 209:1

responses 169:15 170:2

responsibilities 22:16 25:19

45:20,24

responsible 34:2,14

responsive 169:19

rest 65:11,14 72:9 196:14 203:25

restate 207:12

restating 193:19

restroom 90:11 169:21

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 248Index: result..shuttle

result 176:12

results 171:4 172:16

retain 9:9

retention 12:20

return 98:22 99:3 101:12.18

returned 98:25 99:5,22 101:9

reviewed 12:2 99:14

reviewing 87:9 185:13

revisit 81:25 102:20

risk 58:19 154:4,12,14

risks 155:22

risky 58:14

robust 210:4

role 10:19 11:6,10 19:10,18 49:15,

19

room 52:15 71:20,21,25 72:1 88:7, 10,12,14,15,17,19,21,24 89:3,4,7, 14,24 90:7,9,10,16,18,20 92:12 93:19,23 94:4,8,10,12,22 95:7,8,16 96:3,4,13 97:6,9 98:4 132:25 136:18,22,24 137:4,7,10,21,22,23 138:1,7,10 139:4,13 143:5 162:4 197:13 199:2,4 203:13,17,19,21,23 204:2,4,8,17,22,24,25 205:4,11,12, 15,16,18,21,24 206:1,4,9,10,13,14, 20 207:17 210:18 212:10,14,15 214:20 217:21,23

rooms 51:23 71:20 93:25 94:1,8,13, 15 96:9 97:2,4,7,11,16,21

Roughly 212:24

round 69:8,21 71:22

Rs 94:10 150:6

rules 97:19

run 122:15 155:15

running 218:24

S

safe 57:5 58:11,12,13,15 80:16,25 81:1 170:20,21,25 171:1,3 172:17,

safety 172:24

Sarah 30:24 71:23 145:24 191:14

198:21 200:18

Saturday 52:5,7 59:9,17 65:20,24, 25 66:14,22 67:5,12,21,23 156:9

scenarios 55:10 122:16

schedule 32:7,9,16,18

scheduled 40:24 99:8

scheduling 50:18

screen 125:20 146:13 170:14

202:18

screenshot 131:7 183:13 192:17

194:24

screenshots 12:3,7,8,10 178:12,

13,14

script 42:7

scroll 163:2

seat 57:2 58:4,6,16 59:6 170:22

seats 112:5

Seattle 112:18 188:16 199:15

sec 161:8

seconded 98:19

secret 128:24 129:2.3

secretary 56:25

secure 35:17

secured 88:5,9

segment 162:1

selected 42:20 83:17

Senate 30:17,21 31:3,14 57:2 58:4 91:4 151:9,13,14,17,20,25 152:5,22 153:1,9,11,16,18,19 154:7,11

Senate-versus-house 152:20

Senate/house 152:25

Senator 56:23 110:24 111:1,3

170:23 184:11

send 67:13 207:3,6

sending 177:1,17

sense 53:1 60:25 141:6 163:3

195:14

sentence 60:3 65:14 151:2

separate 50:13,15 70:20 76:14 94:8

96:25 97:3,5 161:14 178:2

separately 176:23

September 42:6 69:19,20

sequence 191:7

sequencing 215:3

serial 127:3,7,17,21 128:4,15,19,20,

21 156:24,25 157:5

series 211:8

serve 155:15

serving 11:6,11

session 114:22 183:2,5

set 40:18 42:23 90:7,9,18 135:22

settled 135:11 136:1

setup 39:23

seven-hour 212:22 213:1

shape 117:8

share 37:4,7,8 54:18 102:16,18 103:16,20,23 115:23,25 116:4 134:23 135:2,5 156:4 164:17 189:7

shared 37:8 72:24 101:14 115:9 165:19,21 167:21 179:6 192:7 208:11 211:6,8,16 214:9,10

sharing 156:21 157:22 159:24,25

184:16

shift 57:24 63:4

shifted 59:6

shooting 87:17

short 130:12 169:6 202:15.20

shorter 135:23

shortly 138:3 203:11 213:15 216:25

217:8

shouting 139:9

show 37:7 85:11,13 102:4,10 187:4

189:4

showed 37:8

showing 126:4 146:4 155:25 160:15 162:15 169:1 170:12 179:11 182:23 183:8 185:5 186:10 188:23 190:6 191:5,9 193:13 194:12 195:3 196:24 197:2,24 199:18 200:17 201:12

shows 175:21

shuttle 73:4

shy 173:6

sick 37:23

side 152:21 153:18 154:11

side's 154:7 sight 114:11

signature 223:17

significance 21:9,17,23,25 22:8,20

106:22

significant 147:5 155:8

similar 81:3 122:7,11,12,13

similarities 69:8

similarly 53:8 121:20 205:16

simple 129:15

simply 46:25 59:17

Sims 7:4,12,20 10:18,21 11:14 73:16 93:18 125:24 130:19 213:21

215:11

Sims' 12:23

Sims-graves 54:6

simultaneous 104:10 108:3 127:10

single 96:9

sit 19:24 20:5,25 102:25 103:3 114:18,25 183:22

skill 42:23

slated 198:15

sleep 87:23 131:11,14

sleeping 213:11

slightly 62:8 112:23

slows 169:9

smaller 112:25

Smith 36:8,10,14 37:5 199:11

software 12:13 221:12

solely 160:14

solved 197:25

sort 31:22

sotto 103:3 105:24

sounds 77:12 130:15 202:24

south 7:22 61:23 112:18 188:15

Southern 42:19

southwest 86:25 87:2 105:14

sovereign 35:24

space 88:3,6 90:11 96:6 98:5 205:8

speak 13:9 94:17 118:18 120:6 140:7 152:21 216:4 219:2

speaker 33:6,7,18 34:23 35:8,9 38:6 42:13,20 43:9 126:8 131:8 146:9 150:4 199:23,25 200:3,7,10

speakers 104:10 108:3 127:10

speaking 17:20 26:4 181:25

spec- 14:10

specific 15:13 18:17 24:2 25:2 28:19 35:10,11,12 39:8 48:4 56:18 75:5,6 80:12 88:2 91:8 92:11,14 103:12 111:25 116:22 117:1,22 118:19 124:14 128:18 141:11,17 142:16,18 157:13,14 219:20

specifically 18:24 46:7,17 69:2

specifics 45:1,6 90:6,8 92:17,18 101:22 102:23 103:1

speculate 24:2 184:3,4

speculation 146:23 150:19,20 195:17 197:15 198:2

speech 103:3 105:24

spent 87:8 89:6 107:6 137:23

split 31:2,13 188:13

spoke 209:16 211:25 214:15 222:6,

7.8

spoken 12:12

spots 90:13

spreadsheet 64:14,17,24 75:9,10,

12 76:7

staff 12:4 33:6 37:15,20 38:4,5,9,10 49:5,11,12,14,15,16,19,25 50:3,4,9, 10,12 52:22 78:23 82:2 83:16,17 85:7,8 86:4,24 90:21 110:5 118:12 119:10,15 120:8 121:8 122:10 123:5 124:17,25 125:1 180:10 203:12 204:1 205:3,16 207:4,7 209:25 212:11,12,15,18 213:10 216:15,24 217:7,17 219:4,13,17 221:7,9,18

staffer 33:9 37:17 205:11

staffers 38:23,24 52:14

stage 146:11,17 148:12 159:6,13

177:7

stairway 97:12

stakeholder 202:4

stakeholders 34:1

stalemate 200:20,24

stamps 194:8

stand 159:5

standalone 51:3

standards 22:11 135:21

standing 61:3 196:13,17,19

start 10:17 11:18 14:18 78:5 79:19 113:24 117:12 125:17 172:24 173:4 174:5

started 42:9 79:9 173:15

starting 40:20 63:10

starts 214:2

state 7:19 19:2 35:15,16,25 43:3,8 56:25 106:8 112:5 155:5,13

stated 218:4

statement 15:1,3 114:13 131:22 133:10,16,19,20 134:24 135:10,11, 13,15,16,18,23 139:25 140:16 142:5 145:5 163:10,20 165:25 166:9,12 167:5,6,8 179:2,5 182:19 183:9,13, 19,25 184:8 185:7,20,23 186:2 203:9 207:2 209:13 214:23 217:1,2, 4,13,14,16 218:5 222:13 223:6,8,9,

statements 64:4,5 133:18,23 134:8, 10 178:7 217:10 220:8 222:20

states 216:20

status 14:16 15:19 26:15 27:2 40:17 44:2,6,7,11,18,20 53:15,20,25 54:5, 19 61:23 62:9,12,20,24 63:1 67:4 76:22 77:15 83:13,18 84:3,25 92:5, 15 99:5,7 105:15 108:12,18 111:3 112:2,4,8 144:22 173:19 176:17 188:12,17

statutory 126:21,22

stay 55:2 71:8,10 73:1 137:21 169:13

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 250Index: stayed..texting

stayed 79:21 138:6,8 189:5 194:25

steps 189:17

Stevens 29:5 61:22

stick 41:24

sticking 56:15,22 68:1 145:17,23

177:22 201:2,5,8,9

sticky 56:9,11,12 145:13 146:1

173:21

stipulation 178:16

stoic 212:12

stories 168:11

straight 96:23

strategic 91:21,22

strategies 92:19

strategized 78:24

strategy 161:5

stream 198:8

Street 7:22

strictly 39:9

strike 8:19 10:12,23 11:5 14:17 16:10 20:16 42:1 53:1,2 61:5 63:6,7 64:6 66:13 74:1 77:16 78:3 92:9 111:10 117:4 122:2,23 127:6 148:9

168:4 169:23 177:6 197:1

string 175:14

structured 88:1

subject 134:4 173:14 222:10

submitted 181:1.2

substance 45:11 106:23

sufficient 152:19

suggest 132:16 204:23

suggested 30:24,25 199:15

suggesting 146:25 147:2

suggestion 199:10

suite 50:21 71:22 88:9,10,11,15,21 89:14 90:15 93:19,22 94:4,7,10,11, 23 95:1,5,15 96:4,12,14,15,16

197:17,18

suites 96:5 97:2,4,6

Sunday 52:5 67:7 71:8,10,12,14,18,

19 73:2 74:16 79:11,12,14 131:17 156:10 160:23 161:3 162:10 171:13, 15.17

super-safe 80:16,18

support 11:13 130:4 133:19 135:19 136:4 163:21,22,24 164:3 166:13

167:8,14 168:21

supported 164:9

supporting 143:8 167:7

suppose 22:6 168:22

supposed 147:18 186:23

supreme 153:14 154:7,21 155:11,

18,19 222:3,18

surviving 131:13

swapping 107:4

swing 58:15 62:10,23 105:16 107:20,23 108:7,11,17,19 109:3

112:7 172:19 173:4 174:2

swings 173:3

sworn 7:12 216:15

system 11:13

Τ

table 71:23 107:4

tacky 213:10

Tacoma 7:22 29:8,9 62:2 63:15 112:9 123:21

tactic 177:23

taking 24:22 29:5,8,9 37:12 89:5 98:23 99:4 148:15 169:7 176:16,21

186:15 187:23

talk 36:8,12,14 72:18 75:3 92:10 132:9,20 133:4 135:1 164:17 183:6

189:24

talked 28:25 29:8 36:10,16,17 37:9 55:13 59:17 102:23 134:20,22

135:1,16,20 139:23 197:10 222:6

talking 17:4 29:17 44:25 46:9 49:12 54:24 63:11 66:18 76:17 89:5,17,23, 25 90:1,5 91:9,10 97:21 98:6 100:22 130:25 132:14 136:13,17 162:11 168:9 177:8 191:21 197:6 198:14 200:10 211:15

task 155:7

tasked 19:1

team 32:6,22,23,24 41:19 84:4

138:11,13 207:21

teams 82:21 85:4 138:18

technical 38:12 65:9 72:6

technically 97:1

technology 8:21 9:7,11

teeing 183:10,25

telling 19:10 74:19 91:24 111:11 135:25 144:14 156:11 157:24

159:20 160:1 175:8 177:5,8 178:10, 12 179:12 190:20 194:25

ton 40:2444242420:2244

ten 19:3,11,12 43:16 80:2,6 109:20 169:4 202:23

ten-minute 43:18

term 25:10,25 32:25

terms 18:25 32:4 40:13 46:7 49:17 61:24 63:16 76:25 91:7 96:6,11 106:12,25 112:2,4 116:24 119:14 120:7 157:12 160:6 168:20 172:8 179:1 182:18,19 183:10 188:13

territory 113:1

test- 218:4

testified 7:15 60:16 142:20 143:22 144:10 193:6 209:8 216:1 222:12

testimony 16:20 60:13 101:3,7 102:15 143:19 144:18 145:25 201:1 203:11,15 208:19 215:20,23 216:15

text 8:6,9,15 9:13,16,19 10:12 11:20, 24 12:3,18,22,23 13:25 14:9,15,19 15:11,18 19:20,22,25 20:2,6,17 21:1 22:22 53:18 72:25 97:24 98:3 126:8 131:6,7 133:17 146:9 150:22 151:3 156:1 158:11,17 159:2 160:5,21 161:15,17 162:19 169:12 172:1 173:24 174:23 178:10,21 179:6,15, 23 183:20 185:2,7,12 186:3,7,11,18, 22 188:3 189:3 195:8 197:8 198:4,7 200:18 201:17 206:14 221:25

texted 147:17 151:21,23 159:21 175:14 185:7 206:8

texting 10:21 11:7 19:16 20:15 21:10,18 22:1 26:4 97:23 98:7 178:25 179:3,4 201:13

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 251Index: texts..unilateral

texts 10:25 12:6,9,13 13:3,11,15,18 14:16 185:21

that'd 202:13

thing 145:4 163:1

things 14:11 60:25 61:11 86:17 87:4,7 106:9 107:8 118:5 122:1 123:20,24 135:24 152:17 196:15 216:9 219:21

thinking 36:4 38:15 41:19 58:1 59:4,10 89:6 92:25 103:6 106:2 134:11 154:7,11 158:18 163:11 182:10

thought 14:21 17:4 18:23 22:2,22 25:23 27:16 42:10,11 55:12 58:10 61:2 64:11 65:25 70:15 74:25 75:3 79:6 92:1,4 113:18 116:4 134:14,18 139:18 144:17 147:10 149:1 150:22 158:2 159:13 160:8,10 165:10,11, 12,21 187:2 189:6 191:8 213:5

thoughtful 182:11

thoughts 20:19 25:9 26:5 157:8 179:4,18 194:23

three-minute 202:22 three-quarters 61:25

throat 130:9

Thursday 166:14 223:3

Thurston 106:6 112:10

till 131:17

time 7:2 9:13,17 16:24 18:17 26:24, 25 28:12,18 32:1 41:4 43:16 44:11 46:10 50:18 52:3 55:6,14,16 59:5 60:5 64:18 65:22 67:1 68:12 77:1, 15,24 78:3,5,15,17,21,22 79:19,23 83:2,12 85:10 87:25 89:6 91:6 93:12 97:16.22 98:6.14 99:3 102:3 103:13. 17 106:21 107:6 110:19,22 111:11, 16 114:4,7 116:7,10,12 121:12 122:2,22,24 126:10,25 130:12 133:7,15 136:10 137:13,23 139:3 143:10 144:12 145:10 147:8,13 151:3,21,22 154:3 157:4 158:16 161:19 162:3 163:15 164:13,21 165:17,24 166:3 167:14,17 168:3 171:10 173:24 174:15,23 175:3,6,7 179:22 182:13 183:4,16 184:14 186:2 188:19 189:8,9 191:11 192:24 193:8 194:8 197:9.19 200:19 201:3. 7 202:9,21 203:22 204:3,7 205:2,22 206:2,18 207:23 208:4 210:18

211:14 212:7,9 216:22 217:6,22 218:7 222:2,15 223:13

timed 65:22 66:10

timeline 183:3 208:10,12

timelines 42:10 91:8

times 27:2 73:5 98:3 137:10 139:13 143:4 161:25 199:15 212:24

timing 92:20

Tina 198:5

tired 136:16 196:10

tiredness 218:23

title 199:23

today 19:24 20:5,25 25:11 27:21 29:14 102:25 103:3 109:15 114:19, 25 183:22

told 54:15 68:3 91:25 102:25 125:16 128:5,12,17 132:8 141:20 156:12,21 164:7,18 176:25 192:8 208:14

tool 119:22

top 19:23 87:4 109:14 115:16 120:9

torture 129:18

total 94:13

track 163:16

tracks 178:2

training 8:19 126:13,14,17,22

tran 113:23

transcript 140:25 142:4,7

transcripts 101:20 114:2,3 115:4

transferred 220:23

transitioning 98:5

translating 12:12

transmit 221:8

transmitted 124:3 180:16,20,23 181:3,4,9 207:22,25 222:17,21

transparency 135:21

treasurer's 221:2.4

trend 124:10

tribal 105:20

tribes 29:5 35:25

triggering 26:1

true 60:16 112:6

truth 149:9,12

truthful 140:5,11

Tuesday 131:12 133:1 163:13

Tulalip 29:6

TVW 222:19,23

tweak 221:10

tweaked 221:10

tweet 150:25

tweeting 150:8 151:1

Twitter 150:9 223:6

two-hour 163:14

U

ultimately 117:1 133:20

unanimous 133:19 135:19 136:4,9 163:10,22,24 166:9 168:21

uncertainty 155:17

unchanged 112:11

undecided 164:20 165:12

understand 9:24 15:15 18:2 23:24 24:4 25:10,16,18 27:21 32:24 46:20 57:11,16,21 64:13 75:9 76:6 80:4 82:13 83:21 89:19 101:5,7 102:6 108:6 110:22 111:9 119:5 126:19 129:16 144:9,13 148:23 149:13,14 150:2 154:5,17 163:17 168:15,16 174:22 180:19 190:22 191:24 201:19 211:13

understandable 18:9

understanding 23:12 25:22 64:18 80:4 102:8,13,15 111:3 120:6 148:8, 10 153:19 158:16 177:12 220:22 221:20

understood 18:19 44:4 50:7 64:11 70:15 76:1 77:20 112:1 148:20 151:2,4 174:7 183:10 187:6 192:2

unexpressed 124:19

uniformly 181:18

unilateral 223:8

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 252Index: unique..withhold

unique 153:4

uniquely 153:1,3

uniting 62:6

units 39:6

University 42:19

unknown 153:24 154:4 165:1,16,17

unnecessarily 19:6

unsaid 124:19

update 27:2 54:11,12 73:13 98:13 99:1,6,7 103:21 189:15,19.23

updated 91:4 144:23

updates 144:23

updating 92:4,15 146:14

upshoot 219:9

upstairs 197:12

urging 146:16

utilized 119:25 121:16,20

٧

variables 117:15,17

variations 117:14

varying 137:10

verbally 107:15

verification 221:16

verify 144:17

version 118:15,17

versus 9:23,25 49:16 153:1,16

vertical 112:14

videoconference 7:4 38:12 65:8

72:6

view 26:17,19 129:8,10 154:21,25

162:21

viewed 58:14

virtual 40:7,14 168:8

virtually 49:9

voce 103:3 105:24

vote 15:5,7 17:15 22:24 46:2,3,6,15, 17 47:1 63:7 98:15,17,19,23 99:4,18 114:21 115:3,19 116:5,11,12,15

118:7 119:25 128:24 129:1,3,6,8,9, 12,13,20,23 130:1 136:11 146:16,20 147:1,3,10 148:3,5,7,12,15 152:19 153:3 160:2 163:18 186:13,21,23 187:5,9 188:2 189:12 207:13 210:15 213:23 214:7 215:1 218:16,21

voted 113:8 114:20 115:1,10 180:2 187:15

voted-upon 120:1

votes 46:13

voting 13:18,19 14:1,20,21 15:12 19:21 20:7,15,17,20 21:2,4,5,11,19, 25 22:5,21 23:3,5,19 25:8 26:5,6 27:16 29:20,23 47:4 94:20 99:23 100:11 112:20 113:7,18 127:22,24 133:14 134:1 136:25 139:14 147:16, 18,22 153:2 157:8 158:2,13 159:11, 12 163:9 164:21 165:23 166:12 167:24 175:9 186:19

VRA 161:5

VRA-COMPLIANT 70:5 152:15

160:25 161:6

W

WAC 155:21

wait 78:14 191:2

Walk- 193:21

walked 73:8,10

Walkinshaw 27:13,15 30:20 31:9 32:13,14 37:9,11 38:22 39:1,13,15 40:9 44:4,21 45:14 47:18 48:1 53:4, 7 54:11 63:21 65:2 66:21 67:13,18, 24 69:4,9,11,17,21,23 70:18,19 71:25 72:3,22 73:1,11,23 77:20 88:13,15,22 89:13 91:24 92:2,10 95:12,20 98:11 104:19,25 110:11,13 111:5,12 115:6 134:20,22 135:2,12, 17 144:3,22 145:1 153:8 156:2,4,11 157:11,22,23 159:18,19,20,23,25 160:9,10,16,24 162:7 163:25 164:18,19 165:12 166:6 167:2,13,18 171:25 172:6 176:10,16 177:1,9 178:7,25 179:12,16 182:17 183:21 184:7,12,13 185:1,2,6 187:17 188:6 189:15,18 191:4 192:7 193:4,16,21 194:9,13 195:4,19 198:9 207:20 213:22 214:9,10,16,17,20,25 215:9, 11,21 219:3 222:7

Walkinshaw's 58:17 90:24 152:13, 19.24 165:1.16 166:22 177:10

wanted 34:23,25 35:2,16,19 37:25 38:1 68:14 70:1,6 75:21 104:7,17 124:10 131:24 132:2,7,9,17,24 133:5 134:2 139:19 152:5,6 153:4 187:16,25 191:1,24 192:2,8,10 209:5 210:6,22 219:10

wanting 18:12 24:14 183:23 184:12 208:16

Washington 7:22 43:3,8 68:19 70:6 81:6,18 82:4,20 83:9,24 86:25 87:2, 6 105:14 106:6 117:20 152:16 155:5,13 161:6

Washougal 86:19 105:15

watch 205:25

Watching 138:11

ways 62:25 63:3,14,19 117:12

website 181:1 219:1

weeds 58:2

week 155:12

weekend 66:3,9

weeks 87:8 106:11,14,17,19,22 155:12,15

weigh 134:2

weighed 219:4

weird 64:14,16,19,21

weirdo 65:4

West 202:12,16,22 203:6 204:19 205:1 209:7,19,21 211:15 214:3,8 215:6 216:6,13,19,20 217:13 222:14,17 223:12

western 87:6 117:20

What'd 94:24

whatsoever 91:3

When's 9:13

wholly 106:7

wind 204:19

window 168:18,19

wit 7:6

withhold 13:11

Sims, April - January 14, 2022 Page 253Index: woman..Zooms

woman 155:3

Wong 8:22 10:6 11:1,9,17 12:15 13:8,22 14:4,24 17:2,25 20:9,21 21:7,12,21 22:4,12,25 23:11,25 24:17 25:12 26:9 28:1 30:10 31:15 33:24 34:18 40:1 42:25 43:15,20 44:13 45:22 47:9 56:5 58:20 59:15 63:8 69:15 70:3,25 72:15 73:6 75:24 83:5 89:10 93:7,15

word 24:9 32:22 56:10 64:21 100:4 130:1 146:1 147:3

worded 101:21

words 14:13 182:12 200:23

work 8:10 9:7,10,14 10:9,22,25 11:15,25 13:1 15:19 16:11 17:5 22:11 24:11,19 33:23 34:4 36:12,15 38:3 42:10,11,12,21,24 43:7,13 57:3 71:21,22 74:20 85:16,18,20 90:12 99:6 107:12 119:25 120:8 122:17 123:24 128:16 130:2 140:12 143:9 144:25 153:15 154:2 155:3 187:2,3, 7,21 190:8,18,21 199:3 200:5 207:24 213:11 221:13,19

worked 104:18 180:21 189:6

working 16:3,8 42:2 56:2 59:18 71:24 85:5,11 88:6 99:19 116:6 118:12 122:15 123:5 138:18,22,23, 24 139:1,12,24 143:8 160:12 163:9, 17 190:15 196:4 205:12,17,19 207:21 212:12

wrap 187:10

wrapped 190:18

write 11:19 32:18

writing 41:21 106:24

written 99:10 145:5

wrote 185:13.14

Υ

youngest 155:4

Ζ

Zoom 40:6 127:22 161:7,10,11,16, 20,25 189:19

Zooms 161:14

